Naturally enhanced eggs as a source of vitamin D

A review

Barnkob, Line Lundbæk; Argyraki, Aikaterini; Jakobsen, Jette

Published in:
Trends in Food Science and Technology

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1016/j.tifs.2020.05.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2020.05.018)

Publication date:
2020

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Barnkob, L. L., Argyraki, A., & Jakobsen, J. (2020). Naturally enhanced eggs as a source of vitamin D: A review. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 102, 62-70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2020.05.018>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Journal Pre-proof

Naturally enhanced eggs as a source of vitamin D: A review

Line Lundbæk Barnkob, Aikaterini Argyraki, Jette Jakobsen

PII: S0924-2244(20)30479-9

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2020.05.018>

Reference: TIFS 2863

To appear in: *Trends in Food Science & Technology*

Received Date: 3 May 2019

Revised Date: 6 May 2020

Accepted Date: 21 May 2020

Please cite this article as: Barnkob, Line.Lundbæk., Argyraki, A., Jakobsen, J., Naturally enhanced eggs as a source of vitamin D: A review, *Trends in Food Science & Technology* (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2020.05.018>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Abstract

Background: It is estimated that on annual basis 40 % of the European population is either vitamin D insufficient/deficient. A way to increase the vitamin D intake is to fortify a broader range of foods or by increasing the natural vitamin D content in food sources that already contain vitamin D. Eggs is once again considered part of a healthy varied diet and eggs contain a wide range of micro nutrients including vitamin D.

Scope and Approach: Review of production methods to naturally enhance eggs with vitamin D, and discussion of the perspectives of vitamin D enhanced eggs as part of the strategy to increase the dietary intake of vitamin D.

Key Findings and Conclusions: There are three ways to naturally enhance the vitamin D content in eggs: feeding more vitamin D₃/25(OH)D₃ to the hens, exposing the hens to UVB and exposing liquid egg products to UVB. Naturally enhanced eggs can contribute to increased vitamin D intake. An inter-trial linear relationship between vitamin D₃ in feed and vitamin D₃ in eggs was found. Within the linear range a maximum of 20 µg/100 g yolk was obtained with feed contain 617.5 µg/kg feed. Feed can provide higher levels of vitamin D in eggs than UVB exposure of the hens. However, the European maximum for vitamin D in feed for layers at 80 µg/kg limits the beneficial effect. Vitamin D content in liquid egg products can be tailored by adjusting the UVB dose, however further research is needed.

Keywords

Vitamin D₃; fortification; 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃; hens; UVB; feed

Naturally enhanced eggs as a source of vitamin D: A review

Line Lundbæk Barnkob^a, Aikaterini Argyraki^b and Jette Jakobsen^{a*}

^a National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Research Group for Bioactives – Analysis and Application, Kemitorvet, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

^b Technical University of Denmark, Department of Photonics Engineering, DTU Risø campus, Frederiksborgvej 399, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark

* Corresponding author

E-mail: jeja@food.dtu.dk (J. Jakobsen)

13

14 **1. Introduction**

15

16 It is estimated that on annual basis 40 % of the European population is either vitamin D insufficient (<
17 50 nmol/L total serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) or deficient (< 30 nmol/L) (Cashman et al., 2016).
18 Although there is dispute about where to set the limit of insufficiency and deficiency, there is agreement that
19 the vitamin D status (total serum 25(OH)D) of the general population has to be increased (Holick, 2017).

20 Vitamin D can be obtained either through food or sun exposure, where sun exposure is the major
21 contributor to vitamin D in humans. 7-dehydrocholesterol (7-DHC) in the skin is converted to previtamin D₃
22 when the skin is exposed to wavelengths between 290 and 315 nm (ultraviolet B, UVB) and previtamin D₃ is
23 then converted to vitamin D₃ by thermal isomerization at body temperature (Holick et al., 1980;
24 MacLaughlin, Anderson, & Holick, 1982); vitamin D₃ is transported to the liver where it is converted to 25-
25 hydroxyvitamin D₃ (25(OH)D₃) (Christakos, Dhawan, Verstuyf, Verlinden, & Carmeliet, 2016). However,
26 from October through March at 52 °N no cutaneous vitamin D is produced as most of the solar photons
27 below 315 nm is attenuated by the longer travel through the ozone layer during the so-called vitamin D
28 winter (Webb, 2006; Webb, DeCosta, & Holick, 1989; Webb, Kline, & Holick, 1988). The duration of the
29 vitamin D winter decreases with decreasing latitude and according to UVB data it is suggested that the
30 duration is: 5 months in UK, Ireland and the Netherlands; 6 months in Denmark; 8 months in the north of
31 Norway; while it is 2 to months in Greece and 0 in Crete (O'Neill et al., 2016).

32 Vitamin D exists in two forms: vitamin D₂ and vitamin D₃. Although there are multiple metabolites of
33 vitamin D, only vitamin D and its major metabolite 25(OH)D is considered when determining the total
34 vitamin D content in food (Ovesen, Brot, & Jakobsen, 2003). Vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ is found in food of
35 animal origin, such as fish, meat, offal, eggs, milk and dairy products (Ovesen et al., 2003). Vitamin D₂ is
36 found in yeast, mushrooms, and fortified milk and dairy products (Keegan, Lu, Bogusz, Williams, & Holick,
37 2013).

The recommended intake of vitamin D varies for the different age groups, and between the individual organisations setting the guidelines (Boiullion, 2017). In Europe and US, the guidelines for adults are between 10 and 20 µg/day (Institute of Medicine, 2011; EFSA, 2016; Nordic Council of Ministers, 2012), however the estimated intake is 3 to 7 µg/day (Cashman & Kiely, 2016). In some countries with mandatory fortification programmes the intake of vitamin D is still suboptimal (Lehtonen-Veromaa et al., 2008; Whiting, Green, & Calvo, 2007). Since 2003 Finland have had a voluntary fortification programme which includes milk and fat spread with the effect that the adult population who have a diet based on nutritional recommendations have a sufficient vitamin D status, while the status in adolescent girls is still insufficient (Jääskeläinen et al., 2017; Lehtonen-Veromaa et al., 2008).

A way to increase the vitamin D intake is to fortify a broader range of foods or by increasing the natural vitamin D content in food sources that already contain vitamin D (Barnkob, Argyraki, Petersen, & Jakobsen, 2016; Black, Seamans, Cashman, & Kiely, 2012; Cashman & Kiely, 2016; Kiely & Black, 2012; O'Mahony, Stepien, Gibney, Nugent, & Brennan, 2011). Foods with natural increased vitamin D content could in some cases be more broadly accepted by consumers (Cashman, 2015).

For many years eggs have had a bad reputation due to a high content of cholesterol, however as reviewed by Gray (2018), eggs were wrongfully accused and is once again considered to be part of a healthy varied diet as they contribute with a range of micro nutrients, including vitamin D, and high quality, easily digestible proteins; and compared to other food sources eggs are easily prepared, they are relatively cheap and they are included in the diet of the majority of the population (Gray, 2018; Mejborn, Jacobsen, & Trolle, 2011; Pedersen et al., 2015).

The principle in the analytical methods used for quantification of vitamin D has since the introduction of an internal standard been the same (Indyk and Wollard, 1985), i.e. alkaline saponification, liquid-liquid extraction, clean-up step (preparative HPLC or/and solid-phase-extraction), and separation by HPLC combined with UV-/DAD-detector. Method for quantification of 25-hydroxyvitamin D was introduced at a later stage by applying the same principle (Mattila et al. 1995). A huge improvement in especially sensitivity and laboratory work came with the introduction of mass spectrometry detection (Dimartino, G, 2009). Due to the relatively high amount of vitamin D in eggs, the analytical improvement is essential for the amount of

sample taken for analysis and the cost of an analysis, as a technician in 1990's could run 10 samples a week, a technician in 2020 may run 20 samples a day. Otherwise the improvement, did not affect the trueness of the methods, which essentially is due the use of internal standard. Thus, making it possible to combine old and new analytical data in this review.

The natural content of vitamin D in eggs can be increased by three methods, of which two have gained the most attention: adding more vitamin D to the feed of the hens; and exposing the hens to UVB light. The third method is to expose egg yolk directly to UVB. We will review the literature on these three methods and discuss their future perspectives.

2. Method

An extensive literature search was performed in January 2019. The search machine DTU Findit (DTU Library, n.d.) was used with the following search phrase: vitamin D OR eggs OR ultraviolet OR content OR yolk. Reference lists from relevant articles found in the search as well as the cited by function in Google were used to find additional references.

In order to make different reported results comparable all results have been recalculated to $\mu\text{g}/100\text{ g}$ egg for vitamin D_3 and $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$; and $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for vitamin D_3 and $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ in feed. Some authors report the results per gram egg yolk, in these cases the data has been recalculated under the assumption that a whole egg consists of 33 % yolk (Mattila, Lehtikainen, Kiiskinen, & Piironen, 1999; Mattila, Valkonen, & Valaja, 2011). Others have reported results per gram dry matter of egg yolk, it is assumed that an egg yolk contain 7 g of dry matter (DTU Food, 2018; Kühn et al., 2015; Schutkowski et al., 2013) based on an average egg yolk weight of 15 g. It is assumed that vitamin D is only present in the yolk.

To make UVB doses comparable all reported values have been recalculated to J/m^2 .

2.1. Limitations

This review will primarily focus on the vitamin D content, if interested in how vitamin D and its metabolites affect performance and egg quality we refer to a recent review from Świątkiewicz, Arczewska-Włosek, Bederska-Lojewska, & Józefiak (2017).

Vitamin D₂ is not as effectively transferred to yolk as vitamin D₃ (Francis G. McDonald & Massengale, 1932), and only vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ is approved as vitamin D additives in feed for layers; therefore studies performed with vitamin D₂ are not included except for Kawazoe, Yuasa, Yamazaki, & Ando (1994) who studied the relation between feed intake and vitamin D content in eggs which have not been studied using vitamin D₃.

A lot of studies were published in the 1920'ties and 1930'ties regarding how to increase the vitamin D content in eggs. It was shown that the content of vitamin D in egg yolk could be increased by adding vitamin D to the feed of laying hens (Bethke, Kennard, & Sassaman, 1927; Branion, Drake, & Tisdall, 1935; DeVaney, Munsell, & Titus, 1933; Guerrant, Kohler, Hunter, & Murphy, 1935) or by irradiating non-supplemented hens from above (Farrell, 1924; Hart et al., 1925; Hendricks, 1931; Hughes & Payne, 1924; Hughes, Payne, Titus, & Moore, 1925; Maughan & Maughan, 1933). However, when Carson & Beall (1955) supplemented hens with approximately 29 µg vitamin D/kg feed and exposed them to UVB from above, no increase of vitamin D in the eggs were observed. The vitamin D content in these studies of older date was determined by hatchability of eggs, an endpoint, which is based on the fact that vitamin D in egg yolk is essential for embryonic survival (Sunde et al., 1978), or by animal assays where the total vitamin D activity is determined. Only studies that measure the individual content of vitamin D₃ and/or 25(OH)D₃ have been included.

Two studies were excluded as they also included increased content of vitamin K in the feed (Park, Namkung, Ahn, & Paik, 2005; Zang et al., 2011). At high concentration vitamin K inhibits the uptake of vitamin D (Reboul, 2015) and as both vitamin D and vitamin K are involved in bone health (Torbergesen et al., 2015) it cannot be ruled out that they also interact at higher levels in vivo.

3. Bioavailability of vitamin D from eggs

As mentioned in the introduction eggs are an optimal vehicle for vitamin D in regard to its nutritional qualities and wide use. A recent review found no difference in the bioavailability of vitamin D₃ from fortified food compared to supplements (Borel, Caillaud, & Cano, 2015). Investigation of the bioavailability of vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ from natural food is limited, but no difference was shown for vitamin D₃ from cod liver oil and vitamin D₃ in multivitamin tables to increase vitamin D status (Holvik, Madar, Meyer, Lofthus, & Stene, 2007). Moreover, it has been shown that intake of vitamin D enhanced eggs is associated with increased vitamin D status (Hayes et al., 2016).

4. Cooking loss of vitamin D in eggs

Two studies regarding the loss of vitamin D during cooking of eggs have been published (Jakobsen & Knuthsen, 2014; Mattila, Ronkainen, Lehtikainen, & Piironen, 1999). Vitamin D and 25(OH)D in eggs will be lost, to the same extent, during household cooking: A hardboiled egg (10 minutes of cooking) will lose around 10 % of both vitamin D and 25(OH)D, scrambling an egg for 3 minutes gives less than 20 % loss of both, while during baking for 40 minutes around 60 % of both is lost (Jakobsen & Knuthsen, 2014; Mattila, Ronkainen, et al., 1999).

5. European legislation regarding vitamin D in feed for laying hens

The legal limit for adding vitamin D₃, 25(OH)D₃ or a combination of the two to feed is 80 µg/kg for laying hens in Europe (Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/1492 Commission Regulation (EC) No 887/2009); according to Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/1492 this level does not have adverse effects on animal health, human health or the environment based on scientific opinions from the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). The reasoning behind EFSA's conclusion regarding animal safety was that this level has been used for over a decade without any reported intolerances (EFSA, 2014); they were however unable to draw any final conclusion as their answer was solely based on data from the National Research Council

(NRC) from 1987. In regard to human health, they conclude that as there has been no change in the level of vitamin D in feed during the last decade it is safe to continue with the same level (EFSA, 2014). The fact that the human intake of vitamin D is below the recommended was not taken into consideration.

6. Vitamin D content in commercial feed for laying hens

In 2014 one of the major producers of feed for laying hens in Denmark used 75 µg vitamin D₃ per kg feed (personal communication with Danish Agro). A survey from 1996 conducted in USA showed that the average content of vitamin D₃ in feed for laying hens was 61.5 µg/kg feed (BASF, 1998), while in China the average level is 60 µg/kg feed (Zang et al., 2011).

How common the use of 25(OH)D₃ in feed has become since it was legalized in 2009 in Europe is unknown but Mattila et al. (2011) concluded from their investigations of commercial eggs that egg producers in Finland so far continued to use vitamin D₃ as the only vitamin D supplement, however in 2011 one producer in Denmark launched an egg where 25(OH)D₃ was used in the feed (Hedegaard, n.d.) and Liu, Greenfield, & Fraser (2014) analysed eggs from an Australian producer known to use a combination of 25(OH)D₃ and vitamin D₃.

7. Vitamin D content in commercial eggs

The content of vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ in commercial eggs reported by various sources since 1982 is displayed in **Error! Reference source not found.** On average the content of vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ in commercial eggs is 1.5 µg/100g and 0.5 µg/100g, respectively.

8. Effect of enhancing vitamin D content through feed

167 Vitamin D in feed can be given either as vitamin D₃, 25(OH)D₃ or a combination of the two. Hens have
 168 a binding protein for vitamin D₃ in the plasma that forms a complex that is actively transported into yolk;
 169 however this binding protein also has a small affinity for 25(OH)D₃ and when 25(OH)D₃ is present in high
 170 concentrations it can displace vitamin D₃ and thereby be actively transported into yolk (Fraser & Emtage,
 171 1976). When feeding vitamin D₃ the content of both vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ in the egg will increase,
 172 however using 25(OH)D₃ alone will not increase the vitamin D₃ content of the egg (Browning & Cowieson,
 173 2014); and when fed a combination the displacement of vitamin D₃ by 25(OH)D₃ mentioned above is
 174 assumed to be minimal. Therefore, studies that use a combination have been included in assessing the impact
 175 feeding vitamin D₃ has on the vitamin D₃ content in eggs.

176 When starting a new feeding regime it will take some time before the vitamin D₃ content in the eggs
 177 reach a stable plateau (equilibration). The equilibration time is reported to be between 8 days and 3 weeks
 178 and it is independent of the vitamin D₃ content of the feed; and when an equilibrium is reached the content
 179 stays relatively stable (Mattila et al., 2003; Park et al., 2005; Yao, Wang, Persia, Horst, & Higgins, 2013).
 180 The duration of the studies feeding vitamin D₃ was between 2 weeks and 48 weeks while for 25(OH)D₃ it
 181 was between 4 and 9 weeks.

182 The age of the hens varies between trials, but Mattila et al. (2003) found that age does not have an
 183 effect on the transfer of vitamin D₃ from feed to the egg and it is assumed that this holds true for 25(OH)D₃
 184 as well. A variation of commercial breeds, both white and brown, has been used.

185 It should be noted that the reported values are averages and that the content in the individual eggs will
 186 vary as the feed intake per hen varies. Kawazoe et al. (1994) found an average feed intake of $117 \text{ g} \pm 7.6 \text{ g}$
 187 (standard deviation, SD) and they showed a linear relationship between vitamin D content in the egg and the
 188 feed intake; within a group the vitamin D content varied between 7-11 µg/100 g and the coefficient of
 189 variance (CV) of the mean was 15 %¹. Kawazoe et al. (1994) used irradiated shitake and thereby D₂, but it is
 190 assumed that relation will be the same for vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃. The CV% of vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃
 191 determined in egg yolk, fed a combination of the two, were comparable with an average CV of 24 %

¹ Approximately values as they are calculated from data points in Figure 6 in the paper.

(Browning & Cowieson, 2014). Genetic differences between birds are most likely also a contributor to the observed variance (Browning & Cowieson, 2014).

8.1. Effect of using vitamin D₃ as feed additive

We found eight studies where hens have been fed vitamin D₃ at levels between 26.6 µg/kg and 2555 µg/kg (Browning & Cowieson, 2014; Hayes et al., 2016; Kawazoe, Yuasa, Noguchi, Yamazaki, & Ando, 1996; Mattila et al., 2003; Mattila, Valaja, Rossow, Venäläinen, & Tupasela, 2004; Mattila, Lehtikoinen, et al., 1999; Plaimast, Kijparkorn, & Ittitanawong, 2015; Yao et al., 2013).

The transfer efficiency ($= [\text{vitamin D}_3 \text{ in yolk} \cdot \text{yolk weight} \cdot \text{egg production per hen per day}] / [\text{vitamin D}_3 \text{ in feed} \cdot \text{feed intake per hen per day} \cdot 100]$) of vitamin D₃ from diet to egg was determined by Kawazoe et al. (1996) and Yao et al. (2013). The data from the two studies have shown that the transfer efficiency increases when the content in feed is increased: it is 7-8 % when using 55 µg/kg feed; 11-14 % when using 242.5-617.5 µg/kg; and 41-47 % when using 2555 µg/kg. Yao et al. (2013) also calculated transfer efficiencies using data from other studies where similar levels of supplementation was used and the results were within the ranges given above.

In long term trials (≥ 24 weeks) no negative health effects of feeding 300 to 2555 µg vitamin D₃ /kg feed have been observed (Mattila et al., 2003, 2004; Persia, Higgins, Wang, Trample, & Bobeck, 2013). Reduced feed intake, egg weight, shell quality and fertility have been reported for laying hens fed with 5000 µg/kg (Ameenuddin, 1986).

The vitamin D₃ content in eggs as a function of the content vitamin D₃ in feed is displayed in Figure 1. There is a linear relationship between the vitamin D₃ content in the feed and the content of vitamin D₃ in the egg with a Pearson correlation coefficient (Pearson's r) of 0.987 and a p-value of $6 \cdot 10^{-19}$ (F-test) in spite of the differences in the design of the studies. The equation for the linear regression is:

$$\text{Vitamin D}_3 \text{ in eggs } (\mu\text{g}/100\text{g}) = 0.033 \cdot \text{vitamin D}_3 \text{ in feed } (\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}) - 0.58$$

The linear range covers up to 617.5 µg/kg feed and the highest vitamin D content in eggs is 40 times higher than the lowest. Yao et al. (2013) fed 2555 µg/kg feed to one of their treatment groups and the resulting vitamin D₃ content was 287 µg/100 g; this result is not included in Figure 1 as it is outside the linear range; the expected content from the linear regression would be 84 µg/100 g. This fits with the observation that the transfer efficiency increases with increased content of vitamin D₃ in the feed (Kawazoe et al., 1996; Yao et al., 2013).

The 25(OH)D₃ content in eggs as a function of vitamin D₃ in feed is better described by a logarithmic equation (black line in Figure 2) with a Pearson's r of 0.6 compared to 0.47 for the linear relationship (dotted line in Figure 2). However, the data is limited and the p-value for the F-test of the regression of the log-transformed data is 0.08. The only conclusion that can be draw is that 25(OH)D₃ content in eggs will increase with increased supplementation of vitamin D₃ but to a lesser degree than what is observed for the vitamin D₃ content in eggs.

8.2. Effect of using 25(OH)D₃ as feed additive

We have found six studies that report on the vitamin D content of eggs after hens have been fed 25(OH)D₃ alone or in combination with vitamin D₃ (Browning & Cowieson, 2014; Duffy et al., 2017; EFSA, 2005; Hayes et al., 2016; Koshy & Van Der Slik, 1979; Mattila et al., 2011).

Figure 3 shows the 25(OH)D₃ content in eggs from hens that have been fed solely 25(OH)D₃. From Figure 3 it is seen that only two studies have used at least two levels and that Mattila et al. (2011) is the only of the two that shows a significant increase; however, with a Pearson's r of 0.6 there is not the same linear inter-trial tendency as shown for vitamin D₃, but the limited amount of data should be taken into consideration. The dose-response is moderate compared to what is observed for vitamin D₃; the slope of the linear regression is 0.0093 which is approximately a factor 3 lower than the vitamin D₃ slope (see section 8.1).

Only two studies used doses above the legal limit of 80 µg/kg. Terry, Lanenga, McNaughton, & Stark (1999) supplemented laying hens with 41, 83, 413 and 825 µg 25(OH)D₃ per kg feed for 224 days, however

eggs were analysed for vitamin D content after 112 days. No mortality was observed at any level. Based on decreased production parameters 825 µg were toxic while 413 µg 25(OH)D₃ per kg feed were just above the margin of the no effect level and for this reason the results of these two treatments are left out of Figure 3. Eggs from hens fed 413 µg/kg had a 25(OH)D₃ content of 2.4 µg/100 g (Terry et al., 1999), therefore this could be considered to be the maximum obtainable level when using 25(OH)D₃ as the only vitamin source. Mattila et al. (2011) fed hens with 122 µg 25(OH)D₃/kg feed during 6 weeks without observing any negative effects on the egg shell quality.

Based on the results presented in Terry et al. (1999) 80 µg/kg was set as the safe level in the scientific opinion from EFSA regarding the use of 25(OH)D₃ in feed (EFSA, 2005).

Three studies used a combination of 25(OH)D₃ and vitamin D₃ (Browning & Cowieson, 2014; Duffy et al., 2017; Mattila et al., 2011); the results are displayed in Figure 4. From the results of Browning & Cowieson (2014) ([2] in Figure 4) it can be seen that increasing the 25(OH)D₃ supplementation while keeping the vitamin D₃ supplementation unchanged will give increased 25(OH)D₃ content in eggs. Feeding a combination of 250 µg vitamin D₃ and 69 µg 25(OH)D₃ results in a 25(OH)D₃ content of 2.7 µg /100 g in the eggs; this exceeds the maximum that can be obtained by feeding 25(OH)D₃ alone.

9. Enhancement using artificial UVB

9.1. Location and content of 7-DHC in hens

The legs and feet of hens have the highest content of 7-DHC when compared to the feathered part of the hens and the comb. Koch & Koch (1941) found that skin from the legs and feet of chicken had approximately 8 times as much 7-DHC compared to the body. Tian, Chen, Lu, Shau, & Holick (1994) measured the content of 7-DHC in various parts of the chicken skin. They found that the 7-DHC content in skin on the legs and feet were 29 and 23 times higher than the content in the back skin. After irradiation with 5000 J/m² no pre-vitamin D₃ was detected in the back skin (Tian et al., 1994). Schutkowski et al. (2013)

found that the 7-DHC concentration in the un-feathered legs was 190 times higher than the concentration in the comb; the lowest concentration was found in the feathered parts of the hen. Edwards (2003) irradiated young chickens with UVB and looked at growth and bone development; he found that exposure from below was more than twice as effective as from above.

Uva, Mandich, & Vallarino (1983) found that vitamin D₃ concentration was 3 times higher in the uropygial gland (an oil gland located just above the base of the tail feathers) than in the unfeathered skin from the legs and concluded that this is the major site for production of vitamin D₃ (from 7-DHC). They also found vitamin D₃ in the extracts of feathers, the origin was hypothesized to be from the gland and that the oil was spread in the act of preening. Tian et al. (1994) found 7-DHC in lipid extracts from feathers; this could originate from the uropygial gland as Uva et al. (1983) hypothesized as the major production site of 7-DHC.

9.2. Effect of exposing hens to UVB from above

It has been shown possible to increase vitamin D in eggs when irradiating hens from above, if the hens do not receive vitamin D through the feed (Chiang, Hwang, & Holick, 1996, 1997). However, Lietzow et al. (2012) irradiated layers, with UVB light in the range of 280-310 nm for 4 weeks from above, with a dose of 540 J/m²/day. Both non-supplemented hens and hens supplemented with 75 µg vitamin D₃/kg feed were exposed. They found no effect of UVB exposure on the content of vitamin D in eggs. However, as no increase was observed in the non-supplemented group, as would have been expected from the trials of Chiang et al. (1996, 1997) and older findings, the dose might have been too low. We have repeated the trial of Lietzow et al. (2012) with a dose of 547 J/m²/day using UVB light emitting diodes (LED) with a central wavelength of 307 ± 2 nm (standard deviation) which should be more optimal for vitamin D₃ production (Barnkob et al., 2016); the outcome was however the same (Argyaki, 2017). We also tried with a dose of 4000 J/m²/day, however, the treatment was stopped after 7 days as changes of the comb was observed and interpreted as erythema; in retrospect the changes could be harmless as free-range hens have more red and stiff comb than hens secluded from sunlight. Eggs collected before UVB exposure had a content of 1.1

µg/100 g while eggs collected after 7 days of exposure, where the treatment was stopped, had a vitamin D₃ content of 1.6 µg/100 g; equilibrium was probably not reached as the content 5 days after ceased exposure was 1.7 µg/100 g (Argyaki, 2017).

9.3. Effect of exposing the legs of hens to UVB

Schutkowski et al. (2013) exposed the legs of hens, supplemented with 75 µg vitamin D₃/kg feed, to a dose of 8208 J/m²/day for 4 weeks; the reported content of vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ is 4,9 µg/100 g and 0,57 µg/100 g respectively. Kühn et al. (2015) irradiated the legs of hens to 7 different doses of UVB between 0-13680 J/m²/day during 4 weeks and showed that vitamin D₃ in the eggs increased non-linearly with dose and at the highest dose the content was 4.5 µg/100 g and it was almost at equilibrium. 25(OH)D₃ content of eggs also increased non-linearly but did not increase beyond a dose of 5472 J/m²/day where equilibrium was reached with a content of 0.6 µg/100g.

10. Vitamin D enhancement of liquid egg products by direct exposure to UVB

Egg yolk contain around 140 µg 7-DHC per 100 g (Kühn, Schutkowski, Kluge, Hirche, & Stangl, 2014); therefore there is a potential that egg yolk can be enhanced with vitamin D by exposing it directly to UVB. In a small pilot study, described in Argyaki (2017), we exposed egg yolk and whole egg mix to UVB light using the UVB-LED with a central wavelength of 296 nm described in Barnkob et al. (2016). Layers of 3-4 mm liquid egg were placed in small weigh boats and then exposed to a dose of 3000 J/m²; the exposure time was approximately 3.5 min. In whole egg the vitamin D₃ content increased from 1.1 to 4.3 µg/100 g and in egg yolk the content increased from 5.2 to 18.9 µg/100 g; close to a factor of 4 in increased content. By adjusting the UVB dose it would be possible to tailor the vitamin D content in liquid egg products. The

penetration depth of UVB at 296 nm is 0.01-0.08 mm (Argyaki, 2017) therefore any practical application would have to be on a very thin film or in a dynamic treatment (e.g. stirring). Dynamic treatment of liquid egg products with an UVC dose of 42000 J/m² obtained in 30 minutes has the same disinfectant properties as heat pasteurization but with only minor changes to the rheological properties (de Souza & Fernández, 2013). It has been shown that UVB is more effective than UVC in inactivating the bacteria *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a trial where the exact same UV-LED as used for UVB exposure of hens in (Argyaki, 2017) was used; a dose of 10,000 J/m² left no viable colonies, and the exposure time was less than 12 minutes (Argyaki, Markvart, Bjørndal, Bjarnsholt, & Petersen, 2017). Thereby, there is potential that a dynamic treatment with UVB, alone or in combination with UVC could produce both safe and vitamin D enriched liquid egg products (yolk and whole egg mix).

11. Discussion on future trends

In Denmark the average intake of eggs per person per day is 25 g (Pedersen et al., 2015), in Ireland it is 16 g (O'Mahony et al., 2011). 10 % of males in Denmark ingest more than 55 g per day (Pedersen et al., 2015).

How effective 25(OH)D₃ is compared to vitamin D₃ in increasing serum 25(OH)D₃ depends on the dose of 25(OH)D₃. The factor between the efficiencies is 1.04 at 5 µg/day, 1.5-5.5 at doses below 25 µg/day and 8 at doses above 50 µg/day (reviewed by Quesada-Gomez & Bouillon (2018), (Jakobsen et al., 2018)). The daily intake of 25(OH)D₃ from food is assumed to be less than 5 µg/day, for this reason we have used factor 1 when calculating the total vitamin D content. Cooking loss and bioavailability have not been included in the calculation of the average intake of vitamin D from eggs.

According to the findings in Section 7 the average total vitamin D content in commercial eggs is 2 µg/100 g (using factor 1 for 25(OH)D₃, see above). The maximum obtainable total vitamin D content in eggs from hens exposed to UVB, directed at the legs (in cages), is 5.1 µg/100 g (see Section 9.3). If eggs in Denmark and Ireland were increased to have a vitamin D content of 5.1 µg/100 g the daily average intake per

person would increase with 0.8 μg and 0.5 μg , respectively (the actual intake will be lower as cooking loss was not included in the calculations). However, implementing UVB-lamps directed at the feet of the hens in a barn will be a challenging task. If placed near the floor the lamps will get dirty and a vast amount of cleaning will be required. In addition, only the hens nearby the lamp will be exposed and the dose each hen receives will be hard to control. If UVB-treatment is to be implemented in barn egg production facilities it will be necessary to develop solutions where the hens are irradiated from above to ensure even exposure and minimisation of costs for both maintenance and cleaning.

The gap between the recommended and the actual vitamin D intake is 3-17 $\mu\text{g/day/person}$ and it therefore might be desirable to increase the vitamin D content of eggs further than what is possible with UVB exposure. There is an inter-trial linear relationship between the vitamin D_3 content in the feed and the content of vitamin D_3 in the egg (see section 8.1) and within the linear range the highest content is 20 $\mu\text{g}/100\text{ g}$ which is achieved with a vitamin D_3 content of 617.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ feed. This level is well within the reported safe level for laying hens (Mattila et al., 2003, 2004; Persia et al., 2013). Feeding vitamin D_3 will also increase the content of $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ in the egg, however the relationship between vitamin D_3 in feed and the $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ is most likely logarithmic with a maximum around 1 $\mu\text{g}/100\text{ g}$ (see Figure 2). The total vitamin D content in eggs from hens supplemented with 617.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ feed would be 21 $\mu\text{g}/100\text{ g}$; such a level would increase of the daily average intake from eggs per person with 4.8 μg in Denmark and 3.0 μg in Ireland. Although this content might be too high, for a single source of vitamin D, it illustrates that it is possible to design the vitamin D_3 content to any desired level through feed.

The use of $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ in feed was legalised in 2009 in Europe, and trials have shown that $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ content of eggs will increase when $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ is added to the feed; however, there is not the same linear inter-trial tendency as observed for vitamin D_3 , and the dose-response is a factor 3 less compared to vitamin D_3 . Also, $25(\text{OH})\text{D}_3$ is more toxic to hens than vitamin D_3 as 413 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ feed is on the margin of being toxic (Terry et al., 1999) whereas no negative effects of vitamin D_3 has been observed with a dose of 2555 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ feed (Yao et al., 2013).

Feeding a combination of 250 µg/kg feed of vitamin D₃ and 69 µg/kg feed of 25(OH)D₃ gives a total vitamin D content of 12.9 µg/100 g (Browning & Cowieson, 2014). To obtain the same level using vitamin D₃ alone would require approximately 378 µg/kg feed.

In order to increase the content of vitamin D in eggs through feed the European legislation has to be changed, as the current limit is 80 µg vitamin D/kg feed. Fortunately, these limits are not set in stone; according to the procedure described in Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 one can send an application with new information to the European Commission (using the application form found in Commission Regulation (EC) No 492/2008) the Commission shall send it to EFSA who shall provide a new opinion. If the opinion is positive it will most likely result in a draft Regulation made by the Standing Committee on Plant, Animals, Food and Feed (PAFF committee - section animal nutrition), on behalf of the European Commission, who will also decide if the draft Regulation should eventually be put into force. The Norwegian Food Safety Authority (NFSA) applied for increasing the maximum limit 20 times, from 75 µg/kg feed to 1.5 mg/kg feed for salmonids and received a positive opinion from EFSA (EFSA, 2017, 2019). The opinion was discussed at a PAFF committee meeting in April 2019 and was voted for with a favourable opinion (Standing Committee on Plant, Animals, 2019) ; and in May 2019 a feeding level of 60000 IU/kg (~ 1.5 mg/kg feed) for salmonids was implemented into the existing regulation (Regulation (EU) 2019/849).

12. Conclusion

If choosing between feed and UVB exposure for natural enhancement of eggs with vitamin D, increasing the vitamin D₃ in the feed seems to give the most predictable and cost-effective results as only the feed has to be changed. In order to use this option in the EU an application must to be submitted to, and approved by, the European Commission; for salmonids the maximum limit was in 2019 increased 20 times upon such application.

A third option is to expose liquid egg products directly to UVB. With this method the vitamin D content can be tailored by adjusting the dose, and in addition the bacterial load is reduced due to the dual effect of

UVB; however further research and development of equipment is needed for this to be implemented and approved as a novel food.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- Ameenuddin, S. (1986). Excessive cholecalciferol in a layers diet: decline in some aspects of reproductive performance and increased bone mineralisation of progeny. *British Poultry Science*, 27(4), 671–677.
- Argyaki, A. (2017). *New light Sources for Biomedical Applications*. Technical University of Denmark (DTU). Retrieved from [http://orbit.dtu.dk/en/publications/new-light-sources-for-biomedical-applications\(4c1e9ea9-6508-4134-ba60-00fd50d1f00d\).html](http://orbit.dtu.dk/en/publications/new-light-sources-for-biomedical-applications(4c1e9ea9-6508-4134-ba60-00fd50d1f00d).html)
- Argyaki, A., Markvart, M., Bjørndal, L., Bjarnsholt, T., & Petersen, P. M. (2017). Inactivation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm after ultraviolet light-emitting diode treatment: a comparative study between ultraviolet C and ultraviolet B. *Journal of Biomedical Optics*, 22(6), 065004. <http://doi.org/10.1117/1.jbo.22.6.065004>
- Barnkob, L. L., Argyaki, A., Petersen, P. M. P. M., & Jakobsen, J. (2016). Investigation of the effect of UV-LED exposure conditions on the production of vitamin D in pig skin. *Food Chemistry*, 212, 386–391. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.05.155>
- BASF. (1998). *Vitamin Supplementation Rates for U.S. Commercial Poultry, Swine and Dairy Cattle, 1997. KC 9305. 2nd Revised Edition*. Retrieved from http://www.advit.co.za/downloads/BASF_KC_9305.pdf
- Bethke, R. M., Kennard, D. C., & Sassaman, H. L. (1927). The fat-soluble vitamin content of hen's egg yolk

- as affected by the ration and management of the layers. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 72, 695–706.
- Bilodeau, L., Dufresne, G., Deeks, J., Clément, G., Bertrand, J., Turcotte, S., ... Fouquet, a. (2011). Determination of vitamin D3 and 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 in foodstuffs by HPLC UV-DAD and LC–MS/MS. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 24(3), 441–448. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2010.08.002>
- Black, L. J., Seamans, K. M., Cashman, K. D., & Kiely, M. (2012). An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Efficacy of Vitamin D Food Fortification. *Journal of Nutrition*, 142(6), 1102–1108. <http://doi.org/10.3945/jn.112.158014>
- Borel, P., Caillaud, D., & Cano, N. J. (2015). Vitamin D Bioavailability: State of the Art. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 55(9), 1193–1205. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2012.688897>
- Branion, H. D., Drake, T. G., & Tisdall, F. F. (1935). The vitamin D content of egg yolk. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 32(1), 9–12.
- Browning, L. C., & Cowieson, A. J. (2014). Vitamin D fortification of eggs for human health. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 94(7), 1389–96. <http://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6425>
- Carson, J. R., & Beall, G. (1955). Absence of Response by Breeder Hens to Ultraviolet Energy. *Poultry Science*, 34(2), 256–262.
- Cashman, K. D. (2015). Vitamin D: dietary requirements and food fortification as a means of helping achieve adequate vitamin D status. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 148, 19–26. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2015.01.023>
- Cashman, K. D., Dowling, K. G., Gonzalez-Gross, M., Valtue, J., Henauw, S. De, Moreno, L., ... Kiely, M. (2016). Vitamin D deficiency in Europe: pandemic? *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 103, 1033–1044. <http://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.115.120873>
- Cashman, K. D., & Kiely, M. (2016). Tackling inadequate vitamin D intakes within the population:

fortification of dairy products with vitamin D may not be enough. *Endocrine*, 51(1), 38–46.

<http://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-015-0711-x>

Chiang, Y. H., Hwang, S. I., & Holick, M. F. (1996). Influence of different time of ultraviolet irradiation on performance and vitamin D-3 metabolism in laying hens. *Korean Journal of Animal Nutrition and Feedstuffs*, 20(6), 497–508.

Chiang, Y. H., Hwang, S. I., & Holick, M. F. (1997). Effect of vitamin D₃ oral dose or ultraviolet irradiation on yolk vitamin D₃, plasma minerals, eggshell thickness and intensity in laying hens. *Korean Journal of Animal Nutrition and Feedstuffs*, 21(6), 475–488.

Chirkin, V. a., Karpov, S. I., Selemenev, V. F., & Shumskiy, N. I. (2013). Determination of fat-soluble vitamins in foods, vitamin and mineral formulations, feed premixes, and blood serum by reversed-phase HPLC. *Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 68(8), 748–753.
<http://doi.org/10.1134/S1061934813080042>

Christakos, S., Dhawan, P., Verstuyf, A., Verlinden, L., & Carmeliet, G. (2016). Vitamin D: Metabolism, Molecular Mechanism of Action, and Pleiotropic Effects. *Physiol Rev*, 96, 365–408.
<http://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00014.2015.-1,25-Dihydrox>

de Souza, P. M., & Fernández, A. (2013). Rheological properties and protein quality of UV-C processed liquid egg products. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 31(1), 127–134.
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2012.05.013>

DeVaney, G. M., Munsell, H. E., & Titus, H. W. (1933). Effect of Sources of Vitamin D on Storage of the Antirachitic Factor in the Egg. *Poultry Science*, 12(4), 215–222. <http://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0120215>

Dimartino, G. (2009). Simultaneous determination of cholecalciferol (vitamin D₃) and ergocalciferol (vitamin D₂) in foods by selected reaction monitoring. *J. AOAC International.*, 92, 511–517.

DTU Food. (2018). Frida Food Data, rel. 3. Retrieved January 10, 2019, from <http://frida.fooddata.dk>

- DTU Library. (n.d.). DTU Findit (DTU version): About DTU Findit. Retrieved April 3, 2019, from
<http://libguides.dtu.dk/c.php?g=654792&p=4600996>
- Duffy, S. K., Rajauria, G., Clarke, L. C., Kelly, A. K., Cashman, K. D., & O'Doherty, J. V. (2017). The potential of cholecalciferol and 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 enriched diets in laying hens, to improve egg vitamin D content and antioxidant availability. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*.
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2017.07.007>
- Dunlop, E., Cunningham, J., Sherriff, J., Lucas, R., Greenfield, H., Arcot, J., ... Black, L. J. (2017). Vitamin D3 and 25-Hydroxyvitamin D3 Content of Retail White Fish and Eggs in Australia. *Nutrients*, 9(7), 647. <http://doi.org/10.3390/nu9070647>
- Edwards, H. M. (2003). Effects of u.v. irradiation of very young chickens on growth and bone development. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 90, 151. <http://doi.org/10.1079/BJN2003860>
- EFSA. (2005). Opinion of the Scientific Panel on additives and products or substances used in animal feed (FEEDAP) on the evaluation of safety and efficacy of "Hy•D" (calcifediol), based on 25-hydroxylcholecalciferol/25-hydroxy-pre-cholecalciferol, as feed additive in. *EFSA Journal*, 3(7), 224. <http://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2005.224>
- EFSA. (2014). Scientific Opinion on the safety and efficacy of vitamin D3 (cholecalciferol) as a feed additive for all animal species or categories based on a dossier submitted by Lohmann Animal Health GmbH. *EFSA Journal*, 12(2), 3568. <http://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3568>
- EFSA. (2017). Safety of vitamin D₃ addition to feedingstuffs for fish. *EFSA Journal*, 15(3). <http://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4713>
- EFSA. (2019). Safety for the environment of vitamin D₃ for salmonids. *EFSA Journal*, 17(1). <http://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5540>
- European Food Safety Authority. (2016). Draft scientific opinion. Scientific opinion on dietary reference

- 493 values for vitamin D. EFSA <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/consultation/160321.pdf>.
- 494 Farrell, F. (1924). Relation of vitamin content of feed and of the use of light to immunity to roup and the
 495 production and hatchability of eggs. *Director's Report 1922-1924 for the Biennium. Agricultural*
 496 *Experiment Station, Kansas State Agricultural College, Manhattan, Kansas*, 113–116.
- 497 Francis G. McDonald, & Massengale, O. N. (1932). The antiricketic potent of eggs from hens receiving
 498 massive doses of activated ergosterol. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 99(1), 79–83.
- 499 Fraser, D. R., & Emtage, J. S. (1976). Vitamin D in the Avian Egg - its molecular identity and mechanism of
 500 incorporation into yolk. *Biochem J*, 160, 671–682.
- 501 Gray, J. (2018). British eggs: Back on the menu for all. *Nutrition Bulletin*, 43(1), 85–92.
 502 <http://doi.org/10.1111/nbu.12310>
- 503 Guerrant, N. B., Kohler, E., Hunter, J. E., & Murphy, R. R. (1935). The Relationship of the Vitamin D Intake
 504 of the Hen to the Antirachitic Potency of the Eggs Produced. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 10(2), 167–178.
- 505 Guo, J., Kliem, K. E., Lovegrove, J. A., & Givens, D. I. (2017). Effect of production system, supermarket
 506 and purchase date on the vitamin D content of eggs at retail. *Food Chemistry*, 221, 1021–1025.
 507 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.11.060>
- 508 Hart, E., Steenbock, H., Lepkovsky, S., Kletzien, S. W. F., Halpin, J. G., & Johnson, O. N. (1925). The
 509 nutritional requirement of the chicken. V. The influence of ultra-violet light on the production,
 510 hatchability, and fertility of the egg. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 65(3), 579–595.
- 511 Hayes, A., Duffy, S., OGrady, M., Jakobsen, J., Galvin, K., Teahan-Dillon, J., ... Cashman, K. D. (2016).
 512 Vitamin D-enhanced eggs are protective of wintertime serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D in a randomized
 513 controlled trial of adults. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 104(3), 629–637.
 514 <http://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.116.132530>
- 515 Hedegaard. (n.d.). Livskraft. Retrieved April 3, 2019, from <http://www.hedegaard-foods.dk/livskraft>

- 516 Hendricks, W. (1931). Effects of cod-liver oil and ultra-violet irradiation, as influenced by oyster shell, in the
517 diet of confined laying hens. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 43, 0517–0535.
- 518 Holick, M. F. (2017). The vitamin D deficiency pandemic: Approaches for diagnosis, treatment and
519 prevention. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord*, 18(2), 153–165. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11154-017-9424-1>
- 520 Holick, M. F., MacLaughlin, J. A., Clark, M. B., Holic, S. A., Potts, T. J., Anderson, R. R., ... Elias, P.
521 (1980). Photosynthesis of Previtamin D₃ in Human Skin And the Physiologic Consequences. *Science*,
522 210(4466), 203–205. <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.6251551>
- 523 Holvik, K., Madar, A. A., Meyer, H. E., Lofthus, C. M., & Stene, L. C. (2007). A randomised comparison of
524 increase in serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration after 4 weeks of daily oral intake of 10 µg
525 cholecalciferol from multivitamin tablets or fish oil capsules in healthy young adults. *British Journal of*
526 *Nutrition*, 98(3), 620–625. <http://doi.org/10.1017/S000711450773074X>
- 527 Hughes, J., & Payne, L. (1924). Influence of ultra-violet light on young laying hens. *Science*, 60(1563), 549–
528 50.
- 529 Hughes, J., Payne, L., Titus, R., & Moore, J. (1925). The relation between the amount of ultraviolet light
530 received by hens and the amount of antirachitic vitamin in the eggs produced. *Journal of Biological*
531 *Chemistry*, 66(2), 595–600.
- 532 Indyk, H. & Wollard, D. (1985). The determination of vitamin D in supplemented milk powders by HPLC II.
533 Incorporation of internal standard. *New Zealand Journal of Dairy Science and Technology*, 20, 19-29.
- 534 Institute of Medicine. (2011). *Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin D and Calcium*. Washington DC: The
535 National Academies Press. <http://doi.org/10.17226/13050>
- 536 Jääskeläinen, T., Itkonen, S. T., Lundqvist, A., Erkkola, M., Koskela, T., Lakkala, K., ... Lamberg-Allardt,
537 C. (2017). The positive impact of general Vitamin D food fortification policy on Vitamin D status in a
538 representative adult Finnish population: Evidence from an 11-y follow-up based on standardized 25-

- 539 hydroxyVitamin D data. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 105(6), 1512–1520.
 540 <http://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.116.151415>
- 541 Jackson, P. A., Shelton, C. J., & Frier, P. J. (1982). High-performance liquid chromatographic determination
 542 of vitamin D3 in foods with particular reference to eggs. *The Analyst*, 107(1280), 1363–1369.
 543 <http://doi.org/10.1039/AN9820701363>
- 544 Jakobsen, J., Andersen, E., Christensen, T., Andersen, R., Bügel, S., Jakobsen, J., ... Bügel, S. (2018).
 545 Vitamin D Vitamers Affect Vitamin D Status Differently in Young Healthy Males. *Nutrients*, 10(1), 12.
 546 <http://doi.org/10.3390/nu10010012>
- 547 Jakobsen, J., & Knuthsen, P. (2014). Stability of vitamin D in foodstuffs during cooking. *Food Chemistry*,
 548 148, 170–175. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.10.043>
- 549 Kawazoe, T., Yuasa, K., Noguchi, K., Yamazaki, M., & Ando, M. (1996). Effect of different sources of
 550 vitamin D on transfer of vitamin D to egg yolk. *Nippon Shokuhin Kagaku Kogaku Kaishi*, 43(4), 444–
 551 450. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3136/nskkk.43.444>
- 552 Kawazoe, T., Yuasa, K., Yamazaki, M., & Ando, M. (1994). Production of Vitamin D-fortified eggs by
 553 feeding vitamin D2-fortified shiitake. *Nippon Shokuhin Kagaku Kogaku Kaishi*, 41(12), 891–896.
 554 <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3136/nskkk1962.41.891>
- 555 Keegan, R. J. H., Lu, Z., Bogusz, J. M., Williams, J. E., & Holick, M. F. (2013). Photobiology of vitamin D
 556 in mushrooms and its bioavailability in humans. *Dermato-Endocrinology*, 5(1), 165–176.
 557 <http://doi.org/10.4161/derm.23321>
- 558 Kiely, M., & Black, L. J. (2012). Dietary strategies to maintain adequacy of circulating 25-Hydroxyvitamin
 559 D concentrations. *Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory*, 72, 14–23.
 560 <http://doi.org/10.3109/00365513.2012.681893>
- 561 Koch, E. M., & Koch, F. C. (1941). The Provitamin D of the Covering Tissues of Chickens. *Poultry Science*,

- 562 20(1), 33–35. <http://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0200033>
- 563 Koshy, K. T., & Van Der Slik, A. L. (1979). High-performance liquid chromatographic method for the
 564 determination of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in chicken egg yolks. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
 565 *Chemistry*, 27(1), 180–183. <http://doi.org/10.1021/jf60221a033>
- 566 Kühn, J., Schutkowski, A., Hirche, F., Baur, A. C., Mielenz, N., & Stangl, G. I. (2015). Non-linear increase
 567 of vitamin D content in eggs from chicks treated with increasing exposure times of ultraviolet light. *The*
 568 *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 148, 7–13.
 569 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2014.10.015>
- 570 Kühn, J., Schutkowski, A., Kluge, H., Hirche, F., & Stangl, G. I. (2014). Free-range farming: A natural
 571 alternative to produce vitamin D-enriched eggs. *Nutrition*, 30(4), 481–484.
 572 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2013.10.002>
- 573 Lehtonen-Veromaa, M., Möttönen, T., Leino, A., Heinonen, O. J., Rautava, E., & Viikari, J. (2008).
 574 Prospective study on food fortification with vitamin D among adolescent females in Finland: minor
 575 effects. *The British Journal of Nutrition*, 100(2), 418–23. <http://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114508894469>
- 576 Lietzow, J., Kluge, H., Brandsch, C., Seeburg, N., Hirche, F., Glomb, M., & Stangl, G. I. (2012). Effect of
 577 short-term UVB exposure on vitamin D concentration of eggs and vitamin D status of laying hens.
 578 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 60(3), 799–804. <http://doi.org/10.1021/jf204273n>
- 579 Liu, J., Greenfield, H., & Fraser, D. R. (2014). An exploratory study of the content of vitamin D compounds
 580 in selected samples of Australian eggs. *Nutrition & Dietetics*, 71(1), 46–50.
 581 <http://doi.org/10.1111/1747-0080.12056>
- 582 MacLaughlin, J. A., Anderson, R. R., & Holick, M. F. (1982). Spectral character of sunlight modulates
 583 photosynthesis of previtamin D₃ and its photoisomers in human skin. *Science*, 216(4549), 1001–1003.
 584 <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.6281884>

- 585 Mattila, P., Piironen, V., Bäckman, C., Asunmaa, A., Uusi-rauva, E., & Koivistoinen, P. (1992).
586 Determination of Vitamin D3 in Egg Yolk by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography with Diode
587 Array Detection. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 5, 281–290.
588 [http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-1575\(92\)90062-O](http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-1575(92)90062-O)
- 589 Mattila, P., Piironen, V., Uusi-rauva, E., & Koivistoinen, P. (1993). Determination of 25-
590 Hydroxycholecalciferol Content in Egg Yolk by HPLC. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 6,
591 250–255. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1006/jfca.1993.1027>
- 592 Mattila, H., Piironen, V.I., Uusi-Rauva, E.J. & Koivistoinen, P.E. (1995). Contents of cholecalciferol,
593 ergocalciferol, and their 25-hydroxylated metabolites in milk products and raw meat and liver as
594 determined by HPLC. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 43, 2394-2399.
- 595 Mattila, P., Lehtikoinen, K., Kiiskinen, T., & Piironen, V. (1999). Cholecalciferol and 25-
596 hydroxycholecalciferol content of chicken egg yolk as affected by the cholecalciferol content of feed.
597 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 47(10), 4089–4092. <http://doi.org/10.1021/jf990183c>
- 598 Mattila, P., Rokka, T., Könkö, K., Valaja, J., Rossow, L., & Ryhänen, E.-L. (2003). Effect of cholecalciferol-
599 enriched hen feed on egg quality. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 51(1), 283–7.
600 <http://doi.org/10.1021/jf020743z>
- 601 Mattila, P., Ronkainen, R., Lehtikoinen, K., & Piironen, V. (1999). Effect of household cooking on the
602 vitamin D content in fish, eggs, and wild mushrooms. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 12,
603 153–160. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1006/jfca.1999.0828>
- 604 Mattila, P., Valaja, J., Rossow, L., Venäläinen, E., & Tupasela, T. (2004). Effect of vitamin D2- and D3-
605 enriched diets on egg vitamin D content, production, and bird condition during an entire production
606 period. *Poultry Science*, 83(3), 433–40. <http://doi.org/10.1093/ps/83.3.433>
- 607 Mattila, P., Valkonen, E., & Valaja, J. (2011). Effect of different vitamin D supplementations in poultry feed
608 on vitamin D content of eggs and chicken meat. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(15),

- 609 8298–303. <http://doi.org/10.1021/jf2012634>
- 610 Maughan, G. H., & Maughan, E. (1933). The vitamin D potency of egg yolk from irradiated hens. *Science*,
611 77(1990), 198. <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.77.1990.198>
- 612 Mejborn, H., Jacobsen, S. M., & Trolle, E. (2011). Æg i kosten og betydningen for sundhed og sygdom.
613 Søborg. Retrieved from <http://www.food.dtu.dk/english/publications/nutrition>
- 614 Nordic Council of Ministers. (2012). Nordic Nutrition Recommendations 2012 : Integrating nutrition and
615 physical activity. Nordisk Ministerråd.
- 616 O'Mahony, L., Stepien, M., Gibney, M. J., Nugent, A. P., & Brennan, L. (2011). The potential role of
617 vitamin D enhanced foods in improving vitamin D status. *Nutrients*, 3(12), 1023–41.
618 <http://doi.org/doi:10.3390/nu3121023>
- 619 O'Neill, C., Kazantzidis, A., Ryan, M., Barber, N., Sempos, C., Durazo-Arvizu, R., ... Cashman, K. D.
620 (2016). Seasonal Changes in Vitamin D-Effective UVB Availability in Europe and Associations with
621 Population Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D. *Nutrients*, 8(9), 533. <http://doi.org/10.3390/nu8090533>
- 622 Ovesen, L., Brot, C., & Jakobsen, J. (2003). Food contents and biological activity of 25-hydroxyvitamin D:
623 A vitamin D metabolite to be reckoned with? *Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism*, 47(3–4), 107–113.
- 624 Park, S. W., Namkung, H., Ahn, H. J., & Paik, I. K. (2005). Enrichment of Vitamins D₃, K and Iron in Eggs
625 of Laying Hens. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 18(2), 226–229.
- 626 Pedersen, A., Christensen, T., Matthiesen, J., Knudsen, V. B., Rosenlund-Sørensen, M., Biloft-Jensen, A.,
627 ... Fagt, S. (2015). *Dietary habits in Denmark 2011-2013. Main results*. Søborg. Retrieved from
628 [http://www.food.dtu.dk/english/Publications/Nutrition/Danish-National-Survey-of-Dietary-Habits-and-](http://www.food.dtu.dk/english/Publications/Nutrition/Danish-National-Survey-of-Dietary-Habits-and-Physical-Activity)
629 [Physical-Activity](http://www.food.dtu.dk/english/Publications/Nutrition/Danish-National-Survey-of-Dietary-Habits-and-Physical-Activity)
- 630 Persia, M. E., Higgins, M., Wang, T., Trample, D., & Bobeck, E. a. (2013). Effects of long-term
631 supplementation of laying hens with high concentrations of cholecalciferol on performance and egg

quality. *Poultry Science*, 92(11), 2930–7. <http://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2013-03243>

Plaimast, H., Kijparkorn, S., & Ittitanawong, P. (2015). Effects of vitamin D₃ and calcium on productive performance, egg quality and vitamin D₃ content in egg of second production cycle hens. *Thai Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 45(2), 189–195. <http://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-635X2011000300005>

PHE. (2015). McCance and Widdowson's 'composition of foods integrated dataset' on the nutrient content of the UK food supply. Retrieved from <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/composition-of-foods-integrated-dataset-cofid>

Quesada-Gomez, J. M., & Bouillon, R. (2018). Is calcifediol better than cholecalciferol for vitamin D supplementation? *Osteoporosis International*, 29(8), 1697–1711. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-018-4520-y>

Reboul, E. (2015). Intestinal absorption of vitamin D: from the meal to the enterocyte. *Food & Function*, 6, 356–362. <http://doi.org/10.1039/c4fo00579a>

Schutkowski, A., Krämer, J., Kluge, H., Hirche, F., Krombholz, A., Theumer, T., & Stangl, G. I. (2013). UVB exposure of farm animals: study on a food-based strategy to bridge the gap between current vitamin D intakes and dietary targets. *PloS One*, 8(7), e69418.

Sivell, L. M., Wenlock, R. W., & Jackson, P. A. (1982). Determination of vitamin D and retinoid activity in eggs by HPLC. *Human Nutrition. Applied Nutrition*, 36(6), 430–7.

Standing Committee on Plant, Animals, F. and F. S. A. N. (2019). *Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed Section Animal Nutrition. 09-11 April 2019. Agenda*. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/food/animals/committees/sc_animal-nutrition_en

Sunde, M. L., Turk, C. M. & DeLuca, H. F. (1978). The Essentiality of Vitamin D Metabolites for Embryonic Chick Development. *Science, New Series*, 200, 1067-1069

Świątkiewicz, S., Arczewska-Włosek, A., Bederska-Lojewska, D., & Józefiak, D. (2017). Efficacy of dietary

- 655 vitamin D and its metabolites in poultry - review and implications of the recent studies. *World's*
 656 *Poultry Science Journal*, 73(01), 57–68. <http://doi.org/10.1017/S0043933916001057>
- 657 Takeuchi, A., Okano, T., Teraoka, S., Murakami, Y., & Kobayashi, T. (1984). High-performance Liquid
 658 Chromatographic Determination of vitamin D in Foods, Feeds, and Pharmaceuticals by Successive Use
 659 of Reversed-Phase and Straight-Phase Columns. *Journal of Nutritional Science and Vitaminology*,
 660 30(1), 11–25.
- 661 Terry, M., Lanenga, M., McNaughton, J. L., & Stark, L. E. (1999). Safety of 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ as a
 662 source of vitamin D₃ in layer poultry feed. *Veterinary and Human Toxicology*, 41(5), 312–6.
- 663 Tian, X. Q., Chen, T. C., Lu, Z., Shau, Q., & Holick, M. F. (1994). Characterization of the translocation
 664 process of vitamin D₃ from the skin into the circulation. *Endocrinology*, 135(2), 655–661.
- 665 Torbergesen, A. C., Watne, L. O., Wyller, T. B., Frihagen, F., Strømsøe, K., Bøhmer, T., & Mowe, M. (2015).
 666 Vitamin K1 and 25(OH)D are independently and synergistically associated with a risk for hip fracture
 667 in an elderly population: A case control study. *Clinical Nutrition*, 34(1), 101–106.
 668 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2014.01.016>
- 669 USDA. (2019). FoodData Central. Eggs, grade A, large, egg whole (foundation, 748967). Retrieved May 4,
 670 2020, from <https://fdc.nal.usda.gov/index.html>
- 671 Uva, B., Mandich, A., & Vallarino, M. (1983). The site of 7-dehydrocholesterol ultraviolet photolysis in
 672 domestic fowls. *Acta Histochemica*, 73(2), 175–180.
- 673 Webb, A. R. (2006). Who, what, where and when-influences on cutaneous vitamin D synthesis. *Progress in*
 674 *Biophysics and Molecular Biology*, 92(1), 17–25. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2006.02.004>
- 675 Webb, A. R., DeCosta, B. R., & Holick, M. F. (1989). Sunlight regulates the cutaneous production of
 676 vitamin D₃ by causing its photodegradation. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 68(5),
 677 882–887. <http://doi.org/10.1210/jcem-68-5-882>

- 678 Webb, A. R., Kline, L., & Holick, M. F. (1988). Influence of season and latitude on the cutaneous synthesis
679 of vitamin D₃: exposure to winter sunlight in Boston and Edmonton will not promote vitamin D₃
680 synthesis in human skin. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 67(2), 373–8.
- 681 Whiting, S. J., Green, T. J., & Calvo, M. S. (2007). Vitamin D intakes in North America and Asia-Pacific
682 countries are not sufficient to prevent vitamin D insufficiency. *The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and*
683 *Molecular Biology*, 103(3–5), 626–30. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2006.12.067>
- 684 Yao, L., Wang, T., Persia, M., Horst, R. L., & Higgins, M. (2013). Effects of vitamin D₃-enriched diet on
685 egg yolk vitamin D₃ content and yolk quality. *Journal of Food Science*, 78(2), C178-83.
686 <http://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.12032>
- 687 Zang, H., Zhang, K., Ding, X., Bai, S., Hernández, J. M., & Yao, B. (2011). Effects of Different Dietary
688 Vitamin Combinations on the Egg Quality and Vitamin deposition in the Whole Egg of Laying Hens.
689 *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science*, 13(3), 189–196. [http://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-](http://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-635X2011000300005)
690 [635X2011000300005](http://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-635X2011000300005)

693 **Figure captions**

694 **Figure 1.** Vitamin D₃ content in eggs as a function of vitamin D₃ content in feed

695

696 **Figure 2.** 25(OH)D₃ content in eggs as a function of vitamin D₃ content in feed. Full black line is exponential
697 regression while dotted line shows linear regression of the data.

698

699 **Figure 3.** 25(OH)D₃ content in eggs as a function of 25(OH)D₃ content in feed.

700

701 **Figure 4.** 25(OH)D₃ content in eggs from hens fed 25(OH)D₃ in combination with vitamin D₃. [1] Mattila et al. (2011);
702 [2] Browning & Cowieson (2014); [3] Duffy et al. (2017).

Table 1. Average content of vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ in commercial whole eggs

Origin	Vitamin D ₃ (µg/100g)	25(OH)D ₃ (µg/100g)	Reference
England	1.6	-	(Jackson, Shelton, & Frier, 1982) ^b
England	1.1	-	(Sivell, Wenlock, & Jackson, 1982)
Japan	1.3	-	(Takeuchi, Okano, Teraoka, Murakami, & Kobayashi, 1984)
Finland	1.7	0.32	(Mattila et al., 1992; Mattila, Piironen, Uusi-rauva, & Koivistoinen, 1993)
Canada	0.92	0.36	(Bilodeau et al., 2011) ^a
Finland	1.4	0.38	(Mattila et al., 2011)
USA	2.46	0.56	(USDA, 2019) ^a
Russia	2.2	-	(Chirkin, Karpov, Selemenev, & Shumskiy, 2013)
Australia	0.83	0.92	(Liu et al., 2014)
UK	2.5	0.13	(PHE, 2015) ^a
Ireland	1.1	1.0	(Hayes et al., 2016)
England	1.7	0.5	(Guo, Kliem, Lovegrove, & Givens, 2017) ^b
Australia	0.95	0.9	(Dunlop et al., 2017) ^b
Denmark	1.37	0.44	(DTU Food, 2018) ^{a,b}
Average (SD)	1.5 (±0.6)	0.6 (±0.3)	

SD = Standard deviation

- = not analysed

^a Food composition table or data produced for a food composition table^b calculated average of results from free range, indoor and organic hens

Highlights

- Three methods to produce eggs naturally enhanced with vitamin D was reviewed
- There is a linear inter-trial relationship between vitamin D₃ in feed and vitamin D₃ content in egg
- Feed is superior to UVB irradiation in regards to vitamin D enhancement in eggs
- Egg yolk can be vitamin D enhanced by direct exposure to UVB radiation
- Naturally enhanced eggs can contribute to increased vitamin D intake in the general population