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Abstract

Heterogeneity and macroscopic anisotropy of porous media play an important role for dilution and reaction enhancement of conservative and reactive plumes. In this study, we perform numerical simulations to investigate steady-state flow and transport in three-dimensional heterogeneous porous media. We consider two macroscopic anisotropic inclusions resulting in helical flows with twisting streamlines in a 3-D flow-through domain. The inclusions are obtained by alternating two layers of angled slices of coarse and fine porous media with different hydraulic conductivity. We investigate flow and transport scenarios considering different geometry and relative position of the two anisotropic inclusions yielding helical flow fields with different extent of interaction. We use metrics of stretching and folding to characterize the flow field and entropy-based metrics for the analysis of the conservative and reactive transport problems. The outcomes show that the two helixes result in different patterns of twisting streamlines, which cause distinct deformation of the plumes. However, mixing and reaction enhancement could not be directly related to the extent of the flow field deformation: configurations with strong deformation can result in only moderate mixing enhancement, whereas configurations with limited deformation of the flow field can lead to significant mixing of the solute plume. Finally, we explore the impact of different degradation rates on reactive transport and the role of reaction kinetics on the entropy balance for a reactant undergoing transport and mixing-controlled degradation in the twisting flow fields. The results show that strong mixing enhancement due to helical flow increases the importance of the reaction kinetics that becomes the rate-limiting process for solute reactive transport.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The physics of mixing processes in fluids and their interactions with chemical and biological reactions are of paramount importance in both natural and engineered systems [1-9]. The investigation of these phenomena is a key focus of many scientific disciplines including fluid mechanics, chemical engineering, geochemistry, water treatment, reservoir engineering, as well as subsurface and contaminant hydrology. Whereas in many applications entailing turbulent flows mixing is very effective, in porous media such as packed bed reactors and geologic formations mixing is determined by slow diffusive processes and often represents the rate-limiting step for chemical transformation and element cycling. A large number of studies investigated mixing processes in porous media with particular attention on the role of geologic heterogeneity on solute transport and mixing enhancement [10-20]. Mixing processes are crucial in many subsurface applications including contaminant transport and (bio)degradation, mineral precipitation and dissolution, viscous fingering, density-driven convection, groundwater/surface water interaction [21-28]. Most studies of mixing in heterogeneous porous media have been performed in 2-D setups including quasi two-dimensional flow-through experiments and detailed 2-D numerical simulations [12,29-33], whereas fewer contributions have investigated mixing in fully 3-D systems [34-44]. Complex flows can develop in fully three-dimensional anisotropic porous media, entailing whirling and twisting streamlines [35,45-52]. Such 3-D flow fields can cause significant deformation of dissolved solute plumes and ultimately result in considerable mixing enhancement. Recent contributions have provided experimental evidence of helical flow in macroscopically anisotropic porous media and quantified the impact of twisting flow on plume dilution in 3-D flow-through setups [53,54].

In this study we investigate streamlines deformation, plume dilution and reactive mixing in presence of interacting helical flows. We perform numerical simulations in fully three-dimensional setups with embedded macroscopic anisotropic inclusions causing helical flow and
twisting streamlines. We consider scenarios with different location of the inclusions, orientation
of the induced secondary motion, and different extent of interaction between the helical flows.
We quantify streamline deformation, plume dilution and its enhancement due to the complex
3-D flow fields. We also investigate reactive mixing and we explore the impact of reaction
kinetics on the overall transport regime and on its physical mixing and/or (bio)chemical reaction
limitation.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

In this work, we performed steady-state flow and conservative and reactive transport simulations in a fully three-dimensional domain with dimensions 1.5 m \( \times \) 0.63 m \( \times \) 0.63 m (Fig. 1). Two macroscopic anisotropic structures 0.51 m \( \times \) 0.11 m \( \times \) 0.11 m (length \( \times \) width \( \times \) height) were embedded in the 3-D domain. 49 injection and extraction cells were used to simulate constant flow boundary conditions at the inlet and outlet surfaces of the flow-through system. No-flow was imposed at the other boundaries. The average seepage velocity used in the simulations was 3 m/d. For the study of conservative transport, a tracer was injected continuously through the central injection cell. In the reactive cases, we studied a bimolecular reaction of the form \( A + B \rightarrow C \), representing a redox reaction between a dissolved organic compound and a dissolved electron acceptor. We consider both instantaneous and double Monod kinetics. In case of instantaneous kinetics the overall transformation is mixing-controlled, whereas in case of double Monod formulation a range of mixing- and kinetically-controlled conditions can be explored. Reactant \( A \) was injected continuously through the central port at the inlet whereas the reactant \( B \) was introduced parallel to \( A \) within the ambient pore water solution.
FIG. 1. Schematic of the 3-D setup with two in-line macroscopic anisotropic inclusions. The bottom image shows a plan view of the two layers (Y yellow and C cyan) in one of the inclusions: colored slices represent zones of high hydraulic conductivity whereas white slices represent zones of low hydraulic conductivity.

Two anisotropic inclusions were inserted in an otherwise homogeneous matrix considering a total of 16 parallel/sequential configurations. The hydraulic conductivity of the matrix was $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ m/s. Each inclusion was constructed with two layers alternating angled slices of high and low hydraulic conductivity representing coarse and fine porous media. Such configuration was inspired from herringbone cross-stratification observed in subsurface sedimentary depositional environments [55,56] and also used in microfluidic devices [57]. Figure 1 shows the arrangement of the porous media in two different layers, i.e., Layer Y and Layer C. Colored slices represent the coarse medium with higher hydraulic conductivity (i.e., $3.0625 \times 10^{-2}$ m/s), whereas white slices represent the less permeable medium with the same hydraulic conductivity.
as the matrix. The grain diameter was computed applying the equation proposed by Hazen [58], i.e., \( K = (C d)^2 \), where \( K \) [LT\(^{-1}\)] is the hydraulic conductivity, \( d \) [L] is the grain diameter, and the proportionality constant \( C = 100 \text{ m}^{0.5} \text{s}^{-0.5} \) [58]. The grain diameters were 0.5 mm and 1.75 mm for the fine and the coarse medium, respectively, and such grain sizes were used in previous experimental studies [53,54]. Porosity was set to 0.4 for both fine and coarse materials as observed in previous experimental studies [54,59]. The angle between the slices and the longitudinal direction was set to 22.5° and spacing between two slices in both layers was set to 1 cm, since these parameters were found optimal for dilution and reactive mixing [43].

The position and the geometry of the two inclusions in the different configurations are shown in Figs. 2-3. Figure 2 shows the \( y-z \) cross-section of the 8 configurations in which the heterogeneous anisotropic inclusions are deployed in parallel. Figure 3 shows \( x-z \) cross-sections for the other 8 configurations in which the inclusions are embedded in series. In the 8 scenarios illustrated in Fig. 2, the starting point of the inclusions was 0.2 m from the inlet along the longitudinal direction. In \( y \) and \( z \) directions (i.e., transverse horizontal and vertical directions), four different relative positions between the two inclusions were selected. Simultaneously, two different geometries were constructed by exchanging the sequences of the layers in the inclusions, thus creating different combinations of helical flows. The notation S represents the setups with inclusions having the same order of the layers (i.e., Layer Y above Layer C in both inclusions) and resulting in helical flow with same rotation, whereas the notation O denotes the setups with an opposite arrangement of Layer Y and Layer C between the two inclusions, thus, resulting in helixes with opposite rotation. In Cases S1 and O1, the two inclusions were inserted at the center of the \( y-z \) cross-section and they were connected to each other on one side. The two inclusions were shifted in \( z \) direction and they reached a distance of one and two layers height for Cases S2 and O2 and Cases S3 and O3, respectively. In Cases S4 and O4, a spacing of 0.05 m was present between the two inclusions in the \( y \) direction.
FIG. 2. Configurations with the two inclusions in parallel, shown as y-z cross-sections: yellow rectangles represent layers structured as Layer Y and cyan rectangles represent layers structured as Layer C.

Figure 3 shows the 8 configurations with the inclusions in series, aligned with the central injection cell. The first inclusion started at 0.2 m in the x direction for Cases S5 and O5 and Cases S7 and O7, and it was shifted 0.1 m ahead for the other cases. For Cases S5, O5, S6, and O6 the two inclusions were connected; whereas in Cases S7, O7, S8, and O8 there was a spacing of 0.1 m between the two inclusions.
FIG. 3. Configurations with inclusions in series, shown as x-z cross-sections: yellow rectangles represent layers structured as Layer Y and cyan rectangles represent layers structured as Layer C.

**A. Governing equations**

Steady-state flow and transport equations were the governing equations describing the processes occurring in the three-dimensional flow-through system. The flow problem was solved by combining the continuity equation and Darcy’s law:

$$ \nabla \cdot (-K \nabla \varphi) = 0 $$

(1)

where $K$ [LT$^{-1}$] is the hydraulic conductivity tensor and $\varphi$ [L] is the hydraulic head. Notice that although the porous medium is locally homogeneous and isotropic, at scales larger than the size of the layers it is characterized by an anisotropic heterogeneous hydraulic conductivity.

Transport was described by the advection-dispersion-reaction equation:
\[ \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c_i - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D} \nabla c_i) = r_i \]  

(2)

where \( \mathbf{v} \) [LT\(^{-1}\)] is the seepage velocity vector, \( c_i \) [ML\(^{-3}\)] is the concentration of species \( i \), \( \mathbf{D} \) [L\(^2\)T\(^{-1}\)] is the hydrodynamic dispersion tensor, and \( r_i \) [ML\(^{-3}\)T\(^{-1}\)] is the reaction rate of species \( i \). The seepage velocity \( \mathbf{v} \) equals the specific discharge \( q \) [LT\(^{-1}\)] divided by the porosity \( \theta \) [-]. In steady-state transport of a continuously emitted plume, the longitudinal dispersion term is of negligible importance compared to the transverse dispersion term that controls mixing of the plume with the surrounding groundwater [60]. The transverse dispersion coefficient \( D_t \) [L\(^2\)T\(^{-1}\)] was described with a nonlinear compound-specific parameterization from previous experimental and pore-scale modeling investigation [31,61]:

\[
D_t = D_p + D_{aq} \left( \frac{Pe^2}{Pe + 2 + 4\delta^2} \right)^\beta 
\]

(3)

where \( D_p = \theta D_{aq} \) [L\(^2\)T\(^{-1}\)] is the pore diffusion coefficient, \( D_{aq} \) [L\(^2\)T\(^{-1}\)] is the aqueous molecular diffusion coefficient, \( Pe = \nu d / D_{aq} \) [-] is the grain Péclet number, \( \nu \) [LT\(^{-1}\)] is the magnitude of the seepage velocity, \( \delta \) [-] is the ratio between the length of a pore channel and its hydraulic radius, and \( \beta \) [-] is an empirical exponent that accounts for the degree of incomplete mixing within the pore channels. In case of conservative transport, the reactive term equals zero. In the reactive transport simulations, we considered the mixing-controlled reaction between the species \( A \) and \( B \) that can react when they come in contact by mixing at the fringes of the injected plume of \( A \).

We considered both instantaneous and double Monod reaction kinetics. For instantaneous reactions, the reactants \( A \) and \( B \) cannot coexist and the reactant which is not in excess is always at 0 concentration (i.e., \( c_A c_B = 0 \), where \( c_A \) [ML\(^{-3}\)] and \( c_B \) [ML\(^{-3}\)] are the concentrations of reactants \( A \) and \( B \) [62,63]). The double Monod kinetics describes typical microbially-mediated reactions in which the reaction rate is coupled to the biomass growth:

\[
r_i = - \frac{\mu_{max}}{Y} \frac{c_A}{K_A + c_A} \frac{c_B}{K_B + c_B} c_{bio}
\]

(4)
where $\mu_{\text{max}} \, [T^{-1}]$ is the maximum specific growth rate, $Y \, [-]$ is the specific yield coefficient, $K_A \, [\text{ML}^{-3}]$ and $K_B \, [\text{ML}^{-3}]$ are the half-saturation constants, and $c_{\text{bio}} \, [\text{ML}^{-3}]$ is the concentration of the microorganisms that mediate the redox reaction. Fast, intermediate, and slow double Monod kinetics were tested by varying $\mu_{\text{max}}$ by two orders of magnitude. The biomass does not change with time under steady state conditions, resulting from the dynamic balance between the growth and the linear decay terms:

$$
\mu_{\text{max}} \, \frac{c_A}{K_A + c_A} \, \frac{c_B}{K_B + c_B} \, c_{\text{bio}} - k_{\text{dec}} \, c_{\text{bio}} = 0 \quad (5)
$$

where $k_{\text{dec}} \, [T^{-1}]$ is the biomass decay coefficient.

In the reactive transport scenarios, a virtual conservative compound $X \, [-]$ was used to simplify the solution of the transport problem [62]. $X$ is defined as the mixing ratio, which quantifies the volumetric ratio of the source-related water in the mixture with the ambient solution. A critical mixing ratio is defined at the fringe of the plume at which the concentrations of reactants $A$ and $B$ are in the stoichiometric ratio of the reaction:

$$
X_{\text{crit}} = \frac{c_B^{\text{amb}}}{c_B^{\text{amb}} + c_A^{\text{in}}}
$$

At the locations where $X = X_{\text{crit}}$, both reactants have a zero concentration. The concentrations of the reactants and the products can be computed analytically from the mixing ratio [62]. Note that the application of this analytical approach requires an identical $D_t$ value for all transported species. For instantaneous reactions of $A + B \rightarrow C$, the concentration of reactant $A$ can be computed as:

$$
c_A = \begin{cases} 
X c_A^{\text{in}} - (1 - X) c_B^{\text{amb}} & X \geq X_{\text{crit}} \\
0 & X \leq X_{\text{crit}}
\end{cases} \quad (7)
$$

where $c_A^{\text{in}} \, [\text{ML}^{-3}]$ is the concentration of species $A$ at the inlet source and $c_B^{\text{amb}} \, [\text{ML}^{-3}]$ is the concentration of species $B$ in the inlet ambient water. For double Monod reaction kinetics
and considering the biomass at steady state, the concentration of reactant $A$ is computed as [62]:

$$c_A = Xc_A^{in} + p_1 + \sqrt{p_1^2 - 4p_0p_2} \over 2p_2$$

(8)

where $p_0$, $p_1$ and $p_2$ are:

$$p_0 = Xc_A^{in}(1-X)c_B^{amb} - {k_{dec} \over \mu_{max}}(K_A + Xc_A^{in})[K_B + (1-X)c_B^{amb}]$$

(9)

$$p_1 = {k_{dec} \over \mu_{max}}[K_A + Xc_A^{in} + K_B + (1-X)c_B^{amb}] - [Xc_A^{in} + (1-X)c_B^{amb}]$$

(10)

$$p_2 = 1 - {k_{dec} \over \mu_{max}}$$

(11)

Flow and transport in the three-dimensional setup were solved using the numerical approach proposed by Cirpka et al. [64] that can be summarized in the following main steps: (i) generation of heterogeneous anisotropic conductivity fields in a regular grid, (ii) solution of the flow problem with a finite element scheme, (iii) construction of streamline-oriented grids by particle tracking, (iv) placement of nodes along the streamlines in regularly spaced observation planes orthogonal to the mean direction of flow and construction of Voronoi polygons, (v) simulation of steady-state solute transport on the irregular streamline-oriented grid with a finite volume approach. This approach has the advantage to minimize numerical dispersion compared to conventional methods solving solute transport on fixed Cartesian grids [64]. The 3-D flow-through system was discretized into 4,762,800 cells with cell size of 0.005 m in each direction. All the parameters used in the numerical simulations are listed in Table 1.
Table 1. Summary of flow, transport and reaction parameters used in the model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{fine medium}}$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m/s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{coarse medium}}$</td>
<td>$3.0625 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m/s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>$0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{eq}}$</td>
<td>$4.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{\text{in Eq. (3)}}$</td>
<td>$5.37$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{\text{in Eq. (3)}}$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$0.5, 5, 50/\text{d}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\text{dec}}$</td>
<td>$0.1/\text{d}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{A}^w$</td>
<td>$0.07$ to $0.7, 1 \text{ mmol/L}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{A}^\text{amb}$</td>
<td>$0.7 \text{ mmol/L}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{A}^c$</td>
<td>$8.33 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mmol/L}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{B}^c$</td>
<td>$3.13 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mmol/L}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{n}$</td>
<td>$r_0 \cos(2\pi n/N), r_0 \sin(2\pi n/N)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aValue for fluorescein species [65,66]
bFrom [16].
cFrom [62].

B. Metrics of streamlines deformation and mixing

The metrics of stretching and folding have been proposed to characterize kinematic properties of complex flow fields [35,40,67,68]. In this study, we calculate these two metrics to quantify the effects of the heterogeneous anisotropic inclusions and their different configurations on the helical flow fields induced in the three-dimensional setup.

Stretching (indicated as $A^2$ [-]) quantifies the increase of the length of an interface and it is defined as the normalized $L_2$-norm squared of the affine deformation of the streamlines:

$$A^2(x, \Delta x) = \frac{1}{r_0^2 N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| \text{Ad}^n(x) \right\|_2^2$$

(12)

where $x$ [L] is the vector of the spatial coordinates, $\Delta x$ [L] is the space interval along the $x$ direction, $N$ denotes the number of streamlines adopted at the inlet of the flow-through system which uniformly form a circle and each of the $N$ streamlines has an initial distance of $r_0$ [L] from the central reference streamline at the inlet, $d^n$ [L] is the distance of the central streamline from one of the surrounding streamlines forming the cluster, $n$ is the index of the $N$ streamlines, and $\text{A}$ is the affine deformation matrix. Therefore, at the inlet, $d^n(0)$ can be written as $(r_0 \cos(2\pi n/N), r_0 \sin(2\pi n/N))$. Detailed explanation can be found in Chiogna et al. [35]. A is defined as:
\[ A = WJ^{-1}I \]  

(13)

where \( I \) is the identity matrix, \( W \) and \( J \) are defined as:

\[ W = \sum_{n=1}^{N} d^n(x) \otimes d^n(x + \Delta x) \]  

(14)

\[ J = \sum_{n=1}^{N} d^n(x) \otimes d^n(x) \]  

(15)

The symbol \( \otimes \) denotes the matrix product. Extensive discussion of the two metrics has been provided in previous studies [35, 67, 69].

Folding (indicated as \( D^2 \) [-]) represents the bending of the interface and it is defined as the normalized L_2-norm squared of the non-affine part of the streamlines deformation:

\[ D^2(x, \Delta x) = \frac{1}{r_0^2 N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| d^n(x + \Delta x) - (A + I)d^n(x) \right\|_2^2 \]  

(16)

Entropy-based metrics were computed to quantify dilution and reactive mixing. In particular, the flux-related dilution index \( E_Q \) [L^3T^{-1}], physically representing the volumetric flux transporting the solute mass flux at a given cross section along the main flow direction [10], was calculated for the different scenarios:

\[ E_Q(x) = \exp \left[ -\int_\Omega \left( p_q(x) \ln p_q(x) \right) q_x(x) d\Omega \right] \]  

(17)

where \( p_q = \frac{c}{\int_\Omega cq_x d\Omega} \) [TL^{-3}] is the flux-weighted probability density function of solute mass, \( c \) [ML^{-3}] is the concentration, \( q_x \) [LT^{-1}] is the specific discharge in the \( x \) direction, and \( \Omega \) is the cross-section perpendicular to the \( x \) direction. For conservative transport and considering a continuously injected plume, \( E_Q \) is a monotonically increasing function with respect to the travel distance and quantifies the increased dilution of the plume due to the transverse diffusive/dispersive fluxes. This metric was later applied to study reactive transport [70, 71] and its value measures the interplay between dilution and reactive mixing. In fact, the development
of $E_Q$ is determined by the balance between a positive source term due to dilution and a negative sink term due to reaction in the governing transport equation of the entropy density [70]:

$$
\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla (-p_0 \ln p_0) - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{D} \nabla (-p_0 \ln p_0)) = \frac{1}{p_0} \nabla p_0^T \mathbf{D} \nabla p_0 - (1 + p_0) r^* 
$$

(18)

where the reactive term $r^*$ is defined as:

$$
r^* = \left( \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial c} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial c^2} \nabla c^T \mathbf{D} \nabla c \right) r
$$

(19)

where $r$ [ML$^{-3}$T$^{-1}$] is the reaction rate. Therefore, an increase of the reactant’s entropy corresponds to dilution-dominated transport whereas a decrease occurs when the reactive terms dominates the entropy density balance. The spatial derivative of the natural logarithm of $E_Q$ (i.e., $d \ln(E_Q)/dx$) is an intuitive metric that facilitates the evaluation of the complex interplay between dilution and reactive mixing: when this metric is positive, dilution dominates, whereas when the derivative of $\ln(E_Q)$ is negative, the reactive process is predominant.

Another intuitive metric to characterize reactive transport, particularly in case of instantaneous reaction kinetics, is the plume length, $L$ [L]. It is defined as the minimal distance from the source at which species $A$ is completely consumed within the entire cross-section and quantifies the length of the continuously injected plume of species $A$. Simple analytical expressions have been proposed to compute the plume length in homogeneous isotropic porous media both in 2-D [72] and in 3-D [54]. In this study, we use the numerical results to quantify and compare the reactive plume length for all 16 configurations of interacting helical flows in the 3-D domain.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Helical flow

The complex heterogeneous velocity fields entailing interacting helical flows can be visualized
in Fig. 4, which shows the transverse horizontal and vertical mean specific discharge components along the planes normal to the direction $x$. Note that the $y$-$z$ cross-sections shown in Fig. 4 are not the complete cross-sections of the 3-D setup but represent a smaller portion of the cross-section comprising the heterogeneous and anisotropic inclusions. The flow is focused in the high-permeability zones in the inclusions and secondary motions of the flow velocity are induced by the arrangement of the porous media in the two layers (Fig. 1). This causes helical flows in the three-dimensional flow-through setup. The helical direction is dependent on the geometry of the anisotropic inclusion: in the cases with Layer Y above Layer C a counterclockwise helix is generated, whereas the inclusion with Layer Y below Layer C results in a clockwise helix. Cases S5-S8 show that also in the configurations with the two inclusions in series, the flow field preserves a mean helical motion when the anisotropic inclusions induce rotation in the same direction. Conversely, cases O5-O8 show that if two consecutive inclusions lead to rotations in opposite direction, then the mean flow field does not preserve a distinct helical motion.
FIG. 4. Mean flow velocity at y-z cross-sections: the red dots represent the injection points, the blue arrows represent the specific discharge vectors, and the black boxes with colored shading indicate the position of the inclusions.

Stretching and folding were computed according to Eqs. (12) and (16) considering the entire cross section of the flow-through system and Fig. 5 shows their variability as a function of the coordinate x along the main flow direction. Four radii (i.e., \( r_0 = 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04 \) m) for initial particle location were considered to analyze the sensitivity of the results to this parameter.
In all cases, 36 particles per circle were used to obtain statistically significant results [67]. The helical flow caused by the structure and configuration of the inclusions leads to the variation of the values of the two kinematic quantities $<A^2>$ and $<D^2>$. On the contrary, the plots show that the values of $<A^2>$ and $<D^2>$ are the lowest and remain constant when the porous medium is homogeneous and the streamlines are straight. While the absolute value of $<A^2>$ and $<D^2>$ are dependent on the choice of the initial radius, the behavior of stretching and folding shows consistent patterns in the different cases. A similar behavior of stretching and folding was observed. This is due to the fact that the flow deformation occurs over a small portion of the domain occupied by the heterogeneous anisotropic inclusions. For the configuration S1-O4 (in parallel) only a length of 51 cm in the domain is affected by flow deformation, leading to a similar evolution of stretching and folding. In cases S5-O8 (in series) the differences are enhanced since the flow deformation occurs over a longer portion of the domain. Specifically, cases S5, S6, S7 and S8 display larger folding due to the same rotation of the streamlines in the flow field, whereas cases O5, O6, O7 and O8 show a decrease of stretching and folding in the second inclusion due to the opposite rotation of the helical flow. In such configurations (O5-O8) stretching and folding at the outlet reach the same initial values of the inlet. This shows that stretching and folding are reversible processes, contrary to mixing that is inherently irreversible. Such fundamental difference between the non-monotonic behavior of these kinematic descriptors of the flow field compared to monotonically increasing descriptor of mixing for conservative transport leads to a difficult interpretation of the kinematic results as predictors for mixing. Moreover, stretching and folding describe the properties of the entire flow field, while mixing processes concern the concentration field and are controlled by local diffusive/dispersive processes at the interface of the dissolved plume.
FIG. 5. Mean values of $A^2$ (a-d) and $D^2$ (e-f) along the mean travel distance considering all 16 configurations and four different radii ($r_0$) of initial location of the particles.

B. Conservative transport

The results of three-dimensional conservative transport for two selected configurations (Case O1 and Case S5) are shown in Fig. 6. Plumes injected at the center of the inlet cross-section are significantly deformed by the complex flow field and the concentration decreases rapidly when the plume enters the heterogeneous anisotropic inclusions. The deformation of the plume occurs only within the inclusions, as predicted by the stretching and folding results. The deformed plumes are remarkably different in cases O1 and S5: the former does not display a peak in the middle of the cross section but has a ring shape, whereas the latter maintains decreasing concentration from the core of the plume towards the outside.
FIG. 6. Three-dimensional conservative plumes for Case O1 (a) and Case S5 (b). The colors represent the concentration of the conservative solute normalized by the initial value at the inlet.

The concentration distributions at the outlet cross-section of the three-dimensional flow-through system for all cases are presented in Fig. 7. The panels in the first two rows (Figs. 7a-h) illustrate the cases with the two anisotropic inclusions deployed in parallel, whereas the bottom panels refer to the inclusions in series (Figs. 7i-p). Cases S1-S4 show that the plume splits into two separate parts with their own peak concentrations and the plume is approximately symmetric with respect to the center of the cross-section, whereas Cases O1-O4 show an asymmetric concentration distribution with respect to the center of the cross-section. For the plumes in the configurations with sequential alignment of the anisotropic inclusions the plumes remain in the center of the domain and show the symmetric appearance of two (Cases S5-S8) and four (Cases O5-O8) wings, respectively.
FIG. 7. Concentration distributions at the outlet cross-section of the flow-through system for the 16 configurations, the colors represent the concentration of the conservative solute normalized by the value at the inlet, and the white boxes indicate the position of the inclusions.

Figure 8 shows the flux-related dilution index and its rate of increase along the travel distance for the 16 heterogeneous anisotropic setups and for a homogeneous porous medium. The trend of $E_Q$ is monotonically increasing in all setups and the anisotropic configurations show
remarkable enhancement of plume dilution compared to the homogeneous case (i.e., no anisotropic inclusions in the 3-D domain). The rate of increase of the flux-related dilution index shows that the enhancement of plume dilution happens in the portion of the domain where helical flow occurs (i.e., from the beginning of the first to the end of the second inclusion, 0.1<x<1.3 m). Yet, the extent of dilution enhancement is dependent on the different helical flow patterns. For example, Case O1 shows the highest dilution while Case O3 presents the lowest dilution at the outlet of the flow-through system, resulting in a relative difference of 42.75%. In the scenarios with the inclusions in series, dilution at the outlet is slightly higher in the cases with the two helixes rotating in the same direction (i.e., Cases S5-S8) in comparison to the corresponding scenarios with the two helixes rotating in opposite directions (i.e., Cases O5-O8). Furthermore, the maximum dilution achieved in the setups with two sequential anisotropic inclusions is 4.80×10⁻⁷ m³/s, and it is smaller compared to the maximum dilution in the setups with the two anisotropic inclusions deployed in parallel (i.e., maximum $E_Q$ equals 5.97×10⁻⁷ m³/s). Dilution enhancement generally occurs in correspondence of changes in streamlines stretching and folding. However, the extent of dilution enhancement cannot be directly predicted from the magnitude of these kinematic quantities. In fact, such quantities are descriptors for the entire flow field, while the plume does not occupy the entire cross section of the system. Furthermore, as discussed above, these kinematic metrics can have a reversible trend whereas dilution is monotonically increasing. For instance, in Case S2 the deformation of the streamlines is the least significant among all the heterogeneous scenarios, yet the plume dilution is rather strong. Conversely, Case O3 shows remarkable streamlines deformation but the weakest dilution. Such a phenomenon is even more prominent in the cases with two opposite sequential helixes (i.e., Cases O5-O8) in which the overall streamline deformation is minor but dilution enhancement is remarkable.

We can observe in particular that the direction of the rotation of the helical flow field has a
minor impact on dilution enhancement if only the fringe of the plume is affected by the secondary motion (Cases 4-8, see also Fig. 4). On the contrary, if plume deformation impacts also the central core of the plume, the asymmetry in the rotation generated by the heterogeneous anisotropic inclusions has important consequences on dilution enhancement (Cases 1-3, see also Fig. 4).

FIG. 8. Flux-related dilution index (a) and its rate of increase (b) along the longitudinal mean flow direction.

C. Reactive transport

Plume length

Figure 9 shows the computed plume length at different critical mixing ratios considering the instantaneous reaction between the injected plume $A$ and reactant $B$ present in the injected ambient groundwater. In all heterogeneous anisotropic cases, the plumes can be shorter than the computational domain, whereas in the homogeneous case the plume would be always longer at
the selected $X_{crit}$ range. An increase of critical mixing ratio represents a decrease of the concentration of the injected reactant $A$ (Eq. (6)) and results in a decrease of the plume length of reactant $A$. We can observe two distinct behaviors between Cases 1-4 and Cases 5-8 both in S and O configurations. The first group shows a sudden decrease in the plume length, whereas the second one is characterized by a smoother decrease. The turning point of the first group corresponds to the plume length of $L=0.7$ m, which is the position where the inclusions ends in Cases 1-4. When the critical mixing ratio is small (i.e., the initial concentration of reactant $A$ is large compared to reactant $B$) and the plume is not yet consumed at the end of the inclusions, the mixing is limited in the homogeneous porous medium in the downgradient portion of the domain. In these conditions, a small increase of the initial concentration of reactant $A$ (i.e., a small decrease of the critical mixing ratio) leads to a steep increase of the plume length.

FIG. 9. Plume length computed for all 16 heterogeneous anisotropic configurations and for the homogeneous case considering various critical mixing ratios and instantaneous reaction kinetics.

**Interplay between mixing and reaction kinetics**

The interplay between mixing and reaction kinetics was investigated by considering
instantaneous, as well as fast, intermediate, and slow double Monod rates in the reactive transport simulations. Case O1 and Case S5 were selected as representative cases with the two anisotropic inclusions in parallel and in series, respectively. These two cases were selected due to their high plume dilution. Figure 10 shows the three-dimensional reactive plumes for the two selected cases considering the intermediate double Monod kinetics. The reactant $A$ is degraded significantly within the anisotropic inclusions, indicating a reactive mixing enhancement by the induced helical flow.

![Three-dimensional reactive plumes of species $A$ for Case O1 (a) and Case S5 (b), considering the intermediate double Monod kinetics. The colors represent the concentration of species $A$ normalized by the value at the inlet.](image)

The entropy development of reactant $A$ along the mean travel distance was computed for Case O1 and Case S5. Figure 11 shows the flux-related dilution index and the spatial derivative of its natural logarithm for reactant $A$ considering different reaction kinetics. The entropy is also plotted for the conservative transport case and, besides the two selected heterogeneous and anisotropic configurations, the results are also shown for a homogeneous porous medium. The entropy development differs among the scenarios with different reaction kinetics. In the instantaneous reactive cases, the entropy is mainly decreasing due to the instantaneous consumption of reactant $A$. The degradation of reactant $A$ is boosted within the inclusions,
indicating a reactive mixing enhancement by the induced helical flows. When considering the
slow double Monod kinetics the pattern of the reactant’s flux-related dilution index is similar
to the conservative case and maintains the monotonically increasing behavior showing that
dilution dominates the entropy density balance. The scenarios with intermediate and,
particularly, the one with fast Monod kinetics appear to approach the dilution trend observed in
the cases with instantaneous reaction. The plots showing the spatial derivative of the natural
logarithm of $E_Q(A)$ clearly illustrate the interplay between dilution and reactive processes in the
anisotropic setups. The rate of increase of the flux-related dilution index is positive for the
conservative case and is enhanced in presence of the anisotropic inclusions. Similarly, the
reactive cases considering a slow kinetic reaction show positive values of $\frac{d\ln(E_Q(A))}{dt}$. When
the reaction rates become faster the reactive term is more important and clearly becomes the
main contribution in the entropy density balance for the cases of fast double Monod and
instantaneous kinetics.
FIG. 11. Flux-related dilution index of reactant A and spatial derivative of its natural logarithm for Case O1 (a and b), Case S5 (c and d), and homogeneous (e and f) porous media setups, considering different reaction kinetics: conservative (black), instantaneous (magenta), double Monod fast (blue), double Monod intermediate (green) and double Monod slow (red) reaction kinetics.

It is also interesting to compare the heterogeneous anisotropic cases with transport in the equivalent homogeneous domain. While the difference of entropy development among the four investigated reaction kinetics is remarkable in the heterogeneous setups only minor differences can be appreciated in the homogeneous porous medium (Figs. 11e and 11f). In fact, mixing is weak in the homogenous domain and changes in the reaction kinetics do not impact significantly the overall degradation, which remains mixing-limited independently of the reaction rate. Conversely, in the heterogeneous anisotropic setups mixing is significantly enhanced by the helical flow that favors contact between the two reactants. The results show that, with sufficient mixing enhancement, the reaction kinetics is the limiting factor to the overall degradation and the trend of the reactive plume dilution becomes very sensitive to the rates of the biochemical reaction.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we numerically investigated the effect of interacting helical flows on solute transport and mixing in three-dimensional heterogeneous anisotropic porous media. The computed metrics of stretching and folding indicate deformed and twisted streamlines in the different configurations analyzed. The deformation depends on the position of the anisotropic inclusions and on the resulting helical flow patterns. The flux-related dilution index of conservative and reactive dissolved species and its derivative were computed to quantify dilution and reactive mixing for the conservative and reactive transport problems. Significant enhancement of dilution and reactive mixing results from the twisting streamlines which can
deform the material surface of the plume and increase the transverse diffusive/dispersive fluxes. Our work shows that it is in general not possible to establish a direct correlation between stretching and folding and plume dilution and mixing. This can be due to the fact that the plume can occupy only a small portion of the flow field and/or the helical motion can affect differently the fringe and the core of the dissolved plume. Such findings also highlight the relevance of local concentration gradients and diffusive/dispersive effects affecting specifically the mass transfer and reactions at the interface between the dissolved plume and the surrounding pore water. Finally, we analyzed the interplay of physical mixing processes and biochemical reaction kinetics in the complex 3-D setups. The outcomes show that once mixing is sufficiently enhanced the impact of different reaction rates becomes more important. This finding is interesting since it shows that the typically mixing-controlled regime of transport in porous media, originating from the creeping flow and the slow diffusive mass transfer, can be shifted towards being kinetically-controlled. In fact, the considerable mixing enhancement by 3-D helical flow causes the heterogeneous anisotropic setups to deviate from the poorly mixed behavior of common transport problems in porous media. In this situation the systems approach conditions common in other environmental flows, which are not dominated by viscous forces, where mixing is more effective and reaction kinetics are the overall rate-limiting steps.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51709085) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. B200202158). Chunhui Lu acknowledges the financial support of the National Key Research Project of China (2018YFC0407200) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51679067 and 51879088). Massimo Rolle acknowledges the support of the Independent Research Fund Denmark (GIGA project, DFF 7017-00130B) and of the Sino-Danish Center for Education and
Research (SDC). Gabriele Chiogna acknowledges the support of the Stiftungsfonds für Umweltökonomie und Nachhaltigkeit GmbH (SUN) and the DFG project Hydromix (CH 981/4-1). Constructive comments of two anonymous reviewers helped improving the quality of the manuscript.

References


