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Abstract: Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) is here used to characterize highly porous Ce0.9Gd0.1O1.95 (CGO, ca. 90% vol. of porosity) free-supporting nano-fibrous thick (100 µm) membranes, fabricated via an electrospinning technique. The investigation of the calcination temperature influence on the microstructure indicates an evolution of the single nanofiber’s microstructure with a gradual grain growth from densely packed polycrystalline to pearl collar-like structures at increasing temperatures. This evolution is accompanied by brittleness for samples treated at temperatures above 800 °C. The electrochemical characterization suggests an ionic percolative conductivity that exploits both the bulk-lattice conduction along the individual nanofibers and interfacial conduction across different nanofibers at their intersections. Optimized membranes treated at 600 and 700 °C exhibit a similar electrochemical bulk response, but different interfacial electrochemical behavior (low frequency) associated with a grain size effect.
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1. Introduction

Cerium-based oxides are materials of interest in a huge host of applications due to their excellent oxygen storage, ionic conductivity and redox (Ce4+/Ce3+) properties, associated with a remarkably high chemical stability in extreme environmental conditions (e.g., corrosive) [1–8]. A list of eminent examples of their uses includes chemical, electrochemical and electromechanical systems [2–11], catalysis and photocatalysis units [2–4,6,12–18], biomedical scaffolds [2,19–21], microelectronics and optical devices [2,22–24].

Most of the mentioned applications require the processing of materials into the form of porous structures, such as for instance, driven-pressure technologies (e.g., catalytic filters) [9,15,16,24–27] or functional components in electrochemical devices (e.g., cathode or three-phase-boundary, TPB) [8,28]. In such applications the porous structure exerts its function, providing either an extended surface area that facilitates the exposure of reaction/active sites [8,9,25–28], or an interconnected porous network that promotes the transport and diffusion of the reagents [25–28].

Ceramic porous membranes are typically prepared via colloidal processing through the formulation of a slurry, which is later shaped via traditional techniques (e.g., tape casting) [9,29–31]. However,
this approach suffers from limitations such as the formation of closed pores that can act as defects weakening the structure [31], and a relative low porosity (around 50% in volume) [31]. Yet, the colloidal method poses the further issue of being a time-consuming multi-step approach.

A quicker viable alternative to increase porosity while maintaining the required structural stability is offered by electrospinning. This is a simple and inexpensive technique that enables the shaping of materials in the form of continuous one-dimensional (1D) nanofibers arranged into a three-dimensional (3D), well-interconnected, wide-open and highly porous network (ca. 80–90%) [15,16,25–28,32–36]. These characteristics along with a high surface-to-volume ratio associated with the 1D structure have been demonstrated to facilitate gas transport and diffusion, enable an efficient exposure of the reaction/active sites, and provide continuous pathways for current collectors [8,15,16,25–28,32–36]. In addition, the electrospinning offers the advantages of a versatile approach and an easy control over the chemical compositions [8,15,16,25–28,32–36]. However, ceramic nanofibers suffer from an inherent brittleness that limits their applications [37–45], and often prevents their use in the form of a 3D nanofibrous network [7,37–42,46]. In the last few years, a number of studies have explored the option of using the ceramic nanofibers as 3D electrospun components for electrochemical devices, chemical reactors [28,46,47], and as thick free-supporting nanofibrous membranes [16,42–44]. The structural stability of the ceramic 3D nanofibrous network has been associated with the stability of the single nanofiber, proven to depend on the dimensions of the diameters and of the crystallites [48,49]. Specifically, the larger the diameters and the smaller the crystallites, the more stable the nanofibers result [48,49]. This is ascribed to a larger grain boundary that enables an efficient dispersion of the mechanical stress within the 1D nanostructure. As a result, the single nanofiber strength increases, making the nanofibrous 3D network structurally stable [48]. Flexible titania mats have been obtained with grains at dimensions lower than 15 nm [48]. The electrochemical response of the single nanofibers has been also demonstrated to be dependent on the dimension of the crystallites [50–52]. Specifically, Zhang et al. have reported, for gadolinium-doped cerium oxide nanofibers, a conductivity five times higher than the conductivity of bulk material when the crystallites sizes are lower than 10 nm [50]. This study indicates electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) as a sensitive method able to detect minimal variation in the morphology of the nanofibers.

We herein report the preparation of 3D electrospun thick (100 µm) highly porous (ca. 90% vol.) free-supporting gadolinium-doped cerium oxide (hereafter CGO) membranes. The dimensions of the grains’ sizes have been controlled to confer the membrane the required structural stability. The results point out a significant effect of the grain size on the interfacial conductivity component, whereas the bulk-lattice ones results not affected. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on 3D self-supporting thick highly porous nanofibrous CGO membranes and their electrochemical characterization.

2. Results and Discussion

The CGO nanofibrous membranes proposed in this work are synthesized from a spinning water/ethanol-based solution containing the cations of interest (dissolved from nitrate salts), and an appropriate polymer (spinning polymer, PVP) that sustains the formation of nanofibers during the whipping step of the electrospinning process (evaporation of the solvent) [26,53,54]. A thermal treatment is conducted on the as-spun organic–inorganic nanofibrous substrate (green) to remove the organic component (spinning polymer) and to promote the formation of the crystallographic phase. A scheme of the experimental procedure can be found in the supplementary information, Figure S1. The thermal properties of the electrospun membranes are investigated via thermal analysis. The thermalgravimetric analysis (TGA) profile (Figure S2) suggests a complete decomposition of the polymeric constituent at a temperature around 330 °C. Typically, the cubic fluorite phase of CGO is observed to occur at calcination temperature of around 400 °C [55]. Based on these indications, green nanofibrous membranes are treated at five different and increasing temperatures in the range of 500–900 °C. Upon the thermal treatment, only two nanofibrous samples (CGO600 and CGO700) out of the five prepared are structurally stable and free-supporting. The others (e.g., CGO500,
CGO800, and CGO900) are extremely brittle and definitely not free-supporting. The composition of nanofibrous membranes is confirmed via Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (hereafter EDS) analysis (see Figure S3). The influence of the temperature on crystallinity of the membranes is then explored analyzing the corresponding X-ray diffraction (hereafter XRD) patterns, shown in Figure 1a. For all of the samples, the main reflections of the cubic fluorite are identified and indexed, and no secondary phases are observed. The peaks become sharper with increasing calcining temperature, indicating a gradual growth of the crystallites size. A zoom of the (111) plane for the developed materials is shown in Figure S4. The sizes of these latter ones are estimated applying the Debye–Scherrer equation and summarized in Table 1. The sizes of the crystallites are observed to gradually increase with the temperature, likely as a result of the mass diffusion effect.

![Figure 1. (a) XRD pattern of CGO as electrospun (green) and calcinated at different temperatures; (b) SEM image of nanofibers calcinated at 600 °C.](image-url)
The influence of the calcination temperature on 3D structure of the nanofibrous membranes is crucial both in terms of final grain size at the fibers and for the sintering effect at the intersections between fibers. As the microscopic features of the membranes exhibit similar morphology, for the sake of brevity, only the results of the substrate treated at 600 °C are here in discussed into details. The scanning electron microscopy (hereafter SEM) micrograph at low magnification for the selected membrane (Figure 1b) shows an extended 3D nanofibrous structure composed of nanofibers with high aspect ratios and a narrow and homogeneous distribution of diameters (<100 nm). The average nanofiber’s diameters are estimated for all the samples and are reported in Table 1. The formation of any dead-end pores (close porosity) is not observed, whilst a wide, open and interconnected porosity is obtained and associated with an exceptionally high porosity. The pore volume is evaluated via the estimation of the mean intercept length in the SEM micrographs [25,56]. The values obtained are in the range of 84–88%, and in agreement with the values obtained in previous research studies in our group [16,25]. Despite the similarity in microscopic morphology, the different calcination temperatures on the single nanofibers (mesoscale and at nanoscale level) lead to significant differences, as also suggested by the analysis of the XRD patterns. In Figure 2a–e, high magnification SEM images for the five samples are shown. For all the CGO membranes, the single nanofibers exhibit a polycrystalline structure that evolves with the temperature from a morphology, with grains increasingly larger and densely packed up to the 1D nanostructures of CGO900, which consist of single grains connected in series like pearls in a necklace. The dimensions of the grains are then estimated from Figure S5 (see Table 1) resulting, within the experimental errors, similar to the crystallite sizes (Figure 2f). For sample CGO500, it is not possible to estimate the grain sizes as they are extremely small. Yet, it is worth mentioning that for CGO900, the dimensions of the grains are comparable with the dimension of the nanofiber’s diameters. Transmission electron microscopy (hereafter TEM) images (Figure 3a,d,g) of three selected samples (CGO600, CGO700, and CGO800) confirm the evolution of the polycrystalline morphology with the grains that gradually grow up to form the pearl collar-like structures (CGO900). The selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) (Figure 3b,e,h) indicates for the three samples a fluorite phase arrangement at the short scale (nanoscale), in agreement with the XRD patterns. The observation is further supported by the high resolution TEM (hereafter HR-TEM) image (Figure 3c,f,i) that shows a well-defined organization of the atoms with a fringe spacing indexed to the (111) plane of the fluorite phase.

The different structural stability of the CGO nanofibrous membranes is explained by the different microstructural and morphological features of the single nanofibers developed at the different calcination temperatures. A crystallites/grain sizes effect on strength of the nanofibers has been already reported [48,49]. Specifically, smaller grains correspond to more robust single nanofibers and more structurally stable membranes. These empirical observations demonstrate that the structural stability of highly porous nanofibrous membranes ultimately depends on the structural stability of the single nanofibers. In light of that, CGO800 and CGO900 result brittle because of the larger dimensions of their crystallites/grains that hinder an efficient dispersion of the structural stress. Yet, despite its small crystallite sizes, the brittleness of CGO500 can be ascribed to the low treatment temperature that hampers the formation of a sufficiently dense packed structure. By contrast, in CGO600 and CGO700, the crystallite size effect enables an efficient dispersion of the stress within the single nanofibers, conferring structural stability to the entire high porous membrane.
Figure 2. SEM images for the different samples: (a) CGO500, (b) CGO600, (c) CGO700, (d) CGO800, and (e) CGO900; (f) evolution of crystallite and grain sizes with the calcination temperatures.

The CGO600 and CGO700 samples are the only ones possessing the required structural stability to be prepared in the form of thick free-supporting membranes endowed with exceptionally high porosity (> 88%). Interestingly, the two samples preserve their structural integrity despite a significant volume contraction upon the calcination process, evaluated to be around 88%.

Electrochemical properties of these samples are then investigated. As indicated in Figure 4a, CGO nanofibrous membranes are prepared as disc symmetric cells with a diameter of around 10 mm (after calcination). Gold meshes with a diameter of around 8 mm are coated on both sides of the membranes. The thickness of the membranes is estimated to be around 100 µm by analyzing the cross-section SEM image (see Figure 4b). Notably, despite the high porosity of the system, no short circuit is observed for the membranes, suggesting, thus, a percolative ionic conduction through the nanofibrous cells. Due to the morphological features of the nanofibers, the conduction likely occurs both along and across the nanofibers, exploiting, thus, the “channel” offered by 1D structure and across the interface at the nanofibers’ intersection. Such a model is schematically shown in Figure 4c, where two contributions to the ionic transport can be recognized. Figure 5a–d show the Nyquist plots for CGO600 and CGO700 at increasing temperatures. Interestingly, we distinguished two main semi-arcs in the plot: a first one at the high frequencies and a second one at the low frequencies. The best total equivalent circuit was a series of an almost negligible R with three Voight elements in series, $R_i/Q_i$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$. The high frequency arc well fit a single Voight element, i.e., one resistor and one constant phase element, $R_1/Q_1$. Such fitting typically represents the ionic response of CGO at intermediate temperatures, which is generally attributed to the lattice ionic conduction, reasonably corresponding to the conduction along the nanofibers (crystalline bulk).
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Figure 3. TEM, SAED and HR-TEM images of (a–c) CGO600, (d–f) CGO700, and (g–i) CGO900, respectively.

Figure 4. (a) Digital photo of a symmetric CGO600 nanofibrous cell; (b) SEM micrograph of the same cell; the thickness (ca. 100 μm) and the gold electrodes are indicated; (c) scheme of the symmetric CGO cell showing the ionic conduction pathway along the individual nanofibers (bulk component) and across different nanofibers (interface component).
On the contrary, the low frequency arc results in being composed of two remaining Voight elements (R2//Q2 and R3//Q3). However, due to the significant overlap between the contributions, other possible elements could also fit. Such spreading of the electrochemical response at the low frequencies in the fibrous material generally results from the clustering of heterogeneous interfaces. Low frequency contributions are typically attributed to interface effects such as grain boundaries and disorder as well as to the electrode/electrolyte interface and effects occurring during the polarization of the electrochemical cell [57]. Notably, as shown by the microscopy, several possible grain-to-grain and fiber-to-fiber contacts can be achieved in the samples. Due to the low temperature of the measurements (380 °C) and the blocking nature of the electrodes (Au), no electrode polarization contributions are measured in the samples. The most relevant aspect emerging from Figure 5a–d concerns the different electrochemical responses, with the frequencies of the materials calcined at 600 and 700 °C. Specifically, while the two samples exhibit similar bulk responses, they differ for the interfacial conduction, with CGO600 showing lower resistance at all the temperatures investigated. This experimental observation can be interpreted by considering structural and microstructural features as well as by accounting those

**Figure 5.** Equivalent circuit and Nyquist plots for CGO600 and CGO700 in the range of temperature investigated increasing respectively from (a–d).
effects that impact on the ionic conduction. For the 1D nanostructure, the ionic conduction benefits from a high surface-to-volume ratio and nanoscale grain size effect \([48,55,56]\). For the developed ceria-based nanofibers, no significant variation of the diameters is observed (all diameters lower than 100 \(\mu\)m). Therefore, no variation of the surface-to-volume ratio is expected for samples calcined at different temperatures. The only effect expected to influence the ionic conductivity is the dimensions of the grains. As already mentioned, the two samples exhibit the same bulk response, thus, suggesting no effect of the grain dimensions on the ionic conduction along the nanofibers. By contrast, we could observe an evident impact on the conduction at the interfaces (low frequencies region). In general, an increase in the grains’ sizes leads to a decrease in the grain boundary density, with a consequent reduction in ionic conductivity \([50,52,57]\). In the specific case of nanofibers, the minimum increment of the grain sizes from CGO600 to CGO700 may not affect the bulk ionic conduction (along the nanofibers) because of more substantial dimensions of the conductive pathway (microscale) to the grain size (nanoscale). However, when the dimensions of the grains and of the area across which the conduction occurs are comparable, any minimal variation might have a significant impact on the conduction. This is the case of the interface conduction at the nanofibers’ intersection, where the two morphological entities responsible for conduction (interface and grains) have dimensions in the same order of magnitude (nanoscale). Therefore, even the slight increment in the grain sizes from CGO600 to CGO700 have an evident impact on the ionic conductivity \([50,52,57]\). Specifically, the smaller the grains, the lower the resistance as a consequence of an increase in the density of grain boundary.

We used the Arrhenius formalism to analyze the thermal activation of the process. The activation energies \((E_a)\) are then estimated and compared with the value corresponding to CGO dense polycrystalline \([57]\), as indicated in Figure 6. For the high frequencies’ contribution, we could define a typical linear trend. Conversely, for the low frequencies, the substantial overlap between the elements did not allow us a clear interpretation of the effects. For simplicity, we only report the overall resistance in Figure 6. Despite the simplification, the calculated values for the different contributions (between 0.73 and 0.8 eV) indicate that similar thermally activated mechanisms are in play, also compared to the previously reported sample with the dense bulk mechanisms (high frequency) and grain boundary blocking barrier (low frequencies) \([9,57]\). Such a result confirms the validity of the model.

![Figure 6. Arrhenius plot comparing the behavior of dense polycrystalline CGO [57] with the bulk response (high frequency region, conduction along the nanofibers) and with the total electrochemical response (along the nanofibers and across the nanofibers).](image)
3. Experimental

3.1. Materials and Methods

The electrospinning process was carried out in an RT Advanced (Linari Engineering, Pisa, Italy). The precursor materials were all reagent grade and used as received. Precursors sensitive to environmental humidity were stored in a desiccator. Gd(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (99.90% purity, Sigma-Aldrich, Copenhagen, Denmark) and Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (99.90% purity, Sigma-Aldrich Denmark) were used as cationic precursors. Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, (M$_w$ ≈ 1,300,000, Sigma-Aldrich, Copenhagen, Denmark) was used as the carrier polymer. Ethanol (Anhydrous, 99.9%, Sigma-Aldrich, Copenhagen, Denmark) and distilled water were used as solvents.

The spinning solution was prepared mixing the solution containing the cations of interest and the solution of the carrier polymer. The solution of the cations was prepared by dissolving Gd(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O and Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O at the desired stoichiometric amount in 25 mL of a mixed solution at 50%wt of ethyl alcohol and distilled water. The overall cation concentration was kept at 0.53 Molar. The solution of the carrier polymer was prepared by dissolving polyvinylpyrrolidone in a solution containing 50%wt of ethyl alcohol and distilled water to obtain a 15% wt/wt solution. The polymer solution was added to the cation’s solution under mild magnetic stirring (20 $^\circ$C) for 15 min in a volume ratio of 1:1. The transparent obtained solution was then loaded into a glass syringe equipped with 21-gauge stainless steel needles.

The polymeric nanofibers were collected on an Al-foil covering a cylinder collector rotating at 100 rpm. The Al-foil was coated with a complete organic oil to better detach the electrospun nanofibers. The operation parameters were fixed with a working distance tip-collector of 15 cm, solution feeding rate of 0.25 mL/h and 22.5 kV of applied voltage. This process was conducted in air at 20 $^\circ$C.

The green CGO10 nanofibers were thermal treated in air at 500, 600, 700, 800, and 900 $^\circ$C for 3 h with a heat rate of 5 $^\circ$C/min in order to remove the polymeric agent and form the desired ceramic phase. The samples produced were designated as CGO green (not calcined), CGO500, CGO600, CGO700, CGO800 and CGO900, where the numbers refer to the calcination temperature.

3.2. Materials Characterization

The thermal analysis was conducted (TGA, NETZSCH, STA 409C, CD) in air flux from 20 to 1000 $^\circ$C at the rate of 1 $^\circ$C/min to understand how the fibers behave during the calcination process and to choose a set of meaningful temperatures for the calcination.

The morphology, microstructure and pore formation in the nanofibers were investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Merlin, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) for all temperatures and by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL 3000F, Oxford Instruments, Abingdon, UK) for nanofibers treated at 600, 700, 900 $^\circ$C. Selected-area electron diffraction-TEM (SEAD-TEM) investigations were run for samples treated at 600, 700, 900 $^\circ$C. The chemical composition of the samples was determined by energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) coupled with SEM.

The crystal structure and crystallinity of calcined nanofibers were analyzed using X-ray diffraction techniques (XRD, Brucker D8, Brucker, Germany) (CuK$\alpha$ operated) at 40 kV, 40 mA and 20 = 20 to 80$^\circ$, with a rate of 0.05$^\circ$/min and step of 1s. The average size of the grain was determined using the Debye–Scherrer equation. The trend for the average crystallite sizes is reported in Table 1.

3.3. Electrochemical Characterization

The samples for EIS characterization were prepared by pressing manually for a few seconds several layers of green disk with diameter of 26 mm. Samples were then calcined at 600 and 700 $^\circ$C for 3 h. A layer of Au was sputtered through a mask over the cell to serve as an electrode and an Au mesh was used as current collectors.

EIS measurements were performed on samples treated at 600 and 700 $^\circ$C. The electrochemical measurements were run in a one-atmosphere setup that could hold the two samples at the same time.
The cells were sandwiched between two gold meshes. For the electrochemical measurements, a Gamry REF600 was used in standalone mode. The Gamry was controlled by Gamry software running in potentiostatic mode. The covered frequency ranged from 1 MHz to 1 mHz with 10 points measured per decade, starting at high frequency. An amplitude of 36 mV RMS was used. The EIS measurements were conducted at four temperatures—380, 480, 580, and 680 °C. All the measurements were conducted in an atmosphere containing 20% of oxygen in argon. The flow rate was 100 mL/min. The gas composition was controlled by Brooks mass flow controllers.

The conductivity of the nanofibrous CGO membranes was estimated applying the following formula:

\[
\sigma_{NF} = \left( \frac{1}{A} \right) \left( \frac{1}{R_{NF}} \right)^* \frac{1}{l} = \frac{l^2}{V_{NF}} * \frac{1}{R_{NF}}
\]

\[
V_{NF} = (1 - V_{porosity}) * V_{tot}
\]

where \( \sigma_{NF}, R_{NF}, \) and \( V_{NF} \) refer to conductivity, resistance and volume of the nanofibers, respectively; \( V_{porosity} \) refers to volume of the porosity obtained via estimation of mean intercept length in the SEM micrographs, while \( V_{tot} \) is the total volume (nanofibers + porosity) obtained from the geometrical dimensions (diameters of the gold meshes and thickness of the membranes).

4. Conclusions

Nanoscale grain size effect is proven to be critical in the optimization of structural and electrochemical properties of CGO free-supporting nanofibrous membranes. Specifically, the structural stability is adjusted by identifying the range of grain size that enables an efficient dissipation of the mechanical stresses and confers the required stability to the 1D structure. The optimization of the structure is achieved by controlling the calcination temperature. Polycrystalline nanofibers with diameters lower than 100 nm and grain sizes in the range of 32–18 nm (calcinated at 600 and 700 °C) are found to confer structural stability to highly porous (ca. 88%) thick (ca. 100 µm) CGO membranes. Yet, electrochemical characterization by impedance spectroscopy shows that efficient percolation mechanisms of ionic conduction are activated through the highly porous 3D nanofibrous network. Interestingly, the results point out only an evident grain size effect on the interfacial conductivity component, that together with other interfacial contributions also include the conduction across the nanofibers (at their intersection). In contrast, in the range of grain size identified as optimal to confer structural stability to the nanofibrous thick CGO membranes, the bulk-lattice component results almost unchanged. The different behavior has been ascribed to a different “scale” matching of the morphological entities involved in the conduction—grain size and conductive pathway. For the bulk-lattice component (conduction along the nanofibers), the grain size and the conductive pathway are in the nanoscale and microscale ranges, respectively. In contrast, for the interfacial conduction, both entities are in the nanoscale range. Any minimal variation then produces a significant effect.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/10/7/756/s1. Figure S1: Scheme of the experimental procedure, Figure S2: TGA and DTA profiles, Figure S3: EDS analysis of CGO600, Figure S4: Zoom on (111) plane, Figure S5: High magnification SEM images of (a) CGO green, (b) CGO500, (c) CGO600, (d) CGO700, (e) CGO800, and (f) CGO900.
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