



Surveillance of important bacterial and parasitic infections in Danish wild boars (*Sus scrofa*)

Petersen, Heidi Huus; Takeuchi-Storm, Nao; Enemark, Heidi Larsen; Nielsen, Stine Thorsø; Larsen, Gitte; Chriél, Mariann

Published in:
Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1186/s13028-020-00539-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13028-020-00539-x)

Publication date:
2020

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Petersen, H. H., Takeuchi-Storm, N., Enemark, H. L., Nielsen, S. T., Larsen, G., & Chriél, M. (2020). Surveillance of important bacterial and parasitic infections in Danish wild boars (*Sus scrofa*). *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*, 62(1), Article 41. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13028-020-00539-x>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

RESEARCH

Open Access



Surveillance of important bacterial and parasitic infections in Danish wild boars (*Sus scrofa*)

Heidi Huus Petersen^{1*} , Nao Takeuchi-Storm¹, Heidi Larsen Enemark^{1,2}, Stine Thorsø Nielsen¹, Gitte Larsen¹ and Mariann Chrié^{1,3}

Abstract

Background: Similar to the situation in other European countries, Danish wild boars may harbour a wide range of pathogens infectious to humans and domestic pigs. Although wild boars must be kept behind fences in Denmark, hunting and consumption of the meat may cause zoonotic transmission. Moreover, most infections of wild boars are transmissible to domestic pigs, which may have important economic consequences. The aim of this study was to investigate whether Danish wild boars were infected with bacteria and parasites transmissible to humans or domestic pigs: *Brucella suis*, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), *Salmonella* spp., *Trichinella* spp., lungworms and gastrointestinal parasites, especially *Ascaris suum*. This is the first study to investigate the prevalence of these important pathogens in Danish wild boars.

Results: Wild boars from eight enclosures were analysed over a 5-year period. All tested wild boars were negative for *B. suis* (n = 240), MRSA (n = 244), *Salmonella* spp. (n = 115) and *Trichinella* spp. (n = 232), while eight parasite genera were identified in the faeces (n = 254): *Ascaris suum*, *Capillaria* sp., *Cystoisospora suis*, *Eimeria* spp., *Metastrongylus* sp. (lungworm), *Strongyloides ransomi*, *Trichuris suis* and strongylid eggs, i.e. strongyles not identified to the genera. *Eimeria* spp. and *Metastrongylus* sp. had the highest prevalence (92.3 and 79.5%, respectively) and were identified in wild boars from all eight enclosures, while the remaining parasite genera were present more sporadically.

Conclusions: Wild boars from Denmark constitute a low risk of transmitting *B. suis*, MRSA, *Salmonella* spp. and *Trichinella* spp. to humans or domestic pigs, while economically important parasites transmissible to domestic pigs are highly prevalent in the wild boar population.

Keywords: *Brucella*, Gastrointestinal parasites, *Metastrongylus*, MRSA, *Salmonella*, *Trichinella*, Wild boars

Background

Wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) have an extensive worldwide distribution, and a significant increase in their population was observed in Europe in the last decades [1]. Wild boars have one of the largest geographical ranges of all terrestrial wild animal species [2]. In Denmark,

free-living wild boars were extinguished in 1801 [3], and today, wild boars must be kept behind fences. In the Danish Central Livestock Register, 53 enclosures are currently listed for keeping wild boars [4], and officially, the wild boar population consist of 654 animals. However, the actual number is unknown. The enclosures include natural habitats up to 40 km², where the wild boars are kept for hunting or farming. Free-living wild boars are sporadically reported, when they escape from enclosures or cross the border from Germany. However, hunters are encouraged to shoot these free-living wild boars all year

*Correspondence: hhpvet@vet.dtu.dk

¹ Centre for Diagnostic, Technical University of Denmark, Kemitorvet, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

round. In December 2019, a fence (1.5 m high and 70 km long) was built at the German–Danish border to prevent wild boars from crossing the Danish land border from Germany.

In general, wild boars are considered a potential risk for transmission of severe pathogens to humans and domestic pigs. Humans can come in contact with live or dead wild boars and become infected e.g. during hunting, recreational use of wild boar habitats or through consumption of wild boar meat, or exposure to the escaped wild boars. Moreover, humans or wild boars can transfer infections to domestic pigs [5–8]. Currently, domestic pigs from Denmark are free from important notifiable diseases such as classical- and African swine fever, Aujeszky's disease, brucellosis and trichinellosis [9], but the risk of disease transmission from wild boars to domestic pigs is likely to increase due to the trend of converting pig production from indoor to outdoor housing. Pig production and export of pork meat is a major and important industry in Denmark with a production of around 20 million slaughter pigs per year [10]. Although the Danish wild boars are confined in enclosures, other wild animals can function as reservoir hosts for transmission of pathogens from wild boars to domestic swine, e.g. brown hares (*Lepus europaeus*) infected with *Brucella suis* [11] and rodents infected with *Trichinella* spp. [12].

This study focused on the bacteria and parasites, which in Denmark currently are considered most important to the pig industry and public health, i.e. *B. suis*, *Salmonella* spp., methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), *Trichinella* spp., and various gastrointestinal parasites and lungworms.

The genus *Brucella* is a group of zoonotic bacteria causing brucellosis. *Brucella* spp. are infectious to several mammals including cattle, sheep, goats, pigs, and humans. In pigs, brucellosis caused by infection with *B. suis* manifests as abortion, orchitis, and lameness [13], while in humans, the disease has varying clinical manifestations, where the acute stage is characterized by non-specific symptoms similar to a flu-like or septicemic illness [14]. Brucellosis has not been detected in Danish pig herds since 1994 and 1999, where *B. suis* biovar 2 have been found in free-ranging pig herds [15].

Salmonella are widespread bacterial pathogens shared by humans, domestic- and wild animals including wild boars [16–18]. Human foodborne *Salmonella* outbreaks are common in Europe [19]. Wild boars can harbour several different serovars of *Salmonella* including *S. typhimurium* and *S. enteritidis* [17], both of which cause numerous salmonellosis cases in humans annually [19]. In humans, salmonellosis can cause severe disease and even death, while animals are often asymptomatic carriers of the infection. *Salmonella* infections occur in

Danish pigs [20] as well as in wild animals including e.g. hedgehogs (*Erinaceus europaeus*), badgers (*Meles meles*) and harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) [21].

Lately, MRSA has become a problematic microorganism because of its resistance to almost all beta-lactams and the ability to transfer the resistance mechanism to other non-beta-lactamase producing pathogens. Previously, MRSA was a pathogen mostly associated with hospitals, but also people without contact to hospital environments are widely diagnosed with MRSA. MRSA has been recorded from Danish pigs [22], from retail meat [23], farmed mink [24], and horses [25]. Studies on MRSA in wild boars are currently scarce, but MRSA has been identified in wild boars from Germany and Spain [26, 27].

Denmark is officially recognised as a region with negligible risk of *Trichinella* in farmed pigs [28]. Currently, no systematic surveillance of *Trichinella* spp. in Danish wildlife occur, but previous surveillance projects have demonstrated presence of *T. pseudospiralis* in wild mink on the Island of Bornholm in 2007 (Data not published), and three cases of *Trichinella* spp. in red foxes (0.1%) in the mid-1990s [29]. Therefore, Danish wildlife, including wild boars, could potentially be infected with *Trichinella* spp.. Currently, all wild boars shot in Denmark or shot abroad and imported to Denmark for consumption must be tested for *Trichinella* spp.. Wild boars are asymptomatic carriers of *Trichinella* spp., while the symptoms of trichinellosis in humans include mild non-bloody diarrhoea, nausea, vomiting, abdominal discomfort, persistent fever, sweating, chills, periorbital oedema, urticarial rash, and conjunctival or splinter and ungual haemorrhages [30].

Besides *Trichinella* spp., wild boars can harbour many parasites of zoonotic and economic importance. Gastrointestinal parasites and lungworms are commonly detected in European wild boars [31–34], and they can infect domestic pigs and vice versa. Parasitic infections in domestic pigs are commonly subclinical, but weight loss, diarrhoea, reduced growth, depression, fatalities, reduced carcass quality and chronic and paroxysmal coughing can appear [35–38], depending on the parasite genera and infection dose. Hence, parasitic infections may cause significant economic losses to the pig production. Additionally, the helminths *A. suum* and *Metastrongylus* are zoonotic [39–41] and the same may be true for *Trichuris suis* [35]. In humans, *A. suum* infection may cause severe visceral larval migrans causing liver and lung lesions [42].

The aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence of *B. suis*, MRSA, *Salmonella* spp., *Trichinella* spp., gastrointestinal parasites and lungworms in wild boars from Denmark to assess if they pose a risk of transmitting these pathogens to humans and domestic pigs.

Surveillance of wild boars for African- and classical swine fever as well as Aujeszky’s disease is mandatory in Denmark and testing for these pathogens were therefore not included in this study.

Methods

Study area and sample collection

The Danish Veterinary and Food Administration funded the study, and decided on the yearly sample size. The

Veterinary Institute (now Centre for Diagnostic), Technical University of Denmark selected the study enclosures based on the size of the actual enclosure and the willingness of the owners to participate. The aim was to sample five large enclosures per hunting season. Since the sampling was based on voluntary participation by hunters, this was not always possible (Table 1). Altogether, eight separate outdoor enclosures in mainland Denmark were included in the study (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1 Map of Denmark showing the geographical origin of the wild boar enclosures included in the study

Table 1 Overview of wild boars included in the study according to hunting seasons and enclosure

Enclosure	Hunting season					Total
	2014/2015	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	
A	8		21	10	16	55
B	19		19	15	14	67
C	10		7	12	10	39
D	11			10	12	33
E	11					11
F		11		14		25
G					15	15
H		10				10
Total	59	21	47	61	67	255

The enclosures are natural habitats with forest, lakes and/or moor covering up to 40 km². The wild boars were typically fed supplemental feed at permanent feeding sites or on the ground. If severe clinical disease was observed, the animals were euthanized for welfare reasons. The sampling took place during the hunting seasons (1st October to 31st January) 2014/2015 to 2018/2019. Each enclosure was sampled once during each hunting season. The samples were collected during one to four hunting seasons from each enclosure (Table 1). No animals were hunted for the purpose of this study.

Between seven and 20 wild boars were sampled per sampling day and enclosure (Table 1). Faecal samples from rectum (min. 30 g), diaphragm (approx. 5 × 5 cm), uterus or one testicle, spleen (approx. 5 × 5 cm) and nose swabs were collected immediately post mortem and transported in cooling boxes directly to the laboratory for analyses.

Analysis

Table 2 shows the number of wild boars analysed for each pathogen. Some samples were unsuited for analyses due to severe tissue damage after passage of the bullet. If possible, the approximate age was assessed by the hunters and recorded for each animal.

The diaphragms were analysed for *Trichinella* spp. larvae by the magnetic stirrer method for pooled sample digestion according to Mayer-Scholl et al. [43]. Briefly, individual diaphragm samples (20 g from each animal from up to 10 animals from the same enclosure) were mixed and digestion fluid was added. The mixture was then stirred for a maximum of 60 min at 45 °C, subsequently sieved and allowed to sediment for 30 min. The sediment was collected and allowed to sediment for another 10 min. The supernatant was removed and the sediment was analysed for presence of *Trichinella* spp. larvae by stereomicroscopy at ×20 magnification.

Table 2 Overview of the number of wild boars in Denmark (2014–2019) examined for pathogens that can be transferred between wild boars, domestic pigs and humans

Pathogen	Number of wild boars analysed
<i>Brucella</i> spp.	240
Parasite eggs/oocysts	254
Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MRSA)	244
<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	115 ^a
<i>Trichinella</i> spp.	232

^a Wild boars sampled in in the hunting seasons 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 were not analysed for *Salmonella* spp.

The faecal samples were analysed for parasite eggs/oocysts and *Salmonella* spp.. Parasite eggs/oocysts were quantified using the modified McMaster technique [44] with a sensitivity of 5 eggs/oocysts per g faeces. Briefly, 4 g of faeces was suspended in 56 mL tap water, sieved through gauze, and 10 mL was centrifuged at 178 × g for 10 min. The supernatant was removed, the pellet was re-suspended in 3 mL of flotation fluid (saturated saline with glucose, 50 g/100 mL, specific gravity 1.27 g/mL) and a disposable McMaster chamber was filled with the mixture. The McMaster disposable chambers were prepared in our laboratory by gluing two small glass slides (38 × 26 × 1 mm) onto a larger glass slide (76 × 38 × 1 mm) separated by 24 mm space, and finally, a microscopic cover glass (50 × 25 × 0.2 mm) was glued onto the two small glass slides to create a counting chamber holding 0.6 mL. All eggs/oocysts in the whole chamber were identified by microscopy at ×100 magnification.

Salmonella spp. were analysed by faecal culture; 225 mL peptone buffer (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) was added to 25 g of faeces in a plastic cup, homogenised by stirring and incubated at 37 °C for 16–20 h. Following incubation, 1 mL of the faecal mixture was collected; three drops of the mixture was inoculated onto Modified Semisolid Rappaport Vassiliadis agar (MSRV) (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK), while the remaining was added to 9 mL of Selenit broth (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK). Both were incubated for 18–24 h at 41.5 °C. Suspected *Salmonella* spp. colonies from the MSRV agar plate and 10 µL of the Selenit broth were plated onto a Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate agar (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) and a Brilliant Green Agar (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) and incubated at 37 °C in 18–24 h.

The spleen and the genital organs were analysed for *B. suis* by culture. The spleen and genital tissues (2 g of each) were pooled, 2.5 mL sterile water was added and the sample was homogenised for 2 min in a Stomacher[®] laboratory blender. Two drops of the resulting broth were then inoculated onto *Brucella* agar plates and incubated at 37 °C with CO₂ for a maximum of 10 days.

Presence of MRSA was investigated by adding 3–4 mL Mueller–Hinton bouillon with 6.5% NaCl (SSI Diagnostica, Hillerød, Denmark) to a 15 mL tube containing the nasal swab, followed by incubation on a shaker table at 37 °C for 24 h. A loopful of broth was inoculated onto a MRSA 2 agar (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) and incubated at 37 °C for 24–48 h, following which suspected colonies were subcultured onto a C-cattle blood agar (SSI Diagnostica, Hillerød, Denmark).

Data analysis

The prevalence and the 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated for each parasite species for the total

study population. The prevalence, median and mean intensity (95% CI), min and max FEC/FOC were also calculated for wild boars ≤ 1 and >1 year for each parasite species. Differences in prevalence between age groups were determined using a binary logistic regression model with the parasite genera (*Cystoisospora suis* and *Strongyloides ransomi* were not tested) as the dependent variable and age groups (≤ 1 or >1 year) as the independent variable. The age was not assessed for 36.1% of the wild boars, and therefore excluded from the analysis. The differences in mean *A. suum*, *Metastrongylus* sp. and strongyle faecal egg count (FEC) and *Eimeria* spp. faecal oocyst count (FOC) for wild boars positive and negative for *T. suis* were determined by a one-way ANOVA with the log-transformed FEC/FOC as dependent variable and *T. suis* infection status (positive/negative) as independent categorical variable to determine if *T. suis* positive wild boars had higher FEC and FOC than *T. suis* negative wild boars. A P-value of ≤ 0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were done in SAS for windows version 9.4 (SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Altogether, 255 wild boars were sampled. Of these, 56.5% were males and 40.4% were females, while sex was not recorded for 3.1% of the wild boars. Of the wild boars where age was noted, 32.2% were ≤ 1 year and 31.8% were >1 year. The age was not assessed for 36.1% of the wild boars.

All tested wild boars were negative for *Trichinella* spp. larvae, *Salmonella* spp., *B. suis*, and MRSA, while parasite eggs/oocysts were identified from 0.4–92.3% of the wild boars (Table 3). The following parasite eggs/oocysts were identified: *Ascaris suum*, *Capillaria* sp., *C. suis*, *Eimeria* spp. (the oocysts were not identified to species level, but based on morphology, a mixture of different species was present), *Metastrongylus* sp., strongylids not identified to species level, *S. ransomi* and *T. suis*.


Eimeria spp. were the most commonly identified parasite, followed by *Metastrongylus* sp., strongyles, *Capillaria* sp., *A. suum* and *T. suis*, while *C. suis* and *S. ransomi* were identified from only one wild boar each (Table 3). *Eimeria* spp. oocysts and *Metastrongylus* sp. eggs were identified in faeces from wild boars from all eight enclosures (Table 3).

Wild boars positive for *T. suis* had significantly higher *Metastrongylus* sp. FEC ($P < 0.0001$), *Eimeria* spp. FOC ($P = 0.0024$), and strongyle FEC ($P < 0.0001$) compared to wild boars negative for *T. suis* infection (Fig. 2). *Ascaris suum* FECs were not significant different between *T. suis* positive and negative wild boars. *Metastrongylus* sp. were significantly more prevalent in the young wild boars ($P < 0.0001$) compared to the adult animals (Table 3). Age related differences in the prevalence were not detected for any of the other parasites.

Table 3 Overview of parasites detected coproscopically in wild boars in Denmark in 2014–2019 (n = 254)

Parasite genera	No. of positive	Prevalence 95% CI	No. of enclosures with positive wild boars	Age $\leq 1^a$			Age $> 1^a$		
				Prevalence 95% CI	Median egg/oocyst excretion [min – max]	95% CI of mean intensity	Prevalence 95% CI	Median egg/oocyst excretion [min – max]	95% CI of mean intensity
<i>Eimeria</i> spp.	234	92.3 88.8; 95.5	8	92.1 85.2; 99.0	2760 [10–200,000]	5,021; 23,257	87.9 81.3; 84.4	7,980 [10 - 378,000]	15,884; 39,009
<i>Metastrongylus</i> sp.	202	79.5 74.5; 84.5	8	90.5 83.0; 98.0	130 [10–11,100]	429; 1,512	66.7 57.2; 76.1	83 [10 - 16,500]	184; 1,409
Strongyles	150	59.1 53.0; 65.1	7	52.4 39.7; 65.1	120 [10 – 3,900]	217; 984	56.6 46.7; 66.5	48 [10 – 1,600]	72; 250
<i>Capillaria</i> sp.	28	11.1 7.1; 14.9	3	14.3 5.4; 23.2	10.0 [10 - 20]	8.8; 15.7	14.1 7.2; 21.1	10.0 [10 - 20]	9.7; 14.6
<i>Ascaris suum</i>	27	10.6 6.8; 14.4	6	12.7 4.2; 21.2	3540 [0 – 10,740]	0; 92,416	8.1 2.6; 13.5	953 [0 - 239]	0; 2,969
<i>Trichuris suis</i>	22	8.7 5.2; 12.1	4	12.7 4.2; 21.2	25 [0 - 10.7]	0; 83	20.2 0; 4.8	15 [0 - 0.7]	0-79
<i>Cystoisospora suis</i>	1	0.4	1	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Strongyloides ransomi</i>	1	0.4	1	–	–	–	–	–	–

^a A total of 36.1% of the examined wild boars were not included in the calculation of excretion per age



Discussion

In this study, we investigated 255 Danish wild boars for bacteria and parasites relevant for the public health and the pig production. This is the first study to document these infections in wild boars in Denmark, which prevents comparison of our results with historic Danish data.

The prevalence of *A. suum* (10.4%) was consistent with the prevalence in wild boars in northern Iran (4.8%) and Estonia (9.0%) [45, 46], while in studies from Turkey and western Iran, *Ascaris* spp. were absent [33, 47], and yet others recorded a higher prevalence (Italy, 88%) [48]. The differences in prevalence may reflect the different age composition of the studied populations. Age-related resistance against *A. suum* is recognized [49]. Different sampling seasons and diverse environmental conditions such as e.g. presence or absence of agricultural fields applied with slurry from domestic pigs may also contribute to the different *A. suum* prevalences reported from various countries. *Ascaris suum* infection is relatively common in domestic pigs [50], but the parasite is mainly important due to the economic losses caused by liver condemnations and the need for anthelmintic treatment. The long-lived *A. suum* eggs is a great challenge for outdoor pig production and even a 2–3 year pasture rotation programme may be insufficient to avoid infection since the eggs can remain infective for up to 7 years [51]. In this study, all samples were collected during the

hunting season (October to January) corresponding to late autumn and winter. There may be seasonal variations in the egg/oocyst excretion, as development of most parasite species are temperature-dependent. For example, *A. suum* eggs only embryonate at temperature >14.5 °C, equalling late spring and summer in northern Europe [52]. With a prepatent period of 6–8 weeks [53], a peak in egg excretion might occur during autumn and winter compared to the rest of the year. This also applies for *T. suis* [52] and *Eimeria* spp.. Since these parasite eggs/oocysts can remain viable for several years [51, 54], seasonal differences in egg excretion in this study was not expected. Our results indicate that due to the zoonotic risk of *A. suum* infection, wild boar hunters should take precautions to avoid infection. The main precaution is to avoid contact with faecal material, since *A. suum* is transmitted through oral intake of embryonated eggs, which may stick to the carcasses.

Besides *A. suum*, eight parasite genera were identified in the study, including the zoonotic *T. suis* and *Metastrongylus*. The predominant species were *Eimeria* spp. (92.5%) and *Metastrongylus* sp. (79.5%), which were identified from all sampled enclosures. Wild boars can harbour several *Metastrongylus* species, including *M. asymmetricus*, *M. confusus*, *M. elongatus*, *M. apri*, *M. pudendotectus* and *M. salmi* [55–57]. Of these, *M. elongatus* and *M. salmi* have been identified in humans as well as wild boars [41, 58]. Metastrongylids were not

identified to species level, and it is therefore unknown if zoonotic species were present. *Metastrongylids* have been absent from Danish domestic pigs for decades [59, 60], while historic data for wild boars is non-existent. *Metastrongylids* are widespread in European wild boars with prevalences of 28.8–60.0% [34, 48, 61], considerably lower than in our study (79.5%). One important exception though, is a prevalence of >80% reported in wild boars from the Estonian Island Saaremaa [45]. The high prevalences reported in this part of Estonia and in Denmark could result from accumulation of eggs in the soil when wild boars inhabit isolated areas, consequently increasing the infection rate in the intermediate hosts. Nagy et al. [62] showed significantly higher *Metastrongylus* sp. prevalence and infection rate in earthworms in enclosures compared to areas inhabited by free-ranging wild boars. Likewise, feeding sites seemingly constitute a highly infectious reservoir for *Metastrongylus* sp. in wild boars as egg-containing faeces accumulate and increase the infection rate in earthworms significantly compared to other areas [62]. This was supported by a Spanish study, where feeders were built on concrete bases and *Metastrongylus* sp. prevalence in wild boars was independent of feeder density [63].

Hunters reported high piglet fatality rates in two enclosures during preceding years in our study. The high mortality might be associated with *Metastrongylus* sp., and *Eimeria* spp., considering the high prevalence. In domestic pigs, infections with *metastrongylids* can be exacerbated by co-existing factors such as secondary bacterial and viral infections [64]. In our study, we observed significantly higher *metastrongylid* egg counts in wild boars concurrently infected with *T. suis* compared to *T. suis*-negative wild boars. This finding indicates that *T. suis* infection might have the same exacerbating effect on *Metastrongylus* infection in wild boars as bacteria and viruses. Likewise, wild boars positive for *T. suis* infection had significantly higher *Eimeria* spp. FOC and strongyle FEC demonstrating that several parasitic infections in wild boars can be exacerbated by co-infection with *T. suis* or vice versa; wild boars infected with other parasites are more likely to be infected with *T. suis*. However, the study design does not allow us to conclude further.

Eimeria spp. infections were also substantially more prevalent (92.5%) in this study than in other studies (7.5–64.2%) [34, 61, 65, 66]. The *Eimeria* spp. oocysts are environmentally resistant and can survive in the environment for a long time, subsequently leading to accumulation of infective oocysts in enclosures. Thus, the high *Eimeria* spp. prevalence in Danish wild boars could (Table 3), as for *Metastrongylus* sp., result from restricting the wild boars in enclosures, and from the close contact between wild boars at the permanent feeding sites.

Although the meat-borne nematode *Trichinella* spp. was absent in the examined wild boars, total absence of *Trichinella* spp. in wild boars in Denmark cannot be proven based on our results, as the prevalence may be very low. A Danish study from 2000, identified three *Trichinella* spp. positive red foxes (0.1%) out of 3133 shoot in 1995–1996 [29]. However, no *Trichinella* spp. infections have been demonstrated in domestic pigs in Denmark since 1930, and for more than 50 years, no autochthonous human *Trichinella* spp. infections have been diagnosed in Denmark. This is in contrast to the neighbouring countries (Germany and Sweden), where *Trichinella* spp. positive wild animals occur [67–69]. The newly built fence along the German–Danish border (finished in 2019) can possibly decrease the risk of *Trichinella* spp. positive wild boars entering Denmark from Germany.

All the examined wild boars tested negative for *B. suis*. However, *Brucella* spp. have been reported in wild boars in other European countries such as Germany, Sweden, Italy, Latvia, and Switzerland [70–72]. In Germany, Al Dahouk et al. [73] found that 22% of wild boar sera were *Brucella* sp. seropositive, while Swedish wild boars were sero-negative for *Brucella* sp. in 2013–2015 [74]. The brown hare (*Lepus europaeus*) may become infected with *B. suis* biovar 2 [75] as observed for two Danish brown hares that were diagnosed with *B. suis* biovar 2 in 2002 [76]. Brown hares can thereby act as reservoir for wild boar infection. To our knowledge, brucellosis in Danish brown hares has not been documented since 2002, and it is currently unknown if Danish brown hares constitute a risk for transmission of brucellosis to wild boars.

All wild boars examined in this study tested negative for MRSA. However, MRSA is frequently diagnosed in Danish domestic pigs. In 2018, the screening for MRSA in Denmark showed 20% of the organic pig herds and 89% of the conventional pig farms were positive for MRSA [77]. Outdoor access, lack of antimicrobial usage, and the feed composition was suggested as influencers of low MRSA prevalence in organic pigs [78]. Wild boars are all exclusively housed outdoor and not treated with antimicrobials, but MRSA has previously been diagnosed from wild boars in Portugal [79]. Likewise, all examined wild boars tested negative for *Salmonella* spp.. In Danish pig herds, *Salmonella* spp. cases increased substantially in 2010–2013 following low levels in 2004–2009, primarily in piglets [20]. Routine wildlife disease surveillance in 2003–2018 revealed low prevalence of *Salmonella* spp., with none of the positive animals being wild boars [21]. *Salmonella* spp. infections are, however, reported in wild boars in other European countries such as Sweden [18], Switzerland [80], and Germany [81]. Overall, Danish wild boars constitute an insignificant risk for transmission of

MRSA and *Salmonella* spp. to domestic pigs or humans. It is more likely that wild boars may acquire these pathogens from the Danish domestic pigs.

Conclusions

Of the examined pathogens, only gastrointestinal parasites and lungworms were identified from the Danish wild boars. The most prevalent parasites were *Eimeria* spp. (92.3%) and *Metastrongylus* sp. (79.5%), both of which can be transmitted to domestic pigs. Although human infections are rare, *Metastrongylus* species are considered potentially zoonotic. Relatively few (10.6 and 8.7%, respectively) of the wild boars were infected with the zoonotic parasites *A. suum* and *T. suis*. Therefore, Danish wild boars represent a low risk of transmission to humans, while economically important parasites of domestic pigs were highly prevalent in the wild boars.

Acknowledgements

We thank the local hunters for their collaboration. Furthermore, the laboratory technicians from the parasitology and bacteriology department at the National Veterinary Institute, Technical University of Denmark are acknowledged for their skilled technical assistance.

Prior publication

Data have not been published previously.

Authors' contributions

HHP collected the samples from 2016–2019, analysed these samples for parasites and was the major contributor in writing the manuscript. HLE and NT collected and analysed the samples for parasites from 2014–2015. STN collected and analysed the samples for parasites in 2019. GL analysed all the samples for *Brucella*, *Salmonella* and MRSA. MC made substantial contributions to the conception, designed the study, contacted and got the agreements with the hunters in place and applied for the funding, and participated in the sampling in 2014–2016. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The study was funded by the Danish Veterinary and Food Administration.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval

This study did not require official or institutional ethical approval as no animals were hunted for the purpose of this study. Certified hunters shot the wild boars during the official hunting seasons, and the samples were collected post mortem for a national surveillance program of infectious diseases in wild boars. The data were handled confidentially.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Centre for Diagnostic, Technical University of Denmark, Kemitorvet, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark. ² Department of Animal Health and Food Safety, Norwegian Veterinary Institute, Sentrum, P.O. Box 750, 0106 Oslo, Norway. ³ Centre for Rich Nature, The Danish Environmental Protection Agency, Tolderlundsvej 5, DK-5000 Odense C, Denmark.

Received: 6 March 2020 Accepted: 28 July 2020

Published online: 03 August 2020

References

- Massei G, Kindberg J, Licoppe A, Gačić D, Šprem N, Kamler J, et al. Wild boar populations up, numbers of hunters down? A review of trends and implications for Europe. *Pest Manag Sci*. 2015;71:492–500.
- Ballari SA, Barrios-García MN. A review of wild boar *Sus scrofa* diet and factors affecting food selection in native and introduced ranges. *Mamm Rev*. 2014;44:124–34.
- Weismann C. Wildlife and hunting history in Denmark [In Danish]. 1st ed. Copenhagen: C.A Reitzels forlag; 1931.
- Danish Central Livestock Register. 2019. https://chr.fvst.dk/chri/faces/frontpage?_adf.ctrl-state=yieuuu3rn_3
- Pavic S, Andric A, Sofronic-Milosavljevic LJ, Gnjatovic M, Mitić I, Vasilev S, et al. *Trichinella britovi* outbreak: epidemiological, clinical, and biological features. *Médecine Mal Infect*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medma.2019.10.008>.
- Li TC, Chijiwa K, Sera N, Ishibashi T, Etoh Y, Shinohara Y, et al. Hepatitis E virus transmission from wild boar meat. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2005;11:1958–60.
- Cvetnic Z, Mitak M, Ocepek M, Lojkic M, Terzic S, Jemersic L, et al. Wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) as reservoirs of *Brucella suis* biovar 2 in Croatia. *Acta Vet Hung*. 2003;51:465–73.
- Franco-Paredes C, Chastain D, Taylor P, Stocking S, Sellers B. Boar hunting and brucellosis caused by *Brucella suis*. *Travel Med Infect Dis*. 2017;16:18–22.
- The Danish Veterinary and Food Administration. Animal health in Denmark 2018. 1st ed. Copenhagen: Ministry of Environment and Food of Denmark; 2019.
- Danish Agriculture and Food Council. Statistics 2018 pigmeat. Copenhagen. 2019. <https://agricultureandfood.dk/prices-and-statistics/annua-l-statistics>
- Gyuranecz M, Erdélyi K, Makrai L, Fodor L, Szépe B, Mészáros ÁR, et al. Brucellosis of the European Brown Hare (*Lepus europaeus*). *J Comp Pathol*. 2011;145:1–5.
- Loutfy NF, Awad OM, El-Masry AG, Kandil GM. Study on rodents infestation in Alexandria and prevalence of *Trichinella spiralis* infection among them. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol*. 1999;29:897–909.
- Poester FP, Samartino LE, Santos RL. Pathogenesis and pathobiology of brucellosis in livestock. *Rev Sci Tech Off Int Epiz*. 2013;32:105–15.
- De Massis F, Zilli K, Di Donato G, Nuvoloni R, Pelini S, Sacchini L, et al. Distribution of *Brucella* field strains isolated from livestock, wildlife populations, and humans in Italy from 2007 to 2015. *PLoS ONE*. 2019;14:e0213689.
- Godfroid J, Käsböhrer A. Brucellosis in the European Union and Norway at the turn of the twenty-first century. *Vet Microbiol*. 2002;90:135–45.
- Vieira-Pinto M, Morais L, Caleja C, Themudo P, Torres C, Igrejas G, et al. *Salmonella* sp. in Game (*Sus scrofa* and *Oryctolagus cuniculus*). *Foodborne Pathog Dis*. 2011;8:739–40.
- Chiari M, Zanoni M, Tagliabue S, Lavazza A, Alborali LG. *Salmonella* serotypes in wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) hunted in northern Italy. *Acta Vet Scand*. 2013;55:42.
- Sannö A, Aspán A, Hestvik G, Jacobson M. Presence of *Salmonella* spp., *Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in wild boars. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2014;142:2542–7.
- EFSA. The European Union One Health 2018 Zoonoses report. EFSA J. 2019. <https://doi.wiley.com/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5926>
- Pedersen KS, Haugegaard S, Svensmark B. Experiences with *Salmonella* as a cause of disease in piglets. 2013. <https://svineproduktion.dk/publikationer/kilder/notater/2013/1321>
- National Veterinary Institute. Annual report of diseases in Danish wildlife 2003–2018. <https://www.vildtsundhed.dk/om-vildtsundhed-dk/aarsrapporter>
- Guardabassi L, Stegger M, Skov R. Retrospective detection of methicillin resistant and susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* ST398 in Danish slaughter pigs. *Vet Microbiol*. 2007;122:384–6.

23. Tang Y, Larsen J, Kjeldgaard J, Andersen PS, Skov R, Ingmer H. Methicillin-resistant and -susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* from retail meat in Denmark. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 2017;249:72–6.
24. Fertner M, Pedersen K, Jensen VF, Larsen G, Lindegaard M, Hansen JE, et al. Within-farm prevalence and environmental distribution of livestock-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in farmed mink (*Neovison vison*). *Vet Microbiol.* 2019;231:80–6.
25. Islam MZ, Espinosa-Gongora C, Damborg P, Sieber RN, Munk R, Husted L, et al. Horses in Denmark are a reservoir of diverse clones of methicillin-resistant and -susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Front Microbiol.* 2017;8:543.
26. Kraushaar B, Fetsch A. First description of PVL-positive methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in wild boar meat. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 2014;186:68–73.
27. Porrero MC, Mentaberre G, Sánchez S, Fernández-Llario P, Gómez-Barreiro S, Navarro-Gonzalez N, et al. Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) carriage in different free-living wild animal species in Spain. *Vet J.* 2013;198:127–30.
28. Danish Veterinary and Food Administration. *Trichinella*. <https://www.foedevarestyrelsen.dk/english/Food/Trichinella/Pages/default.aspx>
29. Enemark HL, Bjørn H, Henriksen SA, Nielsen B. Screening for infection of *Trichinella* in red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) in Denmark. *Vet Parasitol.* 2000;88:229–37.
30. McIntyre L, Pollock SL, Fyfe M, Gajadhar A, Isaac-Renton J, Fung J, et al. Trichinellosis from consumption of wild game meat. *CMAJ.* 2007;176:449–51.
31. Barutzki D, Schoierer R, Gothe R. Helminth infections in wild boars in enclosures in southern Germany: species spectrum and infection frequency. *Tierarztl Prax.* 1990;18:529–34.
32. Foata J, Mouillot D, Culiolo J-L, Marchand B. Influence of season and host age on wild boar parasites in Corsica using indicator species analysis. *J Helminthol.* 2006;80:41–41.
33. Senlik B, Cirak VY, Girisgin O, Akyol CV. Helminth infections of wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) in the Bursa province of Turkey. *J Helminthol.* 2011;85:404–8.
34. Panayotova-Pencheva M, Dakova V. Studies on the gastrointestinal and lung parasite fauna of wild boars (*Sus scrofa scrofa* L.) from Bulgaria. *Ann Parasitol.* 2018;64:379–84.
35. Roepstorff A, Mejer H, Nejsum P, Thamsborg SM. Helminth parasites in pigs: new challenges in pig production and current research highlights. *Vet Parasitol.* 2011;180:72–81.
36. Knecht D, Popiolek M, Zalesny G. Does meatiness of pigs depend on the level of gastro-intestinal parasites infection? *Prev Vet Med.* 2011;99:234–9.
37. Patra G, Prasad H, Lalsiamtha J, Kataria JL, Malsawmkim D, Lalrinkima H. Lungworm infestation in piglets in different parts of Mizoram. *India Res J Parasitol.* 2013;8:37–44.
38. Karamon J, Ziomko I, Cencsek T. Prevalence of *Isospora suis* and *Eimeria* spp. in suckling piglets and sows in Poland. *Vet Parasitol.* 2007;147:171–5.
39. Nejsum P, Betson M, Bendall RP, Thamsborg SM, Stothard JR. Assessing the zoonotic potential of *Ascaris suum* and *Trichuris suis*: looking to the future from an analysis of the past. *J Helminthol.* 2012;86:148–55.
40. Leles D, Gardner SL, Reinhard K, Iñiguez A, Araujo A. Are *Ascaris lumbricoides* and *Ascaris suum* a single species? *Parasit Vectors.* 2012;5:42.
41. Calvopina M, Caballero H, Morita T, Korenaga M. Case report: human pulmonary infection by the zoonotic *metastrongylus salmi* nematode. The first reported case in the Americas. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2016;95:871–3.
42. Kakiyama D, Yoshimitsu K, Ishigami K, Irie H, Aibe H, Tajima T, et al. Liver lesions of visceral larva migrans due to *Ascaris suum* infection: CT findings. *Abdom Imaging.* 2004;29:598–602.
43. Mayer-Scholl A, Pozio E, Gayda J, Thaben N, Bahn P, Nöckler K. Magnetic stirrer method for the detection of *Trichinella* larvae in muscle samples. *J Vis Exp.* 2017;121:e55354.
44. Roepstorff A, Nansen P. Epidemiology, diagnosis and control of helminth parasites of swine. Rome: FAO Animal Health Manual; 1998.
45. Järvis T, Kapel C, Moks E, Talvik H, Mägi E. Helminths of wild boar in the isolated population close to the northern border of its habitat area. *Vet Parasitol.* 2007;150:366–9.
46. Dodangeh S, Azami D, Daryani A, Gholami S, Sharif M, Mobeidi I, et al. Parasitic helminths in wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) in Mazandaran Province, northern Iran. *Iran J Parasitol.* 2018;13:416–22.
47. Solaymani-Mohammadi S, Rezaian M, Hooshyar H, Mowlavi GR, Babaei Z, Anwar MA. Intestinal protozoa in wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) in western Iran. *J Wildl Dis.* 2004;40:801–3.
48. Castagna F, Musella V, Esposito L, Poerio A, Rinaldi L, Bosco A, et al. Helminths of wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) in the Calabria region of Southern Italy. *J Wildl Dis.* 2019;55:416.
49. Pedersen S, Saeed I. Acquired immunity to *Trichuris suis* infection in pigs. *Parasitology.* 2001;123:95–101.
50. Roepstorff A, Nilsson O, Oksanen A, Gjerde B, Richter SH, Örtnerberg E, et al. Intestinal parasites in swine in the Nordic countries: prevalence and geographical distribution. *Vet Parasitol.* 1998;76:305–19.
51. Thamsborg SM, Roepstorff AK, Monrad J. Veterinary parasitology, helminthology for veterinary students [In Danish]. 7th edition. Sygdomsbiologi I for V. Frederiksberg, Denmark: Academic books; 2013.
52. Larsen MN, Roepstorff A. Seasonal variation in development and survival of *Ascaris suum* and *Trichuris suis* eggs on pastures. *Parasitology.* 1999;119:209–20.
53. Roepstorff A, Eriksen L, Slotved HC, Nansen P. Experimental *Ascaris suum* infection in the pig: worm population kinetics following single inoculations with three doses of infective eggs. *Parasitology.* 1997;115:443–52.
54. Kates KC. Observations on the viability of eggs of lungworms of swine. *J Parasitol JSTOR.* 1941;27:265–72.
55. García-González AM, Pérez-Martín JE, Gamito-Santos JA, Calero-Bernal R, Alonso MA, Carrió EM. Epidemiologic study of lung parasites (*Metastrongylus* spp.) in wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) in southwestern Spain. *J Wildl Dis.* 2013;49:157–62.
56. Eslami A, Farsad-Hamdi S. Helminth parasites of wild boar, *Sus scrofa*, in Iran. *J Wildl Dis.* 1992;28:316–8.
57. Humbert J-F, Henry C. Studies on the prevalence and the transmission of lung and stomach nematodes of wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) in France. *J Wildl Dis.* 1989;25:335–41.
58. Miloshev B. Case of triple infection with *Metastrongylus elongatus*, *Thaeniarhynchus saginatus* and *Enterobius vermicularis* [In Bulgarian]. *Suvr Med (Sofia).* 1956;7:94–7.
59. Carstensen L, Vaarst M, Roepstorff A. Helminth infections in Danish organic swine herds. *Vet Parasitol.* 2002;106:253–64.
60. Roepstorff A, Jorsal SE. Prevalence of helminth infections in swine in Denmark. *Vet Parasitol.* 1989;33:231–9.
61. Oja R, Velström K, Moks E, Jokelainen P, Lassen B. How does supplementary feeding affect endoparasite infection in wild boar? *Parasitol Res.* 2017;116:2131–7.
62. Nagy G, Csivincsik Á, Sugár L. Wild boar density drives *Metastrongylus* infection in earthworm. *Acta Parasitol.* 2015;60:35–9.
63. Navarro-Gonzalez N, Fernández-Llario P, Pérez-Martín JE, Mentaberre G, López-Martín JM, Lavín S, et al. Supplemental feeding drives endoparasite infection in wild boar in Western Spain. *Vet Parasitol.* 2013;196:114–23.
64. Marruchella G, Paoletti B, Speranza R, Di Guardo G. Fatal bronchopneumonia in a *Metastrongylus elongatus* and Porcine circovirus type 2 co-infected pig. *Res Vet Sci.* 2012;93:310–2.
65. Pilarczyk B, Balicka-Ramisz A, Cisek A, Szalewska K, Lachowska S. Prevalence of *Eimeria* and intestinal nematodes in wild boar in north-west Poland. *Wiad Parazytol.* 2004;50:637–40.
66. Molnár L, Beregi A, Gyurkovszky M, Farkas R, Molnar L, Heltai M. Coccidiosis in wild boars shot in the south region of Mátra in Hungary [In Hungarian]. *Magy Állatorvosok Lapja.* 2013;135:622–4.
67. Chmurzyńska E, Rózycki M, Bilka-Zajac E, Nöckler K, Mayer-Scholl A, Pozio E, et al. *Trichinella nativa* in red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) of Germany and Poland: Possible different origins. *Vet Parasitol.* 2013;198:254–7.
68. Pannwitz G, Mayer-Scholl A, Balicka-Ramisz A, Nöckler K. Increased prevalence of *Trichinella* spp., northeastern Germany, 2008. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2010;16:936–42.
69. EFSA. The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in. EFSA J. 2018;16:e05500.
70. Leuenberger R, Boujon P, Thür B, Miserez R, Garin-Bastuji B, Rüfenacht J, et al. Prevalence of classical swine fever, Aujeszky's disease and brucellosis in a population of wild boar in Switzerland. *Vet Rec.* 2007;160:362–8.
71. Grantina-levina L, Avsejenko J, Cvetkova S, Krastina D, Streikisa M, Steingolde Z, et al. Seroprevalence of *Brucella suis* in eastern Latvian wild boars (*Sus scrofa*). *Acta Vet Scand.* 2018;60:19.

72. Di Sabatino D, Garofolo G, Di Provido A, Zilli K, Foschi G, Di Giannatale E, et al. *Brucella suis* biovar 2 multi locus sequence type ST16 in wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) from Abruzzi region, Italy. Introduction from Central-Eastern Europe? *Infect Genet Evol*. 2017;55:63–7.
73. Al Dahouk S, Nöckler K, Tomaso H, Spletstoesser WD, Jungersen G, Riber U, et al. Seroprevalence of brucellosis, tularemia, and yersiniosis in wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) from North-Eastern Germany. *J Vet Med Ser B*. 2005;52:444–55.
74. Malmsten A, Magnusson U, Ruiz-Fons F, González-Barrio D, Dalin AM. A serologic survey of pathogens in wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) in Sweden. *J Wildl Dis*. 2018;54:229–37.
75. Godfroid J. Brucellosis in wildlife. *Rev Sci Tech l'OIE*. 2002;21:277–86.
76. The National Veterinary Institute. Annual report of diseases in Danish wildlife 2003 [In Danish]. 2003. <https://www.vildtsundhed.dk/om-vildt-sundhed-dk/aarsrapporter>
77. Danish Veterinary and Food Administration. The results of screening for domestic MRSA in pigs in 2018 [In Danish]. 2019.
78. van de Vijver LPL, Tulinski P, Bondt N, Mevius D, Verwer C. Prevalence and molecular characteristics of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in organic pig herds in the Netherlands. *Zoonoses Public Health*. 2014;61:338–45.
79. Sousa M, Silva N, Manageiro V, Ramos S, Coelho A, Gonçalves D, et al. First report on MRSA CC398 recovered from wild boars in the north of Portugal. Are we facing a problem? *Sci Total Environ*. 2017;596–597:26–31.
80. Wacheck S, Fredriksson-Ahomaa M, König M, Stolle A, Stephan R. Wild boars as an important reservoir for foodborne pathogens. *Foodborne Pathog Dis*. 2010;7:307–12.
81. Methner U, Heller M, Bocklisch H. *Salmonella enterica* subspecies enterica serovar Choleraesuis in a wild boar population in Germany. *Eur J Wildl Res*. 2010;56:493–502.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

