Dynamics of the spatial separation of electrons and mobile oxygen vacancies in oxide heterostructures

Zurhelle, A. F.; Christensen, Dennis Valbjørn; Menzel, S.; Gunkel, Felix

Published in: Physical Review Materials

Link to article, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.4.104604

Publication date: 2020

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit


General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Dynamics of the spatial separation of electrons and mobile oxygen vacancies in oxide heterostructures

A. F. Zurhelle, D. V. Christensen, S. Menzel, and F. Gunkel

1Institute of Electronic Materials (IWE 2), RWTH Aachen University, 52074 Aachen, Germany
2Jülich Aachen Research Alliance for Fundamentals on Future Information Technology (JARA-FIT), Jülich, Germany
3Department of Energy Conversion and Storage, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark
4Peter Grünberg Institute 7, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52425 Jülich, Germany

(Received 15 April 2020; revised 10 August 2020; accepted 2 September 2020; published 23 October 2020)

In the search for an oxide-based 2D electron system with a large concentration of highly mobile electrons, a promising strategy is to introduce electrons through donor doping while spatially separating electrons and donors to prevent scattering. In SrTiO$_3$, this can be achieved by tailoring the oxygen vacancy profile through reduction, e.g., by creating an interface with an oxygen scavenging layer. Through reduction, oxygen atoms are removed close to the interface, leaving behind oxygen vacancies in the SrTiO$_3$ lattice and mobile electrons in the SrTiO$_3$ conduction band. The commonly assumed picture is that the oxygen vacancies then remain confined close to the interface while the electrons leak a few nanometers into the bulk, resulting in an electron-defect separation and a highly mobile, oxide-based 2D electron system. So far it has remained unclear how the confinement and electron-defect separation develop over time. Here, we present transient finite element simulations that consider three driving forces acting on the oxygen vacancy distribution: diffusion due to the concentration gradient, drift due to the intrinsic electric field, and an oxygen vacancy trapping energy that holds oxygen vacancies at the interface. Our simulations show that at room temperature, three distinct regions are formed in SrTiO$_3$ within days: (1) Oxygen vacancies are partially held at the interface due to the oxygen vacancy trapping energy. (2) The accompanying positive space charge causes an oxygen vacancy depletion layer with large electron concentration and high mobility just below the interface. This electron-defect separation, indeed, leads to a highly conductive region. (3) While we are able to describe measured conductivity data with an oxygen vacancy trapping energy of $-0.2$ eV, this value does not prevent oxygen vacancy diffusion into the bulk: A diffusion front progresses into the bulk and leads to significant conductivity arising over the first micrometer within a couple of months. An enhanced oxygen vacancy trapping energy of $-0.5$ eV or below would suppress this loss of confinement, leading to a static and pronounced electron-defect separation. Consequently, our results highlight the importance of oxygen vacancy redistribution and suggest the trapping energy of oxygen vacancies at the interface as an important design parameter for oxygen-vacancy-based 2D electron systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Oxide heterointerfaces can harbor electronic systems with a variety of remarkable properties, such as field-tunable superconductivity [1–3], magnetic ordering [4–10], or thermoelectricity [11,12], which typically are not observed in the involved undoped bulk compounds. 2D electron systems at such interfaces offer a fascinating opportunity to study novel physics, which one day may lead to a new generation of oxide electronics [13]. Several reports show 2D electron systems can be tuned through gating [1–2,14–17], growth conditions [18–22], annealing [14,19,22,23], exposure to water [24,25], and by tuning the interfacial defect structure thermodynamically [9,26–27]. Mainly, two mechanisms are discussed that contribute to the formation of 2D electron systems at an oxide heterointerface: (a) A polar discontinuity can lead to an electron transfer between the oxides, e.g., at the epitaxial-LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interface [20,27–30]. (b) Oxygen atoms are removed close to the surface or an interface, leaving behind oxygen vacancies, which act as donor-type dopants, and electrons, which then form the 2D electron system [31–33]. This reduction can be achieved by exposure to a reducing atmosphere [34], photon irradiation [35–39], ion bombardment [40], or by growing an oxygen scavenging layer on top [41,42]. In the latter case, oxygen atoms are transferred from the oxide to the scavenging layer due to a difference in chemical potential, e.g., at the amorphous-LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ or γ-Al$_2$O$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interface [42,43]. These mainly oxygen-vacancy-based systems potentially reflect a simple way of establishing low-dimensional electron systems, as they can be fabricated at or near room temperature.

A commonly assumed picture is that the oxygen vacancies remain confined to the interface due to either negligible
diffusion or a lowered formation energy at the interface [41,42,44–47]. The oxygen vacancies act as donor dopants and introduce a large concentration of mobile electrons close to the interface, while the concentration of mobile electrons in the adjacent bulk is very low. The electrons introduced by the oxygen vacancies thus partially diffuse into the bulk. The resulting charge separation gives rise to a positive space charge at the interface and an internal electric field. Consequently, the electrons achieve a dynamic equilibrium between diffusion into the bulk and drift to the interface due to the internal electric field. Hence, the electrons spread from the interface into the first nanometers of the bulk according to their screening length [42,48,49].

Apart from their role as donor-type defects, oxygen vacancies have another effect on the conductivity: As scattering centers for electrons, oxygen vacancies limit the low-temperature mobility. In order to obtain a 2D electron system with high carrier concentration and high mobility, a promising design strategy is to introduce electrons via reduction, but to spatially separate the oxygen vacancies and high-mobility electrons. This strategy of donor-electron separation is known as modulation doping [50,51].

Recently, Schütz et al. found that several months of storage at room temperature lead to a mobility enhancement at γ-Al2O3/SrTiO3 interfaces, which represent one important 2D electron system with an oxygen scavenging layer forming oxygen vacancies in SrTiO3 [47]. Supported by density functional theory (DFT) calculations that show a lowered energy for oxygen vacancies at the interface compared to the bulk of SrTiO3, Schütz et al. concluded that oxygen vacancies redistribute to the interface. Because the 2D electron system extends from the interface up to a few nanometers into the bulk, this is supposed to lead to a separation of charge carriers. They suggest that this increased separation of dopants and electrons reduces the electron scattering and leads to the observed increase in electron mobility.

While there are other studies [19,21–23,31,33,41,50,52–54] that report changes in the oxygen vacancy profile at SrTiO3-based heterointerfaces, the involved dynamics of ions in a complex energy landscape, with strong concentration gradients and intrinsic electric fields, has not been discussed in detail yet. Consequently, several questions remain unclear: What are the driving forces involved in the oxygen vacancy redistribution? How are the oxygen vacancies distributed close to the interface? How does the spatial separation of oxygen vacancies and electronic charge carriers develop over time and how confined are these electron systems? Furthermore, other phenomena that emerge at oxide-based 2D electron systems, e.g., magnetism [8] and superconductivity, are expected to be sensitive to the details of the defect profile, and the dynamics of defect redistribution might therefore offer an opportunity to tailor these properties.

To this end, we present a transient 1D finite element model that describes the oxygen vacancy redistribution at SrTiO3-based interfaces. Our simulations show that at room temperature, complex oxygen vacancy profiles develop within days and continue to develop over months; such heterostructures are hence expected to change their properties over time. Using an empirical equation based on electron-defect scattering, we calculate the low-temperature electron mobility from

FIG. 1. (a) The assumed geometry is 3.5 mm of SrTiO3 capped with an oxygen scavenging layer, e.g., γ-Al2O3. (b) The assumed profiles for the initial oxygen vacancy distribution (red) and the background of acceptor-type impurities (purple). The arrows indicate the effect of the three driving forces acting on the oxygen vacancy profile: Due to the oxygen vacancy trapping energy (turquoise arrow), oxygen vacancies are pulled to the interface, while diffusion (red arrow) and electrostatic potential (green arrow) lead to a redistribution into the bulk. (c) The initial oxygen vacancy distribution next to the resulting initial electron profile (blue) at 300 K. The separation of electrons and donors becomes apparent. (d) The profile of the standard chemical potential for oxygen vacancies relative to the bulk. At the interface, this potential is lowered due to the oxygen vacancy trapping energy. (e) The electrostatic potential profile caused by the spatial separation of electrons and donors. The resulting electric field pulls oxygen vacancies into the bulk.
the obtained profiles and see a significant influence of the oxygen vacancy redistribution on the low-temperature mobility with a time evolution agreeing well with experimental data. Finally, we study how an oxygen vacancy trapping energy at the interface affects the confinement and spatial separation of electrons and defects, as this trapping energy will depend on the chosen materials and the interface structure. Our model predicts the local oxygen vacancy and electron distributions, their evolution over time, as well as the expected electron mobility and confinement of the 2D electron system.

II. MODEL

We consider a heterointerface composed of SrTiO$_3$ and an oxygen scavenging layer, which during growth leads to formation of oxygen vacancies and itinerant electrons in SrTiO$_3$. We assign a representative oxygen vacancies profile close to the interface and study the relaxation of this distribution over time under the influence of three driving forces: (1) diffusion due to the oxygen vacancy concentration gradient, (2) drift due to an intrinsic electric field building up through space charge and the associated band bending, and (3) an assumed profile of standard chemical potential for oxygen vacancies, reflecting a trapping potential for oxygen vacancies at the interface.

A. Initial state

As model system, we assume a 3.5-mm slab of SrTiO$_3$ with an oxygen scavenging layer on the left side [Fig. 1(a)]. The distance from the interface is denoted by $x$. The SrTiO$_3$ slab is slightly acceptor-doped because of inevitable impurities [55–57] (refer to Table I for concentration). These impurities are expected to be homogeneously distributed, because they are usually formed during crystal growth and typically have very high migration barriers and low diffusion coefficients [58,59]. In the bulk, the negatively charged acceptors are mainly balanced by oxygen vacancies that are doubly positively charged according to the concept of relative charges (see Kröger-Vink notation [60]). The electron concentration is comparably small: We chose a value of $5 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$ to ensure that the conductivity due to bulk electrons remains negligible throughout our simulations. Note that the exact number only insignificantly influences the described dynamics of the interfacial space-charge layer.

The oxygen scavenging layer, e.g., γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ [42,47], has a lower chemical potential for oxygen. Consequently during growth, oxygen passes over from the SrTiO$_3$ slab to the oxygen scavenging layer, leaving behind a large number of oxygen vacancies and electrons close to the interface. The number of electrons introduced this way can be estimated from measured sheet carrier densities, and is typically found to be around $1 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ in high-mobility samples [42,47,61]. The number of introduced oxygen vacancies is set to half of this amount, because per oxygen vacancy two electrons are donated to the SrTiO$_3$ conduction band [43].

For the initial oxygen vacancy distribution, we assume that the oxygen vacancies were generated at the interface and have remained nearly completely immobile during sample preparation: the vacancies are spread out on the length scale of half a unit cell [equation given in Table I; profile shown in Fig. 1(b)]. This starting point resembles the simple and often assumed picture that the oxygen vacancies remain confined close to the interface. However, we tested various initial oxygen vacancy distributions and confirmed that they relax to the same complex profile: In a series of simulations, we varied the initial profile depth by spreading out the profiles on length scales of $d_{\text{init}} = 0.25$ to 25 unit cells (roughly 0.1 to 10 nm), while keeping the total number of oxygen vacancies constant. In each case, the profiles relaxed from the initially chosen distribution to the same profile within a few days (see Sec. SM1 of the Supplemental Material [79]). This ensures that our simulations allow general conclusions on the oxygen vacancy redistribution, although the exact initial distribution is unknown. By choosing this state as a starting point for our simulations, we also assume that the oxygen transfer between the oxygen scavenging layer and the SrTiO$_3$ substrate has already taken place during sample preparation [31,54]. After sample preparation, the rate of oxygen transfer is expected to become insignificant, because the sheet carrier density in such samples typically remains constant over long periods of time [41,47].
While the oxygen vacancies are initially confined close to the interface, the electrons have, due to their higher mobility, already achieved a dynamic equilibrium between drift and diffusion [see Fig. 1(c)]. The electron concentration gradient leads to electrons diffusing into the bulk. The resulting charge separation leads to a positive space charge at the interface (due to the oxygen vacancies) and an internal electric field, which pulls the electrons to the interface. As a result, the electron profile reaches further into the bulk than the oxygen vacancy profile due to the screening length of the electrons. This separation of electrons and donors (oxygen vacancies) is often assumed to result in a layer of large electron concentration with high mobility.

### B. Electron profile and electrostatic potential

From the distribution of the electron profile and the electrostatic potential are calculated with Poisson’s equation. Together with the background concentration of acceptor-type impurities $N_{\text{impurities}}$, the (in Kröger-Vink notation [60]) doubly positively charged oxygen vacancies $v$ lead to an effective dopant concentration $N_D^+$ [45,46]:

$$N_D^+(x) = 2v(x) - N_{\text{impurities}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

From this, the electrostatic potential $\phi$ and the electron concentration $n$ can be obtained by solving Poisson’s equation

$$-\frac{d}{dx}\left[\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_r(E, T)\frac{d\phi}{dx}\right] = e[N_D^+(x) - n(x)] = e[N_D^+(x) - NC_{Fi/2}(\eta(x))].$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

with

$$\eta(x) = \eta_{\text{ref}} + \frac{e}{k_BT}\phi(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Here, $\varepsilon_0$ is the vacuum permittivity, $\varepsilon_r$ is the relative permittivity (dependent on electric field $E$ and temperature $T$), $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $e$ is the elementary charge, $N_C$ is the effective density of states at the conduction band, $F_{Fi/2}$ is the Fermi-Dirac integral, and $\eta$ denotes the energy difference between Fermi level and conduction band edge in units of $k_BT$. The energy difference $\eta_{\text{ref}}$ is determined by imposing the constraint that global electroneutrality has to be fulfilled. The Fermi-Dirac integral $F_{Fi/2}$ was approximated using an approach by Bednarczyk et al. [62] (details in Sec. SM2 of the Supplemental Material [79]).

Electric fields can significantly reduce the permittivity at SrTiO$_3$-based heterointerfaces [63,64]. Thus, we used a numerical model by Hemberger et al. [65], which allows us to treat the field and temperature dependence of the relative permittivity of SrTiO$_3$. With this model, we generated a look-up table for $\varepsilon_r$ as function of temperature and electric field, which was interpolated during the simulations (details in Sec. SM2 of the Supplemental Material [79]).

As boundary conditions for the electrostatic potential, we assume a zero-flux Neumann boundary condition at the interface ($x = 0$ nm), i.e., negligible electric field directly at the interface. This is expected for oxide heterostructures, where the electrons are mainly introduced through oxygen transfer, not charge transfer [43]. At $x = 3.5$ nm, the potential is chosen to be zero (Dirichlet boundary condition). The resulting initial potential profile evaluated at $T = 300$ K is shown in Fig. 1(e).

### C. Dynamics of the oxygen vacancy redistribution

The dynamics of the system are addressed by describing how the oxygen vacancy profile evolves over time. That is, we solve the continuity equation for the oxygen vacancies,

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{dj_v}{dx},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

with the current density of oxygen vacancies $j_v$ and zero-flux Neumann boundary conditions for both boundaries; i.e., no oxygen vacancies are exchanged with the surroundings or the oxygen scavenging layer. This is consistent with the observation that the sheet carrier density in such samples remains constant over long periods of time at room temperature [47]. Based on the approach that the general cause of an ionic current is a gradient in electrochemical potential [66], we assume the following equation for the current density of oxygen vacancies:

$$j_v(x) = -\frac{D_v}{k_BT}\left(k_BT\frac{dv}{dx} + 2ev(x)\frac{d\phi}{dx} + v(x)\frac{d\phi}{dx}^2\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $D_v$ is the diffusion coefficient of oxygen vacancies. For SrTiO$_3$, this diffusion coefficient has been investigated extensively and measured at temperatures as low as room temperature [67–71] (see Table I for the relation between the diffusion coefficient and temperature used in this work).

The above equation considers three driving forces for the oxygen vacancy redistribution [also indicated by the colored arrows in Fig. 1(b)]: (1) Due to the initial concentration gradient ($dv/dx$), oxygen vacancies diffuse away from the interface into the bulk (red arrow) as described by Fick’s first law. (2) The intrinsic electric field ($-d\phi/dx$) mainly pulls oxygen vacancies away from the interface (green arrow). (3) Oxygen vacancies have a lower Gibbs energy of formation at the interface than in the bulk; i.e., the difference in the standard chemical potential $\Delta g_v^0$ for oxygen vacancies at the interface relative to the bulk is negative (as described in the literature [45–47]). $\Delta g_v^0$ causes a gradient in the standard chemical potential ($d\phi^0/dx$) that pulls oxygen vacancies to the interface and traps them there (turquoise arrow). Thus, we refer to $\Delta g_v^0$ as oxygen vacancy trapping energy [Fig. 1(d)].

How large the oxygen vacancy trapping energy is depends on the nature of the heterostructure and the choice of materials. For now, we assume a value of $-0.2$ eV, which has been predicted for the $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interface with DFT calculations [47]. In Sec. IIIID and Fig. 4, we show a study in which we varied this parameter, to discuss the influence of this thermodynamic driving force.

The assumed profile of the standard chemical potential $g_v^0$ for oxygen vacancies relative to the bulk is shown in Fig. 1(d). At the interface, $g_v^0$ starts at $\Delta g_v^0 = -0.2$ eV and increases to zero over the first unit cell. The confinement of this change in $g_v^0$ is based on the assumption that the Gibbs energy of formation for the oxygen vacancy is determined mainly by
next-neighbor interactions [46]. This assumption is in agreement with DFT calculations [47]. In order to obtain a smooth derivative \( dg_0^V / dx \) [see Eq. (5)], we chose to model \( g_0^V \) as a polynomial in the first unit cell with a derivative of zero at the unit cell boundaries (see Table I).

The continuity equation [Eq. (4)] is solved in 1D using the backward differentiation formula implemented in COMSOL Multiphysics. Because electrons have relaxation times many orders of magnitude shorter than the simulated time steps, the electrons are assumed to adapt to the oxygen vacancy redistribution and achieve a new drift-diffusion balance instantaneously (dynamic equilibrium). Thus, the electrostatic potential and electron profile are calculated by solving Poisson’s equation together with the continuity equation of oxygen vacancies. The parameters are listed in Table I.

## III. RESULTS

The initial state of our simulation resembles the often assumed picture of oxygen-vacancy-based 2D electron systems: the oxygen vacancies are confined to the interface region, where they cause a positive space charge, while the electrons are spread slightly further into the bulk. As shown in Fig. 1(c), the electron concentration is around \( 5 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) at the interface and decreases by 10 orders of magnitude, reaching the bulk concentration after a couple of hundred micrometers. On the first 4 nm, the electron concentration drops by one magnitude and, thus, the electron system can be viewed as confined on the nanometer scale. Over course of time, however, the (slow) motion of ionic defects in response to the concentration gradient, electric field distribution, and energy landscape leads to a departure from this simple depth profile.

### A. Simulated oxygen vacancy redistribution

As shown in Fig. 2(a), even at room temperature, where ionic motion is typically sluggish, a complex depth profile is established on the timescale of a couple of hours to days. The profiles show three features: (1) Oxygen vacancies accumulate close to the interface, within the first nanometer, due to the oxygen vacancy trapping energy. (2) An oxygen vacancy depletion layer forms on the next couple of nanometers. Here, the standard chemical potential for oxygen vacancies \( g_0^V \) has returned to its bulk value, while the positive space charge at the interface pushes the oxygen vacancies deeper into the bulk. (3) A diffusion front of oxygen vacancies has progressed further into the bulk. This redistribution is driven by the concentration gradient of the oxygen vacancies and by the internal electric field (ambipolar diffusion). The oxygen vacancy accumulation (feature 1) and the accompanying depletion layer (feature 2) are caused by the oxygen vacancy trapping energy and the resulting force acting on the oxygen vacancies. Without this force that holds the vacancies at the interface, the oxygen vacancies spread from the interface into the bulk and the profiles only show the ambipolar diffusion front (feature 3). Simulated profiles without an oxygen vacancy trapping energy are shown in Sec. SM4 of the Supplemental Material [79].

After 14 days, the oxygen vacancy concentration at the interface is around \( 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3} \). As shown in Fig. 2(a), the accompanying depletion layer extends from approximately 1 nm to 10 nm \( (\nu \approx 1 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}) \), and the diffusion front has reached a depth of about 100 nm \( (\nu \approx 6 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}) \). The electrons are only held close to the interface by the positive...
space charge due to the accumulated interfacial oxygen vacancies. Thus, with the oxygen vacancies diffusing into the bulk, the electrons also redistribute: At 300 K, their concentration at the interface is around $5 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$. The oxygen vacancy diffusion front gives rise to a shoulder in the electron profile: the concentration only drops to $1 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ at 100 nm. It is noteworthy that while the oxygen vacancies show depletion in the region from 1 nm to 10 nm, the electron concentration is still quite large in this region. The lowered concentration of scattering centers, here, causes a layer of electrons with high mobility at low temperatures.

After 9 months, the oxygen vacancy concentration close to the interface has dropped further and reached a value of $4 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$. The depletion layer has slightly extended (to 15 nm) and now reaches concentrations as low as $3 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$. The diffusion front has progressed to a depth of 500 nm ($\mu \approx 1 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$). The electron concentration at 300 K has dropped to $9 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ on the first nanometer and $3 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ at a depth of 500 nm.

### B. Comparison to conductivity measurements

In order to compare our simulation results to the experimental data in Fig. 2(b), we extracted the sheet conductivity and mobility as would be measured in temperature-dependent conductivity experiments from our calculations. With the oxygen vacancy profile at a certain time, e.g., 14 days, Poisson’s equation was solved for several temperatures. This yields the electron profiles for each of these temperatures. The local electron mobility $\mu_{\text{local}}$ was then calculated as function of temperature and local density of scattering centers $N_{\text{scatter}}$ based on the empirical relation found by Moos and Härddt [73-76],

$$\mu_{\text{local}}(x) = \frac{2.5 \times 10^{22}}{8.1 \times 10^{14}(T/K)^3} \cdot \frac{N_{\text{scatter}}(x)}{cm^{-3}} \cdot \frac{V}{s},$$

with $N_{\text{scatter}}(x) = e(x) + N_{\text{impurities}}$; i.e., oxygen vacancies and acceptor-type impurities are treated as scattering centers for electrons. While the scattering on impurities and oxygen vacancies limits the mobility at low temperatures it becomes insignificant at higher temperatures where other scattering mechanisms dominate [61,77]. In Eq. (6), this dependence is phenomenologically described by the power law.

We define the average electron mobility $\mu$ as the ratio of sheet conductance to sheet carrier density obtained by integrating the local conductivity and carrier density over the entire SrTiO$_3$ slab thickness:

$$\mu = \frac{f \sigma(x) dx}{e f n(x) dx} = \frac{\mu_{\text{local}}(x) n(x) dx}{n_S}. \quad (7)$$

Schütz et al. measured the temperature dependence of the sheet resistance $R_S$, sheet carrier density $n_S$, and mobility $\mu$ for $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ samples before and after a storage period (several months at room temperature) [47]. Figure 2(b) shows that the data extracted from the simulation results are in good agreement with the measured data, indicating that the change in electrical properties observed during storage can be explained consistently by the redistribution of oxygen vacancies in the vicinity of the interface.

### C. Impact of oxygen vacancy redistribution on the low-temperature mobility

The simulated profiles allow a detailed analysis of how the oxygen vacancy redistribution at room temperature influences the low-temperature mobility. Our simulations show an increase in the averaged electron mobility $\mu$ at 2 K upon room temperature storage on a timescale of several months. This mobility enhancement has previously been observed in measurements by Schütz et al. [47] after several months of storage in a vacuum desiccator. In Fig. 3(a), the two measured low-temperature mobilities—determined 13 days and 8 months after deposition—are compared to the simulated development of the low-temperature mobility. The data extracted from our simulation show excellent agreement with the measured data, considering that the parameters were not further optimized to fit the measurements (see Table I).

Based on our simulations, the origin of the mobility enhancement can now be understood in greater detail: With Fig. 3(b), we will now discuss how much each region contributes to the sheet conductance and how this develops over time. This is closely related to the confinement of the electron system, which is especially important for designing devices utilizing the novel and versatile physics that arise at these heterointerfaces.

At low temperatures, the profiles of oxygen vacancies and background impurities determine the local mobility [Fig. 3(b), top]. In the bulk, these concentrations are given by $N_{\text{impurities}} \approx 2 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-3}$ and, according to Eq. (6), the electron mobility then amounts to $1.6 \times 10^{3}$ cm$^2$/V s (at $T = 2$ K). In the local mobility profile [Fig. 3(b), second from top], this is also the maximum for the electron mobility, because the additional oxygen vacancies that were introduced at the interface only reduce the mobility. Thus, the local mobility is lowest close to the interface and shows a local maximum in the oxygen vacancy depletion layer.

The product of local mobility and electron concentration yields the local conductivity [Fig. 3(b), third from top]. Because of the low electron concentration in the bulk, the conductivity there is negligible. In the oxygen vacancy depletion layer, however, the enhanced mobility and the large electron concentration give rise to a highly conductive region close to the interface. In addition, the region up to and slightly beyond the diffusion front shows significant conductivity.

Throughout the period from 14 days to 9 months, the local conductivity on the first 150 nm remains nearly unchanged: While the vacancy concentration in this region decreases with time increasing the mobility, also the electron concentration drops lowering the number of charge carriers. However in the region from 150 nm to around 1.5 $\mu$m, the progress of the diffusion front during the storage time leads to a significant increase in conductivity.

The integral of the local conductivity plotted over the distance from the interface $x$ [Fig. 3(b), bottom] illustrates how the total conductance is composed: The profiles at 14 days result in a total conductance of around 10 S ($T = 2$ K). The highly conductive region in the oxygen depletion layer yields 3 S and the region up to the diffusion front adds another 7 S. After 9 months, the first 150 nm still contribute around 10 S, but now the total conductance amounts to around 30 S. The
enhanced conduction mainly originates from the progress of the oxygen vacancy diffusion front deeper into the bulk. Consequently, our model indicates that electrical measurements of such samples might be strongly influenced by the progress of oxygen vacancy diffusion into the bulk and thus by the exact sample history. For designing devices, this means the electron system loses confinement on the scale of several hundred nanometers; about two-thirds of the conductance does not originate close to the interface and, thus, will likely not be influenced by the physics at the heterointerface.

D. Influence of the oxygen scavenging layer

In this model, the influence of the oxygen scavenging layer is (1) to form the initial oxygen vacancy distribution, (2) to serve as a blocking layer preventing diffusion of oxygen vacancies across the interface, and (3) to provide an interface that restrains the diffusion of oxygen vacancies into the bulk due to the trapping energy $\Delta g^0_v$. A different choice for oxygen scavenging layer or a modification of the interface will likely result in a different value for $\Delta g^0_v$. In our simulations, we are able to tune this parameter to study its influence on the low-temperature mobility and oxygen vacancy redistribution.

Figure 4(a) shows the development of the low-temperature mobility over time for oxygen vacancy trapping energies in the range of $0$ to $-1.4$ eV. For weak oxygen vacancy trapping ($\Delta g^0_v \geq -0.2$ eV), the simulations show an enhancement of low-temperature mobility over months and years. This can be attributed to the diffusion of oxygen vacancies into the bulk as discussed in the previous section (see Sec. SM4 of the Supplemental Material [79] for profiles with $\Delta g^0_v = 0$ eV). Consequently, oxygen vacancy trapping energies in this range agree well with the electrical measurements by Schütz et al. [47].

By contrast, strong oxygen vacancy trapping ($\Delta g^0_v \leq -0.5$ eV) causes a mobility enhancement within a couple of days that then persists over years. As can be seen in Fig. 4(b), the force caused by $\Delta g^0_v = -0.5$ eV becomes strong enough to largely suppress the diffusion of oxygen vacancies into the bulk; instead the oxygen vacancies are mainly pulled to the interface and trapped there. An oxygen vacancy depletion layer develops close to the interface within days and remains stable over several years.

The effect of this oxygen vacancy distribution on the low-temperature properties of the heterostructure is shown in Fig. 4(c). On first sight, a local minimum in the electron profiles at low temperatures becomes apparent. It results from the defect distribution and the reduced screening length at low temperatures. A detailed discussion can be found in Sec. SM5 of the Supplemental Material [79].

In the oxygen vacancy depletion layer, the oxygen vacancy concentration drops far below the bulk value and the local electron mobility approaches its upper limit $2.4 \times 10^5$ cm$^2$/Vs (at $T = 2$ K) that is determined by the background concentration of impurities. Together with the large electron concentration in this region, this results in a highly conductive layer close to the interface. While there is an oxygen vacancy diffusion front that progresses into the bulk,
it has no significant effect on the conductance. Because the mobility becomes mostly independent of the oxygen vacancy profile, further lowering the oxygen vacancy trapping energy below $\Delta g_{v}^{0} = -0.5$ eV only insignificantly influences the low-temperature mobility [cf. Fig. 4(a)].

This electron system only shows significant conductivity close to the interface; i.e., it is confined and its conductance will likely be strongly influenced by the physics of the heterointerface. The stable confinement is achieved within days and remains stable over years. Furthermore, the low-temperature mobility reaches close to the upper limit given by the background concentration of impurities. This suggests that SrTiO$_3$-based heterointerfaces can be optimized by finding a system with an oxygen vacancy trapping energy below $\Delta g_{v}^{0} = -0.5$ eV. More generally, searching for oxygen-vacancy-based 2D electron systems with a large oxygen vacancy trapping energy and a low impurity concentration might be a promising approach to finding a strongly confined, highly conductive 2D electron system.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented simulations of the oxygen vacancy redistribution at oxygen-vacancy-based heterointerfaces, considering three driving forces acting on the oxygen vacancy profile: diffusion due to a concentration gradient, drift due to an internal electric field, and the effect of an oxygen vacancy trapping energy $\Delta g_{v}^{0}$ at the interface. We show that the redistribution of oxygen vacancies causes complex concentration profiles to develop at room temperature within days and to continue to develop over years. Oxide heterostructures with conductivity arising from oxygen vacancies are hence expected to change their properties over time.

With an oxygen vacancy trapping energy $\Delta g_{v}^{0} = -0.2$ eV, we were able to describe experimental conductivity data [47] of a $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ system and gain insight into the oxygen vacancy redistribution: The oxygen vacancies and electrons introduced at the interface diffuse into the bulk (ambipolar diffusion). The oxygen vacancy trapping energy causes an accumulation of oxygen vacancies at the interface, which is accompanied by an oxygen vacancy depletion layer on the nanometer scale. This results in a highly conductive region close to the interface with a width of a few nanometers. From the depletion layer to a little further than the diffusion front, a region of enhanced conductivity is established that significantly contributes to the conductance. This region broadens with the progress of the diffusion front. This loss in confinement leads to an enhanced low-temperature conductance after several months at room temperature, which agrees with previous measurements [47]. Consequently, the oxygen vacancy profile can be expected to strongly depend on the exact sample history, and this can impact all properties of 2D electron systems that are sensitive to the oxygen vacancy distribution or more generally the confinement, e.g., magnetism [8] or magnetoresistivity [78].

In our simulations, we found that lowering the oxygen vacancy trapping energy to $\Delta g_{v}^{0} = -0.5$ eV or below...
would prevent this loss of confinement: The oxygen vacancies mainly redistribute to the interface, they are strongly depleted close to the interface, and the ambipolar diffusion becomes negligible. In the depletion layer, the electron concentration is large, while the oxygen vacancy concentration is so low that the low-temperature electron mobility is only several centimeters per second. Consequently, engineering the interface to prevent this loss of confinement: The oxygen vacancy trapping energy might create a strong and stable electron-defect separation, which enables a highly conductive and confined SrTiO$_3$-based 2D electron system.
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