



Membrane dynamics of y-secretase with the anterior pharynx-defective 1B subunit

Dehury, Budheswar; Kepp, Kasper Planeta

Published in: Journal of Cellular Biochemistry

Link to article, DOI: 10.1002/jcb.29832

Publication date: 2021

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Dehury, B., & Kepp, K. P. (2021). Membrane dynamics of γ-secretase with the anterior pharynx-defective 1B subunit. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, 122(1), 69-85. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.29832

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Membrane Dynamics of γ-Secretase with the Anterior Pharynx-Defective 1B Subunit Budheswar Dehury and Kasper P. Kepp\* Technical University of Denmark, DTU Chemistry, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark \* Corresponding author. E-mail: kpj@kemi.dtu.dk; Phone: +45-45252409 RUNNING TITLE: γ-Secretase with the Anterior Pharynx-Defective 1B ORCID: Budheswar Dehury: 0000-0002-9726-8454 Kasper P. Kepp: 0000-0002-6754-7348 Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge computational resources from DTU High-Performance Computing (HPC) facility, Lyngby, DTU. The Novo Nordisk Foundation, grant NNF17OC0028860, is gratefully acknowledged for supporting this work. 

#### Abstract

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

The four-subunit protease complex γ-secretase cleaves many single-pass transmembrane substrates, including Notch and β-amyloid precursor protein to generate Aβ, central to Alzheimer's disease. Two of the subunits, anterior pharynx-defective 1 (APH1) and presenilin (PS), exist in two homologous forms, APH1-A and APH1-B, and PS1 and PS2. The consequences of these variations are poorly understood and could affect AB production and ysecretase medicine. Here, we developed the first complete structural model of the APH-1B subunit using the published cryo-EM structures of APH1-A (PDB: 5FN2, 5A63 and 6IYC). We then performed all-atom molecular dynamics simulations at 303 K in a realistic bilayer system to understand both APH-1B alone and in y-secretase without and with substrate C83 bound. We show that APH-1B adopts a 7TM topology with a water channel topology similar to APH-1A. We demonstrate direct transport of water through this channel, mainly via Glu84, Arg87, His170, and His196. The apo and holo states closely resemble the experimental cryo-EM structures with APH-1A, however with subtle differences: The substrate-bound APH-1Bγ-secretase was quite stable, but some TM helices of PS1 and APH-1B rearranged in the membrane consistent with the disorder seen in the cryo-EM data. This produces different accessibility of water molecules for the catalytic aspartates of PS1, critical for Aß production. In particular, we find that the typical distance between the catalytic aspartates of PS1 and the C83 cleavage sites are shorter in APH-1B, i.e. it represents a more closed state, due to interactions with the C-terminal fragment of PS1. Our structural-dynamic model of APH-1B alone and in γ-secretase suggests generally similar topology but some notable differences in water accessibility which may be relevant to the protein's existence in two forms and their specific function and location.

50

51

52

**Keywords:** γ-secretase; Alzheimer's disease; APH1-B; molecular dynamics, membrane protein

## Introduction

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

Alzheimer's disease (AD), the major neurodegenerative disease that affects tens of millions of people worldwide and causes gradual impairment of memory, cognition, and identify, is linked to deposits of aggregated amyloid-β peptides (Aβ) in senile plaques.[Blennow et al., 2015; Selkoe and Hardy, 2016] The Aβ peptides are formed by cleavage of the β-amyloid precursor protein (APP) by  $\beta$ -secretase, giving first the C99 fragment, and then  $\gamma$ -secretase, giving A $\beta$ peptides of different lengths. [Esch et al., 1990; Golde et al., 1992; Julia and Goate, 2017; Takami et al., 2009; Vassar et al., 1999] Of the two most predominant isoforms, the shorter 40residue Aβ<sub>40</sub> has natural functions [Kepp, 2017; Lee et al., 2004a; Smith et al., 2002] and is less toxic than A\(\beta\_{42}\), which has two more hydrophobic residues, often forms oligomers and aggregates. [Cheung et al., 2006; Hardy and Higgins, 1992] and could be a molecular culprit of AD.[Sun et al., 2016; Tang and Kepp, 2018] γ-secretase is an integral membrane aspartyl protease complex comprised of four discrete protein subunits, nicastrin, presenilin (PS1/PS2), anterior pharynx-defective 1 (APH-1A/B), and presenilin enhancer 2 (PEN-2)[Kimberly et al., 2003; Sato et al., 2007]. The membrane protease is a major player in membrane protein turnover as it catalyzes intramembrane hydrolysis of more than 100 single-pass TM substrates with diverse cellular functions.[Haapasalo and Kovacs, 2011] Mutations in the catalytic subunit PS1/PS2 and in the substrate APP are the main causes of early-onset familial AD, suggesting that disease somehow correlates with changes in APP processing. [Luukkainen et al., 2019] Most of these mutations tend to lower enzyme activity and increase the ratio of formed A\beta\_{42}/A\beta\_{40}, a tendency that significantly correlates with the clinical onset of the disease in mutation carriers. [Sun et al., 2016; Tang and Kepp, 2018] This ratio could cause disease either by toxic gain of function of Aβ<sub>42</sub>[Cheung et al., 2006; Hardy and Higgins, 1992; Pauwels et al., 2012] or by loss of natural function of A $\beta_{40}$ [Kepp, 2016] or other substrates of  $\gamma$ -secretase.[Shen and Kelleher, 2007] For these reasons, the processing efficiency of y-secretase is central to understanding AD and

therapeutic efforts to modulate it are ongoing.[Crump et al., 2013; Golde et al., 2013; Imbimbo and Giardina, 2011]

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

Among the subunits of y-secretase, APH-1 is a 7TM protein that aids the trafficking and assembly of the enzyme complex.[Francis et al., 2002; Goutte et al., 2002; Sun et al., 2015] In humans, APH-1 is encoded by two homologous genes APH-1A and APH-1B, and APH-1A includes two alternatively spliced transcripts forming APH-1A(L-large) and APH-1A(Ssmall).[Gu et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2002] APH-1A and APH-1B associate with PS1/2, NCT and PEN-2 to produce active γ-secretase complexes with diverse biochemical and physiological properties and locations.[Araki et al., 2006; Serneels et al., 2009; Shirotani et al., 2004] Despite this heterogeneity, all structural studies and most biochemical studies have so far concentrated on APH-1A as the preferred model of γ-secretase and Aβ production. Understanding how APH-1B affects the structure, dynamics and function of the complex is thus of substantial interest. APH-1A has been suggested to form a water channel of the membrane-bound enzyme complex, but whether APH-1B does the same is unknown. [Aguayo-Ortiz and Dominguez, 2019] APH-1B γ-secretase seems to play a special role in processing of the membrane-bound signaling molecule neuregulin-1(NRG-1).[Dejaegere et al., 2008; Fazzari et al., 2014] Inactivation of APH-1B-y-secretase in a mouse AD model may improve some AD-related features without any Notch-related side effects, [Serneels et al., 2009] whereas low expression of APH-1B may cause neurodevelopmental phenotypes in rats.[Coolen et al., 2006] Importantly, APH-1B has been reported to increase the Aβ<sub>42</sub>/Aβ<sub>40</sub> ratio without modifying εcleavage position.[Lessard et al., 2015] These various studies suggest that APH-1B does not

With the advancements in cryogenic-electron microscopy (Cryo-EM) structures, γ-secretase has been characterized at near atomic resolution in both the apo state (PDB: 5A63, 5FN2, 5FN3, 5FN4 and 5FN5) and as substrate-bound holo states (6IYC and 6IDF).[Bai et al.,

work identically to APH-1A in the protein complex.

2015b, 2015a; Sun et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2019] These structures have opened new avenues for a mechanistic understanding of AD. All of these structures involve the APH-1A subunit, APH-1B not yet structurally characterized. We also note that the cryo-EM structures represent compositions on a film, which structurally resemble a mixture of the states in lipid and water, whereas the real protein complex acts dynamically at physiological temperature in a complete membrane. Both the membrane and the temperature work together to expand the protein's conformation states, as recently shown by direct comparison of the experimental and simulated ensembles at high and low temperature, and with and without membrane. [Mehra et al., 2020] Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations are thus essential to understand the physiological temperature dynamics of this important protein complex in realistic membrane models on the background of the experimental structural constraints, as now intensely pursued in several dedicated computational chemistry labs. [Aguayo-Ortiz et al., 2018; Aguayo-Ortiz and Dominguez, 2018; Dehury et al., 2019a, 2019b, 2019c; Dominguez et al., 2016; Hitzenberger and Zacharias, 2019a; Kong et al., 2015; Mehra et al., 2020; Petit et al., 2019; Somavarapu and Kepp, 2017]

We present here the first structural models, obtained from homology modeling, of both APH-1B alone and APH-1B- $\gamma$ -secretase in both the apo- and substrate-bound states. These structural models were then embedded in complete water-bilayer systems and studied in triplicate by all-atom MD simulations. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study of the structure and dynamics of APH-1B both alone and inside  $\gamma$ -secretase. Our study notably identifies the conformational dynamics of  $\gamma$ -secretase with APH-1B inside a realistic membrane model at relevant temperature, identifies a water conductance channel in the structures, and quantifies several different conformational states of APH-1B- $\gamma$ -secretase as compared to the APH-1A isoform.

# **Computational methods**

# Molecular Modeling of APH-1B alone

The three-dimensional structure of APH-1B subunit is not known. However, APH-1A and APH-1B (257 amino acids, UniProtKB ID: Q8WW43) share ~57% sequence identity. To model APH-1B, we used BLASTp[Altschul et al., 1997] to search for experimental protein structures in the protein data bank (PDB) as optimal templates for homology modeling. As expected, BLAST suggested the cryo-EM structures of γ-secretase APH-1A subunits (5FN2, 5A63 and 6IYC) as the most reliable templates with high query coverage. The templates feature the same APH-1A subunit and very similar topology (Cα-RMSD of 0.32 Å (5FN2/5A63), 0.59 Å (5A63/6IYC), and 0.67 Å (5FN2/6IYC). We used the evaluation criteria of Modeller version 9.23[Webb and Sali, 2017] to characterize the structures by their least discrete optimized protein energy (DOPE). The structures were then optimized using the loop model protocol in Modeller and the Galaxy refine tool.[Heo et al., 2013] The model with least Cα-RMSD vs. the templates was selected and validated by SAVES version 5.0 (https://servicesn.mbi.ucla.edu/SAVES/) and Molprobity.[Chen et al., 2010] Based on the model validation statistics (Table S1), APH-1B structures used to build different forms of γ-secretase were chosen as described in further detail below.

# Constructing a model of $\gamma$ -secretase with APH-1B subunit

We developed four systems for all-atom molecular dynamics simulation i.e., one of APH-1B alone in a membrane and three  $\gamma$ -secretase states with APH-1B in a membrane (apo-state with both catalytic aspartates deprotonated, apo-state with protonated Asp-257, and C83-bound).

For the apo state, we used the cryo-EM structure of  $\gamma$ -secretase resolved at 4.2 Å resolution (PDB ID: 5FN2) as the initial template.[Bai et al., 2015a] The missing side-chains of the

structure were built using the WHAT-IF server.[Hekkelman et al., 2010] The long intracellular loop 2 (264 to 377 amino acids of PS1) bridging TM6 and TM7 of the PS1 component was not included due to the lack of available structural information; since we are mainly interested in APH-1A/1B differences, the absence of these structural data in PS1 should be less critical to our work. Then the complete γ-secretase model was developed upon structural superposition and coordinate transfer of each subunit using PyMOL version 2.0, (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.0 Schrödinger, LLC.), replacing APH-1A with our modeled APH-1B subunit. was The APH-1B-γ-secretase complex was then embedded in a POPC bilayer using CHARMM36m.[Huang et al., 2016].

We simulated two distinct γ-secretase models (apo forms), one with both catalytic residues (Asp257 and Asp385 of PS1) deprotonated, and the other with protonated Asp257. Previous pKa calculations of both experimental and simulated structures have shown that these are the only likely protonation states, with deprotonation being most prevalent at neutral pH.[Mehra et al., 2020] BIOVIA Discovery Studio Visualizer (Dassault Systèmes BIOVIA, BIOVIA DSV, 4.5, San Diego: Dassault Systèmes, 2019) was used to remove steric clashes before merging the model with the membrane-solvent system. The arrangement of γ-secretase with respect to the lipid bilayer was obtained from the Orientation of Proteins in Membranes (OPM) server.[Lomize et al., 2012] For the substrate-bound model, the initial 3D coordinates were adopted from 6IYC.[Zhou et al., 2019] After removal of hetero-atoms, the mutations Q112C and D385A in the catalytic subunit PS1 were converted to the wild type using PyMOL, as these are necessary artifacts of the experimental protocol required to keep the substrate inside the protein.

#### **MD** simulations

The atomic details and compositions of all the studied systems are summarized in **Table S2**. We used the CHARMM-GUI membrane builder[Jo et al., 2008] to insert the proteins into a

hydrated, equilibrated bilayer composed of 2-oleoyl-1-palmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) molecules in the upper and lower leaflet. Sodium and chloride ions were added to neutralize the system, reaching a final concentration of approximately 150 mM. Each of the above-described systems were simulated by three independent MD simulations of 500 ns using GROMACS version 2018.5[Abraham et al., 2015] applying the CHARMM36m force field and the TIP3P water model. The input systems were first subjected to energy minimization, equilibration, and then production simulation using the GROMACS input scripts generated by the CHARMM-GUI. Each system was energy minimized using 5,000 steps of steepest descent, followed by 1 ns of NVT (constant particle number, volume, and temperature) and NPT (constant particle number, pressure, and temperature) equilibrations. The van der Waals interactions were evaluated using a switching cutoff at 10–12 Å, whereas the long-range electrostatic interactions were calculated using the particle-mesh Ewald procedure. The temperature and pressure were held at 303.15 K and 1 bar, respectively.

During the production simulations, an NPT ensemble was used with semi-isotropic pressure coupling via the Parrinello–Rahman barostat method, whereas the Nose–Hoover thermostat was used to maintain a temperature of 303.15 K. A leapfrog integration scheme was used, and all covalent bonds were constrained. We used a time-step of 2 ps during both NPT equilibration and production MD simulations.

## **Analysis of MD Trajectories**

All simulations were analyzed using the inbuilt GROMACS tools and FATSLiM tools.[Buchoux, 2017] The 2-dimensional plots were generated with XMGRACE (http://plasma-gate.weizmann.ac.il/Grace/), whereas structures were rendered using PyMOL. Deuterium order parameters, the density of the membrane components, and the area per lipid head group were computed using FATSLiM. Principal component analysis (PCA) was employed to identify the large-scale collective motions of main-chain atoms of each system

using the last 300 ns trajectories of each simulation, since equilibration typically takes 1-200 ns for these large membrane-embedded protein complexes, i.e. the first 1-200 ns may contain artefacts of the non-equilibrated starting models.[Dehury et al., 2019b; Somavarapu and Kepp, 2017] Clustering analysis was performed with the *gmx cluster* utility toolkit and a cut-off of 0.2 nm to select the most representative structures of each simulated ensemble, using the last 300 ns of each trajectory.

#### Results and discussion

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

216

# Sequence and structure differences between APH-1A and APH-1B

Pair-wise sequence alignment using MultAlin (Figure 1A) shows that APH-1A and APH-1B share 75% sequence similarity and 57% identity. The N-terminal is more conserved than the Cterminal, and there are variations in the loops connecting the TM segments. As described in methods, we used the APH-1A structures solved by cryo-EM (5FN2, 5A63 and 6IYC) as templates to construct a complete model of the human APH-1B subunit. Based on Procheck[Laskowski et al., 1993], 97.8% (221) of the residues of our model were in the most favored regions and 2.2% (5 residues) were in additional favored regions, with no residues in disallowed regions of the Ramachandran plot (Figure 1B), indicating the high quality of our proposed model. These results were confirmed by Molprobity[Chen et al., 2010], giving 99.2% residues in favored regions with no residues having poorly described rotamers and bonds. Similarly, 81.4% of the residues had 3D-1D scores > 0.2 as calculated by Verify 3D,[Lüthy et al., 1992] and the overall quality score of 94.6 from ERRAT[Colovos and Yeates, 1993] shows that the modeled structure is very realistic by any of the normal structural assessment standards. The 7TM helical topological architecture of our modelled APH-1B with conserved (red) and variable (white) regions is shown in Figure 1C. The Gly122, Gly126, and Gly130 residues (Figure 1A and 1D) in TM4 constitute a part of the membrane GxxxG motif, which is essential for stable association of APH-1A(L) with the other subunits by helix-helix interactions and highly conserved. [Araki et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2004b] Structural superimposition of our APH-1B model with APH-1A from 5FN2, 5A63, and 6IYC (Figure 1D) gave Cα-RMSD values of 0.47, 0.37, and 0.46 Å, respectively, i.e. our proposed model fully respects the structural constraints from the experimental structures. There are some minor differences in the loops bridging the TMs (Figure 1D) as expected from the different sequences (Figure 1A). Whether these differences become more pronounced upon full equilibration of the model by MD is analyzed below.

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

242

243

## All-atom dynamics of APH-1B in a realistic membrane structure

Since APH-1B as well as  $\gamma$ -secretase are membrane proteins, understanding their structures and dynamics in the context of a membrane is essential, with membrane proteins typically adopting distinct conformational states in membranes that may contribute to protein activity. [Corradi et al., 2019; Zhuang et al., 2014, 2016] Our APH-1B systems were comprised of 240 POPC lipids, 18,611 water molecules, and 49 Na<sup>+</sup> and 56 Cl<sup>-</sup> ions. In order to assess whether our protein-lipid-water system is realistic, we computed various membrane properties, including the deuterium order parameters, the local density of the membrane components, and the distribution of area per lipid for each system (Figure S1 and S2). The computed membrane order parameters compare excellently with the experimental data for equivalent proteinmembrane systems. [Zhuang et al., 2016] In particular, the deuterium order parameters with the splitting near the lipid head-group closely resemble experimental values. Artificial changes in the simulated membrane structure would be revealed from the density profiles, but our values are close to experiment, indicating that the overall membrane structure integrity is maintained (Figure S1). The average lipid per unit area of ~0.62 nm resembles that expected for CHARMM36m simulations. [Lyubartsev and Rabinovich, 2016] The dynamical stability of our systems were monitored by computing the backbone RMSD relative to the starting structure across the full 500 ns of each triplicate simulation, as shown in Figure 2A. The systems reached stable conformational ensembles after ~150 ns and maintained a horizontal trend with an average RMSD of ~2.8-3.2 Å. The variation in RMSD implies somewhat different conformational states of APH-1B being sampled, as explored further below. The root-mean square fluctuations (RMSF) of each Cα-atom of APH-1B averaged over the trajectories are displayed in Figure 2B. The TMs (3-26: TM1, 31-57: TM2,

65-104: TM3, 113-138: TM4, 156-183: TM5, 186-204: TM6 and 213-229: TM7) displayed reduced flexibility, enforced further by their embedding in the membrane, whereas the loops bridging TM2 -TM3 and TM4-TM5 were highly flexible. We note the general very good agreement between the three independent simulations indicating that the obtained dynamics of APH-1B are reproducible and significant.

To ensure that the simulated structures remain realistic, we compared the most representative ensemble structures from cluster analysis to the experimental APH-1A structure (5FN2) (**Figure 2C**). The C $\alpha$ -RMSD values of 2.54, 2.17 and 1.97 Å are very reasonable since our model represents free APH-1B alone in a membrane, whereas the experimental structure represents APH-1A in the  $\gamma$ -secretase in a chemical composition somewhere between water and a lipid system, on a sample film. The APH-1B loops are dynamic, as expected.

### **Active water channel in APH-1B**

The electrostatic surface potential for the experimental APH-1A (5FN2) and for our three ensemble-representative APH-1B structures (**Figure S3**) indicates different charge distributions near TM5 and adjacent loops. A large cavity is formed adjacent to TM2 and TM3, which may be due to the flexibility of the loops in C-terminal TM segments. The obtained cavities with variable interior space resemble different states of a membrane solvent channel, with indications of both an open and more closed structure (**Figure S3**).

To understand the obtained APH-1B ensemble better, we performed PCA on the last 300 ns of each trajectory, with the eigenvalues of first two eigenvectors (EVs) and the projection of the movements of main-chain atoms summarized in **Figure S4A-S4B**. Simulation 1 (blue) represents a more open conformational ensemble, whereas simulation 2 (red) is in-between and simulation 3 is the least variable (most compact) state. To understand these variations, we generated porcupine plots for top EVs containing the major movements (**Figure S4C**). Consistent with the RMSF analysis, most TMs were rigid, and the loop bridging TM4-TM5

was flexible. We also identify a significant inward motion of the C-terminal of TM2 and N-terminal of TM3, (these two TMs are somewhat separated from the remaining TMs), which correlates with the opening and closing of the cavity in the membrane.

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

We note that the cryo-EM structure of the APH-1A subunit within γ-secretase (PDB: 5FN3 solved at 4.1 Å) already indicates a central cavity similar to small molecule/ligand recognition sites in G-protein coupled receptors (GPCR). [Sun et al., 2015] In addition, a recent study has shown that the central region of APH-1A (mostly occupied by TM3) possesses lower hydropathy due to the polar residues in the cavity.[Aguayo-Ortiz et al., 2018] Our obtained APH-1B cavities are thus not unusual, yet manifest very clearly. Crystallographic GPCR structures and MD simulations indicate that the polar central cavity enables entry of water molecules into the membrane, which is usually excluded. [Lee et al., 2016] These water molecules play important regulatory and activation roles in GPCRs.[Angel et al., 2009] To understand if this is the case also for APH-1B, we inspected our ensemble-representative structures and identified multiple water-containing sites within the membrane/TM region. As for GPCRs, we find that the water-containing cavity of APH-1B crosses the middle part of the membrane and almost reaches the intracellular space. It is well-known that water influx into GPCR central cavities allows the occasional transport of water molecules from one cellular compartment to another[Lee et al., 2016; Tomobe et al., 2017] and we thus consider this analogy for APH-1B to be of interest.

More specifically, we found that a variable number (average ~37) of water molecules crossed APH-1B and formed a continuous water channel within the membrane-protein system (**Figure S5** and **S6**). We observed multiple events of complete transfer of water molecules at the studied time scale (200–500 ns) both from the extracellular to the intracellular space and vice-versa. Close inspection of the MD snapshots revealed that TM3, TM4, TM5, and TM6 interact with the water molecules during translocation (**Figures S5-S6**). The water molecules interacted particularly with the polar residues Glu84, Arg87, His170, and His196. Interestingly,

both APH-1A and APH-1B harbors strongly conserved histidines His170 and His196, which is consistent with a possible conversed role in water transportation across the bilayer.

A water channel is likely to be regulated by conformational changes of the membraneprotein system. To understand such effects, we monitored the dynamic changes in the helix tilt
angles of TM2, TM4, TM5 and TM6, which were found to vary considerably (**Figure S7**).

Since lipid headgroups play a vital role in membrane protein function, [Bogdanov et al., 2008]
we analyzed the APH-1B residues that consistently formed hydrogen bonds with the POPC
headgroups (**Figure S8**). We observed that positively charged arginine and lysine as well as
cysteine at the cytoplasmic edge of the TM helices hydrogen bonded with the headgroups.

# Dynamics of γ-Secretase complex with APH-1B

Whereas the results above concerned the free APH-1B in a membrane, in the following we discuss our analogous results for the complete APH-1B- $\gamma$ -secretase system. The structure, stability, and functions of a membrane protein depend on its orientation in the membrane, the spatial distribution of amino acid residues within the membrane protein, and the lipid composition.[Bondar and White, 2012; Lomize et al., 2006, 2012; Ng et al., 2014] This also applies to  $\gamma$ -secretase, whose lipid environment is known to affect the biological activity.[Aguayo-Ortiz et al., 2018]  $\gamma$ -secretase tends to remain active in a membrane composed solely of phosphatidylcholine (PC) lipids, but the substrate cleavage activity is modulated by the membrane structure and composition.[Osenkowski et al., 2008] Thicker membranes favor the production of A $\beta$ 40, while thinner membranes favor A $\beta$ 42 production,[Osenkowski et al., 2008; Winkler et al., 2012] in good agreement with the Fit-Induce-Stay-Trim (FIST) model, where more compact, hydrophobic, tight "grapping" of the substrate in the semi-open state, controlled by TM2, TM3, TM6, and TM9 of PS1, leads to stabilized enzyme-substrate interactions, longer retention and extended cleavage of C99 to shorter A $\beta$ .[Somavarapu and Kepp, 2016, 2017] Accordingly, more carbons in the lipid chains

increase the activity of  $\gamma$ -secretase and reduce the A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 ratio,[Holmes et al., 2012] which correlates with the clinical onset of familial AD.[Sun et al., 2016; Tang and Kepp, 2018]

For these reasons, the ambient temperature dynamics in a realistic membrane model, as can be obtained from MD simulations, are thus an important supplement to the cryo-EM structural data needed to understand the structure-dynamics-function relations, in particular in relation to substrate binding and cleavage of C83 to produce Aβ.[Mehra et al., 2020]

To ensure that the complex membrane-water-protein ensembles are realistically described, we computed the order parameters (S<sub>cd</sub>), membrane properties, area per lipid distribution of the sampled lipid bilayers as a necessary first quality control (**Figure S9** and **S10**). All properties were in agreement with experimental membrane properties and MD simulations of equivalent membrane-protein systems.[Zhuang et al., 2014, 2016] Thus, we have produced a realistic high quality model of APH-1B not only in close structural agreement with the cryo-EM data for APH-1B, but also with a realistic membrane system. This model is the first of APH-1B-γ-secretase to our knowledge.

Figure 3 displays the backbone RMSD values for the simulated γ-secretase systems. The backbone RMSD of APH-1B-apo-γ-secretase with deprotonated Asp257 and Asp385 increased until 200 ns but then stabilized and averaged ~3.5 Å for the three independent simulations (Figure 3A). The RMSD of apo-γ-secretase with protonated Asp257 averaged to ~3.8 Å for the three simulations (Figure 3B; simulation 2 had a distinct compact state). In contrast, the C83-bound APH-1B-γ-secretase was consistently less variable (Figure 3C). After ~150 ns, both the apo and holo-states displayed stable evolution, and the RMSD remained close to the experimental structures 5FN2 (RMSD < 4 Å) and 6IYC (RMSD < 3 Å), in agreement with previous simulations of APH-1A-apo-γ-secretase[Somavarapu and Kepp, 2017] and C99-bound APH-1A-γ-secretase[Dehury et al., 2019b]. The RMSD of each subunit (Figure S11) shows that nicastrin displayed higher RMSD typical of the large inward-outward movement relative to the membrane as reported in many other studies.[Aguayo-Ortiz et al., 2017;

Aguayo-Ortiz and Dominguez, 2018; Bai et al., 2015a; Somavarapu and Kepp, 2017] PEN2 varied in its conformation space for the apo- and holo-states, consistent with an rearrangement of PS1 upon substrate binding already evident from the cryo-EM data.[Zhou et al., 2019] Other subunits displayed stable ensembles after 200 ns, and APH-1B was clearly less structurally variable in the C83-bound state, i.e. substrate binding dampens APH-1B dynamics. The radius of gyration (R<sub>g</sub>) and solvent accessible surface area (SASA), which monitor the shape and compactness of the ensembles (**Figure S12**), were largely constant with minor changes during the initial 200 ns. The obtained R<sub>g</sub> values were 39.6–40.2 Å for the apo-γ-secretase systems and 39.9–40.6 Å for the substrate-bound state.

To compare our structures quantitatively with the experimental cryo-EM structures for APH-1A-γ-secretase, we extracted the top-ranked structure from cluster analysis of the last 300 ns of each simulated trajectory (**Figure S13**) and structurally aligned the Cα-atoms of these structures with those of the cryo-EM structures for apo-γ-secretase (5FN2[Bai et al., 2015a] with APH-1A) system, the RMSD values were 2.54, 2.17 and 1.97 Å for the triplicate simulations (**Figure S13A**). Apo-γ-secretase with protonated Asp257 gave 2.43, 3.68, and 2.46 Å, respectively (**Figure S13B**); the large value of 3.68 Å arose from an open PS1/PS2 state as seen previously[Dehury et al., 2019b, 2019a; Somavarapu and Kepp, 2017]. C83-bound APH-1B-γ-secretase compared to 6IYC[Zhou et al., 2019] gave RMSD values of 1.81, 2.32, and 1.37 Å (**Figure S13C**). Considering the resolution of the cryo-EM structures (5FN2: 4.2 Å and 6IYC: 2.6 Å), our MD simulated models thus obey the experimental topology and provide an accurate basis for exploring the all-atom membrane-protein dynamics at physiological temperature.

We compared the representative ensemble structures from clustering analysis with the experimental apo (5FN2) and holo (6IYC) states (**Figure 4**). We observed complete loss of  $\beta$ -strand of C83 in all systems, consistent with findings that this strand is lost in membranes at normal temperature but prevails at low temperature. [Mehra et al., 2020] In one simulation, we

observed retention of the two β-strands in PS1 (one extended from TM6 and the other with TM7). In other systems, both these strands were converted to turns, indicating that they are mostly flexible and undergo coil-turn-strand transitions at ambient temperature, as shown previously.[Mehra et al., 2020] Close inspection of the APH-1B subunit as compared to APH-1A revealed that the TM regions are essentially similar except for the small C-terminal JM helix. Furthermore, we observed minor differences in the arrangement of TM helices in APH-1B probably due to the sequence-distinct loops connecting these TMs (**Figure 1A**).

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

## Residue-specific dynamics of γ-secretase with APH-1B

To understand the residue-wise dynamics, we plotted the Cα root-mean-squared forces (RMSF), which resemble qualitatively (but not quantitatively[Caldararu et al., 2019]) crystallographic B-factors[Sun et al., 2019], for each subunit of the complexes (Figure 5). As anticipated, the RMSF of nicastrin-ECD displayed numerous high peaks as typical of loops, while the TM helix (Ser665-Phe698) was distinctly rigid. The extracellular residues of nicastrin Phe240-Glu245 probably contribute to substrate recognition[Petit et al., 2019] and to the dynamic stability of γ-secretase-Aβ assemblies.[Szaruga et al., 2017] In the C83-bound holo enzyme, we observed very little fluctuations in this region as compared to both the apo systems. The extra-cellular hydrophilic loop 1 (HL1) bridging TM1 and TM2 in PS1, potentially involved in substrate gating, [Takagi-Niidome et al., 2015] displayed notably differential fluctuations in the apo and holo states. HL1 also constitutes a binding pocket for ysecretase modulators[Cai et al., 2017] which may thereby affect differently the apo and substrate-bound state.[Zhou et al., 2019] We observed large movements of the N-terminal of TM2 and TM9, in good agreement with our previous findings. [Dehury et al., 2019b; Somavarapu and Kepp, 2017] The APH-1B and PEN2 subunits displayed more or less the same trends in RMSF for the apo and holo states. The dynamics properties of the substrate C83 have been illustrated in Figure S14. The

hydrophilic loop 2 (HL2) bridging TM6 and TM7 is missing in the experimental apo structures and in the present models, whereas the C83-bound conformation harbors two  $\beta$ -strands in PS1,  $\beta$ 1 comprised of Ile287–Tyr288–Ser289 extended from TM6a, and  $\beta$ 2 involving five residues of TM7 (Gly378–Gly382). The C-terminal fragment of C83 harbors the TM helix and a  $\beta$ -strand Val721–Lys725, which displayed very distinct fluctuation in one simulation (**Figure S14B**). This fluctuation correlated with a loose conformation of C83 inside  $\gamma$ -secretase, i.e. the pathogenic "open" state of PS1 that produces less active, imprecise cleavage and longer  $\Delta\beta$  peptides according to the FIST model.[Dehury et al., 2019b; Somavarapu and Kepp, 2017; Tang et al., 2018]

# Characterizing the ensembles by principal component analysis

To capture global motions in various  $\gamma$ -secretase complex systems we performed PCA on the last 300 ns of each trajectory (**Figure S15-S17**). The most pronounced motions depicted by the top eigenvectors (**Figure S16-S17**) quantify the large breathing mode of the nicastrin ECD in both the apo- and holo-states. The second principal component (**Figure S17**) indicated a different but correlated opening and closing motion that changes the size of the cavity formed by the nicastrin ECD and the TMDs, in good agreement with previous studies.[Aguayo-Ortiz et al., 2017; Elad et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2017] This motion is probably responsible for the guiding of substrate molecules towards the intramembrane cleavage site of  $\gamma$ -secretase.[Fukumori and Steiner, 2016]

Additional dynamics were observed in the TM helices of PS1, with TM2 and the directly connected N-terminal region of TM3 being highly mobile in both apo and substrate bound states (**Figure S16** and **S17**). These dynamic motions largely explain the static low resolution (disorder) in the experimental cryo-EM structures 5FN2[Bai et al., 2015a] and 6IYC[Zhou et al., 2019], and has been repeatedly found by MD simulations.[Dehury et al., 2019a, 2019b,

2019c; Mehra et al., 2020; Somavarapu and Kepp, 2017] We also note that the loops in C-terminal region of APH-1B displayed some movements in all the studied γ-secretase states.

To better understand the two major motions of the two nicastrin ECD lobes relative to PS1 in apo and holo  $\gamma$ -secretase, we measured the distances and angles formed between amino acids Leu121 (small lobe), Val328 (large lobe) of ECD and the active site residue Asp257 of PS1 (**Figure S18** and **Table S3**). The Val328–Asp257 distances differed significantly in the apo and holo states, and were on average larger in the substrate-bound state, consistent with variations also implied by the cryo-EM structures of  $\gamma$ -secretase.[Bai et al., 2015a; Zhou et al., 2019] Equally, the distance between Leu121 and Asp257 of PS1 was on average longer in the C83-bound state, in particular for one conformation (blue trajectory in **Figure S18**).

# Functionally important dynamics of APH-1B-γ-secretase

To understand the functionally relevant motions of  $\gamma$ -secretase with APH-1B, we measured the C $\alpha$ -C $\alpha$  distance between terminal end residues of the substrate gating loop HL1, the distance between the catalytic Asp257 and Asp385 residues of PS1, and the PAL motif terminal residue distance (**Table S4**). The apo states displayed HL1 distances above 2 nm and in some cases close to 3 nm, whereas the holo states displayed more compact HL1 conformations with distances below 2 nm (**Figure S19A**). The motions are arguably the basis for the static ordering of these parts of the protein upon binding to substrates seen in the cryo-EM data.[Yang et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2019]

PS1 can adopt distinct states with the catalytic Asp257 and Asp385 either relatively close or somewhat farther apart, i.e., closed, semi-open and open states of γ-secretase.[Aguayo-Ortiz et al., 2017; Dehury et al., 2019b; Hitzenberger and Zacharias, 2019b] These states can explain C83 processing and the production of different types of Aβ, according to the FIST (fit-induce-stay-trim) model,[Somavarapu and Kepp, 2017; Tang et al., 2018] where the semi-open state is innocent and most active, the closed state does not fit the substrate and is inactive, and the open

state gives imprecise cleavage, diverse products, and less activity due to lower substrate affinity and earlier release, i.e. looser "grapping" by the "fist". This open state is argued to be favored by pathogenic PS1 mutations by destabilizing the membrane protein's hydrophobic packing, [Mehra and Kepp, 2019; Somavarapu and Kepp, 2016, 2017] explaining their lower activity and higher  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  ratios in assays. [Sun et al., 2016] In contrast,  $\gamma$ -secretase modulators may contribute their binding affinity to stabilize the enzyme-substrate-modulator complex, increase substrate residence time, trimming, and production of shorter  $A\beta$ . [Tang et al., 2018]

We found that protonation of Asp257 in APH-1B-apo-γ-secretase produced a short Asp-Asp distance (~9.2 Å; vs. 8.0 Å in 5FN2) whereas for C83-bound APH-1B-γ-secretase it averaged to ~10.4 Å, comparing well to the experimental 6IYC (10.6 Å). In the obtained open state the distance averages to 1.2 nm with a broader Asp-Asp distribution, fully consistent with the previous studies[Dehury et al., 2019a, 2019b; Somavarapu and Kepp, 2017] that led to the structural-dynamic support for the FIST model (**Figure S19B**).

The PAL motif (Pro433-Ala434-Leu435) in PS1 plays a vital role in substrate recruitment. [Sato et al., 2008] The C $\alpha$ -C $\alpha$  distance between Pro433 and Leu435 implied a distinct extension of this motif in the deprotonated apo state as compared to both the apo- $\gamma$ -secretase with Asp257 protonated and the holo state (**Figure S19C**). Mutation of Leu432 affects the PAL motif structure and eliminates the catalytic activity of the enzyme, [Yang et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2019] and thus the dynamic changes with substrate binding and protonation could be of relevance, although the pH-dependency of  $\gamma$ -secretase is la largely unexplored topic at this point.

# **Dynamics of catalytic aspartate - cleavage site interactions**

The distance between the catalytic aspartates of PS1 and the actual cleavage sites of the substrate (in this case C83) is also important to understand the specific cleavage mechanism.

We measured the minimum of these distances from the last 300 ns trajectories of the holo states, as summarized in **Figure 6**. The  $\epsilon$ -cleavage occurs via two different pathways either at Thr719-Leu720 or at Leu720-Val721 leading to the formation of A $\beta$ 48 or A $\beta$ 49.[Bolduc et al., 2016; Wolfe, 2020]. We observed differential distributions in the distance between the catalytic Asp257 and cleavage site residues, with some below 4 Å and some above 8 Å, whereas the distance was generally very short (below 4 Å) in case of Asp385. Thus, the ensembles mainly represent states that are ready to cleave via water binding to Asp385, but later cleavage via Asp257 will then probably occur after loss of the tripeptide fragment.[Bolduc et al., 2016; Takami et al., 2009] The open state arguably enables both of these cleavage pathways to proceed whereas the semi-open state favors the A $\beta$ 40 pathway.

The intermolecular hydrogen-bond dynamics of C83, nicastrin and PS1 (Figure S20) indicate a distinct interaction between C83 and PS1 in simulation 3, with a higher number of hydrogen bonds typical of the more compact semi-open state of γ-secretase. We also measured the hydrogen bonds formed between C83 with the lipid molecules and water molecules in each trajectory of the substrate bound conformations of  $\gamma$ -secretase complex (Figure S20). A wellpositioned water molecule near the two aspartates is required to cleave the substrate. [Singh et al., 2009] As evident from Figure S20, fewer hydrogen bonds are formed between lipids and C83, while, ~44 hydrogen bonds existed between C83 and water (Figure S20). We observed different accessibility of water to the substrate in the three simulations (~50 in simulation 1,  $\sim$ 70 in simulation 2, and  $\sim$ 26 in simulation 3) by the catalytic aspartates in each C83-bound  $\gamma$ secretase state (Figure S20). These differences may be attributed to the distinct binding states, with the more open state enabling more water molecules to access the catalytic site. To understand the interaction of C83 by γ-secretase with APH-1B, we computed the intermolecular contacts of the structural ensembles obtained from clustering analysis (Table S5). In simulation 1, we observed most hydrogen bonds and least in the case of simulation 3. A number of residues in the loop bridging TM6a and the strand β1 interacted consistently with

the positively charged triplet lysine anchor motif in C83, consistent with the known role of this polybasic region in anchoring substrate molecules[Xu et al., 2016]. We also found several electrostatic interactions that aid the positioning of the substrates inside the pocket of catalytic PS1.[Yan et al., 2017]

#### Differences between γ-secretase with APH-1A and APH-1B

The most important question of our work is arguably whether, and if so, how, APH-1B affects  $\gamma$ -secretase differently than APH-1A, in particular in relation to the catalytic PS1 subunit. To understand this, we measured the deviations in the TM tilt angles of PS1 and APH-1B. We compared the present data to the MD data of C83 bound  $\gamma$ -secretase with APH-1A subunit from our recently published study[Mehra et al., 2020] that used similar setup, making the two studies directly comparable. We observed surprising differences in PS1 and APH-1B in the apo deprotonated-state (**Figure S21**): The distributions of the tilt angles in TM2, TM3 and TM6 of PS1 differed notably between the APH-1A and APH-1B systems. With APH-1B, TM2, TM3 and TM5 of PS1 varied substantially in apo- $\gamma$ -secretase, whereas the C83-bound  $\gamma$ -secretase with APH-1A and APH-1B differed in terms of TM2, TM3, TM5 and TM6 (**Figure 7**). These differences indicate that APH-1A and APH-1B could favor the open, closed, and semi-open states of PS1 differently, which would affect activity.[Somavarapu and Kepp, 2017]

A recent MD study has shown that APH-1A (inside γ-secretase complex: 5FN3) can act as a channel apart from providing stability to γ-secretase complex.[Aguayo-Ortiz and Dominguez, 2019] The trajectories obtained in the present work also identify a very persistent channel architecture of APH-1B (**Figures S22-S23**) with many events of water molecules crossing the bilayer near TM3, TM4, TM5 and TM6 of APH-1B. In this respect, the two homologous proteins thus appear similar.

#### **Conclusions**

The intramembrane aspartyl protease complex  $\gamma$ -secretase, containing nicastrin, PEN-2, PS1/PS2, and APH-1A/1B, plays a major role in AD and actively cleaves more than a hundred membrane-bound helical substrates, including C99 to produce the infamous A $\beta$  peptides of variable length. Although all structural and computational work has focused so far on  $\gamma$ -secretase with APH-1A, different  $\gamma$ -secretase complexes containing APH-1A or APH1-B subunits display heterogeneous biochemical and physiological properties.[Araki et al., 2006; Serneels et al., 2009] APH-1A/B interacts with presentlin and affects the processing of substrates, thus modulating the A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 ratio.[Acx et al., 2014; Lessard et al., 2015] Accordingly, it is important to understand the structure and dynamics also of  $\gamma$ -secretase with the APH-1B subunit, which was the aim of the present work.

From our simulated homology models of APH-1B alone and in complex with  $\gamma$ -secretase both with and without substrate (C83) bound, we find that APH-1B resembles APH-1A in its ability to transport waters across the bilayer and adopting the same 7TM topology with minor variation in the JM helix at the C-terminal end. The water molecules inside the APH-1B cavity formed interactions with several polar residues including notably Glu84, Arg87, His170, and His196. The conserved histidines His170 and His196 have been implicated to play decisive role in water transportation across the bilayer in APH-1A in previous work, and our work on APH-1B supports these findings.[Aguayo-Ortiz and Dominguez, 2019] Further studies seem necessary to understand the role of the water-containing cavity in APH-1 in the context of the proteolytic activity of  $\gamma$ -secretase.

We conducted multiple all-atom MD simulations of  $\gamma$ -secretase with APH-1B subunit in a complete POPC lipid bilayer to understand the physiological temperature membrane dynamics of the protein complex, using the cryo-EM structures as templates.[Bai et al., 2015a; Zhou et al., 2019] Our structures after equilibration are in excellent agreement with the experimental

structures both differ in some parts of APH-1B which also mostly correlate with major sequence variations in the two homologous forms of APH-1A/B. We observed that the protonation of the catalytic aspartates of PS1 affect the distance between the aspartates and the size of the catalytic pocket, which could potentially affect substrate cleavage, although the pH-dependency of γ-secretase activity has not yet been studied to our knowledge. Fluorescence lifetime imaging indicates that PS1 becomes more compact upon binding to APH-1B compared to APH-1A.[Serneels et al., 2009] Our dynamic ensembles support these findings and trace them to differences in the loops and TM helices.

The accessibility of water molecules to the catalytic aspartates of PS1 was monitored by calculating the water molecules near the site along the trajectories. Many water molecules access the active site during the normal membrane dynamics, but the access is strongly regulated by the conformational states of the enzyme, and we notably see both open and compact states with respect to catalytic pocket size, controlled by the PS1 TMs, consistent with our previous findings for γ-secretase with APH-1A that underlie the FIST model.[Somavarapu and Kepp, 2016, 2017; Tang et al., 2018] APH-1B was markedly less structurally variable in the C83-bound state compared to the apo states, i.e. substrate binding dampens the motion of APH-1B in the same way as seen for APH-1A.

A notable difference between APH-1A and APH-1B, apart from the substantial dynamic differences in the loops where the sequences differ most, was the distribution of TM tilt angles in the apo and substrate-bound states, indicating different effect on the conformational states and perhaps stability of  $\gamma$ -secretase for the two APH-1 forms. In particular, we find that the typical distance between the catalytic aspartates of PS1 and the C83 cleavage sites are shorter in APH-1B, i.e. it represents a more closed state, due to interactions with the C-terminal fragment of PS1. Since both forms of  $\gamma$ -secretase occur physiologically, our findings should be important when understanding the physiological activity of the enzyme complex and when targeting it to modulate A $\beta$  production as widely sought as a major AD drug strategy.

605 **Supporting information** 606 The data required to reproduce the present computational work and scrutinize details of the 607 608 analysis are included in the file named "suppinfo.pdf". Furthermore, the full representative structures from cluster analysis are available as PDB files for each independent simulation. 609 610 **Competing interests statement** 611 All authors hereby declare that they have no competing interests, neither financial nor non-612 financial, related to this work. 613 614 Data availability statement 615 The data that supports the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of 616 this article. Additional raw data (trajectory files, representative structures) are available from 617 618 the authors upon reasonable request. 619 620 References Abraham MJ, Murtola T, Schulz R, Páll S, Smith JC, Hess B, Lindah E. 2015. Gromacs: High 621 performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to 622 623 supercomputers. SoftwareX 1-2:19-25. Acx H, Chávez-Gutiérrez L, Serneels L, Lismont S, Benurwar M, Elad N, Strooper B De. 624 2014. Signature Amyloid β Profiles Are Produced by Different γ-Secretase Complexes. J Biol 625 626 Chem 289(7):4346–4355. Aguayo-Ortiz R, Chávez-García C, Straub JE, Dominguez L. 2017. Characterizing the 627 structural ensemble of γ-secretase using a multiscale molecular dynamics approach. Chem Sci 628 8(8):5576-5584. 629

- 630 Aguayo-Ortiz R, Dominguez L. 2018. Simulating the γ-secretase enzyme: Recent advances and
- future directions. Biochimie 147:130–135.
- 632 Aguayo-Ortiz R, Dominguez L. 2019. APH-1A component of γ-secretase forms an internal
- water and ion-containing cavity. ACS Chem Neurosci 10(6):2931–2938.
- Aguayo-Ortiz R, Straub JE, Dominguez L. 2018. Influence of membrane lipid composition on
- the structure and activity of  $\gamma$ -secretase. Phys Chem Chem Phys 20(43):27294–27304.
- Altschul S, Madden T, Schaffer A, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman D. 1997. Gapped
- BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids
- 638 Res 25.
- Angel TE, Chance MR, Palczewski K. 2009. Conserved waters mediate structural and
- 640 functional activation of family A (rhodopsin-like) G protein-coupled receptors. Proc Natl Acad
- 641 Sci 106(21):8555–8560.
- Araki W, Saito S, Takahashi-Sasaki N, Shiraishi H, Komano H, Murayama KS. 2006.
- 643 Characterization of APH-1 mutants with a disrupted transmembrane GxxxG motif. J Mol
- 644 Neurosci 29(1):35–43.
- Bai X, Rajendra E, Yang G, Shi Y, Scheres SH. 2015a. Sampling the conformational space of
- the catalytic subunit of human  $\gamma$ -secretase. Elife 4:551–560.
- Bai X, Yan C, Yang G, Lu P, Sun L, Zhou R, Scheres SHW, Shi Y. 2015b. An atomic structure
- of human  $\gamma$ -secretase. Nature 525:212–218.
- Blennow K, Leon MJ de, Zetterberg H. 2015. Alzheimer's disease. Lancet 368(9533):387–403.
- Bogdanov M, Mileykovskaya E, Dowhan W. 2008. Lipids in the assembly of membrane
- proteins and organization of protein supercomplexes: implications for lipid-linked disorders.
- Lipids in Health and Disease. Springer. p 197–239.
- Bolduc DM, Montagna DR, Seghers MC, Wolfe MS, Selkoe DJ. 2016. The amyloid-beta
- forming tripeptide cleavage mechanism of  $\gamma$ -secretase. Elife 5:e17578.
- Bondar A-N, White SH. 2012. Hydrogen bond dynamics in membrane protein function.

- Biochim Biophys Acta (BBA)-Biomembranes 1818(4):942–950.
- Buchoux S. 2017. FATSLiM: A fast and robust software to analyze MD simulations of
- membranes. Bioinformatics 33(1):133–134.
- 659 Cai T, Yonaga M, Tomita T. 2017. Activation of γ-secretase trimming activity by topological
- changes of transmembrane domain 1 of presenilin 1. J Neurosci 37(50):12272–12280.
- 661 Caldararu O, Kumar R, Oksanen E, Logan DT, Ryde U. 2019. Are crystallographic B-factors
- suitable for calculating protein conformational entropy? Phys Chem Chem Phys 21(33):18149–
- 663 18160.
- 664 Chen VB, Arendall WB, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, Murray LW,
- Richardson JS, Richardson DC. 2010. MolProbity: All-atom structure validation for
- macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallogr D 66(1):12–21.
- 667 Cheung T, Younkin S, Suzuki N, Otvos L, Eckman C, Cai X, Odaka A, Golde T. 2006. An
- 668 increased percentage of long amyloid beta protein secreted by familial amyloid beta protein
- precursor (beta APP717) mutants. Science (80-) 264(5163):1336–1340.
- 670 Colovos C, Yeates TO. 1993. Verification of protein structures: Patterns of nonbonded atomic
- 671 interactions. Protein Sci 2(9):1511–1519.
- 672 Coolen MW, Loo KMJ van, Bakel NNHM van, Ellenbroek BA, Cools AR, Martens GJM.
- 673 2006. Reduced Aph-1b expression causes tissue-and substrate-specific changes in γ-secretase
- activity in rats with a complex phenotype. FASEB J 20(1):175–177.
- 675 Corradi V, Sejdiu BI, Mesa-Galloso H, Abdizadeh H, Noskov SY, Marrink SJ, Tieleman DP.
- 2019. Emerging diversity in lipid–protein interactions. Chem Rev 119(9):5775–5848.
- 677 Crump CJ, Johnson DS, Li YM. 2013. Development and mechanism of γ-secretase modulators
- for Alzheimer's disease. Biochemistry 52(19):3197–3216.
- Dehury B, Tang N, Blundell TL, Kepp KP. 2019a. Structure and dynamics of γ-secretase with
- presenilin 2 compared to presenilin 1. RSC Adv 9(36):20901–20916.
- Dehury B, Tang N, Kepp KP. 2019b. Molecular dynamics of C99-bound γ-secretase reveal two

- binding modes with distinct compactness, stability, and active-site retention: Implications for
- 683 Aβ production. Biochem J 476(7):1173–1189.
- Dehury B, Tang N, Kepp KP. 2019c. Insights into membrane-bound presenilin 2 from all-atom
- 685 molecular dynamics simulations. J Biomol Struct Dyn 1–15.
- Dejaegere T, Serneels L, Schäfer MK, Biervliet J Van, Horré K, Depboylu C, Alvarez-Fischer
- D, Herreman A, Willem M, Haass C. 2008. Deficiency of Aph1B/C-γ-secretase disturbs Nrg1
- 688 cleavage and sensorimotor gating that can be reversed with antipsychotic treatment. Proc Natl
- 689 Acad Sci 105(28):9775–9780.
- 690 Dominguez L, Foster L, Straub JE, Thirumalai D. 2016. Impact of membrane lipid composition
- on the structure and stability of the transmembrane domain of amyloid precursor protein. Proc
- 692 Natl Acad Sci 113(36):E5281–E5287.
- 693 Elad N, Strooper B De, Lismont S, Hagen W, Veugelen S, Arimon M, Horré K, Berezovska O,
- 694 Sachse C, Chávez-Gutiérrez L. 2015. The dynamic conformational landscape of γ-secretase. J
- 695 Cell Sci 128(3):589–598.
- Esch FS, Keim PS, Beattie EC, Blacher RW, Culwell AR, Oltersdorf T, McClure D, Ward PJ.
- 697 1990. Cleavage of amyloid beta peptide during constitutive processing of its precursor. Science
- 698 (80-) 248(4959):1122–1124.
- 699 Fazzari P, Snellinx A, Sabanov V, Ahmed T, Serneels L, Gartner A, Shariati SAM, Balschun
- 700 D, Strooper B De. 2014. Cell autonomous regulation of hippocampal circuitry via Aph1b-γ-
- secretase/neuregulin 1 signalling. Elife 3:e02196.
- Francis R, McGrath G, Zhang J, Ruddy DA, Sym M, Apfeld J, Nicoll M, Maxwell M, Hai B,
- 703 Ellis MC, Parks AL, Xu W, Li J, Gurney M, Myers RL, Himes CS, Hiebsch R, Ruble C, Nye
- JS, Curtis D. 2002. aph-1 and pen-2 are required for Notch pathway signaling, γ-secretase
- 705 cleavage of βAPP, and presenilin protein accumulation. Dev Cell 3:85–97.
- 706 Fukumori A, Steiner H. 2016. Substrate recruitment of γ-secretase and mechanism of clinical
- presenilin mutations revealed by photoaffinity mapping. EMBO J e201694151.

- Golde TE, Estus S, Younkin LH, Selkoe DJ, Younkin SG. 1992. Processing of the amyloid
- protein precursor to potentially amyloidogenic derivatives. Science (80-) 255(5045):728–730.
- 710 Golde TE, Koo EH, Felsenstein KM, Osborne BA, Miele L. 2013. γ-Secretase inhibitors and
- modulators. Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr 1828(12):2898–2907.
- Goutte C, Tsunozaki M, Hale VA, Priess JR. 2002. APH-1 is a multipass membrane protein
- essential for the Notch signaling pathway in Caenorhabditis elegans embryos. Proc Natl Acad
- 714 Sci 99(2):775–779.
- Gu Y, Chen F, Sanjo N, Kawarai T, Hasegawa H, Duthie M, Li W, Ruan X, Luthra A, Mount
- 716 HTJ. 2003. APH-1 interacts with mature and immature forms of presenilins and nicastrin and
- may play a role in maturation of presenilin nicastrin complexes. J Biol Chem 278(9):7374—
- 718 7380.
- 719 Haapasalo A, Kovacs DM. 2011. The many substrates of presenilin/γ-secretase. J Alzheimer's
- 720 Dis 25:3–28.
- Hardy JA, Higgins GA. 1992. Alzheimer's disease: the amyloid cascade hypothesis. Science
- 722 (80-) 256(5054):184–185.
- Hekkelman ML, Beek TAH te, Pettifer SR, Thorne D, Attwood TK, Vriend G. 2010. WIWS:
- A protein structure bioinformatics web service collection. Nucleic Acids Res 38(SUPPL.
- 725 2):719–723.
- Heo L, Park H, Seok C. 2013. GalaxyRefine: Protein structure refinement driven by side-chain
- repacking. Nucleic Acids Res 41(Web Server issue): W384–W388.
- 728 Hitzenberger M, Zacharias M. 2019a. Structural Modeling of γ-Secretase Aβ n Complex
- Formation and Substrate Processing. ACS Chem Neurosci acschemneuro.8b00725.
- 730 Hitzenberger M, Zacharias M. 2019b. γ-Secretase Studied by Atomistic Molecular Dynamics
- 731 Simulations: Global Dynamics, Enzyme Activation, Water Distribution and Lipid Binding.
- 732 Front Chem 6:640.
- Holmes O, Paturi S, Ye W, Wolfe MS, Selkoe DJ. 2012. Effects of membrane lipids on the

- activity and processivity of purified  $\gamma$ -secretase. Biochemistry 51(17):3565–3575.
- Huang J, Rauscher S, Nawrocki G, Ran T, Feig M, Groot BL De, Grubmüller H, MacKerell
- AD. 2016. CHARMM36m: An improved force field for folded and intrinsically disordered
- 737 proteins. Nat Methods 14(1):71–73.
- 738 Imbimbo BP, Giardina GAM. 2011. gamma-secretase inhibitors and modulators for the
- treatment of Alzheimer's disease: disappointments and hopes. Curr Top Med Chem
- 740 11(12):1555–1570.
- Jo S, Kim T, Iyer VG, Im W. 2008. CHARMM-GUI: A web-based grraphical user interface for
- 742 CHARMM. J Comput Chem 29(11):1859–1865.
- Julia TCW, Goate AM. 2017. Genetics of β-amyloid precursor protein in Alzheimer's Disease.
- 744 Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 7(6):a024539.
- Kepp KP. 2016. Alzheimer's disease due to loss of function: A new synthesis of the available
- 746 data. Prog Neurobiol 143:36–60.
- 747 Kepp KP. 2017. Ten Challenges of the Amyloid Hypothesis of Alzheimer's Disease. J
- 748 Alzheimer's Dis 55(2):447–457.
- 749 Kimberly WT, LaVoie MJ, Ostaszewski BL, Ye W, Wolfe MS, Selkoe DJ. 2003. Gamma-
- secretase is a membrane protein complex comprised of presentilin, nicastrin, Aph-1, and Pen-2.
- 751 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:6382–6387.
- Kong R, Chang S, Xia W, Wong STC. 2015. Molecular dynamics simulation study reveals
- potential substrate entry path into gamma-secretase/presenilin-1. J Struct Biol 191(2):120–129.
- Laskowski RA, MacArthur MW, Moss DS, Thornton JM. 1993. PROCHECK: a program to
- check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. J Appl Crystallogr 26(2):283–291.
- Lee H, Casadesus G, Zhu X, Takeda A, Perry G, Smith MA. 2004a. Challenging the amyloid
- 757 cascade hypothesis: Senile plaques and amyloid-β as protective adaptations to Alzheimer
- 758 disease. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1019(1):1-4.
- The Lee JY, Feng Z, Xie XQ, Bahar I. 2017. Allosteric Modulation of Intact γ-Secretase Structural

- 760 Dynamics. Biophys J 113(12):2634–2649.
- Lee S-F, Shah S, Li H, Yu C, Han W, Yu G. 2002. Mammalian APH-1 interacts with
- 762 presenilin and nicastrin and is required for intramembrane proteolysis of amyloid-β precursor
- 763 protein and Notch. J Biol Chem 277(47):45013–45019.
- Lee S-F, Shah S, Yu C, Wigley WC, Li H, Lim M, Pedersen K, Han W, Thomas P, Lundkvist
- J. 2004b. A conserved GXXXG motif in APH-1 is critical for assembly and activity of the  $\gamma$ -
- 766 secretase complex. J Biol Chem 279(6):4144–4152.
- Lee Y, Kim S, Choi S, Hyeon C. 2016. Ultraslow water-mediated transmembrane interactions
- regulate the activation of A2A adenosine receptor. Biophys J 111(6):1180–1191.
- The Lessard CB, Cottrell BA, Maruyama H, Suresh S, Golde TE, Koo EH. 2015. γ-secretase
- modulators and APH1 isoforms modulate  $\gamma$ -secretase cleavage but not position of  $\epsilon$ -cleavage of
- the amyloid precursor protein (APP). PLoS One 10(12):e0144758.
- Lomize AL, Pogozheva ID, Lomize MA, Mosberg HI. 2006. Positioning of proteins in
- 773 membranes: A computational approach. Protein Sci 15(6):1318–1333.
- Lomize MA, Pogozheva ID, Joo H, Mosberg HI, Lomize AL. 2012. OPM database and PPM
- web server: Resources for positioning of proteins in membranes. Nucleic Acids Res
- 776 40(D1):D370–D376.
- Lüthy R, Bowie JU, Eisenberg D. 1992. Assessment of protein models with three-dimensional
- 778 profiles. Nature 356(6364):83–85.
- Luukkainen L, Helisalmi S, Kytövuori L, Ahmasalo R, Solje E, Haapasalo A, Hiltunen M,
- 780 Remes AM, Krüger J. 2019. Mutation Analysis of the Genes Linked to Early Onset
- Alzheimer's Disease and Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration. J Alzheimer's Dis (Preprint):1–
- 782 8.
- Lyubartsev AP, Rabinovich AL. 2016. Force Field Development for Lipid Membrane
- Simulations. Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr 1858(10):2483–2497.
- Mehra R, Dehury B, Kepp KP. 2020. Cryo-temperature effects on membrane protein structure

- and dynamics. Phys Chem Chem Phys in press.
- 787 Mehra R, Kepp KP. 2019. Computational analysis of Alzheimer-causing mutations in amyloid
- precursor protein and presentilin 1. Arch Biochem Biophys 678:108168.
- Ng HW, Laughton CA, Doughty SW. 2014. Molecular Dynamics Simulations of the
- Adenosine A2a Receptor in POPC and POPE Lipid Bilayers: Effects of Membrane on Protein
- 791 Behavior. J Chem Inf Model 54(2):573–581.
- Osenkowski P, Ye W, Wang R, Wolfe MS, Selkoe DJ. 2008. Direct and potent regulation of γ-
- secretase by its lipid microenvironment. J Biol Chem 283(33):22529–22540.
- Pauwels K, Williams TL, Morris KL, Jonckheere W, Vandersteen A, Kelly G, Schymkowitz J,
- Rousseau F, Pastore A, Serpell LC, Broersen K. 2012. Structural basis for increased toxicity of
- pathological aβ42:aβ40 ratios in Alzheimer disease. J Biol Chem 287(8):5650–5660.
- Petit D, Hitzenberger M, Lismont S, Zoltowska KM, Ryan NS, Mercken M, Bischoff F,
- 798 Zacharias M, Chávez-Gutiérrez L. 2019. Extracellular interface between APP and Nicastrin
- 799 regulates A $\beta$  length and response to  $\gamma$ -secretase modulators. EMBO J 38(12).
- 800 Sato C, Takagi S, Tomita T, Iwatsubo T. 2008. The C-Terminal PAL Motif and
- Transmembrane Domain 9 of Presenilin 1 Are Involved in the Formation of the Catalytic Pore
- 802 of the γ-Secretase. J Neurosci 28(24):6264–6271.
- 803 Sato T, Diehl TS, Narayanan S, Funamoto S, Ihara Y, Strooper B De, Steiner H, Haass C,
- Wolfe MS. 2007. Active γ-secretase complexes contain only one of each component. J Biol
- 805 Chem 282(47):33985–33993.
- 806 Selkoe DJ, Hardy J. 2016. The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease at 25 years. EMBO
- 807 Mol Med 8(6):595–608.
- 808 Serneels L, Biervliet J Van, Craessaerts K, Dejaegere T, Horré K, Houtvin T Van, Esselmann
- H, Paul S, Schäfer MK, Berezovska O. 2009. γ-Secretase heterogeneity in the Aph1 subunit:
- 810 Relevance for Alzheimer's disease. Science (80- ) 324(5927):639–642.
- 811 Shen J, Kelleher RJ. 2007. The presentlin hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease: evidence for a

- loss-of-function pathogenic mechanism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104(2):403–409.
- 813 Shirotani K, Edbauer D, Prokop S, Haass C, Steiner H. 2004. Identification of distinct γ-
- secretase complexes with different APH-1 variants. J Biol Chem 279(40):41340–41345.
- Singh R, Barman A, Prabhakar R. 2009. Computational insights into aspartyl protease activity
- of presentiin 1 (ps1) generating alzheimer amyloid β-peptides (aβ40 and aβ42). J Phys Chem B
- 817 113(10):2990–2999.
- 818 Smith MA, Casadesus G, Joseph JA, Perry G. 2002. Amyloid-β and τ serve antioxidant
- functions in the aging and Alzheimer brain. Free Radic Biol Med 33(9):1194–1199.
- Somavarapu AK, Kepp KP. 2016. Loss of stability and hydrophobicity of presenilin 1
- mutations causing Alzheimer's Disease. J Neurochem 137:101–111.
- 822 Somavarapu AK, Kepp KP. 2017. Membrane Dynamics of γ-Secretase Provides a Molecular
- Basis for β-Amyloid Binding and Processing. ACS Chem Neurosci 8(11):2424–2436.
- 824 Sun L, Zhao L, Yang G, Yan C, Zhou R, Zhou X, Xie T, Zhao Y, Wu S, Li X, Shi Y. 2015.
- Structural basis of human γ-secretase assembly. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112(19):6003–6008.
- 826 Sun L, Zhou R, Yang G, Shi Y. 2016. Analysis of 138 pathogenic mutations in presenilin-1 on
- the in vitro production of Aβ42 and Aβ40 peptides by γ-secretase. Proc Natl Acad Sci
- 828 114(4):E476–E485.
- 829 Sun Z, Liu Q, Qu G, Feng Y, Reetz MT. 2019. Utility of B-factors in protein science:
- interpreting rigidity, flexibility, and internal motion and engineering thermostability. Chem
- 831 Rev 119(3):1626–1665.
- 832 Szaruga M, Munteanu B, Lismont S, Veugelen S, Horré K, Mercken M, Saido TC, Ryan NS,
- Vos T De, Savvides SN, Gallardo R, Schymkowitz J, Rousseau F, Fox NC, Hopf C, Strooper B
- De. 2017. Alzheimer's-Causing Mutations Shift A $\beta$  Length by Destabilizing  $\gamma$ -Secretase-A $\beta$ n
- 835 Interactions. Cell 170(3):443–456.
- Takagi-Niidome S, Sasaki T, Osawa S, Sato T, Morishima K, Cai T, Iwatsubo T, Tomita T.
- 2015. Cooperative Roles of Hydrophilic Loop 1 and the C-Terminus of Presenilin 1 in the

- Substrate-Gating Mechanism of γ-Secretase. J Neurosci 35(6):2646–2656.
- Takami M, Nagashima Y, Sano Y, Ishihara S, Morishima-Kawashima M, Funamoto S, Ihara
- 840 Y. 2009. γ-Secretase: successive tripeptide and tetrapeptide release from the transmembrane
- domain of β-carboxyl terminal fragment. J Neurosci 29(41):13042–13052.
- Tang N, Kepp KP. 2018. Aβ42/Aβ40 ratios of presenilin 1 mutations correlate with clinical
- onset of Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimer's Dis 66(3):939–945.
- Tang N, Somavarapu AK, Kepp KP. 2018. Molecular Recipe for γ-Secretase Modulation from
- Computational Analysis of 60 Active Compounds. ACS Omega 3(12):18078–18088.
- Tomobe K, Yamamoto E, Kholmurodov K, Yasuoka K. 2017. Water permeation through the
- internal water pathway in activated GPCR rhodopsin. PLoS One 12(5).
- Vassar R, Bennett BD, Babu-Khan S, Kahn S, Mendiaz EA, Denis P, Teplow DB, Ross S,
- Amarante P, Loeloff R, Luo Y, Fisher S, Fuller J, Edenson S, Lile J, Jarosinski MA, Biere AL,
- 850 Curran E, Burgess T, Louis JC, Collins F, Treanor J, Rogers G, Citron M. 1999. Beta-secretase
- cleavage of Alzheimer's amyloid precursor protein by the transmembrane aspartic protease
- 852 BACE. Science 286(5440):735-741.
- Webb B, Sali A. 2017. Protein structure modeling with MODELLER. Methods in Molecular
- Biology. Humana Press, New York, NY. p 39–54.
- Winkler E, Kamp F, Scheuring J, Ebke A, Fukumori A, Steiner H. 2012. Generation of
- Alzheimer disease-associated amyloid  $\beta$ 42/43 peptide by  $\gamma$ -secretase can be inhibited directly
- by modulation of membrane thickness. J Biol Chem 287(25):21326–34.
- Wolfe MS. 2020. Unraveling the complexity of  $\gamma$ -secretase.
- Xu TH, Yan Y, Kang Y, Jiang Y, Melcher K, Xu HE. 2016. Alzheimer's disease-associated
- mutations increase amyloid precursor protein resistance to  $\gamma$ -secretase cleavage and the
- 861 Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio. Cell Discov 2:16026.
- Yan Y, Xu TH, Melcher K, Xu HE. 2017. Defining the minimum substrate and charge
- recognition model of gamma-secretase. Acta Pharmacol Sin 38(10):1412–1424.

864	Yang G, Zhou R, Zhou Q, Guo X, Yan C, Ke M, Lei J, Shi Y. 2019. Structural basis of Notch
865	recognition by human $\gamma$ -secretase. Nature 565(7738):192–197.
866	Zhou R, Yang G, Guo X, Zhou Q, Lei J, Shi Y. 2019. Recognition of the amyloid precursor
867	protein by human $\gamma$ -secretase. Science (80- ) 363(6428):eaaw0930.
868	Zhuang X, Dávila-Contreras EM, Beaven AH, Im W, Klauda JB. 2016. An extensive
869	simulation study of lipid bilayer properties with different head groups, acyl chain lengths, and
870	chain saturations. Biochim Biophys Acta - Biomembr 1858(12):3093-3104.
871	Zhuang X, Makover JR, Im W, Klauda JB. 2014. A systematic molecular dynamics simulation
872	study of temperature dependent bilayer structural properties. Biochim Biophys Acta -
873	Biomembr 1838(10):2520–2529.
874	
875	

## Figure legends

**Figure 1. Sequence and structural comparison of APH-1 subunits (APH-1 and APH1-B) of** γ-Secretase. (**A**) Sequence alignment of APH-1A (265 aa) and APH-1B (257 aa). The transmembrane helix region is marked by cyan encirclement and the GxxxG motif is marked with stars. (**B**) Ramchandran analysis of modelled APH-1B with 97.8% of residues in the most allowed region. (**C**) The overall 7TM architecture of APH-1B (conserved regions are shown in red and variable regions in white). (**D**) Structural overlay of our modelled APH-1B subunit (green) with the APH-1A subunits from cryo-EM structures (5FN2: light blue, 5A63: magenta and 6IYC: yellow), with the GxxxG motif encircled. The C-α RMSD of APH-1B with respect to APH-1A of 5FN2, 5FN3 and 6IYC are 0.47, 0.37, and 0.46 Å, respectively.

Figure 2. Structural dynamics of APH-1B alone in a lipid bilayer. (A) Backbone RMSD of triplicate APH-1B simulations. Blue, orange and cyan lines represent simulation 1, 2, and 3. (B) Cα-RMSF for each residue of APH-1B during the last 300 ns MD. The B-factors with the flipping regions are shown in putty format to the right, using PyMOL. (C) Experimental APH-1A from 5FN2 (green) superimposed with representative structures for simulation 1 (blue), 2 (orange), and 3 (cyan).

**Figure 3. Simulated** γ-secretase models with APH-1B in a lipid bilayer with zoomed view of catalytic aspartates. (A) Apo-γ-secretase with APH-1B (with deprotonated Asp257 and Asp385) and the backbone RMSD of the complex simulations. (B) Apo-γ-secretase with protonated Asp257 with APH-1B and the backbone RMSD. (C) C83-bound APH-1B-γ-secretase and backbone RMSD. The small colored spheres represent the POPC head groups. Blue, orange and cyan lines represent simulation 1, 2, and 3 of each system.

Figure 4. Comparison of simulated  $\gamma$ -secretase (with APH-1B subunit and experimental cryo-EM structures 5FN2 and 6IYC (with APH-1A). (A) Superimposed view of the representative structures of apo- $\gamma$ -secretase (deprotonated Asp257 and Asp385) with that of experimental 5FN2 structures. (B) Superimposed view of the representative simulated structures of C83-bound  $\gamma$ -secretase with APH-1B and experimental 6IYC (with APH-1A). The blue, orange and cyan colors represent simulation 1, 2, and 3; the experimental cryo-EM structures are shown in green.

Figure 5. Cα RMSF analysis of each subunit of apo and holo states of γ-secretase with APH-1B. The blue, orange, and cyan lines represent the RMSF for Cα-atoms of each subunit from simulations 1, 2, and 3. Upper Panel: Cα-RMSF of Nicastrin, PS1, APH-1B and PEN2 in apo-γ-secretase with deprotonated Asp257 and Asp385 of PS1. Middle Panel: Cα-RMSF of Nicastrin, PS1, APH-1B and PEN2 in apo-γ-secretase system with protonated Asp257. Lower Panel: Cα-RMSF of Nicastrin, PS1, APH-1B, and PEN2 in C83-bound γ-secretase.

Figure 6. Distances between catalytic Asp257/Asp385 and C83 cleavage sites in  $\gamma$ secretase with APH-1B. The distances shown are those between the two catalytic residues of
PS1 and the cleavage sites Leu720-Val721 and Thr719-Leu720, computed using the gmxmindist utility toolkit from the last 300 ns of each trajectory.

Figure 7. Distribution of TM tilt angles of PS1 and APH1 in  $\gamma$ -secretase with APH-1A and APH-1B. The tilt angles of PS1/APH-1A are from our previous study. [Mehra et al., 2020] The tilt angles were computed using the Cα coordinates of the TMs. Left Panel: Comparison of tilt angles of TM helices of PS1 in  $\gamma$ -secretase-C83 with APH-1A and APH-1B. Right Panel: Comparison of TM tilt angles of APH1 subunits in  $\gamma$ -secretase-C83 with APH-1A and APH-1B.