The self-induced motion of a helical vortex

Okulov, Valery L.; Sørensen, Jens N.

Published in:
Journal of Fluid Mechanics

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1017/jfm.2019.837](https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2019.837)

Publication date:
2020

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Okulov, V. L., & Sørensen, J. N. (2020). The self-induced motion of a helical vortex. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 883, [A5]. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2019.837>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Journal of Fluid Mechanics

Date of delivery: October 28, 2019

Journal and vol/article ref: flm 1900837

Number of pages (not including this page): 19

page 1 of 2

This proof is sent to you on behalf of Cambridge University Press.

Authors are strongly advised to read these proofs thoroughly because any errors missed may appear in the final published paper. This will be your ONLY chance to correct your proof. Once published, either online or in print, no further changes can be made.

Please return marked proofs **within 4 working days** to: JFMProofing@sunrise-setting.co.uk

THIS PDF IS FOR PROOF CHECKING PURPOSES ONLY. IT SHOULD NOT BE DISTRIBUTED TO THIRD PARTIES AND MAY NOT REPRESENT THE FINAL VERSION.

CORRECTING AND RETURNING YOUR PROOFS – PLEASE READ

The proofs show changes made to the MAIN TEXT by the Copy Editor. Inserted text is shown in red. Locations of deleted text are indicated with a yellow rectangle/grey arrow. When viewed using the free Adobe Reader software, deleted text becomes visible when the pointer hovers over the yellow rectangle. (This does not happen with other PDF viewers.) **PLEASE DO NOT USE 'Mac OS X 10.9.3 Quartz PDFContext'** to save the pdf, it corrupts the proofs (known bug). Do not attempt to edit the body of the text within the pdf in any way.

PLEASE CHECK CAREFULLY **maths formulae** (changes cannot be tracked) and **figures/ captions** (all are relabelled by the typesetter and should be checked against your originals).

HOW TO RETURN YOUR PROOFS

PLEASE DO NOT SEND LISTS - ALL CORRECTIONS AND NOTES MUST BE MARKED DIRECTLY ON THE PDF PROOFS AND BE CLEAR AND SUCCINCT. Emails are not passed on with the PDF. Please avoid lists, dialogue and long explanations.

Marking up electronically. All proofs are enabled to allow electronic annotation in the free Adobe Reader software. Using your cursor select the text for correction, right click and use the most appropriate single tool (i.e. 'Replace', 'Cross out' or 'Add note to text'), to insert place the cursor then go to Tools/Comment&mark up/Text edits/Insert text at cursor. Please do not use the 'Sticky note' function, its placement is not precise enough. Please do not emphasise your marks in any way, 'Show comments' allows all marks to be clearly seen.. Please return the file as an attachment via email.

From 2020 (vol. 882 onwards) the journal will be online only. Offprints will no longer be available as their production was part of the print process. You will be able to share read-only access to the online version with others via CoreShare once the article is published online - a link for this purpose will be sent to the corresponding author with their online notification.

If you have no corrections please inform the Team by email - JFMProofing@sunrise-setting.co.uk

Postal address – to be used only where other options are not possible

Journal of Fluid Mechanics – Mrs A. R. James

Cambridge University Press

Edinburgh Building

Shaftesbury Road

Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Tel: +44 (0)1223 326061

Journal of Fluid Mechanics

Please note:

- The proof is sent to you for correction of typographical errors only. Revision of the substance of the text is not permitted, unless discussed with the editor of the journal. Only **one** set of corrections are permitted.
- Please answer carefully any author queries.
- Corrections which do NOT follow journal style will not be accepted.
- A new copy of a figure must be provided if correction of anything other than a typographical error introduced by the typesetter is required.
- If you have problems with the file please contact

JFMproduction@cambridge.org

Please note that this pdf is for proof checking purposes only. It should not be distributed to third parties and may not represent the final published version.

Important: you must return any forms included with your proof.

Please do not reply to this email

NOTE - for further information about **Journals Production** please consult our **FAQs** at http://journals.cambridge.org/production_faqs

The self-induced motion of a helical vortex

Valery L. Okulov^{1,2,†} and Jens N. Sørensen¹

¹Department of Wind Energy, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark

²Kutateladze Institute of Thermophysics, SB RAS, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

(Received 14 January 2019; revised 24 September 2019; accepted 11 October 2019)

Helical vortices have been studied for more than a century to understand basic aspects of fluid motion. Helical vortices appear both in nature, e.g. as tornadoes, and in many industrial applications associated with mixing and in wakes behind rotors. Owing to the complexity of the equations governing the self-induced motion of helical vortices, it has up to now not been possible to obtain closed-form solutions describing all aspects of the motion. An important issue concerns the difference between the self-induced motion of the helical structure and the movement of fluid particles located on the helix. Here, we revisit the equations governing both the motion of the helical vortex structure and the motion of material fluid elements on the axis of the helix, and for both cases derive closed-form solutions for the resulting velocities. As a part of the paper, we also devise potential applications of the achieved knowledge.

Key words: vortex dynamics

1. Introduction

Helical vortices constitute fundamental fluid mechanical objects such as point vortices and vortex rings (Alekseenko, Kuibin & Okulov 2007). Helical vortices are the oldest mathematical idealization of tip vortices in the wakes behind screws, propellers or wind turbines (Joukowski 1912; Felli, Camussi & Di Felice 2011; Sherry *et al.* 2013; Okulov, Sørensen & Wood 2015). For a wind turbine, the kinematics and the geometrical form of a system of helical vortices are of special interest, as they may be exploited to determine the optimum rotor efficiency (Okulov & Sørensen 2010). When computing the shape and displacement of a helix, only the binormal component of the velocity is required, as the tangential component does not affect the equilibrium of the helix (e.g. Ricca 1994; Boersma & Wood 1999; Okulov 2004, and references therein). This was already noted in the pioneering work of Joukowski (1912), who neglected the tangential component along the helix axis and employed the angular velocity to determine the binormal component. These geometrical particularities of the kinematics of helical vortices will be considered in detail in the following.

Among all helical structures, the infinitely thin helical vortex filament of constant pitch and radius constitutes a fundamental singular object of vortex dynamics. Unfortunately, this mathematical abstraction cannot be exploited directly to determine

† Email address for correspondence: vaok@dtu.dk

the helix motion, because the induced velocity becomes infinite on the axis. A real physical helical vortex, on the other hand, has a finite vortex core which can be considered as a superposition of a set of singular helical vortex filaments, resulting in the disappearance of the singularity due to the integration. However, there exist no closed-form solutions taking into account arbitrary vorticity distributions of the vortex core. In spite of this, it is possible to determine the motion of a finite-core helical vortex using the solution for an infinitely thin vortex at some finite distance from the centre of the vortex, and correct it for torsion and curvature effects. Indeed, assuming the particular case of a vortex core with a constant distribution of vorticity, Ricca (1994) determined a correlation between a finite and an infinite vortex core numerically by combining a filament solution with an extended version of the approximation of the Biot–Savart equations introduced by Moore & Saffman (1972). The additional correction term was later analytically derived and proved by Boersma & Wood (1999).

Unfortunately, it is complicated to use the above approach directly, because, in contrast to the fundamental cases of a straight line (‘point’) vortex or a vortex ring, the Biot–Savart integral for the flow induced by the helical filament does not have a closed form. Thus, it cannot be represented as a simple pole, such as a point vortex, or expressed as complete elliptic integrals, as for an infinitely thin vortex ring (see e.g. Lamb 1932). There are various ways to determine approximate formulae, including integration of the Biot–Savart law; Kawada–Hardin’s infinite series of the Kapteyn type (Kawada 1936; Hardin 1982), a special form of Boersma & Wood’s W -integral with singularity separation (Boersma & Wood 1999), or different attempts of exploiting analytical evolutions. The Biot–Savart law can be used in numerical simulations to determine the velocity field in points outside the singular vortex filament. Initially, to simplify the numerical calculations, Kawada (1936) derived an infinite series as a partial case to the Goldstein (1929) solution for the helical vortex wake. Unaware of the pioneering work by Kawada, Hardin (1982) derived a similar set of equations consisting of the Kapteyn series as an equivalent form to the Biot–Savart law. Next, Ricca (1994) used this technique for numerical estimations of the induced velocity of a helical filament to compare it with the velocities of a vortex with a finite core. However, this series encountered a problem in achieving accurate results for the flow in the azimuthal direction, owing to errors in approximating the infinite series by a finite array of harmonic terms (see appendix A). Later, Boersma & Wood (1999) used the investigation of Ricca (1994) to derive an integral representation for the remainder, W , after separating the singularities on the filament in the Kapteyn series to provide a correction for the finite vortex core by integrating it around its cross-section. A general problem with both approaches, however, is that they do not contain closed-form solutions of the equations, because neither the Biot–Savart nor the W integrals can be integrated into a closed-form solution, and the Kapteyn series cannot be summed for the infinity harmonics. As a result, it was essentially necessary to develop special analytical approaches. Initially, Kawada (1936) extracted the two main terms in the Kapteyn series, which, however, were insufficient to arrive at an accurate solution. Independently from his contributions, Okulov (2004) proposed a more accurate analytical approximation, which included six key terms, resulting in a very fast decaying remainder of the series. In spite of its generality, the result of Okulov (2004) was only applied as a basic solution to study the stability of helical multiples in a moving coordinate system with additional axial velocity (Okulov & Sørensen 2007). Furthermore, the analytical solution for the motion of a single helix in an immobile (absolute) coordinate system was not

FIGURE 1. (a) Sketch of the induced motion of a material fluid particle, with u (red arrow) denoting the velocity without azimuthal displacement ($\Delta\theta$), and u_b (green arrow) denoting the binormal translation of the helical vortex with azimuthal displacement. (b) The helix displacement in cylindrical coordinates (θ, z) on the supporting cylinder of radius R . The values $(u_{Sind})_z$ and $(u_{Sind})_\theta$ denote the translation of the helix in the axial and azimuthal directions.

considered in the previous works. However, a full analytical solution to the problem will be given in the following.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2, we give a description of the geometry and kinematics of helical vortices, with the particular aim of describing the self-induced motion of helical vortices. A discussion regarding the correlation between solutions of vortex filaments of infinite thickness and vortex filaments of finite thickness is given in §3. In §4, based on the earlier work by Okulov (2004), we derive a closed-form solution for the binormal velocity, and hence for the induction and motion of a single helical vortex. In §5 we derive a similar set of equations to describe the full motion of fluid particles along the axis of a helical vortex. Finally, in §6, we conclude the work.

2. Kinematics of the helical vortices

We start by defining the geometry of a helical vortex of radius R (figure 1a). The helical pitch, $L = 2\pi l$, is defined as the axial displacement during one turn of the helix, and the helix angle is determined as $\tan \phi = L/2\pi R$, with corresponding helix torsion $\tau = l/R$. Furthermore, the absolute induced velocity u , is defined either through the binormal velocity, u_b , and the tangential velocity, u_t , or through the axial velocity, u_z , and the azimuthal velocity, u_θ (figure 1b). In accordance with remark to equation (7.1.13) of Batchelor (1967) the contribution of the normal component u_n does not lead to displacement of the vortex line and will not be considered here.

It should be mentioned that the binormal and the absolute velocity depict the same spatial displacement of the helical vortex structure, as indicated by the blue line and velocity vectors in figure 1(a). For simplicity, we also show the helix as a rectilinear line by a horizontal projection of the cylindrical coordinates (θ, z) on a cylindrical surface embedding the helix (figure 1b). From this, it is easily recognized that the helix displacement takes place in the binormal direction and that the tangential velocity does not contribute to the displacement, as it acts along the helix axis.

Indeed, the definition of u_b gives the shortest distance to the displaced helix structure as well as **defining** the helix angle, ϕ (see figure 1b). The geometry of the helix results in the following trigonometric relations (figure 1a):

$$\tan \phi = \tau; \quad \sin \phi = \tau / \sqrt{1 + \tau^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos \phi = 1 / \sqrt{1 + \tau^2}. \quad (2.1a-c)$$

Looking at figure 1(b) it is clear that the velocity of a material fluid particle (red arrow and dot) does not define the helix angle. First, when the tangential velocity is subtracted from it, the pitch angle can be defined as the angle between the remaining vector, which is the binormal component, and the z -direction. The reason is simply that the tangential component acts along the helix axis, hence it will not contribute to the displacement of the helical structure, but only influence the movement of fluid particles along the helix axis. It is important to separate the helix displacement (figure 1b – blue line) and the motion of material fluid particles (the red point on the blue line). From figure 1(b) it is readily seen that all velocity vectors connecting the original (black) vortex line and the displaced (blue) line may determine the displacement of the vortex. However, the binormal component is the only one that uniquely defines the helix displacement through the helix angle ϕ . In contrast to this, the absolute velocity defines the motion of a material fluid particle on the helical vortex, but not the displacement of the helical structure. It should also be mentioned that the value of the tangential velocity depends on the vorticity distribution in the core of the helical vortex, which also makes the definition of the helix position via the absolute velocity u more difficult. It may seem obvious that the displacement is dictated uniquely by the binormal velocity component. However, in some recent publications, this has been questioned (see e.g. Fuentes 2018; Durán Venegas & Le Dizès 2019), and this is partly the motivation for discussing this issue in the present paper. In much earlier works by prominent fluid mechanical scientists, this issue was not, and should not, be questioned. An example is the paper by Ricca (1994), where on page 251 it is explicitly noted that ‘... the relative displacement in the fluid is given only by u_b , while u_t yields pure tangential motion along the axis’.

Another important reference is the pioneering work by Joukowski (1912), which, however, contains a somewhat different approach to **determining** the motion of a helical vortex.

In figure 2 we have reproduced page 13 from the **French translation of the paper by Margoulis (1929)**. Here the starting point is also to neglect the tangential component, but then he proceeds by determining the angular velocity of the helix. As a basis for the analysis, the Biot–Savart **law** is employed to approximate the solution of the total velocity v of all fluids particles, as this ‘velocity coincides with the speed of the vortex ring’,

$$v = -\frac{J}{4\pi\rho} \log \frac{\varepsilon}{2\rho}, \quad (2.2)$$

where J is the circulation of the vortex, ε is the core radius **and** $\rho = R/\sin^2\alpha$, with α being the slope angle of the helix. Further, on page 13, he remarked that ‘... this result could be expected in advance. Let’s now decompose (figure 8) the velocity v of the helical vortex filament, whose first component, $v \cdot \tan\alpha$ is directed along the tangent to the axis of the vortex, and the other, $v/\cos\alpha$ follows the tangent to the circumference of the straight section of the cylinder. The first component does not affect the equilibrium of our helical line. But the second component communicates a

FIGURE 2. The page from Joukowski (1912) and zoomed image of his figure 8 in which it was shown that the tangential component v_{tang} does not affect the helix displacement.

rotation around the axis of the cylinder, with an angular velocity: $\Omega = v/R \cos \alpha'$ (end of the translated quote; below, the symbols used in the original paper are replaced by $\Gamma \equiv -J$ and $\phi \equiv \pi/2 - \alpha$).

As exemplified in Joukowski's article, depending on the application, the displacement of a helical vortex requires it to be analysed in different coordinate directions, which do not necessarily coincide with the binormal direction.

Two main displacements of the vortex-core locations take place in the context of an axial translation of the helix along the axis Oz or as an azimuthal rotation around the same axis. This is shown in figure 1(b) where the translational velocity is denoted as $(u_{Sind})_z$ and the azimuthal as $(u_{Sind})_\theta$. From the figure, it is readily seen that they are not identical to the projections of the binormal velocity u_b . The displacement of the helix in the two orthogonal cross-sections (figure 3) plays an important role in many applications of helical vortices (Alekseenko *et al.* 2007). For example, in some applications, the appearance of helical structures in fixed cross-sections are inherent in PIV measurements of three-dimensional flows (see e.g. Okulov *et al.* 2014). The motion of a helix in a meridional cross-section, $\theta = \text{const.}$ (figure 3a), looks similar to the self-induced motion of vortex rings (Fukumoto & Moffatt 2000), and it can be referred to as an axial self-induced motion with the velocity $(u_{Sind})_z$. The next major displacement of the helix, located in the other orthogonal cross-section $z = \text{const.}$ (figure 3b), is recognized as the precession of a helical vortex core (Alekseenko *et al.* 1999). The frequency $\Omega_{Sind} = (u_{Sind})_\theta / R$ of the vortex core precession at $z = \text{const.}$ corresponds to the frequency of a concentrated vorticity filament, which e.g. is passing by a fixed anemometer or a PIV laser sheet. As seen in the projections depicted in figure 1(b), the self-induced translation $(u_{Sind})_z$ and the self-induced rotation $(u_{Sind})_\theta$ are not identical to the binormal projections $(u_b)_z$ and $(u_b)_\theta$. Instead, from figure 1(b) one may deduce the following relationships between the various velocity vectors:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (u_{Sind})_z &= u_b / \cos \phi & \text{and} & & (u_{Sind})_\theta &= -u_b / \sin \phi, & \text{while} & \\ (u_b)_z &= u_b \cos \phi & \text{and} & & (u_b)_\theta &= -u_b \sin \phi. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

FIGURE 3. (a) The axial self-induced helix motion in a meridional cross-section, $\theta = \text{const.}$; (b) the helix precession or self-induced rotation in a fixed cross-section, $z = \text{const.}$ For both cross-sections the iso-lines of the streamfunction of the flow are reproduced from the data in § 2.6.2 of Alekseenko *et al.* (2007).

These corrections constitute an inherent part of the interpretation of rotor wakes in earlier works by Okulov & Sørensen (2010) and in analyses of helix precession (Kuibin & Okulov 1998). It should also be noted that Prandtl (Betz 1919), in his treatment of the screw propeller, based the velocity of the screw surface on the projection of the axial self-induced velocity component, i.e. $u_b = (u_{Sind})_z \cos \phi$, which then was projected into an axial and an azimuthal component, defining the induced velocities in the wake.

Although the two self-induced components describe the motion of spots of the vorticity concentrations in the two orthogonal cross-sections, they do not coincide with the corresponding projections on the axial and azimuthal directions of either the induced velocity u of the fluid particles or of the binormal velocity u_b (figure 1b). From the definition of the helix angle, combining (2.1) and (2.3), we get

$$\tan \phi = (u_{Sind})_z / (u_{Sind})_\theta = (u_b)_\theta / (u_b)_z = l/R \equiv \tau. \quad (2.4)$$

Other important applications of helix motion include additional global flows. In figure 4 we depict two different situations of superposed flow, with figure 4(a) showing the kinematics and velocity vectors when an axial flow component, U_0 , is superposed on the helix motion, and figure 4(b) showing a similar picture when the helix is subject to an additional rotation. For a forward propulsion of a propeller or a wake of a wind turbine surrounded by an ambient axial wind field (figure 4a), an additional axial flow velocity superposed on the helix motion needs to be included for a correct definition of the helical pitch in the meridional cross-section (figure 3a). In the investigation of the stability of helical vortex multiples (Okulov 2004), the motion of a moving coordinate system with axial velocity $U_0 = \Gamma N / 2\pi l$ was superposed in order to obtain a vanishing induced velocity at the centre, i.e. at $r = 0$.

An additional angular rotation Ω_0 (figure 4b) can occur in different ways, e.g. by the influence of an additional root vortex (Okulov & Sørensen 2007, 2010) or by other vortices in a vortex multiple (Okulov 2004) or by the influence of a boundary (Kuibin & Okulov 1998). It is important to note, as also evidenced on figure 4, that an additional translation automatically implies an additional rotation, and, *vice versa*, that an additional rotation causes an additional translation.

3. A correlation between solutions for the vortex filament and the vortex of a finite core

The next question we wish to address concerns the possibility of using the induction of a concentrated singular vortex filament to describe the induction from a vortex

FIGURE 4. The self-induced motions of a helix in two moving systems, $(O\theta'z)$ and $(O\theta'z')$, on a supporting cylinder of radius R . (a) The total helix motion in the axial direction with a supplementary velocity U_0 . (b) The total helix rotation with a supplementary angular velocity Ω_0 .

FIGURE 5. Correlations of azimuthal velocities u_θ induced by an infinite thin vortex filament (dotted lines) and a vortex with a finite core and a uniform vorticity distribution, ω_t (solid lines). (a) Rectilinear vortex and (b) helical filament with constant vorticity (Boersma & Wood 1999).

filament of **finite-core** size and constant vorticity. For a simple **two-dimensional** (2-D) vortex it is known that the induction outside the vortex core is the same for a point vortex as for a vortex of finite size, provided that it has a constant vorticity distribution. However, this is not the case for a similar filament of a helical vortex, as the vortex, due to torsion and curvature, induces a non-symmetric velocity field (see **references** in Kuibin & Okulov 1998). This difference between the induction of a rectilinear (point) vortex and a helical vortex is illustrated in figure 5, which compares the induced azimuthal velocity of a 2-D vortex (figure 5a) and a helical vortex (figure 5b). For the 2-D vortex, it is clearly seen that a point representation of the vortex and a similar vortex of **finite-core** size generates the same induced velocity field outside the vortex core. In contrast to this, a helical vortex of **finite-core** size and constant vorticity generates a velocity outside the core that is different from the one generated by a singular vortex filament.

A simple way to estimate the velocity at the **centre** of the vortex is to take the value between two diametrically opposed points on the vortex core, i.e. at $(r, \theta, z) = (R \pm$

219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233

$\varepsilon, 0, 0)$, and let the centre velocity be represented by the average of these two values (Ricca 1994; Boersma & Wood 1999; Okulov 2004, etc.). Although this procedure can immediately be exploited for a 2-D vortex, it requires an additional correction for a helical vortex, since, as discussed above, the induction is non-symmetric.

To determine this correction, consider first the vortex at some point O with the unit vectors of the natural coordinate system directed along the tangent, and the principal normal and the binormal directions in an orthogonal cross-section of the filament. In this system, the velocity field induced by a curved vortex filament may, at a small distance σ from the filament, be asymptotically represented as the sum of a pole, a logarithmic singularity and a regular (non-singular) term (Batchelor 1967). The first of these terms describes the circulatory motion around the vortex axis and does not cause any displacement. The next two terms describe the motion of the vortex filament in the binormal direction. In dimensionless form, scaled with $\Gamma\kappa/4\pi$, the binormal velocity is given as,

$$\hat{w}_b^{(Asympt)} = -\frac{2 \cos \theta}{\kappa \rho} + \ln \frac{1}{\kappa \rho} + C^{(Asympt)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where ρ, θ are the polar coordinates of the natural system and κ is the vortex curvature.

It is known (Ricca 1994; Kuibin & Okulov 1998) that the dimensionless self-induced velocity of a helical vortex with a finite-core size of ε after integration of (3.1) is described by the formula,

$$\hat{w}_b^{(Sind)} = \ln \frac{1}{\kappa \varepsilon} + C^{(Sind)}, \quad (3.2)$$

where ε is the radius of the vortex core. This can be considered as an analogue to (3.1) without the pole, which vanishes from the integral because of the symmetry of the pole contribution. The disappearance of the pole and the logarithmic term provides a basis for utilizing the velocity induced by a filament at a distance $\rho = \varepsilon$ to represent the flow field induced by a vortex of finite-core size, ε . However, it remains to estimate the last term of the two equations to complete the interrelation between the quantities. In (3.1) the quantity $C^{(Asympt)}$ only depends on the geometry of the vortex filament, whereas the value of $C^{(Sind)}$ in (3.2), besides the same geometric vortex parameters, also depends on the vorticity distribution inside the vortex core (Batchelor 1967; Tung & Ting 1967; Ricca 1994; Kuibin & Okulov 1998; Boersma & Wood 1999; Okulov 2004 etc.). This difference between the ‘ C ’ terms can take different values, depending on the form of the core and the vorticity distribution of the vortex. As an example, the value for a vortex ring with uniform vorticity of the core was determined to be 3/4 by Tung & Ting (1967). For a helical vortex, the difference is 1/4, as was analytically deduced by Boersma & Wood (1999), who showed that

$$C^{(Sind)} = C^{(Asympt)} + \frac{1}{4}. \quad (3.3)$$

Although (3.3) represents a simple way of establishing a finite-core vortex solution from the solution of a similar vortex of zero thickness, the correction seems not to be generally known. A recent example of this is the paper by Fuentes (2018), where the integral representation of Boersma & Wood (1999) for the Kawada–Hardin solution of the helical filament was used directly to compute the motion of the helical vortex without using (3.3) to correct it for the finite-core vortex.

4. The motion of a single helical vortex

As a basis for determining the motion of a single helical vortex, we employ the Kapteyn series employed in Kawada–Hardin’s solution (Hardin 1982). This series was originally written as

$$H^{l,J}(a, b, \chi) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m I_m^{(l)}(ma) K_m^{(J)}(mb) \cdot e^{im\chi}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $I_m^{(0)}(ma)$ and $K_m^{(0)}(mb)$ are the modified Bessel functions, and $I_m^{(1)}(ma)$ and $K_m^{(1)}(mb)$ are their respective derivatives; the parameter a denotes the dimensionless radial distance to the point considered, and b denotes the dimensionless radius of the vortex, both made dimensionless by the helical pitch l or something similar via helix torsion $\tau = l/R$. Okulov (2004) derived an accurate analytical approximation of the Kapteyn series (4.1), which included six key terms with remainder terms that were sufficiently small to be neglected. In spite of its potential generality, this result was applied only to investigate the stability of helical multiples in a moving coordinate system with an additional axial velocity (Okulov 2004; Okulov & Sørensen 2007). To generalize and complete the equations of motion for a single helical vortex located in a non-moving frame of reference, we here revisit the work by Okulov (2004) and derive the missing equations.

In accordance with the procedure described by Okulov (2004), an analytical form of the infinite series (4.1) (using appendix A), can be determined as follows:

(i) for the point $R - \sigma R < R$ inside of the helix:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{0,1}\left(\frac{1-\sigma}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}, 0\right) &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{\tau^2}{(1+\tau^2)^{1/2}} \left(-\frac{2}{\sigma}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{\tau^2}{(1+\tau^2)^{3/2}} \left(\ln(\sigma) + \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\tau^2}}{2}\right) + \tau^2 - 1\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{\tau^2}{(1+\tau^2)^{9/2}} \left[\frac{27}{8} + 2\tau^4 + \frac{1}{\tau^2} - \left(\tau^4 - 3\tau^2 + \frac{3}{8}\right) \zeta(3)\right] \\ &+ I_1\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) K_1'\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) + \frac{3\tau}{4} + o(1) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

(ii) for the point $R + \sigma R > R$ outside of the helix

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1,0}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1+\sigma}{\tau}, 0\right) &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{\tau^2}{(1+\tau^2)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{\tau^2}{(1+\tau^2)^{3/2}} \left(\ln(\sigma) + \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\tau^2}}{2}\right) + \tau^2 - 1\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{\tau^2}{(1+\tau^2)^{9/2}} \left[\frac{27}{8} + 2\tau^4 + \frac{1}{\tau^2} - \left(\tau^4 - 3\tau^2 + \frac{3}{8}\right) \zeta(3)\right] \\ &+ I_1\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) K_1'\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) + \frac{\tau}{4} + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $\sigma = \varepsilon/R$, $\zeta(\cdot)$ is the Riemann zeta function, and $o(1)$ is the remainder of the approximation.

FIGURE 6. (a) Correlations of the Kapteyn series (3.2) with 70 harmonics (dots) and the analytical equivalent (3.3)–(4.1) (solid lines). (b) Azimuthal velocities u_θ computed symmetrically around the helix axis at external positions, $r/R = 1 + \sigma$, and at internal positions, $r/R = 1 - \sigma$, using (4.2) combined with (3.2) and (3.3)–(4.1). (All simulations in the plots hereinafter were undertaken with $\Gamma = 4\pi$ and $\sigma = 0.1$).

As demonstrated by the excellent agreement between (4.2) and (4.3) and the Kapteyn series in figure 6(a), the remainder is clearly seen to be negligibly small. In cylindrical coordinates (r, θ, z) , according to the Kawada–Hardin solution, the axial and azimuthal velocity components induced by the helical vortex outside of the core are given as

$$u_\theta(r, R, \chi) = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi r} \left\{ 0 \right\} + \frac{\Gamma a}{\pi r l} \left\{ H^{0,1}(r/l, R/l, \chi) \right\}, \quad u_z(r, R, \chi) = \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi l} - \frac{r}{l} u_\theta(r, R, \chi), \quad (4.4a,b)$$

where $\chi = \theta - z/l$, and the upper expression in braces corresponds to the case $r < R$, and the lower one to $r > R$. Figure 6(b) also shows an excellent agreement between the simulations of u_θ using the Kapteyn series (4.1) and the analytical forms of (4.2) and (4.3) with $\Gamma = 4\pi$, $\chi = 0$ and $\sigma = 0.1$.

The new form of the solution (4.4) by (4.2) and (4.3) with the pole and logarithmic singularities is fully in line with the asymptotic development (3.1) for the velocity field induced by any curved infinite thin vortex filament (Batchelor 1967). The development (4.2) and (4.3) predicts an infinite speed on the helical filament, and the induction velocity (4.4) cannot be directly applied to estimate the translation of a helical vortex with finite core or the motion of fluid particles along the helical axis. For this purpose, the non-singular term in (3.2) needs to be determined. A way to do this was proposed by Ricca (1994), who used the half-sum of the filament velocities (4.4) at two points diametrically placed at $\sigma = \pm \varepsilon/R$ from the filament. As discussed in § 3, the asymptotic singular term needs to be further corrected by a constant in order to represent the value at the vortex centre. By subtracting the pole $1/\sigma$ and the logarithm pole $\ln(1/\sigma)$ from the original Kapteyn series (4.1), Ricca (1994) predicted numerically that the correction in (3.3) should be approximately $1/4$. This was later confirmed analytically by Boersma & Wood (1999), who separated both singularities

by an analytical reorganization of the equations, resulting in $\text{\textcolor{red}{the representation}}$ of the remainder by an integral,

$$W(\tau) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{\sin^2 t}{[\tau^2 t^2 + \sin^2 t]^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{[\tau^2 + 1]^{3/2}} \frac{T(1/2 - t)}{t} \right\} dt, \quad (4.5)$$

where $T(\cdot)$ denotes the unit step function. The integral remainder (4.5) describes the main effect of vortex torsion. The expression is regular and permits $\text{\textcolor{red}{us}}$ to prove analytically the $1/4$ -term in (3.3). However, it cannot be integrated into a closed form, just as the Biot–Savart law for the helical vortex filament, and instead it was numerically determined to an accuracy of six significant figures and tabulated for 21 values of the pitch τ . Based on this analysis, Boersma & Wood (1999) for the first time derived a realistic formula for the binormal velocity $u_{b_{B\&W}}$ of a helical vortex with finite core,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4\pi R}{\Gamma} u_{b_{B\&W}} &= \frac{1}{1 + \tau^2} \\ &\times \left[\ln \left(\frac{2}{\sigma} \right) - \frac{1}{4} + 2\tau^2 - 2\tau \sqrt{1 + \tau^2} - \ln \sqrt{1 + \tau^2} + (1 + \tau^2)^{3/2} W(\tau) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

As an alternative to the semi-analytical equation (4.6), the analytical representation of the series, equations (4.2) and (4.3), may be exploited in a similar way as in Ricca (1994). After some manipulations, we arrive at the following closed analytical form for the velocity of the binormal translation of the axis of a helical vortex of $\text{\textcolor{red}{finite-core radius}}$, $\sigma = \varepsilon/R$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4\pi R}{\Gamma} u_b &= \frac{1}{1 + \tau^2} \left[\ln \frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{\tau}{1 + \tau^2} + 2 + \tau^2 - \frac{\sqrt{1 + \tau^2}(1 + 3\tau^2)}{\tau} \right] \\ &+ \frac{\tau^2}{(1 + \tau^2)^4} \left[\left(\tau^4 - 3\tau^2 + \frac{3}{8} \right) \zeta(3) - \frac{27}{8} + 2\tau^4 + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right] \\ &+ 4 \frac{\sqrt{1 + \tau^2}}{\tau^2} I_1 \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \right) K'_1 \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \right) + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

In figure 7(a), the binormal velocity is computed as a function of torsion using the new analytical expression (4.7) (solid line) and compared to the solution of Boersma & Wood (1999), using the W -integral formulation (4.6), and to that of Ricca (1994), using a Kapteyn series with 70 terms. As seen, there is an excellent agreement between the three methods. In figure 7(b) the angular self-induced velocity is compared to DNS simulations of Selçuk, Delbende & Rossi (2017). The comparison is seen to be excellent, verifying both the validity of (4.7) and the explanations and equations derived in § 2 (equations (2.1)–(2.4) and figures 1b and 3b).

Thus, for the first time, a $\text{\textcolor{red}{closed-form}}$ solution, equation (4.7), has been established $\text{\textcolor{red}{to describe}}$ the displacement of a helical vortex with a uniform vorticity distribution of the vortex core.

5. The motion of fluid particles along the axis of a helical vortex

In some cases, it is preferable to consider the absolute motion of material fluid elements on the helical axis. However, it is important to emphasize that this motion

FIGURE 7. (a) Correlations of the non-dimensional binormal velocity of a helical vortex calculated by different methods. Points indicate values of Ricca's approach via Kapteyn series with 70 harmonics (3.2); squares are $u_{bB\&W}$ of Boersma & Wood's formula (4.4a,b); solid line is the current analytical expression (4.5). (b) Comparison of angular velocity $\Omega_{Sind} = (-u_b/\sin\phi)/R$ in a fixed cross-section (figures 1b and 3b). Solid line: calculation using (4.5); square dots: angular velocity obtained from Navier–Stokes simulations of helix development (Selçuk *et al.* 2017).

FIGURE 8. Different nature of the absolute motion of the fluid particles along the helical axis and the motion of the helical vortex of the rotor wake in the meridional cross-section is illustrated by a recent example with dye visualization of Quaranta, Bolnot & Leweke (2015).

does not correspond to the motion of the helical structure, and one has to be cautious when trying to derive the displacement of a helical structure from the absolute velocity of the material fluid elements located on the helix axis (figure 8).

As an example, in figures 1(a) and 3(a) it is shown that an axial translation of a helix in a fixed axial cross-section is associated with an additional rotation of the helix. This effect cannot be included when considering the helix motion to be determined purely by the motion of the material fluid particles along the helix axis. The incompatibility between the movement of fluid particles and of the helix

is illustrated in the visualization of Quaranta *et al.* (2015) (figure 8), where fluid particles are seen to move along the helix axis towards the rotor blade at the same time as the helical wake moves downstream from the rotor.

On the other hand, the absolute velocity u may play a key role when analysing disturbances and instabilities (Widnall 1972; Hattori & Fukumoto 2009), or the expansion of the original helical vortex. In these cases, it is necessary to know the absolute velocity components (4.4). As done previously, the analytical form of the Kapteyn series, equations (4.2) and (4.3), combined with (4.4), will be used to derive the analytical expressions for the velocities. Furthermore, we will apply the same approach as the one used to derive the binormal components in the previous section. As before, we utilize the assumption that the velocity on the helix axis can be represented by the sum of the induced velocities at opposite points of the filament, corrected by the non-singularity term $(1/4)$. However, the correction using the $1/4$ -term should only be applied for the binormal component, as a uniform distribution of vorticity does not affect the tangential motion of the fluid particles along the helical axis. Hence, the $1/4$ -term only contributes indirectly to the expression for u_θ and u_z through the corrected binormal velocity, which subsequently is projected onto the azimuthal and axial directions by multiplication of $-\sin\phi = -\tau/\sqrt{1+\tau^2}$ and $\cos\phi = 1/\sqrt{1+\tau^2}$, respectively. Finally, the analytical formulae for the azimuthal and axial components take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4\pi R}{\Gamma} u_\theta = & \frac{-\tau}{(\sqrt{1+\tau^2})^3} \left[\ln \frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} + \ln \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1+\tau^2}} + 2 - \frac{2}{\tau} (\sqrt{1+\tau^2})^3 \right] \\ & + \frac{4}{\tau} I_1 \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \right) K'_1 \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \right) + 1 - \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1+\tau^2}} \\ & - \frac{\tau^3}{(\sqrt{1+\tau^2})^9} \left[\left(\tau^4 - 3\tau^2 + \frac{3}{8} \right) \zeta(3) - \frac{27}{8} + 2\tau^4 + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right] + o(1) \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4\pi R}{\Gamma} u_z = & \frac{1}{(\sqrt{1+\tau^2})^3} \left[\ln \frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} + \ln \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1+\tau^2}} - 2\tau^2 \right] \\ & - \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\frac{4}{\tau} I_1 \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \right) K'_1 \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \right) + 1 - \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1+\tau^2}} \right) \\ & - \frac{\tau^3}{(\sqrt{1+\tau^2})^9} \left[\left(\tau^4 - 3\tau^2 + \frac{3}{8} \right) \zeta(3) - \frac{27}{8} + 2\tau^4 + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right] + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

To verify these simple analytical expressions, the resulting velocities are compared to similar results obtained using a Kapteyn series with 70 harmonics. The outcome of this is depicted in figure 9, which compares the absolute velocities at the helix axis as a function of torsion. It may be argued that replacing the standard Kapteyn solution by a closed-form analytical solution is of minor importance. However, it may become important when investigating the stability properties of a helix in the vicinity of a stable state or when using particle tracking of a large number of fluid particles.

Finally, to demonstrate that the tangential velocity of the helix does not depend on the $1/4$ -term of the correction in (3.3), we substitute the expression (5.1) and (5.2) for u_θ and u_z into the expression for the tangential velocity, $u_t = (u_\theta + \tau u_z)/\sqrt{1+\tau^2}$, derived by Ricca (1994). After some trivial, albeit tedious, transformations, we arrive

FIGURE 9. Absolute velocities of the motion of fluid particles along a helical axis with vortex core of radius ε . Solid lines: equations (4.6) and (4.7); points: solution of vortex filament (4.2) using Kapteyn series (3.2) with 70 harmonics (points).

at the dimensionless formula

$$u_t = 2 \frac{\sqrt{1 + \tau^2} - \tau}{1 + \tau^2}, \quad (5.3)$$

which clearly is seen not to contain the $1/4$ -term.

Thus, the analytical solutions, equations (5.1) and (5.2), for the motion of fluid particles along the helical axis differ from the binormal displacement of the helical vortex, equation (4.7), and the tangential velocity (5.3) does not affect this motion. Furthermore, u_t does not contribute to the correction, equation (3.3), when considering a uniform vorticity distribution in the vortex core.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we have derived a set of analytical equations for the motion of helical vortices. We have demonstrated that the kinematics of a helical vortex moving due to self-induction is fundamentally different from the motion of the material fluid elements forming the vortex. In particular, we show that the motion of the vortex is governed solely by the binormal component of the induced velocity. Furthermore, we have derived a set of closed-form equations describing both the binormal component of the velocity, constituting the movement of the helical vortex structure, and the velocity components describing the motion of a material fluid particle located at the centre of the vortex. The analysis was restricted to vortices with a constant vorticity distribution in the vortex core. Solutions for other typical distributions of vorticity of the vortex core will be the subject for future investigations.

Appendix A. A correct evolution of the Kapteyn series

In this appendix we assess the accuracy of the proposed analytical formulae and demonstrate the advantage of employing an analytical form of the equations.

The Kapteyn series (4.1) consists of the harmonic terms along the azimuthal direction,

$$hi_m(x, \chi) = mI_m^{(0)}(m \cdot a)K_m^{(1)}(m \cdot ax) \cdot e^{im\chi}, \quad \text{when } x \leq 1; \quad (\text{A } 1a)$$

$$he_m(x, \chi) = mI_m^{(1)}(m \cdot a)K_m^{(0)}(m \cdot ax) \cdot e^{im\chi}, \quad \text{when } x > 1. \quad (\text{A } 1b)$$

FIGURE 10. Examples of the harmonic terms hi_m and he_m of the Kapteyn series (A 1a,b) along the radial ($\chi = 0$) and azimuthal ($x = 1$) directions for $a = 1$.

FIGURE 11. Examples of the sums Hi_M and He_M for a direct simulation (A 2a,b) of the Kapteyn series along the radial ($\chi = 0$) and azimuthal ($x = 1$) directions for $a = 1$.

The behaviour of these functions is shown in figure 10 for different m -values, with $a = R/l = 1/\tau$ and $x = r/R$, in agreement with (4.2)–(4.4).

Unfortunately, when carrying out simulations, only finite sums of the harmonics of (A 1) can be utilized,

$$Hi_M(x, \chi) = \sum_{m=1}^M hi_m(x, \chi) \quad \text{or} \quad He_M(x, \chi) = \sum_{m=1}^M he_m(x, \chi). \quad (\text{A } 2a,b)$$

Figure 11 demonstrate that finite sums of (A 2) cannot describe the singularities of the solution (4.4) at $x = 1$ and $\chi = 0$. Here, the sums (A 2) predict finite values at $\chi = 0$ and $x = 1$, which depend on the number of modes M . In fact, in order to reach the correct result that the Kapteyn series tends to infinity at $x = 1$ and $\chi = 0$, it is required that $M \rightarrow \infty$, which makes it inconvenient to use directly the series. Furthermore, in all regular points, $\chi \neq 0$, the curves parasitically oscillate, because a regular solution in

these points only can be achieved by summing an infinite number of terms from the positive and negative harmonics of the Kapteyn series.

The problem with the simulation of the Kapteyn series is known as ‘problem 97-18’ (Boersma & Yakubovich 1998). Boersma & Wood (1999) separated a simple pole of $1/x$ type to provide a correction for the velocity field around the helical filament. After the separation they employed an integral form (4.5) for the remainder, which was derived in the paper by Boersma & Yakubovich (1998). The integral remainder W describes the main effect of vortex torsion and is regular, but cannot be integrated in a closed form, just as the Biot–Savart law for the helical vortex filament. Nevertheless, it was numerically calculated with high accuracy to six significant figures and tabulated in Table 1 of Boersma & Wood (1999) for 21 values of the pitch τ . We have used these values as a basis to test our analytical representations (see e.g. the squares in figure 7a).

A special analytical approach in which the main singular terms were directly extracted in the Kapteyn series was developed by Kawada (1936) for the two first terms and independently by Okulov (2004), who proposed a general algorithm to separate key terms from the series

$$H^{0,1}(ax, a, \chi) = S_k^+(x, \chi) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} ri_m^{(k)}(x) \cdot e^{im\chi}, \quad (\text{A } 3a)$$

$$H^{1,0}(a, ax, \chi) = S_k^-(x, \chi) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} re_m^{(k)}(x) \cdot e^{im\chi}, \quad (\text{A } 3b)$$

where S_k refers to the main k terms representing the series and the sums represent the remainder terms of the series. In accordance with the procedure described by Okulov (2004) the main analytical form of the Kapteyn series can be determined by a development of (4.1) via k terms consisting of two singularities and $(k - 2)$ polylogarithms. Figure 5 in Okulov (2004) shows a very good correlation of S_4 , which consist of a pole, a logarithm, plus the first and second polylogarithms, with the integral solution of Boersma & Wood (1999). However, representing the series only with a pole and a logarithm and keeping the main regular remainders, constitutes a simple and accurate solution to the problem. In this way, we now consider the main analytical part of the solution described only by the two first functions,

$$S_2^{\pm}(x, \chi) = \lambda(x) \text{Re} \left[\frac{\pm e^{i\chi}}{e^{\mp \xi} - e^{i\chi}} + \alpha(x) \ln(1 - e^{\xi \pm i\chi}) \right], \quad (\text{A } 4)$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} e^{\xi} &= x \frac{e^{\sqrt{1+xa^2}}(1 + \sqrt{1+a^2})}{e^{\sqrt{1+a^2}}(1 + \sqrt{1+xa^2})}; & \lambda(x) &= \frac{1}{2a} \frac{\sqrt{1+a^2}}{\sqrt{1+xa^2}}; \\ \alpha(x) &= \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{3(xa)^2 - 2}{(1 + (xa)^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{9a^2 + 2}{(1 + a^2)^{3/2}} \right). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (\text{A } 5)$$

Next, we reduce further the error of (A 4) (see also figure 5 in Okulov (2004)) taking into consideration a few terms of the regular remainders $ri_m^{(2)}$ and $re_m^{(2)}$

$$ri_m^{(2)}(x, \chi) = m[I_m^{(0)}(m \cdot xa)K_m^{(1)}(m \cdot a) + \lambda(x)e^{m\xi}(1 + \alpha(ax, a))]e^{im\chi}; \quad (\text{A } 6a)$$

$$re_m^{(2)}(x, \chi) = m[I_m^{(1)}(m \cdot a)K_m^{(0)}(m \cdot ax) - \lambda(x)e^{-m\xi}(1 - \alpha(xa, a))]e^{im\chi}. \quad (\text{A } 6b)$$

FIGURE 12. Example of first terms of regular remainders $ri_m^{(2)}$ and $re_m^{(2)}$ (A 6) for the presentation of Kapteyn series with the singularity separation of the pole and logarithm (A 3) along the radial ($\chi = 0$) and azimuthal ($x = 1$) directions for $a = 1$.

FIGURE 13. Examples of the sums $Ri_M^{(2)}$ and $Re_M^{(2)}$ of the remainders (A 7a,b) along the radial ($\chi = 0$) and azimuthal ($x = 1$) directions for $a = 1$.

Figure 12 illustrate the behaviour of the first terms of the remainders, showing that they decay very fast as compared to the original harmonics (A 1) of the Kapteyn series seen in figure 10.

Figure 13 shows the behaviour of the sums,

$$Ri_M^{(2)}(x, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^M ri_n^{(2)}(x) \cdot e^{im\chi} \quad \text{and} \quad Re_M^{(2)}(x, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^M re_n^{(2)}(x) \cdot e^{im\chi}. \quad (\text{A } 7a,b)$$

The presented data, together with figure 5 in Okulov (2004), makes it clear that, in order to reduce the error down to 0.2 %, it is sufficient to add in (A 3) only the first term ($m = 1$) of (A 6). The result of the correct simulation is shown in figure 14. Setting $\chi = 0$ and inserting the values $a = 1/\tau$ and $x = 1 \pm \sigma$ in (A 3), (A 4) and

FIGURE 14. Solid lines indicate a correct evolution of the Kapteyn series by (A 3); squares – evolution of the partial case (3.3) and (4.1) at $\chi = 0$ along the radial ($\chi = 0$) and azimuthal ($x = 1$) directions for $a = 1$.

(A 6), we get (4.2)–(4.3), which together with (4.4), in a simple and compact way, describes the induced velocity field.

Thus, the evolution of the flow induced by helical vortex filaments reduces to calculating the main part (A 4) of the Kapteyn series, represented by elementary functions. A correction of the representations down to approximately 0.2 % errors can be made by adding only the first term of the remainder (A 7), which was expressed by a multiplication of two modified Bessel functions. Moreover, the information on vortex torsion expressly includes the singularities and their coefficients in (A 4). Therefore, equations (4.2) and (4.3) of the Kapteyn series represent a solution of the helical vortex problem, that is simpler and more effective than the approaches by Ricca (1994), Boersma & Wood (1999).

REFERENCES

- ALEKSEENKO, S. V., KUIBIN, P. A. & OKULOV, V. L. 2007 *Theory of Concentrated Vortices: An Introduction*. Springer.
- ALEKSEENKO, S. V., KUIBIN, P. A., OKULOV, V. L. & SHTORK, S. I. 1999 Helical vortices in swirl flow. *J. Fluid Mech.* **382**, 195–243.
- ~~ALI, M. & ABID, M. 2014 Self similar behaviour of a rotor wake vortex core. *J. Fluid Mech.* **740**, R1.~~
- BATCHELOR, G. K. 1967 *An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics*. Cambridge University Press.
- BETZ, A. 1919 Schraubenpropeller mit geringstem Energieverlust: mit einem Zusatz von L. Prandtl. In *Göttinger Nachrichten, Göttingen*.
- BOERSMA, J. & WOOD, D. H. 1999 On the self-induced motion of a helical vortex. *J. Fluid Mech.* **384**, 263–280.
- BOERSMA, J. & YAKUBOVICH, S. B. 1998 Solution to problem 97-18: the asymptotic sum of a Kapteyn series. *SIAM Rev.* **40**, 986–990.
- ~~DA RIOS, L. S. 1916 Vortici ad elica. *Il Nuovo Cimento* **11**, 419–431.~~
- DURÁN VENEGAS, E. & LE DIZÈS, S. 2019 Generalized helical vortex pairs. *J. Fluid Mech.* **865**, 523–545.

Q12

Q13

- FELLI, M., CAMUSSI, R. & DI FELICE, F. 2011 Mechanisms of evolution of the propeller wake in the transition and far fields. *J. Fluid Mech.* **682**, 5–53.
- FUENTES, O. V. 2018 Motion of a helical vortex. *J. Fluid Mech.* **836**, R1.
- FUKUMOTO, Y. & MOFFATT, H. K. 2000 Motion and expansion of a viscous vortex ring. *J. Fluid Mech.* **417**, 1–45.
- ~~FUKUMOTO, Y. & OKULOV, V. L. 2005 The velocity field induced by a helical vortex tube. *Phys. Fluids* **17** (10), 107101.~~
- FUKUMOTO, Y., OKULOV, V. L. & WOOD, D. H. 2015 The contribution of Kawada to the analytical solution for the velocity induced by a helical vortex filament. *ASME Appl. Mech. Rev.* **67** (6), 060801.
- GOLDSTEIN, S. 1929 On the vortex theory of screw propellers. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **123**, 440–465.
- JOUKOWSKY, N. E. 1912 Vihrevaja teorija grebnogo vinta. *Trudy Otd. Fiz. Nauk Mosk. Obshch. Lyub. Estest* **16**, 1–31; French translation by Margoulis in *Théorie tourbillonnaire de l'hélice propulsive*, Gauthier-Villars, 1929, pp. 1–47.
- HARDIN, J. C. 1982 The velocity field induced by a helical vortex filament. *Phys. Fluids* **25**, 1949–1952.
- HATTORI, Y. & FUKUMOTO, Y. 2009 Short-wavelength stability analysis of a helical vortex tube. *Phys. Fluids* **21**, 014104.
- KAWADA, S. 1936 Induced velocity by helical vortices. *J. Aero. Sci.* **3**, 86–87.
- KUIBIN, P. A. & OKULOV, V. L. 1998 Self-induced motion and asymptotic expansion of the velocity field in the vicinity of a helical vortex filament. *Phys. Fluids* **10**, 607–614.
- KUIBIN, P. A., OKULOV, V. L. & PYLEV, I. M. 2006 Simulation of the flow structure in the suction pipe of a hydroturbine by integral characteristics. *Heat Transfer Res.* **37** (8), 675–684.
- LAMB, H. 1932 *Hydrodynamics*. Cambridge University Press.
- ~~LEVY, H. & FORSDYKE, A. G. 1928 The steady motion and stability of a helical vortex. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **120**, 670–690.~~
- ~~LUCAS, D. & DRITSCHEL, D. G. 2009 A family of helically symmetric vortex equilibria. *J. Fluid Mech.* **634**, 245–268.~~
- ~~MEZIC, I., LEONARD, A. & WIGGINS, S. 1998 Regular and chaotic particle motion near a helical vortex filament. *Physica D* **111**, 179–201.~~
- MOORE, D. W. & SAFFMAN, P. G. 1972 The motion of a vortex filament with axial flow. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* **A272**, 403–429.
- OKULOV, V. L. 2004 On the stability of multiple helical vortices. *J. Fluid Mech.* **521**, 319–342.
- OKULOV, V. L., KABARDIN, I. K., MIKKELSEN, R. F., NAUMOV, I. V. & SØRENSEN, J. N. 2019 Helical self-similarity of tip vortex cores. *J. Fluid Mech.* **859**, 1084–1097.
- OKULOV, V. L., NAUMOV, I. V., MIKKELSEN, R. F., KABARDIN, I. K. & SØRENSEN, J. N. 2014 A regular Strouhal number for large-scale instability in the far wake of a rotor. *J. Fluid Mech.* **747**, 369–380.
- OKULOV, V. L. & SØRENSEN, J. N. 2007 Stability of helical tip vortices in a rotor far wake. *J. Fluid Mech.* **576**, 1–25.
- OKULOV, V. L. & SØRENSEN, J. N. 2010 Maximum efficiency of wind turbine rotors using Joukowski and Betz approaches. *J. Fluid Mech.* **649**, 497–508.
- OKULOV, V. L., SØRENSEN, J. N. & WOOD, D. H. 2015 The rotor theories by Professor Joukowski: Vortex theories. *Prog. Aerosp. Sci.* **73**, 19–46.
- RICCA, R. L. 1994 The effect of torsion on the motion of a helical vortex filament. *J. Fluid Mech.* **273**, 241–259.
- QUARANTA, H. U., BOLNOT, H. & LEWEKE, T. 2015 Long-wave instability of a helical vortex. *J. Fluid Mech.* **780**, 687–716.
- SELÇUK, C., DELBENDE, I. & ROSSI, M. 2017 Helical vortices: quasiequilibrium states and their time evolution. *Phys. Rev. Fluids* **2**, 084701.
- SHERRY, M., NEMES, A., LO JACONO, D., BLACKBURN, H. M. & SHERIDAN, J. 2013 The interaction of helical tip and root vortices in a wind turbine wake. *Phys. Fluids* **25**, 117102.
- ~~THOMSON, J. J. 1883 *A Treatise on the Motion of Vortex Rings*. Macmillan.~~
- WIDNALL, S. E. 1972 The stability of a helical vortex filament. *J. Fluid Mech.* **54**, 641–663.

Please do not answer on this page but find the appropriate point in the text and make your annotation there.

Author Queries

Journal: FLM
Article id: 00837
Author: V. L. Okulov and J. N. Sørensen
Short title: The self-induced motion of a helical vortex

Q1 (Page 1)

Au: The distinction between surnames can be ambiguous, therefore to ensure accurate tagging for indexing purposes online (eg for PubMed entries), please check that the highlighted surnames have been correctly identified, that all names are in the correct order and spelt correctly.

Q2 (Page 1)

Au: Please check all ORCIDs. If you supplied ORCIDs these can be seen by hovering over the author's name. Please click on the pop up to check that it links through to the correct ORCID page for that author.

Q3 (Page 1)

Au: Please check that the affiliations are correct for all authors. Also please ensure that department, institution, town, postal code and country are included if missing.

Q4 (Page 1)

Au: Borsma and Wood 1999 changed to Boersma and Wood (1999) as per reference list. Please check.

Q5 (Page 4)

Au: Margoulis (1929) not in reference list. Please check.

Q6 (Page 5)

Au: please define PIV.

Q7 (Page 8)

Au: the meaning of 'besides the same geometric vortex parameters' here is unclear. Please check.

Q8 (Page 8)

Au: Tung & Ting (1967) not in reference list. Please check.

Q9 (Page 11)

Au: please define DNS.

Q10 (Page 13)

Au: Quarante *et al.* (2015) changed to Quaranta *et al.* (2015) as per reference list.
Please check.

Q11 (Page 16)

Au: please check this change.

Q12 (Page 18)

Au: ~~Ali & Abid (2014), Da Rios (1916), Fukumoto & Okulov (2005),~~ Fukumoto *et al.* (2015), Kuibin *et al.* (2006), ~~Levy & Forsdyke (1928), Lucas & Dritschel (2009), Mezie *et al.* (1998),~~ Okulov *et al.* (2019) and Thomson (1883) are not cited in text.
Please cite them in the text or remove from the reference list.

Q13 (Page 18)

Au: Please provide publisher for Betz (1919).