Methane Hydrate Formation, Storage and Dissociation Behavior in Unconsolidated Sediments in the Presence of Environment-friendly Promoters

Pandey, Jyoti Shanker; Daas, Yousef Jouljamal; von Solms, Nicolas

Publication date:
2020

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Pandey, J. S. (Author), Daas, Y. J. (Author), & von Solms, N. (Author). (2020). Methane Hydrate Formation, Storage and Dissociation Behavior in Unconsolidated Sediments in the Presence of Environment-friendly Promoters. 2D/3D (physical products)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Paper No. SPE-200532-MS

Methane Hydrate Formation, Storage and Dissociation Behavior in Unconsolidated Sediments in the Presence of Environment-friendly Promoters

Jyoti Shanker Pandey, Yousef Jouljamal Daas, and Nicolas von Solms
Technical University of Denmark

Presentation Outline

- Introduction
- Objective
- Experimental setup
- Experimental results
- Conclusion

Introduction: Gas Hydrates & Applications

- What Are Gas Hydrates

- Ice-like, crystalline structures
- Common hydrate formers: methane, ethane, propane, carbon dioxide, hydrogen sulfide, nitrogen, hydrogen

Gas storage capacity in hydrates

- Applications

Introduction: Role of Chemicals in Gas Hydrates

Chemicals can influence

- Surface tension
- Solubility
- Gas diffusion

Why Amino Acids ?

Introduction Porous Media & Promoter

Objective

- To study the methane hydrate formation kinetics
 - Change in particle size
 - Presence of chemicals (Amino acids & Surfactant)
- To study the dissociation kinetics

Experimental Setup

Rocking Cell (PSL Germany)

- A- Bathtub
- B- High Pressure Cell
- C- Rocking Balls

- Rocking Rate, Rocking Angle
- Volume
- Temperature Ramping, Constant Temperature

Experimental Setup: Method and Materials

Sand	Silica Sand (4 Particle Sizes) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 46.4-245 μm• 160-630 μm• 480-1800 μm• 1400-5000 μm
Amino acids (3000 ppm concentration)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• L-valine• L-methionine• L-histidine
Sodium dodecyl Sulfate (SDS)	500-3000 ppm (500,1000,2000,3000 ppm)
Experimental conditions	100 bar, 1°C, Isothermal experiments
Parameter calculated	Induction time, gas uptake & dissociation rate below 0°C

Experimental Results- Formation Kinetics

- Hydrate morphology
 - Pore filling
 - Grain coating
- Formation kinetic (Gas-liquid contact interface)
 - Grain coating – Particle surface area
 - Pore filling- Pore space
 - Large particle size: higher pore space- Large gas-liquid contact area
 - Small particle size : weak pore connectivity, barrier to mass transfer due to high capillary forces in smaller pore space
- S_{wi} = 35% change in grain coating to pore filling

Formation-Induction time

- Pressure variation during Isothermal experiments at $P=100$ bar and 1°C
- for given sand particle size
- Induction time is lower for SDS / Hydrophobic amino acids for any given particle size

Formation-Induction time

- Induction time is lower for SDS / Hydrophobic amino acids for any given particle size.
- Increase in particle size lead to decrease in induction time
- L methionine and SDS have similar induction time.
- Histidine could only formed hydrate at higher sand particle size.
- Enhanced driving force due to large gas-liquid interface

Gas Uptake

- Pressure variation during Isothermal experiments at $P = 100$ bar and 1°C
- For low concentration (500 ppm), increase in particle size lead to decrease in gas uptake.
- At higher concentration, effect of sand particle size reduce and role of mass transfer increase
- For large particle size, change in concentration marginally affect gas uptake.
- For smaller particle size, change in concentration had dominating effect on gas uptake

Experimental Results-Dissociation

- Dissociation under $T = 266.7$ K at starting pressure $P = 1$ bar.
- Self preservation of hydrates, Surrounded by ice sheet
- Dissociation rate is dependent on initial hydrate saturation.
- SDS/Hydrophobic amino acids dissociate faster
- SDS dissociate fastest for given sand particle while amino acids dissociated slower due to enhance hydrogen bonding

Conclusions

- S_{wi} controls formation kinetics.
- Low promoter concentration, particle size effect dominates the formation kinetics dominates
- Hydrophobic amino acids have similar kinetic behavior as SDS. Less deviation between amino acids and SDS at large particle size.
- Methane hydrate self preservation in the presence of hydrophobic amino acids enhanced.

Relevant Papers

- Pandey, J. S., Daas, Y. J., & von Solms, N. (2020). Screening of Amino Acids and Surfactant as Hydrate Promoter for CO₂ Capture From Flue Gas. *Processes*, 8, [124]. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8010124>
- Pandey, J. S., Jouljamal Daas, Y., & Solms, N. V. (2019). Insights into Kinetics of Methane Hydrate Formation in the Presence of Surfactants. *Processes*, 7(9), [598]. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr7090598>
- Pandey, J. S., Daas, Y. J., Karcz, A. P., & Solms, N. V. (2020). Enhanced Hydrate-Based Geological CO₂ Capture and Sequestration as a Mitigation Strategy to Address Climate Change. *Energies*, 13(21), <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13215661>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS / THANK YOU / QUESTIONS

For further discussion
jyshp@kt.dtu.dk