Design of a New SO₂-Surrogate and Its Applications in Palladium-Catalyzed Direct Aminosulfonylations between Aryl Iodides and Amines

Lian, Zhong; Jia, Xiuwen; Kramer, Søren; Skrydstrup, Troels

Published in:
Angewandte Chemie International Edition

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1002/anie.202014111](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202014111)

Publication date:
2021

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Lian, Z., Jia, X., Kramer, S., & Skrydstrup, T. (2021). Design of a New SO₂-Surrogate and Its Applications in Palladium-Catalyzed Direct Aminosulfonylations between Aryl Iodides and Amines. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 60(13), 7353-7359. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202014111>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker

Angewandte Chemie

GDCh

International Edition

www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

Title: Design of a New SO₂-Surrogate and Its Applications in Palladium-Catalyzed Direct Aminosulfonylations between Aryl Iodides and Amines

Authors: Zhong Lian, Xiuwen Jia, Søren Kramer, and Troels Skrydstrup

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 10.1002/anie.202014111

Link to VoR: <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202014111>

Design of a New SO₂-Surrogate and Its Applications in Palladium-Catalyzed Direct Aminosulfonylations between Aryl Iodides and Amines

Xiuwen Jia,^[a] Søren Kramer,^[b] Troels Skrydstrup^[c] and Zhong Lian^{*[a]}

[a] X. Jia, Prof. Dr. Z. Lian

Department of Dermatology, State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy and Cancer Center, West China Hospital
Sichuan University
Chengdu 610041 (China)
E-mail: lianzhong@scu.edu.cn

[b] Prof. Dr. S. Kramer

Department of Chemistry
Technical University of Denmark
2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

[c] Prof. Dr. T. Skrydstrup

Carbon Dioxide Activation Center, Interdisciplinary Nanoscience Center (iNANO) and Department of Chemistry
Aarhus University
Gustav Wieds Vej 14, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: A new SO₂-surrogate is reported which is cheap, bench-stable, and can be accessed in just two steps from bulk chemicals. Essentially complete SO₂-release is achieved in 5 minutes. Eight established sulfonylation reactions proceeded smoothly by *ex-situ* formation of SO₂ utilizing a two-chamber system in combination with the SO₂-surrogate. Furthermore, we report the first direct aminosulfonylation between aryl iodides and amines. Broad functional group tolerance is demonstrated, and the method is applicable to pharmaceutically relevant substrates, including heterocyclic substrates.

Introduction

The sulfonamide moiety is found in a wide range of pharmaceutical compounds (Figure 1a).^[1] Accordingly, the development of convenient and efficient methods for installing this functional group has been a long-term interest of chemists. The classical method for sulfonamide synthesis is the condensation between sulfonyl chlorides and amines.^[2] Due to their limited commercial availability and sensitivity toward moisture and basic conditions, the need for sulfonyl chlorides restricts the applications of this classical method. In the past decade, strategies for transition-metal-catalyzed synthesis of sulfonamides have been developed.^[3] In the presence of a palladium or copper catalyst, aryl halides or pseudohalides can react with SO₂ to generate aryl sulfinates, which subsequently can be oxidized by NaOCl, forming aryl sulfonyl chlorides. Finally, these aryl sulfonyl chlorides can react with amines, forming S–N bond (Figure 1b). The complexity and handling of this multi-step process have limited its practical applications. Recently, sulfonylative cross-coupling reactions have received increasing attention due to diversity and availability of starting materials, straightforward reaction procedures, and potential applications in the synthesis of sulfonamides.^[4] However, the existing methods for transition-metal-catalyzed aminosulfonylation of aryl halides are limited to the use of hydrazines as nucleophiles (Figure 1c).^[5] Simple amine coupling partners can not be used in the aminosulfonylation except for

the only intramolecular process reported by Manable in 2017.^[6a] In 2018, Willis and coworkers disclosed a copper-catalyzed three-component oxidative cross-coupling reaction between aryl boronic acids, amines, and DABSO (a SO₂ surrogate reagent) for the synthesis of sulfonamides (Figure 1d).^[6b] Nonetheless, complementary cross-coupling reactions with aryl electrophiles would represent a valuable alternative to the nucleophilic aryl boronic acids. In addition, the limited stability of organoboron compounds could complicate their use in the later stages of multi-step syntheses of complex molecules.

Due to the toxic nature and difficulties with storage and use of gaseous SO₂ especially in academic laboratories, the use of SO₂-surrogates is generally preferred. The most common SO₂ surrogate reagents include Na₂SO₃,^[5d] Na₂S₂O₅ (or K₂S₂O₅),^[7] HOCH₂SO₂Na·2H₂O,^[8] DABSO^[9] and others.^[10] Na₂S₂O₃ reacts with *conc.* H₂SO₄ to release gaseous SO₂ instantaneously. However, this method of SO₂ generation occurs via an exothermic reaction, which in combination with the use of *conc.* H₂SO₄ has limited the usage of this procedure. The application of other inorganic sulfur dioxide surrogates such as Na₂S₂O₅ and K₂S₂O₅ usually requires addition of phase transfer catalysts to promote exchange between two solvent phases. In addition, HOCH₂SO₂Na·2H₂O suffers from strong hygroscopicity, and it easily forms decomposition products such as sodium sulfite, sodium thiosulfate, and sodium sulfide. DABSO is a mild SO₂ surrogate reagent and was first employed in sulfonylation reactions by Willis.^[5a] DABSO is moderately sensitive to temperature and moisture, and during SO₂-release a basic byproduct (DABCO) is generated directly in the reaction mixture. This can potentially lead to incompatibility with highly electrophilic and/or base-sensitive groups. Overall, there is a need for a general method for controllable *ex-situ* SO₂-release (Figure 1e).

Herein, we report the development of a SO₂-surrogate, which can release SO₂ in a highly controlled and predictable fashion. This SO₂-surrogate is a cheap, solid, and bench-stable reagent, easily accessible in two steps from bulk chemicals. The SO₂-surrogate is used in combination with the two-chamber system developed by Skrydstrup.^[11] Using this technique, we demonstrate the compatibility with previously reported

sulfonylation reactions, which normally use *in-situ* SO₂-generation. Furthermore, we report the first direct aminosulfonylation reaction between aryl halides and amines

(Figure 1f). The method displays broad functional group tolerance including heterocycles, and it can be applied to pharmaceutically relevant molecules.

Figure 1. Outline of the importance of sulfonamides for pharmaceuticals and different strategies for their synthesis.

Results and Discussion

Inspired by a literature method,^[12] we set out to explore the potential of SO₂-release by a Diels-Alder/*retro*-cycloaddition strategy (Scheme 1a). The study was initiated by the synthesis of tetrabromothiophene S,S-dioxide (**1**, SOGen), derived from the bromination of commercially available thiophene (0.02\$/g) followed by oxidation by *m*-CPBA.^[13] Using SOGen (**1**) as an electron-deficient diene, we screened various dienophiles, such as dibenzo-fused cyclooctyne (**2a**), phenylacetylenes (**2b–2d**), and styrenes (**2e** and **2f**). Tetradecane was used as the solvent because of SO₂'s poor solubility. The results are summarized in Scheme 1b. In terms of rapid SO₂-release, it quickly became apparent that 4-methyl styrene (**2f**) is the best choice as dienophile reacting with SOGen (**1**) at 80 °C and providing complete SO₂-release in just 30 min.

In order to explore the temperature-dependence on SO₂-release, we monitored the yield of byproduct **3f** after 10 min at temperatures between 60 and 100 °C in 5 °C increments (Scheme 2). A clear correlation was observed, and, at 100 °C, the yield reached 97%. Subsequently, we monitored the yield at 100 °C from 1 to 10 min. Encouragingly, the yield of **3f** reached 90% within just 4 min and nearly 100% in 6 min. Overall, these results indicate that complete SO₂-release can be achieved rapidly by the Diels-Alder/*retro*-cycloaddition strategy.

Scheme 1. Design of tetrabromothiophene S,S-dioxide (**1**) as new SO₂-surrogate. ^aThe majority of the corresponding dienophile was recovered.

Scheme 2. A: Yields of **3f** in 10 minutes at different temperatures. B: Yields of **3f** in 1 to 10 minutes at 100 °C. Reactions in this scheme were performed under an air atmosphere at 0.1 mmol scale. Yields were determined by GC using dodecane as internal standard.

With the optimal conditions for SO₂-release in hand, we turned to investigating the compatibility of our new SO₂-surrogate with previously reported sulfonylation reactions. *Ex-situ* SO₂-release was achieved by employing a two-chamber system: SO₂ was generated in Chamber A and consumed in the sulfonylation reaction in Chamber B. Eight different sulfonylation reactions

were performed (Scheme 3). In the first example, 4-bromoaniline reacted smoothly furnishing the desired homo-coupling product, diarylsulfonamide **4**, in 90% yield using 5.5 equiv SO₂ (Scheme 3-1).^[14] Gaseous SO₂ could also be applied to aminosulfonylation reactions by coupling aryldiazonium or anilines with hydrazines under metal-free conditions. The corresponding products **5** and **6** were obtained in excellent yields (Scheme 3-2 and 3-3).^[9k,15] The radical reaction between naphthols and aryldiazonium tetrafluoroborates catalyzed by FeCl₃ was also compatible with gaseous SO₂ leading to the sulfonated naphthol **7** in 93% yield (Scheme 3-4).^[16] Our SO₂ precursor could furthermore successfully be utilized in the four-component reaction between aryldiazonium tetrafluoroborates, sulfur dioxide, hydroxylamines, and alkenes, generating the desired compound **8** in 80% yield (Scheme 3-5).^[17] In addition, *ex-situ* generated gaseous SO₂ could be applied as the source of the sulfonyl group in the synthesis of (*E*)-alkenyl sulfone **9** starting from aryldiazonium tetrafluoroborates and alkenes catalyzed by CuBr₂ (Scheme 3-6).^[18] Lastly, the two-chamber methodology with our new SO₂-surrogate proved compatible with small heterocyclic molecules such as indole and 8-aminoquinoline for producing the corresponding 2-sulfonated indole **10**^[19] and 5-sulfonyl-8-aminoquinoline amide (**11**)^[20], respectively, through metal-catalyzed direct C–H functionalization (Scheme 3-7 and 3-8).

Scheme 3. Compatibility of *ex-situ* SO₂ generation (two chamber) from our new SO₂-surrogate with previously reported sulfonylations.

After having demonstrated the compatibility of the new SO₂-surrogate with various sulfonylations in the two-chamber system, we set out to investigate the potential of this method to address a yet unsolved challenge in sulfonylation chemistry. Specifically, we were interested in transition-metal-catalyzed aminosulfonylation between aryl halides and amines. Although hydrazines have been utilized for aminosulfonylation, the use of

amines would constitute an important advancement providing directly arylsulfonamides, which are ubiquitous in medicinal chemistry. To initiate the investigation, we chose to study the cross-coupling of 4-iodo-1,2-dimethoxybenzene (**12a**), *N*-methylphenethylamine (**13a**), and sulfur dioxide as a model reaction. It was found when employing Pd(acac)₂ (12.5 mol%) and *n*-BuPAd₂ (20 mol%) as the catalyst system, DMAP (2.5

equiv) as base, and DMSO as the solvent, the desired sulfonamide **14a** could be formed in a satisfying 74% yield.^[21] Specific deviations from the optimized conditions and the corresponding effect on reaction efficiency are also shown in Table 1. Solvent effects were very pronounced for this reaction as DMSO proved to be the only solvent compatible for this transformation (Entry 1). Both nitrogen and phosphine ligands could be utilized for the aminosulfonylation, however, *n*-BuPAd₂ (**L7**) turned out to be the most effective ligand (Entry 2). Other bases than DMAP were tested for the aminosulfonylation. In general, they decreased the product yield compared to DMAP, while switching to NaOtBu completely inhibited the reaction (Entries 3–7). Lastly, the amount of sulfur dioxide had an impact on the reaction outcome. An increase in yield was observed with up to 6.0 equiv SO₂. The addition of more than 6.0 equiv SO₂ led to reduced yields probably due to poisoning of the palladium catalyst by excess SO₂ (Entry 8). Using DMAP•SO₂^[10b] and

Table 1. The optimization of palladium-catalyzed aminosulfonylations.

Entry	Variation from standard conditions	Yield [b]
1 ^[c]	other solvents instead of DMSO	0%
2 ^[d]	Ligand 1–6 instead of Ligand 7	33–63%
3	NaOtBu instead of DMAP	0%
4	KF instead of DMAP	19%
5	K ₃ PO ₄ instead of DMAP	29%
6	Cs ₂ CO ₃ instead of DMAP	19%
7	DABCO instead of DMAP	38%
8	3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 7.0 equiv SO ₂ in place of 6.0	44–61%
9 ^[e]	DMAP•SO ₂ as SO ₂ surrogate	46%
10 ^[e]	DABSO as SO ₂ surrogate	35%
11 ^[f]	Na ₂ SO ₃ and conc. H ₂ SO ₄ as SO ₂ surrogate	29%

L1, 33%

L2, 62%

L3, 52%

L7, 74%

L4 PPh₃, 62%

L5 PCy₃, 63%

L6 XantPhos, 54%

[a] Isolated Yield. [b] Yields were determined by ¹H NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard. [c] Other solvents include *n*-Butyl ether, DMF, NMP, xylenes and anisole. [d] Ligand 1–3, **6** (10.0 mol%), Ligand **4**, **5** (20.0 mol%). [e] SO₂ surrogate (2.5 equiv) and reactions were carried out in a 4.0 mL vial. [f] In chamber A: Na₂SO₃ (1.2 mmol, 152 mg) was dissolved in water (1.0 mL), and conc. H₂SO₄ (1.5 mmol, 80 µL) was added dropwisely in two minutes at room temperature.

DABSO as SO₂ surrogate both had a negative influence on the yield of product (Entries 9 and 10). *Ex-situ* formed SO₂ using Na₂SO₃ and conc. H₂SO₄^[5d] only gave the desired product in 29% yield, since the moisture in the reaction system probably disturbed the transformation (Entry 11).

Having established the feasibility of palladium-catalyzed sulfonamide synthesis in a two-chamber system, we next investigated the scope of this transformation with respect to both aryl iodides and amines. First, a variety of aryl iodides were examined employing *N*-methyl-2-phenylethan-1-amine (**13a**) as the amine coupling partner. In general, the aminosulfonylation tolerated various substituted aryl iodides providing the desired products in moderate to good yields (**14a–14v**). More specifically, electron-donating substituents (e.g., 4-ethoxy, 4-phenoxy, 2- and 3-methoxy, and 3,4-ethylenedioxy) on the phenyl ring were compatible with the transformation (**14b–14f**). Notably, a potentially catalyst-poisoning thioether was compatible with the transformation, producing the corresponding product **14g** in a good 66% yield. A series of alkyl-substituted (Me, Et, *t*-Bu) and cyclic alkyl-substituted iodobenzenes afforded the corresponding sulfonamides in 56–80% yields (**14h–14l**). Electron-neutral aryl iodides underwent the aminosulfonylation affording arylsulfonamides **14m–14o**. The high reactivity of the aryl iodides allowed for the synthesis of sulfonamides bearing fluoro, chloro, and bromo substituents (**14p–14r**). A strong electron-withdrawing group represented by a carboxylate ester was tolerated, but the product **14s** was isolated in a moderate yield. Aryl iodides in more complex structures reacted well under optimal reaction conditions, and the corresponding products were obtained in moderate to good yields (**14t–14v**).

Next, various amine fragments were evaluated in combination with different aryl iodide coupling partners. A series of *N*-methyl phenylmethanamines performed well, providing the corresponding sulfonamides (**14w–14aa**) in 62–71% yields. Notably, electronic properties of the substituents on the *N*-methyl phenylmethanamines had little influence on the catalytic efficiency. Acyclic aliphatic amines were compatible with the protocol leading to 66–72% yields of the desired products (**14ab** and **14ac**). Octahydro-isindole could couple with different aryl iodides producing sulfonamides in an efficient manner (**14ad** and **14ae**). Furthermore, the aminosulfonylation protocol worked well for other cyclic amines, such as indoline, piperidine, and piperazine derivatives as well as an aliphatic amine containing a silyl ether, thus allowing the synthesis of **14af–14aj** in 47–70% yields. Morpholine proved to be a suitable amine substrate for this coupling reaction, affording the desired products in 60% yield (**14ak**). Unfortunately, more steric secondary amines (**14al–14ao**) and primary amine (**14ap**) failed to proceed this aminosulfonylation.

To highlight the compatibility of the aminosulfonylation with pharmaceutically relevant molecules, we explored four different amines that are either active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) or closely related derivatives. Direct aminosulfonylation with these substrates that bear different nitrogen-containing heterocyclic motifs and functional groups, proceeded well, allowing the incorporation of amine fragments from enoxacin, amoxapine, desloratadine, and fenodopam in moderate to good yields (**14aq–14at**).

Scheme 4. Scope of the palladium-catalyzed aminosulfonylations. Reaction conditions: aryl iodides (0.2 mmol), amine (2.6 equiv), SO₂ (6.0 equiv), Pd(acac)₂ (12.5 mol%), *n*-BuPd₂ (20.0 mol%), DMAP (2.5 equiv), DMSO, 95 °C, 24 h. Isolated yields. ^aUsing aryl bromide instead of iodide failed to give the corresponding product.

Conclusion

In summary, we have designed a new SO₂-surrogate (SOGen) which is cheap, easily accessible, and bench-stable. This gas-surrogate releases SO₂ in just few minutes, when heated in the presence of a styrene. Subsequently, the compatibility of this gas releasing protocol with eight previously reported sulfonylation reactions was demonstrated in a two-chamber system. Finally, we developed the first method, which allows use of amines in aminosulfonylations with aryl halides and SO₂. Broad functional group tolerance was demonstrated, and the method can be applied to the preparation of pharmaceutically relevant substrates bearing a sulfonamide, including heterocycle-containing substrates. This new SO₂-surrogate has

the limitation of generating 6.0 equiv of an organic by-product when used in the aminosulfonylations, and efforts are underway to reduce the amount of SO₂-surrogate used. Overall, this new SO₂-surrogate is complementary to the existing SO₂-surrogates.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (21901168), ‘1000-Youth Talents Plan’, and Sichuan University (Z.L.). S.K. thanks the Lundbeck Foundation (R250-2017-1292) and the Technical University of Denmark for generous financial support. T.S. is highly appreciative of funding

from the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF118), NordForsk (85378) and Aarhus University.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): T.S. is co-owner of SyTracks A/S, which commercializes COware (the two chamber technology).

Keywords: SO₂ surrogate • Aminosulfonylation • Sulfonamide • Aryl Halides • Cross-couplings

- [1] a) N. Sharghi, I. Lalezari, G. Niloofari, H. Golgolab, *J. Med. Chem.* **1969**, 12, 696-697; b) J. Drews, *Science* **2000**, 287, 1960-1964; c) A. K. Ghosh, L. M. Swanson, H. Cho, S. Leshchenko, K. A. Hussain, S. Kay, D. E. Walters, Y. Koh, H. Mitsuya, *J. Med. Chem.* **2005**, 48, 3576-3585; d) M. Mojzych, Z. Karczmazyk, W. Wysocki, M. Ceruso, C. T. Supuran, V. Kryštof, Z. Urban'czyk -Lipkowska, P. Kalicki, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2015**, 23, 1421-1429; e) K. P. Rakesh, S.-M. Wang, J. Leng, L. Ravindar, A. M. Asiri, H. M. Marwani, H.-L. Qin, *Anti-Cancer Agents Med. Chem.* **2018**, 18, 488-505.
- [2] a) S. W. Wright, K. N. Hallstrom, *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 71, 1080-1084; b) K. Bahrami, M. M. Khodaei, M. Soheilzad, *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 74, 9287-9291; c) J. Jiang, S. Zeng, D. Chen, C. Cheng, W. Deng, J. Xiang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2018**, 16, 5016-5020; d) J. R. DeBergh, N. Niljianskul, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, 135, 10638-10641.
- [3] a) A. Shavnya, S. B. Coffey, A. C. Smith, V. Mascitti, *Org. Lett.* **2013**, 15, 6226-6229; b) M. W. Johnson, S. W. Bagley, N. P. Mankad, R. G. Bergman, V. Mascitti, F. D. Toste, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53, 4404-4407; c) E. J. Emmett, B. R. Hayter, M. C. Willis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53, 10204-10208; d) A. S. Deeming, C. J. Russell, M. C. Willis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, 55, 747-750; e) H. Zhu, Y. Shen, Q. Deng, Z.-G. Le, T. Tu, *Asian J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 6, 1542-1545; f) H. Zhu, Y. Shen, Q. Deng, C. Huang, T. Tu, *Chem. Asian J.* **2017**, 12, 706-712; g) F. Zhang, D. Zheng, L. Lai, J. Cheng, J. Sun, J. Wu, *Org. Lett.* **2018**, 20, 1167-1170; h) T. S.-B. Lou, S. W. Bagley, M. C. Willis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, 58, 18859-18863.
- [4] a) A. T. Davies, J. M. Curto, S. W. Bagley, M. C. Willis, *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, 8, 1233-1237; b) H. Zhu, Y. Shen, D. Wen, Z.-G. Le, T. Tu, *Org. Lett.* **2019**, 21, 974-979; c) P. K. T. Lo, Y. Chen, M. C. Willis, *ACS Catal.* **2019**, 9, 10668-10673; d) H. Tanaka, H. Konishi, K. Manabe, *Chem. Lett.* **2019**, 48, 760-763; e) Y. Meng, M. Wang, X. Jiang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, 59, 1346-1353; f) F.-S. He, X. Gong, P. Rojsitthisak, J. Wu, *J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, 84, 13159-13163; g) S. Chen, Y. Li, M. Wang, X. Jiang, *Green Chem.* **2020**, 22, 322-326; h) X. Marset, J. Torregrosa-Crespo, R.-M. Martínez-Espinosa, G. Guillena, D.-J. Ramón, *Green Chem.* **2019**, 21, 4127-4132; i) X. Wang, M. Yang, Y. Kuang, J.-B. Liu, X. Fan, J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, 56, 3437-3440; j) J. Zhang, W. Xie, S. Ye, J. Wu, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2019**, 6, 2254-2259; k) J. Zhang, M. Yang, J.-B. Liu, F.-S. He, J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, 56, 3225-3228; l) Y. Chen, M. C. Willis, *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, 8, 3249-3253; m) M. Wang, J. Zhao, X. Jiang, *ChemSusChem* **2019**, 12, 3064-3068.
- [5] a) B. Nguyen, E. J. Emmett, M. C. Willis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 16372-16373; b) S. Ye, J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, 48, 10037-10039; c) E. J. Emmett, C. S. Richards-Taylor, B. Nguyen, A. Garcia-Rubia, B. R. Hayter, M. C. Willis, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2012**, 10, 4007-4014; d) W. Li, H. Li, P. Langer, M. Beller, X.-F. Wu, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, 3101-3103.
- [6] a) H. Konishi, H. Tanaka, K. Manabe, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, 19, 1578-1581; b) Y. Chen, P. R. D. Murray, A. T. Davies, M. C. Willis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, 140, 8781-8787.
- [7] For the examples of using Na₂S₂O₅ as SO₂ surrogate, see: a) M. Wang, S. Chen, X. Jiang, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, 19, 4916-4919; b) M. Wang, Q. Fan, X. Jiang, *Green Chem.* **2018**, 20, 5469-5473; c) G. You, D. Xi, J. Sun, L. Hao, C. Xia, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2019**, 17, 9479-9488; d) X. Gong, X. Li, W. Xie, J. Wu, S. Ye, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2019**, 6, 1863-1867; e) C.-M. Huang, J. Li, S.-Y. Wang, S.-J. Ji, *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, 31, 1923-1926; f) X. Gong, M. Wang, S. Ye, J. Wu, *Org. Lett.* **2019**, 21, 1156-1160; g) X. Gong, M. Yang, J.-B. Liu, F.-S. He, X. Fan, J. Wu, *Green Chem.* **2020**, 22, 1906-1910; For the examples of using K₂S₂O₅ as SO₂ surrogate, see: h) Y. Wang, B. Du, W. Sha, H. Mei, J. Han, Y. Pan, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2017**, 4, 1313-1317; i) K. Chen, W. Chen, B. Han, W. Chen, M. Liu, H. Wu, *Org. Lett.* **2020**, 22, 1841-1845; j) A. Shavnya, K. D. Hesp, V. Mascitti, A. C. Smith, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, 54, 13571-13575.
- [8] a) S. Kotha, P. Khedkar, A. K. Ghosh, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 3581-3585; b) S. Kotha, K. Lahiri, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 1221-1236.
- [9] a) N. von Wolff, J. Char, X. Frogneux, T. Cantat, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, 56, 5616-5619; b) X.-Y. Qin, L. He, J. Li, W.-J. Hao, S.-J. Tu, B. Jiang, *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, 55, 3227-3230; c) M.-H. Huang, H.-N. Shi, C.-F. Zhu, C.-L. He, W.-J. Hao, S.-J. Tu, B. Jiang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2019**, 361, 5340-5345; d) S. Liu, K. Chen, W.-J. Hao, X.-C. Tu, S.-J. Tu, B. Jiang, *J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, 84, 1964-1971; e) F. Liu, J.-Y. Wang, P. Zhou, G. Li, W.-J. Hao, S.-J. Tu, B. Jiang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, 56, 15570-15574; f) V. Vedovato, E. P. A. Talbot, M. C. Willis, *Org. Lett.* **2018**, 20, 5493-5496; g) P. K. T. Lo, G. A. Oliver, M. C. Willis, *J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, 85, 5753-5760; h) A. L. Tribby, I. Rodríguez, S. Shariffudin, N. D. Ball, *J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 82, 2294-2299; i) Q. Lin, Y. Liu, Z. Xiao, L. Zheng, X. Zhou, Y. Guo, Q.-Y. Chen, C. Zheng, C. Liu, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2019**, 6, 447-450; j) Z. Chen, N.-W. Liu, M. Bolte, H. Ren, G. Manolikakes, *Green Chem.* **2018**, 20, 3059-3070; (k) D. Zheng, Y. An, Z. Li, J. Wu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53, 2451-2454.
- [10] For other SO₂-surrogates, see: a) P. J. Hogan, B. G. Cox, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2009**, 13, 875-879; b) L. Martial, L. Bischoff, *Synlett.* **2015**, 26, 1225-1229.
- [11] a) S. D. Friis, R. H. Taaning, A. T. Lindhardt, T. Skrydstrup, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 133, 18114-18117; b) P. Hermange, A. T. Lindhardt, R. H. Taaning, K. Bjerglund, D. Lupp, T. Skrydstrup, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 133, 6061-6071; c) S. D. Friis, A. T. Lindhardt, T. Skrydstrup, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2016**, 49, 594-605; d) A. K. Ravn, M. B. Johansen, T. Skrydstrup, *ChemPlusChem* **2020**, 85, 1529-1533.
- [12] a) W. Wang, B. Wang, *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, 53, 10124-10127; b) W. Wang, X. Ji, Z. Du, B. Wang, *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, 53, 1370-1373; c) T. Meguro, S. Yoshida, T. Hosoya, *Chem. Lett.* **2017**, 46, 1137-1140.
- [13] M. S. Raasch, *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, 45, 856-867.
- [14] H. Woolven, C. Gonzalez-Rodriguez, I. Marco, A. L. Thompson, M. C. Willis, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, 13, 4876-4878.
- [15] D. Zheng, Y. Li, Y. An, J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, 50, 8886-8888.
- [16] K. Zhou, M. Chen, L. Yao, J. Wu, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2018**, 5, 371-375.
- [17] J. Zhang, Y. An, J. Wu, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, 23, 9477-9480.
- [18] R. Mao, Z. Yuan, R. Zhang, Y. Ding, X. Fan, J. Wu, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2016**, 3, 1498-1502.
- [19] T. Liu, W. Zhou, J. Wu, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, 19, 6638-6641.
- [20] H. Xia, Y. An, X. Zeng, J. Wu, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2018**, 5, 366-370.
- [21] For the proposed mechanism, please see Supporting Information.

Entry for the Table of Contents

A new SO₂-surrogate (SOgen) is reported which is cheap, bench-stable, and can be accessed in just two steps from bulk chemicals. This gas-surrogate releases SO₂ in just few minutes, when heated in the presence of a styrene. The compatibility of this gas releasing protocol with eight previously reported sulfonylations was demonstrated in a two-chamber system. Lastly, we report the first direct aminosulfonylation between aryl iodides and amines.