Effect of ice maturation, freezing and heat treatment on the peelability and quality of cold water shrimps (*Pandalus borealis*)

Gringer, Nina; Skytte, Jacob Lercke; Dang, Tem Thi; Orlie, Vibeke; Olsen, Karsten; Schlippè-Steffensen, Kaino; Jessen, Flemming

Published in:
LWT

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1016/j.lwt.2020.110139](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.110139)

Publication date:
2020

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Gringer, N., Skytte, J. L., Dang, T. T., Orlie, V., Olsen, K., Schlippè-Steffensen, K., & Jessen, F. (2020). Effect of ice maturation, freezing and heat treatment on the peelability and quality of cold water shrimps (*Pandalus borealis*). *LWT*, 134, [110139]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.110139>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 Effect of Ice Maturation, Freezing and Heat Treatment on the Peelability and Quality of Cold Water
2 Shrimps (*Pandalus borealis*)

3

4 Nina Gringer^{a,*}, Jacob Lercke Skytte^a, Tem Thi Dang^b, Vibeke Orlien^b, Karsten Olsen^b, Kaino
5 Schlippè-steffensen^c and Flemming Jessen^a

6 ^aNational Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Søltofts Plads, Building 227, DK-2800
7 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

8 ^bDepartment of Food Science, Faculty of Science, University of Copenhagen, Rolighedsvej 26, DK-
9 1958 Frederiksberg C, Denmark

10 ^cLaunis A/S, Industrivej Nord 2, DK-9982 Ålbæk, Denmark.

11

12 * Corresponding author at: National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Søltofts Plads,
13 Building 227, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

14 E-mail address: ngri@food.dtu.dk (Nina Gringer).

15

1. Introduction

Worldwide shrimps are considered a delicate food. The cold water shrimp, *Pandalus borealis*, spends up to seven years in the sea before it reaches the preferred size for consumption, valued by consumers due to its sweet taste and firm texture. In 1994 the sensory quality of shrimps were defined with a complex set of characteristics including appearance, aroma, taste and texture (Shahidi & Botta, 1994). These characteristics are all affected by processing and the shrimp industry has a set of choices when producing shrimps ready for sale. The shrimps can be processed fresh; i.e. after catch the fresh shrimps undergo a maturation to loosen the shell before heat treatment and (mechanical) peeling; or the shrimps can be frozen on-board or upon landing and kept frozen until processing, where the latter include thawing, appropriate maturation, heat treatment and (mechanical) peeling, unless the shrimps are sold as a frozen, untreated product. The quality characteristics of shrimp are the red colour, the sweet taste and the firm texture, hence, the challenge of the industry is to use the right processing technique resulting in red shrimps with firm texture and sweet taste.

The maturation step is a crucial step in the shrimp processing in order to obtain a high peeling yield and shrimps with intact muscle, i.e. intact tail (Dang et al., 2018b). The maturation methods used by most shrimp industries today are either submerging the frozen shrimps in a brine (with salts for up to two days) or covering the unfrozen shrimps with ice (up to seven days). The maturation weakens the connective attachment between shell and muscle, which facilitates the subsequent machine peeling. However, too long maturation induces quality loss of the peeled shrimp, leading to less red and more yellow shrimps after cooking, and loss of the amino acids responsible for the sweet taste of the final product (Høegh, 1989; Erickson, Bulgarelli, Resurreccion, Vendetti, & Gates, 2007). Furthermore, the juiciness of cooked shrimps has been shown to decrease in shrimps during storage on ice (Erickson et al., 2007).

After the maturation step, heat treatment is necessary to provide a tender and cooked shrimp product and to inactivate bacteria i.e. *Listeria monocytogene* (Mejlholm, Devitt, & Dalgaard, 2012). However, as thermal processing affects the sensory and textural characteristics of shrimp (Mizuta, Yamada, Miyagi, & Yoshinaka, 1999; Erdogdu & Blaban, 2000; Niamnuy, Devahastin, & Soponronnarit, 2007; Niamnuy, Devahastin, & Soponronnarit, 2008; Sundararajan et al., 2011), care has to be taken not to overcook the shrimps as this will compromise the quality of the final product. Quality changes due to cooking are partly caused by denaturation of proteins affecting the quality characteristics like tenderness, moisture content, juiciness and flavour (Sundararajan et al., 2011). Since texture may change dramatically during extended cooking it is considered as one of the most important quality parameters in relation to shrimp processing (Wang et al., 2018).

The aim of this work was to provide knowledge about the effects of ice maturation and freezing on the sensory quality, i.e. colour and texture (consumer perspective), as well as the peelability (industry perspective, measured by the mechanical peeling work) of ready-to-eat (matured, heat treated and peeled) cold water shrimps. In addition, two capture locations have been tested to include the natural variation in shrimps, and both uncooked and steamed shrimps were included to evaluate the interdependency of the quality and peeling work measured in the uncooked and heat treated shrimps.

2. Materials & Methods

2.1. Experimental design

Two batches of shrimps (A and B), differing in catching time and location, have been analysed. In each batch, the shrimps were iced immediately after catch and then at day one divided into two groups: unfrozen and frozen (at -20°C and stored for two months before used). After frozen storage the shrimps were thawed in cold water for five min before maturation.

Shrimp from both the unfrozen and frozen groups were matured on ice for one, two, three and four days. After maturation, shrimps were subdivided into two subgroups: matured uncooked (immediately analyses of peelability and quality after maturation) and matured steamed (steamed at 100 °C for 90 s before analyses of peelability and quality). Figure 1 summarizes the experimental setup, and in total 1760 shrimps have been used for this study; 640 were used to test the peeling work; 560 were used for the textural quality (Texture Profile Analysis, TPA analysis); and 560 were used for the colour measurement.

2.2. Shrimps, maturation and heat treatment

Launis A/S provided freshly landed shrimps (max 24 hour from catch to landing) from Skagen, Denmark. Shrimps in batch A were caught from shallow waters in the northeast part of Skagerrak on 26th of September 2016. Batch B was caught from deep waters in the northwest part of Skagerrak on 5th of December 2016. Both batches were delivered on ice (made on tap water) to the laboratory in Kgs. Lyngby within 24 h. Both batches were ocean-run, i.e. all sizes included (2.6-13.6 g/shrimp).

The ice maturation of shrimps was conducted in plastic containers at 2 °C by placing a layer of shrimps (approx. two cm) between two thick layers of ice (approx. five cm, made on ion-exchanged water) for up to four days. The shrimps were in direct contact with the ice. New granular ice was added daily to the shrimp-ice mixture and meltwater were allowed to drain off. The shrimps were heat treated by steaming a layer (approx. 250 g) of shrimps in a stainless steel pot (28 cm in diameter) with boiling water (approx. six L) for 90 s. The shrimps were placed on a horizontally stainless steel sieve (two cm above the water) which was custom-made to fit the pot diameter, thus allowing all shrimps to obtain the same heat level. Immediately after steaming, the shrimps were cooled in ice water for one min. to stop the cooking process and drained in a sieve for five min. before analysis.

2.3. Colour and texture profile analysis

Colour and texture were evaluated on hand-peeled shrimps (shrimp meat) either immediately after ice maturation (uncooked) or after ice maturation and steaming (steamed).

Texture profile analysis (TPA) was performed using a Texture Analyser XT Plus (Stable Micro Systems Ltd., UK). A muscle sample with the length of 1.50 cm measured from the head end of the muscle towards the tail was isolated, kept on ice (2 °C), placed on the platform on one side, and compressed by a P50 cylindrical probe (50 mm in diameter). As all samples (n=20 for all sampling points in the experimental design) for the TPA test were selected with the criteria of having similar size (0.96 ± 0.14 g/1.50 cm), the samples were assumed sufficiently identical to compare the results between shrimps. Settings for TPA were: constant test speed, 1.0 mm/sec; sample deformation, 50%; and hold-time between cycles, 10 sec. The texture analysis parameters hardness (maximum force at first compression, N), resilience (upstroke energy of first compression divided by downstroke energy of first compression, %), springiness (distance of second compression divided by distance of first compression, %), and chewiness (product of hardness; area of second compression divided with area of first compression; and springiness, N) were calculated from the force-time curves generated by the in-built software Texture Exponent 32. Results are presented as median values.

The colour of the shrimps (n = 20 for all sampling points in the experimental design) was assessed using the VideometerLab 2 instrument (Videometer A/S, Hørsholm, Denmark), where the CIE *Lab* values (L^* = lightness, a^* = redness and greenness, and b^* = yellowness and blueness) were recorded at a D65 standard illuminant. Shrimps were placed in a petri dish and positioned in the Videometer for recording and the surface of the shrimp muscle was analysed by image segmentation using the in-built software. Hereafter, the three colour components for each shrimp was estimated by the average

intensity value across all pixels covering the segmented shrimp muscle and the results are presented as the median value.

2.4. Peelability method

The peelability was assessed by measuring the mechanical peeling work as previously presented (Gringer et al., 2018). In brief, the first three abdominal segments of the shrimp body were carefully isolated, legs cut off and sample weighed. This part is from now on referred to as the shrimp sample, denoted SS. The SS was attached to a needle on the base plate of a Texture Analyser XT Plus (Stable Micro Systems Ltd., UK) where it could freely rotate around the needle during the peeling, thus minimizing the effect of the meat sticking to the needle. The probe of the Texture Analyser was mounted with a metallic hinge clip. The peeling was conducted by pulling the shell off (constant speed of one mm/sec for a distance of 60 mm) the muscle after attaching the hinge clip to the shell on one side of the sample. The total work (area under the force·distance curve, N·mm = mJ) used to peel the shrimp (referred to as peeling work) was calculated for each shrimp which were peeled satisfactory (no shell parts left on the meat) and normalized by dividing with the mass of the sample (work/mass of SS). For each sampling point in the experimental design, 20-30 shrimps were peeled (n=30 for B and B-f (Figure 1), n=20 for all other) of which 55-100 % were peeled satisfactory. The results are presented as the median values.

2.5. Data analysis

The measurement data were grouped according to the sample treatment (the experimental design) resulting in 28 groups (seven sampling groups measures for four days). Within each group, the mean, the standard deviation and the median were calculated, and the number of values recorded. The group

116 medians were used in Figures 2-4 to illustrate the dependence of the various quality and peeling
117 parameters on maturation time. The mean, standard deviation and number of values were used for
118 statistical analysis of peelability (two-way ANOVA, maturation time and treatment/batch, with
119 Tukey's post-test, using $p < 0.05$ as level of significance), and of day 1 texture and colour data (one-way
120 ANOVA with Holm-Sidak's multiple comparison test between treatments). Calculations were made by
121 use of the program Prism 6 from GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA.

122

123 3. Results and discussion

124 3.1. Quality changes in steamed shrimps due to ice maturation

125 The quality parameters, texture and colour of the final product (the steamed and peeled shrimps), were
126 followed as a function of ice maturation time of the uncooked shrimps for up to four days in order to
127 study the influence of the maturation process on quality. The shrimps were matured on ice as uncooked
128 shrimps (+/- frozen storage) and steamed and peeled (thus ready-to-eat) before analysis of the texture
129 and the colour. In Table 1 the textural parameters hardness, resilience, springiness and chewiness are
130 shown for all the analysed groups of steamed shrimps after maturation for up to four days. No
131 systematic differences were found neither between the steamed groups (A-fs, B-s and B-fs) nor
132 between maturation times, except from springiness that seemed to change systematically for the three
133 groups during the maturation, i.e. the springiness was increasing with time (from 60-65% to 64-80%),
134 thus the longer period of ice maturation the springier the steamed shrimps got (Figure 2). Studies of the
135 instrumental textural quality in heat treated shrimp after different periods of ice maturation are sparse,
136 but some studies have been performed using sensory analysis for textural evaluation. Based on a
137 trained sensory panel, Thimmappa and co-workers (2019) reported that the prime textual quality of
138 heat treated shrimps was maintained for four days in ice, and reached to the lower margin of

139 acceptability on the 7th day (measured on a ten-point scale from excellent to not acceptable)
140 (Thimmappa, Manjunatha, Prabhu, & Elavarasan, 2019). Erickson et al. (2007) also considered the
141 textural changes in cooked warm water shrimp noted upon ice maturation for up to ten days as
142 detrimental to the quality, i.e. shrimps that had been on ice for long time were firmer and less juicy than
143 the fresh shrimps.

144 The effects of ice maturation on the colour of the steamed shrimps are shown in Figure 3. Regardless of
145 batch A or B and with/without frozen storage, all steamed shrimps showed a decrease in the L^*
146 component (whiteness) and an increase in the b^* component (yellowness) with increased time of
147 maturation. The a^* value (redness) of unfrozen, steamed shrimps (B-s) constantly decreased over
148 maturation time whereas that of frozen, steamed shrimps (B-fs) remained almost unchanged. Although
149 the redness of unfrozen, steamed shrimps decreased, its value was still higher than frozen-steamed
150 shrimps at the end of maturation (4 days). The decrease in redness could be attributed to the decrease in
151 astaxanthin content that is predominantly present in epidermis of shrimp (Nègre-Sadargues et al.,
152 1993). Thus the steamed ready-to-eat shrimps appeared less white and more yellow after ice maturation
153 of unfrozen shrimps for four days compared to one day. Even though the redness of the frozen, thawed
154 and steamed shrimps did not change during the ice maturation, the level was still lower than in the
155 unfrozen shrimps and combined with the found decrease in whiteness and increase in yellowness this
156 changed the overall appearance of the shrimps in a less attractive direction (Høegh, 1989). Flores &
157 Crawford (1973) found that the level of total carotenoid (astaxanthin and astacin) decreased in *P.*
158 *jordani* during the first two days of ice maturation of unfrozen shrimps, which is in accordance with the
159 decrease in a^* value of the unfrozen batch B observed in Figure 3, panel II. In addition, this loss of red
160 colour during ice maturation is an empirical observation in the industry which is an unneglectable focus
161 point in optimizing the process (Launis A/S, personal communication).

3.2. Effect of freezing on the quality in steamed shrimps

Freezing of the shrimps before ice maturation affect the quality of the steamed shrimps (A-fs and B-fs) differently compared to the unfrozen, steamed shrimps (B-s).

From Table 1 it is seen that frozen storage at -20°C for two months prior to maturation on ice, for three to four days, and a subsequent steaming and peeling results in more springy shrimps (A-fs and B-fs) compared to the shrimps which have been matured, steamed and peeled immediately after landing (B-s). Furthermore, the frozen storage not only resulted in more springy shrimps (A-fs and B-fs) than the unfrozen shrimps (B-s) but also in a more pronounced increase in springiness over time (Figure 2). This increase in springiness of the frozen stored shrimps might be due to freezing-induced denaturation of shrimp protein, caused by the formation of larger ice crystals, which have been reported to take place in uncooked shrimps during freezing (Lopkulkiaert, Prapatsornwattana, & Rungsardthong, 2009). In contrast, Nip & Moy (1981) did not report notable differences in texture of unfrozen and frozen (-18°C , one month) warm water shrimps.

In addition, Figure 3 reveal that freezing not only affected the final texture but also the colour of the ready-to-eat shrimps. The shrimps that had been frozen for two months and then subsequently steamed (A-fs and B-fs) were less red and more yellow than the unfrozen steamed shrimps (B-s), i.e. the a^* components were lower and the b^* components higher at day one after freezing compared to no freezing (Figure 3). Thus, the frozen storage of uncooked shrimps for two months had negatively affected the colour of the shrimps, reducing the wanted red colour and increasing the very unwanted yellow colour. However, while the redness of frozen shrimps (A-fs and B-fs) remained unchanged over the four-day maturation period the redness of unfrozen shrimps (B-s) constantly decreased to the same redness level of frozen shrimps at the end of maturation. In contrast, the yellowness of the unfrozen

185 shrimps (B-s) not only started at a lower level at day one, but also maintained at the same level
186 throughout the maturation time, whereas the frozen shrimps (A-fs and B-fs) got more and more yellow
187 during the maturation time. In this respect it could thus be an advantage for the shrimps industry to
188 avoid a frozen storage of the shrimp in order to avoid unwanted colour changes.

189

190 3.3. Effect of process parameters on peeling work

191 The peelability of shrimps is of outmost importance for shrimp manufacturers due to the direct
192 relationship to the peeling yield and the efficiency of the peeling process (Gringer et al., 2018; Dang et
193 al., 2018a). As a rule of thumb, 1% change in peeling yield corresponds to a 10% change in the
194 contribution margin (Høegh, 1989) obviously emphasizing the importance of improving the maturation
195 process for the shrimp industry.

196 In the present study, peelability was assessed by a quantitative measurement of the mechanical work
197 needed to separate the shell from the muscle, referred to as the *peeling work*. The peeling work for both
198 uncooked and steamed shrimps as a function of time of ice maturation is shown in Figure 4. According
199 to the industry, the shrimps are almost impossible to peel on the peeling machines before four,
200 sometimes even more, days on ice (Launis A/S, personal communication). Machine peeling of shrimps,
201 which have not been matured properly, will result in lower peeling yield and thus a loss in revenue. The
202 fact that ice maturation positively affect the peelability of shrimps is in accordance with earlier studies
203 (Gringer et al., 2018; Høegh, 1989). In the present study it was found that for the steamed shrimps the
204 peeling work throughout the four days of ice maturation did not change significantly (Figure 4; the
205 three solid lines, A-fs, B-s and B-fs) leading to the conclusion that ice maturation for more than one
206 day was not needed to improve the peeling work. This is in contrast to the industrial practice where
207 maturation time for up to seven days are needed to ensure proper peeling (Launis A/S, personal

208 communication). Thus, the method used here to evaluate the peeling work might not be applicable for
209 imitating the actual peeling on the automatic peeling machines.

210 Two batches (A and B) were tested to explore the relationship between catching place and maturation
211 effect on shell-loosening. The peeling work of the two batches did not differ significantly (Figure 4)
212 and neither did the textural characteristics. However, the colour of the two batches analysed were
213 somewhat different, i.e. batch B appeared redder and less white than batch A (Figure 3).

214

215 3.4. Interdependence of quality and peeling work of steamed and uncooked shrimps

216 A second goal in this study was to evaluate if there exist interdependency between attributes measured
217 in uncooked and in steamed shrimps. In order to assess this, all the measurements conducted in the
218 steamed shrimps were likewise conducted in the uncooked shrimps after the same treatments (Figure
219 1).

220 The texture did change during the ice maturation and during the subsequent heat treatment, and while
221 springiness increased during maturation time in the steamed shrimps (Figure 2, A-fs, B-s and B-fs), it
222 decreased in the uncooked shrimps (Table 1, A, A-f, B and B-f). Furthermore, after one day of ice
223 maturation the steamed and uncooked shrimps had the same level of springiness, while both hardness,
224 resilience and chewiness were at a higher level in the steamed shrimps compared to the uncooked
225 shrimps, thus steaming increased these three textural parameters (Table 1). These findings are in
226 accordance with the results presented by Erdogdu & Blaban (2000) and Xu et al. (2016) who found that
227 heat treatment affected the texture of shrimp meat by increasing hardness, springiness and chewiness
228 compare to that of raw shrimps. Mizuta, Yamada, Miyagi & Yoshinaka (1999) have also reported an
229 enhanced firmness in shrimp meat after heat treatment and these textural changes in shrimps upon

boiling is highly probable caused by denaturation of proteins following aggregation (Niamnuy et al., 2007).

Steaming likewise affected the colour, with the most pronounced difference in the L^* component which were increased from a range of 66-71 in the uncooked shrimps (A, A-f, B and B-f in Table 2) after one day on ice to a range of 78-82 in the steamed shrimps (A-fs, B-s and B-fs in Table 2 and Figure 3). Although the L^* component decreased with maturation time for the steamed shrimps, the four-day matured steamed shrimp were more white than the four-day matured uncooked shrimps. This increase in whiteness upon heat treatment (boiling in water) was also reported by Niamnuy et al. (2007). Additionally, the present study found that freezing the shrimps prior to maturation resulted in a decrease in the L^* component during maturation, whereas the unfrozen and uncooked shrimps increased slightly in lightness during maturation and the unfrozen and steamed shrimps barely changed the lightness throughout the maturation time (Table 2). This finding is in accordance with Ma, Zhang, Deng, & Xie (2015), who reported that the shrimps became less white during six weeks of frozen storage ($-18\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$). In contrast, Sundararajan et al. (2011) observed an increase in the L^* component during frozen storage at $-21\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ of raw and peeled shrimps for the first 2-4 weeks, but then the L^* component decreased, explained as resulting from degradation of astaxanthin and lipid oxidation. In a study by Xu et al. (2016), heat treatment of freshly landed shrimps was shown to increase all colour components (L^* , a^* and b^*) compared to uncooked shrimps. Thus, the redness of shrimps was expected to increase during heat treatment due to release of astaxanthin from the carotenoproteins upon heat-induced denaturation of these proteins (Muriana, Ruiz-Gutierrez, Gallardo-Guerrero, & Minguez-Mosquera, 1993). Yet, loss of red colour during ice maturation is an empirical observation in the industry (Launis A/S, personal communication) for which reason the red colour was expected to faint with maturation time. However, no effect of ice maturation on the a^* component was observed in

neither uncooked nor steamed shrimps in the present work and the level of redness were not higher in the steamed shrimps compared to the uncooked shrimps (Table 2).

The evaluation of the uncooked shrimps further underlined the negative effect of freezing on yellowness, as all four groups of frozen shrimps (A-f, A-fs, B-f and B-fs) showed increasing levels of the b^* component during maturation time, whereas the three unfrozen groups (A, B and B-s) remained at the level of yellowness from one day on ice throughout the four days of ice maturation (Table 2). In contrast to the results obtained for the a^* and b^* components in the present study, Okpala, Choo, & Dykes (2014) found that colour intensity (chroma, $(a^{*2} + b^{*2})^{1/2}$), during ice maturation of shrimps, decreased slightly after one day on ice followed by a stable intensity up to day eight and then a significant increase at day 12. An unchanged chroma value reflects no or equal change in a^* and b^* giving same perceived intensity or saturation of the colour, while a change in the value is a result of different effects on either a^* or b^* . In the present study the colour intensity increased during ice maturation as a consequence of the increasing yellowness. However, Okpala et al. (2014) did not report the specific a^* and b^* values, but the observed decrease in chroma must reflect a decrease in at least one of these components.

It was expected that the four-day matured shrimps would be easier to peel after steaming compared to a short maturation time and compared to uncooked shrimps. However, as shown in Figure 4, the peeling work was different only on day one, i.e. the uncooked shrimps demanded more work to peel the shrimps compared to the steamed shrimps. In a previous study we showed that the peeling work decreased significantly from day zero to day one (Gringer et al., 2018) and thus it would be expected that the peeling work in the present study would have been even higher at day zero than the values found at day one. This reduction in peeling work demonstrated the loosening of the shell-muscle attachment, which was most likely caused by intrinsic enzymes in the shrimp and by enzymes from

276 microorganisms during the post-mortem storage and therefore accountable for enhancing the shell
277 removal (Crawford, 1980). However, after a significant ($p<0.05$) decrease in peeling work in the
278 uncooked shrimps (Figure 4, A, A-f, B and B-f) from day one to day two on ice, the peeling work was
279 almost identical for all the seven combinations of groups. Furthermore, the peeling work stayed at a
280 steady level throughout the rest of the maturation period, indicating that three and four days on ice did
281 not improve the peelability. However, the steaming process promoted the shell loosening slightly, since
282 the peeling work for the three steamed groups of shrimp were lower than the corresponding peeling
283 work for the uncooked groups of shrimps (Figure 4).

284

285 4. Conclusion

286 The present findings on the shrimp quality parameters, changing during maturation and due to freezing
287 and steaming, were overall in accordance with industrial observations, except for the expected
288 reduction in red colour during maturation, which could not be documented in the present work.
289 Additionally, no impact of maturation and freezing on peelability was found, and this is not in
290 compliance with the industrial experience. This revealed that the applied method measuring the peeling
291 work for evaluating the peelability was not measuring parameters representing what is actually
292 happening on the automatic peeling machines in the industry. Although, the peeling work was a
293 measure of the strength of properties such as specific bindings between shell and muscle of the overall
294 shell-muscle-attachment, those bindings were evidently not the same as the shell-muscle-attachments
295 or shell properties that are central for the actual peelability of the shrimps on the industrial peeling
296 machines. Further studies of the structures and attachments that are important for the industrial
297 peelability is therefore important in order to establish lab-scale measurements to use in optimizing
298 shrimp production.

299

300

301 5. Acknowledgements

302 The authors are thankful to Green Development and Demonstration Program (GUDP Denmark), The
303 Danish Agrifish Agency, the Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Fisheries for financial support to the
304 project “Sustainable technologies for the optimization of shrimp production” (Grant no. 34009-14-
305 0870). We thank bachelor student Simone Alpiger and lab technician Yuka Omura Lund for helping
306 with the comprehensive lab work. A special thanks to Associate Professor Bo Munk Jørgensen for
307 helping with the data treatment. Furthermore, Launis A/S are gratefully thanked for their cooperation
308 and supply of raw material.

309

310 6. References

311 Crawford, D.L. (1980). Meat yield and shell removal functions of shrimp processing. Special Report
312 597. Oregon State University Extension Marine Advisory Program. Land Grant/ Sea Grant
313 Cooperative.

314 Dang, T.T., Gringer, N., Jessen, F., Olsen, K., Bøknæs, N., Nielsen, P.L., & Orlie, V. (2018a).
315 Enzyme-assisted peeling of cold water shrimps (*Pandalus Borealis*). *Innovative Food Science &*
316 *Emerging Technologies*, 47, 127-135.

317 Dang, T.T., Gringer, N., Jessen, F., Olsen, K., Bøknæs, N., Nielsen, P.L., & Orlie, V. (2018b).
318 Emerging and potential technologies for facilitating shrimp peeling: A review. *Innovative Food*
319 *Science and Emerging Technologies*, 45, 228-240.

320 Erdogdu, F., & Balaban, M.O. (2000). Thermal Processing Effects on the Textural Attributes of
321 Previously Frozen Shrimp. *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology*, 9, 61-84.

322 Erickson, M.C., Bulgarelli, M.A., Resurreccion, A.V.A., Vendetti, R.A., & Gates, K.A. (2007). Sensory
 323 differentiation of shrimps using a trained descriptive analysis panel. *LWT Food Science and*
 324 *Technology*, 40, 1774-1783.

325 Flores, S.C., & Crawford, D.L. (1973). Postmortem quality changes in iced pacific shrimp (*Pandalus*
 326 *jordani*). *Journal of Food Science*, 38, 575-579.

327 Gringer, N., Dang, T.T., Orlien, V., Olsen, K., Bøknæs, N., & Jessen, F. (2018). A quantitative method
 328 to measure and evaluate the peelability of shrimps (*Pandalus borealis*). *LWT - Food Science and*
 329 *Technology*, 94, 20-24.

330 Høegh, L. (1989). Kvalitetsindex for rejer. PhD thesis.

331 Lopkulkiaert, W., Prapatsornwattana, K., & Rungsardthong, V. (2009). Effects of sodium bicarbonate
 332 containing traces of citric acid in combination with sodium chloride on yield and some properties of
 333 white shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*) frozen by shelf freezing, air-blast and cryogenic freezing. *LWT -*
 334 *Food Science and Technology*, 42, 768-776.

335 Ma, L-K., Zhang, B., Deng, S-G., & Xie, C. (2015). Comparison of the cryoprotective effects of
 336 trehalose, alginate, and its oligosaccharides on peeled shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) during
 337 frozen storage. *Journal of Food Science*, 80, C540-C546.

338 Mejlholm, O., Devitt, T.D., & Dalgaard, P. (2012). Effect of brine margination on survival and growth
 339 of spoilage and pathogenic bacteria during processing and subsequent storage of ready-to-eat
 340 shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*). *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 175, 16-27.

341 Mizuta, S., Yamada, Y., Miyagi, T., & Yoshinaka, R. (1999). Histological Changes in Collagen
 342 Related to Textural Development of Prawn Meat During Heat Processing. *Journal of Food Science*,
 343 64, 991-995.

344 Muriana, F.J.G., Ruiz-Gutierrez, V., Gallardo-Guerrero, M.L., & Minguez-Mosquera M.I. (1993). A
 345 study of the lipids and carotenoprotein in the Prawn, *Penaeus japonicas*. *Journal of Biochemistry*,
 346 *114*, 223-229.

347 Nègre-Sadargues, G., Castillo, R., Petit, H., Sancé, S., Martinez, R.G., Milicua, J.C.G., Choubert, G.
 348 and Trilles, J.P. (1993). Utilization of synthetic carotenoids by the prawn *Penaeus japonicas* reared
 349 under laboratory conditions. *Aquaculture*, *110*, 151-159

350 Niamnuy, C., Devahastin, S., & Soponronnarit, S. (2007). Quality Changes of Shrimp during Boiling in
 351 Salt Solution. *Journal of Food Science*, *72*, S289-S297.

352 Niamnuy, C., Devahastin, S., & Soponronnarit, S. (2008). Changes in protein composition and their
 353 effects on physical changes of shrimp during boiling in salt solution, *Food Chemistry*, *108*, 165-
 354 175.

355 Nip, W. K., & Moy, J. H. (1981). Effect of thawing and subsequent chilling on the quality of prawn,
 356 *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, *5*, 207–213.

357 Okpala, C.O.R, Choo, W.S., & Dykes, G.A. (2014), Quality and shelf life assessment of pacific white
 358 shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) freshly harvested and stored on ice. *LWT - Food Science and*
 359 *Technology*, *55*, 110-116.

360 Shahidi, A.K., & Botta, R. (1994). “Seafood: Chemistry, processing, Technology, and Quality”. New
 361 York: Chapman and Hall.

362 Sundararajan, S., Prudente, A., Bankston, J.D., King, J.M., Wilson, P., & Sathivel, S. (2011).
 363 Evaluation of green tea extract as a glazing material for shrimp frozen by cryogenic freezing.
 364 *Journal of Food Science*, *76*, E511–E518.

- 365 Thimmappa, M.H., Manjunatha Reddy, A., Prabhu, R.M., & Elavarasan, K. (2019). Quality changes in
366 deep-sea shrimp (*Aristeus alcocki*) during ice storage: biochemical and organoleptic changes.
367 *Agricultural Research*, 8, 497-504.
- 368 Wang, J., Tang, J., Rasco, B., Sablani, S.S., Ovissipour, M., & Qu, Z. (2018). Kinetics of quality
369 changes of shrimp (*Litopenaeus setiferus*) during pasteurization. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*,
370 11, 1027-1038.
- 371 Xu, Y., Chen, Y., Cao, Y., Huang, W., Zhang, S., Xia, W., & Jiang, Q. (2016). Effect of Steam
372 Cooking on Textural Properties and Taste Compounds of Shrimp (*Metapenaeus ensis*). *Food*
373 *Science and Technology Research*, 22, 75-81.

Table 1: Minimum (min.), median and maximum (max.) values of the TPA parameters springiness, hardness, resilience and chewiness on all seven groups of shrimps after maturation of uncooked shrimps for one-four days on ice.

		Day 1			Day 2			Day 3			Day4		
		Min.	Median	Max.	Min.	Median	Max.	Min.	Median	Max.	Min.	Median	Max.
Springiness, %	A	48.5	62.7 ^x	92.9	59.3	67.0	75.3	49.1	59.7	100.9	44.2	62.6	80.6
	A-f	49.4	64.5 ^x	94.8	47.5	56.7	95.3	41.3	50.6	84.1	42.4	49.8	92.8
	A-fs	60.3	64.8 ^x	92.8	59.3	67.0	75.3	63.5	71.4	76.3	61.3	70.3	78.6
	B	52.5	74.4 ^h	94.6	56.6	66.6	92.8	47.7	57.4	87.5	45.1	61.4	95.5
	B-s	55.0	60.1 ⁱ	79.6	54.2	63.2	82.0	48.6	64.3	73.8	54.5	63.5	86.3
	B-f	51.7	62.6 ^{h,i}	94.3	52.3	61.6	96.1	40.4	51.2	79.7	43.7	67.7	87.9
	B-fs	49.7	63.2 ⁱ	74.8	61.6	70.0	87.0	60.2	75.6	94.3	70.0	79.7	99.9
Hardness, N	A	3.0	4.1 ^x	6.1	3.4	5.9	8.4	2.5	4.2	5.6	2.0	4.2	5.8
	A-f	2.9	4.5 ^x	6.8	2.2	5.6	6.9	2.6	5.4	8.0	2.7	5.8	8.6
	A-fs	4.5	7.4 ^y	10.1	3.4	5.9	8.4	4.7	6.2	8.7	5.3	7.3	8.9
	B	3.1	5.1 ^h	6.5	3.3	4.8	6.3	3.5	4.3	6.1	3.1	4.3	5.7
	B-s	4.1	6.1 ^h	7.4	4.4	6.9	10.2	3.4	5.4	8.8	4.1	5.6	8.4
	B-f	1.5	5.1 ^h	7.1	4.1	5.5	8.1	3.4	4.9	6.8	2.0	4.2	6.2
	B-fs	3.0	5.7 ^h	8.6	4.1	7.3	9.5	4.5	6.7	8.2	3.9	6.3	7.7
Resilience, %	A	20.3	23.6 ^x	34.3	19.9	35.8	43.8	19.2	24.1	30.1	17.2	21.5	31.7
	A-f	12.5	25.8 ^x	32.7	21.7	28.4	39.9	24.1	30.8	41.1	23.2	30.0	39.8
	A-fs	27.9	34.1 ^y	40.3	19.9	35.8	43.8	24.4	30.2	38.6	20.8	28.2	37.2
	B	24.1	30.4 ^h	36.4	18.4	25.3	34.3	18.1	25.2	33.5	20.6	24.2	31.2
	B-s	26.4	33.9 ⁱ	41.5	24.3	35.9	40.0	27.0	31.7	39.9	23.6	32.8	38.8
	B-f	24.4	28.4 ^h	36.9	23.4	27.1	38.3	23.6	27.1	34.8	26.9	35.8	39.7
	B-fs	25.4	34.3 ⁱ	38.2	29.6	36.8	42.3	25.1	34.0	38.8	31.2	39.6	48.3
Chewiness, N	A	0.7	1.1 ^x	1.4	1.1	2.4	3.7	0.6	1.0	2.2	0.5	0.8	1.6
	A-f	0.4	1.3 ^x	2.0	0.8	1.4	2.2	0.9	1.2	2.5	0.8	1.4	2.3
	A-fs	1.7	2.9 ^y	6.3	1.1	2.4	3.7	1.7	2.5	4.1	1.8	2.6	3.9
	B	1.3	1.6 ^h	2.6	0.7	1.4	2.0	0.7	1.0	1.6	0.7	1.0	2.0
	B-s	1.4	2.0 ⁱ	3.3	1.3	2.9	4.1	1.1	2.0	3.5	1.2	2.1	3.2
	B-f	0.8	1.4 ^h	2.4	1.0	1.5	2.5	0.7	1.2	1.5	0.7	1.5	2.2
	B-fs	0.8	2.5 ⁱ	3.9	1.7	3.2	5.7	1.5	3.0	4.0	2.0	3.4	4.6

n=20 for all measurements.

Values for day 1 marked with the same letter (within code A (x, y) or B (h, i)) are not significantly different.

7 Table 2: Minimum (min.), median and maximum (max.) values of the colour parameters L^* , a^* and b^*
8 on all seven groups of shrimps after maturation of uncooked shrimps for one-four days on ice.

		Day 1			Day 2			Day 3			Day4		
		Min.	Median	Max.	Min.	Median	Max.	Min.	Median	Max.	Min.	Median	Max.
L^* -value	A	67.7	69.2 ^x	72.3	67.6	70.2	72.6	69.2	72.9	74.7	71.3	73.7	77.0
	A-f	65.3	71.2 ^y	73.4	67.0	69.7	73.9	66.6	69.4	71.8	65.5	68.0	71.5
	A-fs	79.3	81.7 ^z	83.2	76.5	79.9	81.5	77.3	78.3	80.2	73.5	76.9	78.7
	B	62.3	66.5 ^h	68.7	62.3	65.8	69.9	63.7	67.6	70.7	64.5	67.9	72.3
	B-s	73.6	78.6 ⁱ	81.4	75.9	79.2	83.0	75.5	78.2	82.3	74.7	77.7	79.3
	B-f	64.0	67.4 ^h	72.0	65.0	68.6	72.7	57.4	66.8	69.4	59.8	66.7	69.8
	B-fs	75.6	78.1 ⁱ	81.4	74.7	78.4	81.4	72.3	75.6	77.8	72.3	75.7	77.5
a^* -value	A	6.5	7.3 ^x	9.0	5.8	7.8	11.0	6.6	8.6	10.0	5.3	7.0	8.4
	A-f	4.6	6.5 ^y	8.0	4.0	6.4	8.5	5.0	6.3	10.1	4.2	5.7	7.7
	A-fs	5.4	7.0 ^x	11.5	4.8	7.0	9.8	4.7	6.9	9.3	5.3	8.0	10.4
	B	5.9	8.5 ^h	12.0	7.3	9.0	11.7	6.6	8.8	10.3	7.5	9.2	11.9
	B-s	7.4	10.9 ⁱ	16.1	8.2	10.2	14.3	7.8	9.7	14.4	7.4	9.1	12.3
	B-f	5.8	7.2 ^j	8.5	4.7	7.0	10.2	2.3	7.0	10.8	4.5	6.8	9.6
	B-fs	6.0	8.8 ^h	12.6	6.1	8.7	11.3	7.0	9.6	14.0	6.4	8.4	12.4
b^* -value	A	13.4	14.2 ^x	15.4	12.6	14.6	16.7	13.3	15.7	16.6	12.3	14.4	19.7
	A-f	11.7	14.0 ^x	17.6	13.3	15.9	19.1	14.9	17.5	21.9	15.5	18.5	22.0
	A-fs	13.1	14.5 ^x	16.9	15.1	17.0	20.0	13.2	17.3	20.1	16.2	18.5	22.7
	B	11.4	13.5 ^h	16.8	13.6	15.4	18.6	13.2	15.5	19.4	14.4	15.8	18.3
	B-s	11.0	13.2 ^h	16.5	10.9	13.6	16.9	11.9	13.6	14.8	12.1	13.7	16.0
	B-f	12.0	14.4 ^h	18.5	11.0	13.5	17.6	13.4	16.6	22.7	13.1	17.5	21.6
	B-fs	14.7	16.7 ⁱ	20.4	14.2	16.7	20.1	15.3	17.9	21.2	16.9	18.8	24.0

9 n=20 for all measurements.

10 Values for day 1 marked with the same letter (within code A (x, y, z) or B (h, i, j)) are not significantly
11 different.

Figure 1: Experimental overview. Both batch A and B are analysed as unfrozen and frozen (f) samples as well as uncooked and steamed (s). The unfrozen and steamed shrimps in batch A was not analysed.

Figure 2: Effect of maturation time on springiness of steamed shrimps. Unfrozen or frozen/thawed shrimps were matured (stored on ice) for one to four days after which they were steamed and peeled and springiness analysed and shown as the median values. Symbols used: ○, unfrozen batch B (B-s); ●, frozen/thawed batch B (B-fs); ■, frozen/thawed batch A (A-fs).

18

19 Figure 4: Effect of maturation time on shrimp peeling work. Unfrozen or frozen/thawed shrimps were
 20 matured (stored on ice) for one to four days after which some of them were steamed and some kept
 21 uncooked. The peeling work was then measured and results are shown as median values. Symbols used
 22 for steamed shrimps (solid lines): ○, unfrozen batch B (B-s); ●, frozen/thawed batch B (B-fs); ■,
 23 frozen/thawed batch A (A-fs). Symbol used for uncooked shrimps (dashed lines): Δ, unfrozen batch A
 24 (A); ▽, unfrozen batch B (B); ▲, frozen/thawed batch A (A-f); ▼, frozen/thawed batch B (B-f).

25