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Nanotubes generated by rolling up transition metal dichalcogenide Janus monolayers are a new class of low-dimensional materials, which are expected to display unique electronic properties compared to their parent two- and three-dimensional structures. Here, we investigate the band structure of 1H-MoSTe Janus armchair and zigzag nanotubes, which were recently hypothesized to be stable as single-walled structures with radii of only a few nanometers. We first investigate the most stable nanotube sizes and assess the influence of quantum confinement and curvature on the band structures, showing that these are heavily modified by curvature while confinement effects are negligible. The curvature dependence is then further studied by analyzing the band gap dependence on the nanotube radius, where band gap changes as large as 0.5 eV are observed. By investigating the band edge positions and orbital projected density of states for different tube sizes, we find that this high sensitivity is mainly attributed to the Mo d states in the conduction band.
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I. INTRODUCTION

During the past decades, the study and characterization of low-dimensional materials has been one of the most active fields in materials science. The immense scientific interest is fueled by the expectation that low-dimensional materials will have novel applications within a broad range of research areas, from batteries and electrocatalysis, to electronics and photonics [1–3]. On the experimental side this has resulted in numerous reports of novel two- and one-dimensional (2D and 1D) structures [4–9], and on the computational side several databases have emerged containing thousands of hypothetical materials with remarkably different properties compared to their parent 3D structures [10–12].

A particular class of low-dimensional materials, which have been studied intensely, are 2D monolayers based on transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs). TMD monolayers have the chemical formula $\text{MX}_2$, where $M$ is a transition metal atom and $X$ is a chalcogen atom, and include examples such as MoS$_2$, MoTe$_2$, and WS$_2$, all of which have been synthesized experimentally [6,13,14]. Furthermore, several TMDs have also been synthesized in a one-dimensional form as multiwalled nanotubes with diameters of several nanometers [8,9]. Such tubular structures are expected to have promising applications within a broad range of scientific areas such as, e.g., gas separation and capture, catalysis, solid lubrication, and controlled drug delivery [15], but so far advancements have been hampered by difficulties in producing single-wall nanotubes with a well-defined radius.

A less known class of 2D structures are the so-called TMD Janus monolayers with the chemical formula $\text{MXY}$ [16]. Such layers can, at least in principle, be obtained by substitution of the chalcogen atoms on one side of a regular $\text{MX}_2$ monolayer, and examples include MoSSe, MoSTe, and WSSe, among which MoSSe was recently synthesized [17]. TMD Janus monolayers are particularly interesting because their asymmetry gives rise to an intrinsic strain, which makes self-rolling into the nanotube structure energetically favorable [18,19]. In contrast to the multiwalled nanotubes mentioned above, such nanotubes are expected to be stable as single-walled structures with diameters of only a few nanometers. At such small radii the finite size and curvature will subject the atoms to significant distortion, and these nanotubes are thus expected to display unique and tunable electronic properties compared to their parent monolayers [20–22].

We have recently conducted a comprehensive study on the thermodynamic stability of a wide range of inorganic nanotubes obtained from the wrapping of Janus monolayers [23]. As part of this effort, the present paper is devoted to a more detailed study on the electronic properties of nanotubes made from monolayer MoSTe in the 1H phase, which was recently proposed as a promising candidate material for piezoelectric applications [24].

A sketch of how the relevant MoSTe nanotubes are constructed is shown in Fig. 1(a): Starting from a 1H monolayer of MoSTe described by the hexagonal unit cell vectors $a_1, a_2$, a change of basis to the symmetrically equivalent rectangular basis described by the vectors $a'_1, a'_2$ is first performed. Armchair and zigzag MoSTe nanotubes of different sizes are then obtained by wrapping up nanoribbons corresponding to different numbers of unit cell repetitions along either $a'_1$ (zigzag) or $a'_2$ (armchair). In the screening study of Ref. [23], both armchair and zigzag type nanotubes were investigated (chiral nanotubes were not considered), and both types were found to
show promising signs of thermodynamic stability compared to both their bulk constituents as well as their parent 2D monolayer. The latter is demonstrated in Fig. 1(c), which displays the nanotube strain energy, defined as the energy difference with respect to the parent monolayer, as a function of the radius. Evidently both armchair and zigzag MoSTe nanotubes are stable with respect to their parent 2D layer with a peak in stability at a radius of only $\sim 18$ Å. Combining this with the fact that the dynamical stability of both 1$H$-MoSTe monolayers and MoSTe nanotubes has been confirmed through phonon calculations [19,24], makes these structures particularly well suited for a more detailed investigation focusing on the electronic properties.

The paper is structured as follows: Starting from the most stable MoSTe zigzag and armchair configurations shown in Fig. 1(c), we first analyze the band structures and how they differ from their parent monolayer as well as the symmetric MoS$_2$ and MoTe$_2$ structures. We then proceed to perform a more systematic investigation of how the band structure, and in particular the band gap, depends on the size of the simulated nanotubes, and how the behavior may be qualitatively understood from the orbital projected density of states (PDOS) around the Fermi level. Our study demonstrates how 1D Janus TMD tubes can display remarkably different electronic properties compared to their 2D and 3D counterparts, thus showing that targeted screening of such nanotubes for specific applications such as catalysis and thermoelectrics might be of future interest.
FIG. 2. Band structures of armchair and zigzag MoS$_2$, MoSTe and MoTe$_2$ nanotubes (solid black lines) along with the corresponding curvatureless band structures (opaque red lines). The band gap transitions are indicated by blue arrows. (a) MoS$_2$ armchair nanotube with a radius of $\sim$16 Å. (b) MoSTe armchair nanotube with a radius of $\sim$16.5 Å. (c) Armchair MoTe$_2$ nanotube with a radius of $\sim$18 Å. (d) Zigzag MoS$_2$ nanotube with a radius of $\sim$15 Å. (e) Zigzag MoSTe nanotube with a radius of $\sim$16 Å. (f) Zigzag MoTe$_2$ nanotube with a radius of $\sim$15 Å.

Ref. [28]. The relaxed 2D sheets and nanotube structures are furthermore stored in a database in the DTU Data Repository [29].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2 displays the band structure for selected armchair [Figs. 2(a)–2(c)] and zigzag [Figs. 2(d)–2(f)] MoS$_2$, MoSTe, and MoTe$_2$ nanotubes. The nanotubes have been selected to correspond to the most stable radii for the MoSTe armchair and zigzag configurations as shown in Fig. 1(c). Consequently, the MoSTe armchair nanotube was chosen to have a radius of $\sim$18.4 Å and 120 atoms in the unit cell, while for the zigzag nanotube a radius of $\sim$18.1 Å and 204 atoms in the unit cell was used. The sizes of the symmetric MoS$_2$ and MoTe$_2$ nanotubes were chosen to be consistent with these numbers, i.e., with 120 and 204 atoms in the unit cell for the armchair and zigzag, respectively. We emphasize that these nanotubes, in contrast to MoSTe, are not formed from a Janus structure and are therefore likely to be unstable with respect to their planar counterpart.

To understand the general features of the nanotube band structures in Fig. 2, we first note that these are influenced by two separate structural effects: (i) The effect of quantum confinement arising from the finite extent of a nanotube along its wrapping axis. This is manifested by periodicity of the electronic Bloch states along its circumference as discussed below. (ii) The effect of curvature arising from the bending of the parent nanoribbon into the nanotube form.

In order to separate the effects described in (i) and (ii) we have included in Fig. 2 a set of band structures, which neglect the effect of the nanotube curvature. These are obtained from the 2D band structure of the parent MoSTe monolayer [see Fig. 2(b)] following the procedure described in Ref. [30], where the $k$ points inside the Brillouin zone allowed by (i) are selected and used to generate the corresponding 1D band structure. As an example of how this is done, we consider generating the curvatureless band structure equivalent of a nanotube corresponding to $N$ repetitions along the armchair direction as shown in Fig. 1(a). In this case, periodicity of the electronic states along the wrapping direction combined with Bloch’s theorem yields $\psi(R) = \psi(R + N \cdot a'_2) \Rightarrow \psi(R) = \psi(R) e^{iNk \cdot a'_2}$, which leads to the following quantization condition for the allowed values of $k$:

$$k \cdot a'_2 = 2\pi \frac{m}{N}, \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1. \quad (1)$$

The result is a discrete set of allowed $k$ points along the $a'_2$ direction, which, as shown in Fig. 1(a), is along the $\Gamma$-$M'$ path in reciprocal space. The band structure is then obtained from the 2D band structure of MoSTe by plotting its value for each discrete $k$ value in Eq. (1) in the direction perpendicular to $\Gamma$-$M'$ as shown by the blue lines in Fig. 1(a). A similar analysis for the zigzag direction leads to a discrete set of $k$ values along the $\Gamma$-$K$ path and in this case the band structure is obtained by plotting the values of the 2D band structure along the path marked by the red lines of Fig. 1(a). As shown in Fig. 1(a), we label the boundary of the rectangular Brillouin zone as $Z$ in both the armchair and zigzag directions to be consistent with the labeling of the $Z$ point in the nanotube band structures of Fig. 2.
Comparing the band structures of Fig. 2, we can make several qualitative observations. First of all, the band structures of the Janus MoSTe nanotubes appear significantly different from those of the symmetric MoS₂ and MoTe₂ nanotubes. Second, we observe that while the nanotube and nanoribbon band structures share the same overall features, the nanotube band gaps are heavily modified by curvature. Indeed, by comparing Figs. 2(b) and 2(e) with the band structure of 2D MoSTe shown in Fig. 1(b), we can see that the nanotube band gaps are significantly different from the band gap of 2D MoSTe, while the nanoribbon band gaps practically coincide with the latter. This shows that quantization has a very minor influence on the size of the band gap. To understand this we first note that the band gap of 2D MoSTe corresponds to an indirect Γ-\(\Gamma_1\)-\(K\) transition. Since \(\mathbf{k} = 0\) is included in the discrete set of \(k\) values in Eq. (1) for all values of \(N\), the Γ point will likewise be included when generating the nanoribbon band structure for a nanotube of any size. Any dependence of the band gap must thus be attributed to whether or not the discrete set of \(k\) points of Eq. (1) includes the \(K\) point. For armchair nanotubes, this will always be included since the discretization is along \(\Gamma-M'\). Consequently, their band gap cannot be influenced by confinement effects. For zigzag nanotubes this is a possibility, but for the typical tube sizes simulated, the \(k\) mesh in Eq. (1) will be practically dense and any dependence is extremely minor. We thus conclude that the band gap changes observed in Fig. 2 are purely an effect of the curvature induced by the bending of the atoms in the nanotube form.

To explore further the effect of curvature on the band gap, we have calculated the band gap for MoSTe armchair and zigzag nanotubes of different radii as displayed in Figs. 3(a) and 3(d). From this we can see that the band gap depends strongly on the radius of the simulated nanotubes and is seen to increase from a value below the band gap of 2D MoSTe to a value above, followed by a slow decay towards this limiting value. This behavior, which is in agreement with the results reported in Ref. [19], may be qualitatively understood from Figs. 3(b) and 3(e), which display the position of the valence and conduction band edges for MoSTe zigzag nanotubes as a function of the radius. An illustration of the two nanotubes used for the corresponding PDOS plots is also included. (f) PDOS around the Fermi level for an MoSTe zigzag nanotube with radius \(\sim 7\) Å (top) and for an MoSTe armchair nanotube with radius \(\sim 12\) Å (bottom).

FIG. 3. (a) Band gap dependence on the radius for MoSTe armchair nanotubes with the most stable tube radius highlighted. Both indirect and direct band gaps are shown, and the indirect band gap of 2D MoSTe is indicated as the dashed black line. (b) Position of the valence and conduction band edges for MoSTe armchair nanotubes as a function of the radius. An illustration of the two nanotubes used for the corresponding PDOS plots is also included. (c) PDOS in a region around the Fermi level for an MoSTe armchair nanotube with radius \(\sim 11\) Å (top) and for an MoSTe armchair nanotube with radius \(\sim 22\) Å (bottom). (d) Band gap dependence on the radius for MoSTe zigzag nanotubes with the most stable tube radius highlighted and with the indirect band gap of 2D MoSTe indicated by the black dashed line. The band gap is direct for all plotted radii. (e) Position of the valence and conduction band edges for MoSTe zigzag nanotubes as a function of the radius. An illustration of the two nanotubes used for the corresponding PDOS plots is also included. (f) PDOS around the Fermi level for an MoSTe zigzag nanotube with radius \(\sim 7\) Å (top) and for an MoSTe armchair nanotube with radius \(\sim 12\) Å (bottom).
similar trends will be observed for Janus nanotubes based on other elements.

Another natural question to ask is whether the conclusions reported here hold when employing higher-level electronic structure methods and/or when including spin-orbit coupling. The latter is in fact known to heavily modify the electronic structure of many TMD monolayers [24,31] and is therefore particularly relevant. While not reported here, we have in fact performed band structure calculations for selected nanotube sizes with spin-orbit coupling included and found that while it does indeed lead to a modest change in the band gap of \sim 50 \text{meV}, this acts mostly as a constant shift across different nanotube radii. The conclusions reported in Fig. 3 are thus unchanged by the inclusion of spin-orbit coupling.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the band structure of armchair and zigzag 1H-MoSTe Janus nanotubes with special emphasis on understanding how these differ from that of their parent monolayer. By comparing the band structures of the most stable MoSTe tube size with those of the symmetric MoS2 and MoTe2 nanotubes and nanoribbons, we were able to separate the effects of quantum confinement and curvature, and we found that confinement effects were modest while curvature led to large changes in the band gap. We further analyzed the band gap changes by studying its dependence of the nanotube radius and found variations in the band gap of up to 0.5 eV with values both above and below that of 2D monolayer MoSTe. By investigating the position of the band edges and PDOS for different tube sizes, we found that these large variations are mainly attributed to the Mo conduction band states.

Our study demonstrates that Janus TMD nanotubes can display remarkably different and tunable electronic properties compared to their parent 2D monolayers, which make them an interesting platform for computational materials screening targeting specific applications within materials science.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.E.G.M., F.T.B., T.V., and I.E.C. acknowledge support from the Department of Energy Conversion and Storage, Technical University of Denmark, through the Special Competence Initiative Autonomous Materials Discovery [32]. K.S.T. acknowledges support from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant Agreement No. 773122, LIMA).


