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Abstract

This paper investigates the effect of ambient oxygen levels and ambient density on the primary soot size under diesel engine-like conditions via a Lagrangian soot tracking (LST) method. The numerical studies and soot analysis are carried out for an n-heptane spray flame in the Sandia constant volume combustion chamber. Numerical studies are carried out at two oxygen levels of 15% and 12%, as well as two ambient densities of 14.8 kg/m³ and 30 kg/m³. The LST model involves treating the soot particles formed in the spray flame as Lagrangian particles and their individual soot information are stored. Based on the primary soot size distribution for soot particles in the core of the spray jet, an increase in ambient density from 14.8 kg/m³ to 30 kg/m³ is shown to increase the peak and mean soot size by a factor of 1.5. Furthermore, the peak and mean primary soot size decreases with decreasing oxygen levels from 15% to 12%. The larger primary soot at higher oxygen levels and ambient densities can be attributed to the higher net growth rate experienced by the soot particles. At low density, the span of soot cloud is shorter at low oxygen level. In contrast, the high density cases show comparable soot cloud span for both oxygen levels before steady-state is reached. Soot age is introduced to predict the soot residence time in the spray flame. The results show that soot residence time is dependent on both the span of the soot cloud and the initial onset location of the soot formed.
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1. Introduction

Soot is one of the most distinctive and problematic emissions of diesel engines due to its complex formation and oxidation processes. Soot particles are normally formed as fractal open structured agglomerates with large quantities of nano-sized primary particles via incomplete combustion of hydrocarbon fuels [1,2]. The morphological characteristics and nanostructural properties of combustion-generated soot particles are of paramount importance because they are closely linked to the formation of primary soot particles and soot oxidative reactivity [3]. Furthermore, ultrafine particle emissions from incomplete combustion are harmful to human health [4,5]. This concern has led to the legislation of Euro 5/6 which aims to reduce soot mass and particle number emissions [6,7]. Driven by the stringent legislations for pollutant emissions, detailed analysis of soot morphology and its evolution could provide useful insights into mitigating the detrimental impact of particle emissions.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) analysis of soot particles directly sampled from a diesel spray flame has emerged to be a powerful and quantitative technique for studying soot particle size. This direct soot sampling technique has been successfully applied in reacting diesel jets, under high-pressure and high-temperature ambient conditions for soot study in a constant volume vessel [8–14], as well as in diesel engines [15–17]. Kook and Pickett [8] studied the morphology of soot particles extracted from diesel spray flames at ambient temperature and density of 1000 K and 6.7 MPa, respectively in a constant volume vessel by using a thermophoretic probe. The TEM analysis of the soot samples along different in-flame axial locations revealed that the diameters of primary soot increase at first, reach a peak and then decrease [8], in which the decrease in primary soot size is due to soot oxidation. Several studies [9,18] showed similar trend. Similar sampling technique and TEM analysis were used by Kuribayashi et al. [14] to study the effects of oxygen (O₂) level (15% and 21%) on soot concentration, size, number density and morphology in a diesel spray
They found that lowering the ambient oxygen concentration resulted in a delayed and downstream-shifted soot processes, as well as an increase in the aggregate size in the downstream region. However, the primary soot size remained relatively stable when the oxygen concentration decreased from 21% to 15%. Besides this work, the same approach (direct sampling and TEM analysis) has also been applied in other studies, such as fuel comparison among conventional diesel, biodiesel and Fischer-Tropsch Diesel [11,12], effect of injected fuel amount [17], and nanostructure analysis of primary particles [19,20]. Despite successful implementation in various studies, a major limitation of the direct soot sampling and TEM analysis is the time resolution. Since the thermophoretic probe is constantly exposed to the diesel flame, the sampled soot is a time-integrated mixture of soot particles throughout the injection and combustion duration. Therefore, the use of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) is expected to overcome the limitations of TEM analysis of sampled soot by giving instantaneous spatial or temporal information of the soot formation process.

There are a few methods available for CFD analysis that can model soot size distribution. One approach is the Method of Moments (MOM) [21], in which the evolution equations for moments of the population distribution are solved instead of explicitly solving the population distribution [22]. MOM was used by Ito et al. [23] who compared the predicted primary soot size from MOM against the measurement data from a laser-induced incandescence experiment of n-heptane spray combustion in a constant volume chamber. Recently, Naik et al. [24] utilized MOM in their simulation study of high-pressure lifted flames in a constant-volume chamber. In addition to n-heptane fuel, a two-component surrogate fuel, conventional U.S. No. 2 diesel (D2), and world-averaged jet fuel (Jet-A) were considered in their simulation study [24]. Another approach is the discrete sectional method (DSM) [25–27] which discretizes the population of soot particles into discrete sections or “bins” and the evolution equations are solved for each of these bins. This method has been
applied to model primary soot size distribution in optical engine [28,29]. It is important to note that MOM only predicts ensemble averaged quantities where the exact shape of the particle size distribution is unknown. As for DSM, in order to achieve good accuracy, a higher number of sections is needed to represent the particle size distribution, thus making it computationally expensive. It is also noteworthy that both MOM and DSM can only provide the mean primary particle soot size, and do not provide information about the history of the soot particles which may contain vital information to understand the soot processes.

The Lagrangian tracking method is an alternative to DSM and MOM for computing particle dynamics. It allows the possibility to track an individual particle continuously along its trajectory, and to monitor its individual interaction with both the gas phase and the other particles. No classes or sections of particles are required, thus making the calculation of the particle size distribution relatively straightforward. Gallen et al. [30] introduced a semi-deterministic Lagrangian particle tracking methodology that tracks the Lagrangian soot particles as well as consider their collision process. A hybrid Eulerian-Lagrangian method for soot modelling, which was developed by Dellinger et al. [31], combined a reduced gas-phase chemistry, a sectional model for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and a Lagrangian description of soot particles dynamics. The Lagrangian soot particles were nucleated from polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons molecules and radicals. Meanwhile, two-way coupling of the gaseous and solid phases was assumed. It is important to note that the aforementioned studies were both related to gas turbine applications. A Lagrangian soot tracking (LST) model based on a semi-empirical formulation was recently developed by Ong et al. [32] and was successfully implemented for diesel spray applications. The LST model was validated using the experimental data of \textit{n}-dodecane and \textit{n}-heptane spray flame from the Engine Combustion Network. Despite omitting the coagulation process, the LST model was
demonstrated to be able to predict primary soot size, track individual soot particles, and access their history as they evolve in the diesel spray flame.

Setting against this background, the present study is carried out by integrating the previously developed LST model [32] with a reduced n-heptane chemical mechanism to investigate the effect of ambient oxygen and density on primary soot size in a Sandia n-heptane reacting spray. The paper is structured such that numerical formulation and setup are first provided. This is followed by the validation of CFD models using non-reacting and reacting spray experimental data. The validation of the LST method is carried out by comparing the distribution of the steady-state soot volume fraction (SVF). Following this is the study of soot size distribution for soot particles formed at the core of the jet during the transient and the steady-state phase.

2. Numerical formulation and setup

2.1 Mesh and numerical models

The computational study was performed using the spray combustion solver in an open-source code, OpenFOAM version 2.0.x [33]. Experimental data from the Sandia combustion vessel [34] was used for the model validation of the n-heptane spray and its combustion characteristics. Detailed descriptions of the experimental setup are available in [34]. The constant volume combustion vessels were represented by a cylinder during simulation studies. For computational expediency, the cylindrical chamber was simplified to a 4-degree axisymmetric wedge with a single layer of cells in the tangential direction. Details of the mesh and geometry can be found herein [32]. The time step size was fixed at 0.2 $\mu$s. The non-reacting spray case was studied at an ambient temperature of 1000 K and ambient density of 14.8 kg/m$^3$ with the absence of oxygen (0% O$_2$ in ambient gas composition). As for the reacting spray case, there were a total of four cases with two oxygen concentrations (15% and
120 12% and two ambient densities (14.8 kg/m$^3$ and 30 kg/m$^3$), while having the same initial
121 ambient temperature of 1000 K. Cases with ambient density of 14.8 kg/m$^3$ and 30 kg/m$^3$ were
122 henceforth addressed as low density and high density cases, respectively for brevity. Injection
123 specifications and profiles in the current simulations were set to correspond to those used in
124 the experimental setup. Details of the experimental conditions and the injection parameters
125 are shown in Table 1.
126
127 The spray breakup was described using the Reitz-Diwakar model [35]. The model
128 constant that governs the time factor for stripping, $C_s$ was set to 11.5. The turbulent flow was
129 modelled using the Unsteady Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes (URANS) method with the
130 standard $k − \varepsilon$ model [36]. The model constant $C_{1\varepsilon}$ was calibrated according to its
131 approximation of round jets [37, 38] and set to a value of 1.53. The initial turbulence kinetic
132 energy, $k$ was set to 0.735 m$^2$/s$^2$, which was estimated based on the measured RMS velocity
133 in the experiment [34]. The initial turbulence dissipation rate, $\varepsilon$ was set to 5.67 m$^2$/s$^3$ based
134 on the turbulence integral length scale of 0.01 mm. The choice of the initial $k$ and $\varepsilon$ are
135 similarly used in Ref. [38, 39, 40]. The average velocity in the combustion chamber prior to
136 spray injection is approximately 0.7 m/s as provided in ECN [34]. This gas velocity is too
137 low to cause significant effect on the spray development as the spray velocity is 400 – 600
138 m/s. Therefore, the initial velocity field for all cases was set to be zero. Similar treatment of
139 the initial velocity field can be seen in Ref. [39]. Soot radiation modelling was neglected in
140 all test cases [41]. Collision and coalescence with the fuel spray region were omitted in all
141 studies as these processes have negligible influence on the liquid and vapour fuel penetration
142 of evaporating spray [42]. In addition, the Ranz-Marshall correlation [43] was implemented
143 to calculate the droplet heat transfer with the surrounding gas phase while the Frossling
144 correlation [44] was used to model the evaporation of droplet fuel. The skeletal $n$-heptane
145 mechanism developed by Pang et al. [45] was implemented to describe the chemical kinetics
of n-heptane spray combustion. Details about the mechanism can be referred to in [45]. In
this current study, the spray combustion solver was incorporated with a LST model [32]. The
LST model is explained in detail in our previous study [32] but for completeness the essential
features are outlined here.

2.2 LST model for soot particles

The LST model treats soot particles as Lagrangian particles and they are tracked via the
Lagrangian method, in which the motion of the soot particles are computed using Newton’s
second law of motion. The dispersion of Lagrangian particles due to turbulence is influenced
by the instantaneous fluctuating velocity $\bar{u}'$ [46, 47], which is modelled by the discrete
random walk model (DRW) [46, 47]. It correlates with the flow turbulent kinetic energy $k$,
predicted by the standard $k-\varepsilon$ model, and is expressed by

$$\bar{u}' = \zeta \sqrt{2k/3} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

where $\zeta$ is a Gaussian random number [45,46] with zero mean and unit variance.

The LST model also considers the inception, surface growth and oxidation processes
of the soot particles. It is important to note that the coagulation process is omitted in the LST
model as the surface growth is the relatively dominant process in affecting primary soot
particle size [48, 49]. The inception, surface growth and oxidation rates in the LST model are
adapted from the semi-empirical, multi-step Moss-Brookes (MB) soot model [50]. The MB
soot model is chosen due to its flexibility in implementing different surface ageing model, as
shown in Ref. [51].

The inception rate, $\omega_{inc}$ is given by

$$\omega_{inc} = C_{inc} M_p \left( \frac{X_{prec} P}{RT} \right) \exp \left\{ \frac{T_{inc}}{T} \right\}$$

(2)
where $X_{\text{prec}}$ denotes the mole fraction of soot precursor, whereas $C_{\text{inc}}$ is the model constant for soot incipient rate and is given as $54 \, \text{s}^{-1}$. $T$, $P$ and $R$ denote the gas temperature, pressure and universal gas constant, respectively. The activation temperature of soot inception, $T_{\text{inc}}$ is given as 21,000 K. The constant $M_p$ represents the mass of an incipient soot particle which is set to 1200 kg/kmol. In the LST model, Lagrangian particles are formed when a formation criterion in a computational cell is met. The formation criterion at cell $j$ is given as

$$m_{\text{inc},j} > m_{\text{inc,min}}$$

where $m_{\text{inc},j}$ denotes the total incipient soot mass at cell $j$ and $m_{\text{inc,min}}$ denotes the minimum mass of incipient soot. $m_{\text{inc},j}$ is calculated by taking the product of $\omega_{\text{inc},j}$ and the time-step $\Delta t$. Meanwhile, $m_{\text{inc,min}}$ is calculated to be $2.0 \times 10^{-24}$ kg, based on the assumption that the minimum diameter of an incipient soot is 1.24 nm [52], with the soot density of 2000 kg/m$^3$ [52, 53]. The single formed Lagrangian particle is assumed to represent the total number of incipient soot particles in that particular computational cell at that time instance. It is also assumed that all particles formed in that cell, at that instant, follow the same pathway [54] and size change as the existing Lagrangian particle. The initial velocity of the new particle formed follows the mean velocity of the cell it is formed in.

The newly formed Lagrangian particles then undergo mass addition and increase in size through the surface growth process and decrease in size due to oxidation processes via hydroxyl radicals (OH) and O$_2$. The rate of change of soot diameter due to surface growth is governed by Equation (4), whereas the diameter change due to oxidation is by Equation (5) and (6).

$$\frac{d}{dt}(d_i)_{SG} = \alpha \left[ 2C_{sg}\left(\frac{X_{sg}P}{RT}\right) \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{soot}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{T_c}{T}\right\} \right]$$

(4)
The mole fraction surface growth species is denoted as \( X_{sg} \). The mole fractions for soot oxidants, OH and O\(_2\) are represented by \( X_{OH} \) and \( X_{O_2} \), respectively. It is important to note that acetylene (\( C_2H_2 \)) is chosen here as the surface growth species and soot precursor species. Although polycylic aromatic hydrocarbon is also an important soot precursor. To accurately predict the formation of PAH, a larger chemical mechanism that includes PAH formation pathways is required, which will incur higher computational cost. Furthermore, numerous numerical studies of soot formation in diesel spray flame consider only acetylene as soot precursor, e.g. Ref. [38, 55-57]. Therefore, only acetylene is chosen as the soot precursor to achieve a balance of accuracy and computational efficiency. Furthermore, one-way coupling is assumed between the Lagrangian soot particles and the gaseous species. This implies that the gaseous species affects the Lagrangian soot particles but not the other way around. The soot model constants, their descriptions and values are shown in Table 2. The \( \alpha \) in Equation (4) denotes the surface ageing factor, which is assumed to be a function of temperature and is expressed as

\[
\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left( \tanh \left( \frac{8168}{T} - 4.57 \right) + 1 \right) \tag{7}
\]

Once a Lagrangian soot particle is reduced below a threshold diameter, it is assumed to be fully oxidised and is removed from the computational cell. The threshold value is set to 1.24 nm which is the same as the initial incipient soot particle size.
3. Results and discussion

3.1 Validation of numerical models

The first part of this section compares the simulation results of non-reacting fuel spray against experimental measurements, in terms of liquid and vapour penetration lengths as well as the mixture fraction. It is followed by the validation of the computed ignition delay times (IDT) and lift-off lengths (LOL) of reacting sprays using the experimental data [34]. The non-reacting and reacting spray validation cases are carried out to ensure that the fuel-air distribution and combustion characteristics are reasonably simulated, and the uncertainties induced by these elements can be minimised prior to studying soot formation events. The validation of LST model is carried out by comparing the predicted SVF profiles with measured data during steady-state combustion for different ambient conditions.

In the current work, the liquid penetration length (LPL) is taken as the axial location from the injector to the location where 99% of the total liquid mass is found, while the vapour penetration length (VPL) is taken as the maximum distance from the nozzle outlet to where the fuel mass fraction (or mixture fraction) is 0.1%. As for the LOL, it is defined as the first axial location of Favre-averaged OH mass fraction which reaches 2% of its maximum value in the domain. The time averaging of the simulated LOL is carried out from 3.0 to 6.0 ms. This corresponds to the experimental definition. The IDT is defined as the time when the greatest rise of maximum temperature is observed, \( \left( \frac{dT_{\text{max}}}{dt} \right)_{\text{max}} \), and this definition remains consistent throughout the study. Although there are other definitions for the IDT, it is shown that there is no significant discrepancy in the IDT between different IDT definitions [39]. Moreover, this definition is in accordance with the recommendation by the Engine Combustion Network [34].
3.1.1 Non-reacting spray simulations

Comparisons between the computed and measured penetration lengths are provided in Figure 1(a) using the default and calibrated model constant. In general, the tuned model shows an improved VPL and LPL prediction as compared to the measurement. Computed and measured mean radial mixture fraction profiles are next compared in Figure 1(b). The overall trend of the mixture fraction profiles agrees with the experimental data which implies that the air-fuel distributions are reasonably predicted by the model.

3.1.2 Reacting spray simulations

Figure 2 shows the comparison of experimental and predicted IDT/LOL for the reacting n-heptane spray cases at different oxygen levels and ambient densities. At low density, the predicted IDTs correspond well with the measured IDT for 15% and 12% oxygen levels with a maximum relative difference of 7.3%. A maximum relative difference of 17.5% is obtained for the predicted IDT at a higher ambient density of 30.0 kg/m$^3$. At high density (30.0 kg/m$^3$), the predicted LOLs at both oxygen levels are within a relative difference of 7% as compared to the experimental LOL. At low density (14.8 kg/m$^3$), the predicted LOL at 12% $O_2$ and 15% $O_2$ are underpredicted by 6% and 20%, respectively. Nevertheless, the simulated LOLs are shown to correspond well with the measured data for all the reacting spray cases by capturing the LOL trend, where the LOL increases with increasing ambient density and decreasing oxygen levels.

3.1.3 Steady-state SVF distribution

In this section, the predicted SVF results using the LST model are compared against the measured SVF for different oxygen concentrations and ambient densities. The experimental SVF are obtained from the time-averaged line-of-sight extinction (KL) data [34]. Predicted SVF using the LST model is calculated based on the total number of Lagrangian soot particles in the domain. Figure 3 shows the temporal evolution of the number of
computational particles for soot under different ambient densities and oxygen levels. It is depicted in the figure that the number of soot particles reaches a quasi-steady state at $t \geq 4$ ms. Therefore, the predicted steady-state SVF using the LST model is obtained by time-averaging the computed SVF from $4 - 6$ ms. In addition, normalization is carried out by normalizing the simulated and measured SVF with their respective peak SVF at 15% $O_2$, 30.0 kg/m$^3$ density. The normalized SVF profiles for different oxygen levels and ambient densities along the spray axis are shown in Figure 4. The simulated SVF for the 12% $O_2$, 30.0 kg/m$^3$ density case is underpredicted by approximately 30% relative to the measured data. At low density, the predicted SVF at 15% $O_2$ is overpredicted by a factor of two as compared to the measured result. The larger discrepancy at 15% $O_2$ is likely due to the underpredicted LOL which leads to less air entrainment into the spray and subsequently higher soot formation (cf. Figure 2). Despite these discrepancies, the predicted SVF using the LST model is shown to increase with increasing oxygen level and ambient density, which corresponds with the experimental observation as depicted in Figure 4. The spatial location of the predicted SVF is further downstream than the measured SVF. This can be attributed to the use of the Moss-Brookes soot model in the formulation of the LST model which is discussed in [32]. It is shown in Ref. [39] that considering surface ageing effect in the surface growth model can cause the spatial location of the soot cloud to be more upstream. Therefore, it is expected that implementing a more advanced surface ageing model than the one implemented in the present study will likely improve the spatial prediction of the LST model. Despite the overprediction, the LST model is able to capture the variation of spatial location of SVF towards the upstream location of the spray as oxygen level increases and ambient density increases, which coincides with experimental observation (cf. Figure 4). It is important to note that a single simulation case using the LST model requires approximately 170 hours to
reach 6.0 ms after start of fuel injection when running in serial on a HP Z200 Workstation with Quad-Core Intel Xeon Processor 3400 at 2.40 GHz.

3.2. In the spray core jet

3.2.1 Sampling of individual lagrangian soot particles

The soot sampling experiment was conducted by Aizawa and colleagues, in which full details of the experimental methodology can be seen in Ref. [11–13]. For completeness of the present paper, only the essential steps are highlighted here. The soot sampling experiment involves placing a probe in-parallel to the spray axis to skim the gas containing soot from the spray flame while minimizing the flow disturbance to the other half of the spray flame. As the reacting flow passes the probe, soot particles are deposited onto the carbon-coated copper grid inside the probe via thermophoresis. The sampled soot particles correspond to the soot present at that position. Sampled soot particles at different locations along the spray axis can be obtained by repeating the experiment with the probe being at different locations along the spray axis. The sampled soot particles are then observed under a High-Resolution Transmission Electron Microscope (HR-TEM). The diameter of each primary soot particles is finally obtained by manually processing the TEM images.

In order to simulate the same sampling technique along the spray axis, Lagrangian particles which are ±1.5mm axially and ±0.5mm radially about the sampling locations are assumed to be deposited onto the sampler and thus, their information is recorded. Similar analysis was carried out in our previous work [32]. Information of the deposited Lagrangian particles, such as size, position, velocity, etc, are gathered from the start of ignition (SOI) to 6.0ms after start of ignition (ASOI). The analysis of the information gathered on the individual Lagrangian particles is later carried out in subsequent sections to obtain the primary soot size distribution and study its relation to soot processes.
3.2.2 Primary soot size distribution

The effect of ambient oxygen and density on primary soot size distribution at the core of the spray jet for the n-heptane spray cases are investigated here. The probability density function (PDF) of primary soot size for various ambient oxygen level and density cases are shown in Figure 5. It is observed that the onset location of soot moves downstream as oxygen level decreases. Soot is present at $x = 50$ mm for the 15% $O_2$, low density case, while soot is only present further downstream at $x = 60$ mm for the 12% $O_2$, low density case. This phenomenon is similarly captured for the high density case (not shown in the figure). The variation of soot onset location with oxygen level coincides with the experimental observation in [58–60] and in Section 3.1.3. Furthermore, Figure 5 also shows that in all the cases the primary soot diameters increase as soot particles migrate downstream. This is caused by the soot undergoing surface growth process and is consistent with experimental observations [8,9,18].

The mean primary soot diameter is computed from the collected Lagrangian particles along the core of the spray jet from the SOI to 6.0 ms ASOI and is shown in Figure 6. The mean primary soot size predicted at the oxygen level of 15% and ambient density of 30 kg/m$^3$ is in reasonable agreement with the measured mean primary soot size using n-dodecane fuel [61]. For the low density case, the mean primary soot size reaches a peak value of approximately 4.5 nm and 3.2 nm for oxygen levels of 15% and 12%, respectively. As ambient density increases to 30.0 kg/m$^3$, the peak value of the predicted mean primary soot size also increases to 6.2 nm and 4.5 nm for oxygen levels of 15% and 12%, respectively. This implies that the increase in density resulted in the primary soot size to increase by a factor of 1.5. Furthermore, it is also evidently shown in Figure 6 that the mean primary soot size decreases with decreasing oxygen levels. There have been no experimental studies on the effects of ambient oxygen and density on primary soot size in diesel spray flame for $n$-
heptane fuel. However, experimental studies on SVF have shown that decreasing ambient oxygen [58] and increasing ambient density [62] can increase SVF in diesel spray flame. In addition, measurement of primary soot size in canonical flame setups also showed similar findings regarding the effect of oxygen [63] and ambient density [64–66] on primary soot sizes.

The results presented in Figures 5 and 6 are time-integrated results of all the collected Lagrangian particles along the core of the spray jet from the SOI to 6.0 ms ASOI. In order to gain a better insight on the effect of ambient oxygen and density on the primary soot size, the instantaneous primary soot size and the corresponding net growth rates experienced by the soot particles are analysed next. The temporal evolution of the net growth rates for all the cases are presented in Figure 7. Moreover, their corresponding evolution of the primary soot size distribution with respect to axial distance is presented in Figure 8.

At low density (14.8 kg/m³), the maximum net growth rates for the 15% O₂ and 12% O₂ cases are 1×10⁵ kg/m³/s and 0.25×10⁵ kg/m³/s, respectively. The span of soot cloud for the 12% O₂ case is shorter than the 15% O₂ case from 1.0 ms ASOI to the steady-state period, as depicted in Figures 7(a) – (d). The evolution of the primary soot size is shown in Figure 8 to correspond to the net growth rates for the 15% O₂ and 12% O₂ cases, where a larger soot size is achieved in the former case due to having higher net growth rates.

At high density, the net growth rates for the 15% O₂ case is higher than the 12% O₂ case (cf. Figure 7). Contrary to the low density case, the span of soot cloud at both oxygen levels are comparable to one another during the early ignition stage (t ≤ 2 ms ASOI). However, the span of soot cloud for 12% O₂ case becomes longer than the 15% O₂ case at later times (t ≥ 3 ms ASOI). During steady-state period, the span of soot cloud for the 12% O₂ is 10 mm longer than the 15% O₂ case. The evolution of primary soot size at high density
shows similar trend to the ones at low density, where the primary soot size is larger for the
15% O₂ (cf. Figure 8). In addition, increasing ambient density while keeping the ambient
oxygen constant leads to an increase in primary soot sizes. This is likely due to the higher net
growth rate achieved at higher ambient density, as depicted in Figure 7.

3.2.3 Soot age distribution

As the previous section has clearly demonstrated that the soot cloud span varies with different
ambient conditions, it is expected that the longer span of the soot cloud would imply longer
soot residence time in the spray flame. The use of the LST model allows the ability to analyse
the residence time of soot in the spray flame by tracking the soot particles from the time of
formation to the time of oxidation. The time duration of the soot particle from formation till
oxidation is henceforth known as soot age [67]. It is important to note that the transient
analysis of soot age is carried out after soot onset (ASO), where the soot onset time and onset
location are tabulated in Table 3. The soot onset time is defined here as the earliest time after
SOI when the total number of Lagrangian soot particles present in the domain are more than
100 particles. The soot onset location is taken as the axial distance from the nozzle to the
point where the maximal SVF is present, at the soot onset time. From Table 3, it is shown
that the soot onset time and location increase as oxygen level decreases at both ambient
densities. Shorter soot onset time and a more upstream soot onset location are obtained when
the ambient density increases. These results agree qualitatively with the experimental and
numerical findings in [59] which uses n-dodecane as fuel.

Figure 9 shows the predicted temporal evolution of soot age distribution of the
primary soot particles at the core of spray jet with different ambient oxygen levels and
densities. From 1.0 ms to 3.0 ms ASO, the soot age distributions at different oxygen levels
and ambient densities are similar to one another. A narrower distribution is obtained for the
15% O₂ case at high density as compared to the other cases at 4.0 ms ASO, which implies
that the soot particles in the 15% $O_2$, high density case have a relatively shorter soot age than the other cases.

It is mentioned in Section 3.2.2 that the cloud span for the 12% $O_2$, low density case is shorter than the 15% $O_2$, low density case before reaching the steady-state period. Despite having a shorter soot cloud span, the soot age distribution in Figure 9 shows no significant difference between both oxygen level cases. This can be explained by the difference in the soot onset location in both cases. As mentioned earlier, the soot onset location for 15% $O_2$, low density case is more upstream than the 12% $O_2$ case (as shown in Table 3). As the soot particles are formed nearer to the nozzle, the flow velocity experienced by the soot particles is higher than the ones further downstream. Therefore, the time taken to travel along the spray flame is shorter. Meanwhile, the soot onset location for the 12% $O_2$ case is further downstream. The lower flow velocity experienced by the soot particles consequently lead to particles travelling more slowly through the spray flame.

As for the high density case, the 15% $O_2$ case has a much narrower soot age distribution at 4.0 ms ASO cf. Figure 9(d) and a shorter soot cloud span than the 12% $O_2$ case at the steady-state period cf. Figure 8(h). This observation can also be attributed to the soot onset location. The soot onset location for both oxygen levels are close to one another, as shown in Table 3. This implies that the flow velocities experience by the soot particles at both oxygen levels are not significantly different. Therefore, the shorter soot cloud span in the 15% $O_2$ case would yield shorter soot age as what is predicted in Figure 9(d). The results above also show that longer soot cloud span does not necessary equate to longer soot residence time. The residence time of the soot particles in a spray flame is dependent on both the soot cloud span and the soot onset location.
4. Conclusion

The effect of ambient oxygen level and density on the prediction of soot volume fraction and soot size distribution are carried out using a Lagrangian soot tracking method on Sandia n-heptane spray cases. The simulated SVF for the 12% O₂, 30.0 kg/m³ density case is underpredicted by approximately 30% relative to the measured data. At low density, the predicted SVF at 15% O₂ is overpredicted by a factor of two. Despite these discrepancies, the qualitative trend of the measured SVF where the SVF increases with increasing oxygen level and ambient density is captured by the simulated SVF.

The peak primary soot size achieved in the core of the spray jet at an ambient density of 14.8 kg/m³ and oxygen level of 15% and 12% are 14 nm and 10 nm, respectively. Based on the primary soot size distribution in the core of the spray jet, an increase in ambient density from 14.8 kg/m³ to 30 kg/m³ is shown to increase the peak and mean primary soot size by a factor of 1.5. Furthermore, the peak and mean primary soot size decrease with decreasing oxygen levels from 15% to 12%. Higher oxygen level and ambient density lead to higher net growth rate experience by the soot particles, resulting in larger primary soot sizes. At low density, the soot cloud span is shorter for the 12% O₂ case as compared to the 15% O₂ case. On the contrary, the high density cases show comparable soot cloud span for both oxygen levels before steady-state period. With the introduction of soot age, the present study shows that longer span of the soot cloud does not equate to longer soot residence time in the spray flame.

Despite having different soot cloud span, the soot age distributions at different oxygen levels and ambient densities are similar to one another from 1.0 ms to 4.0 ms after soot onset time. The reason for this is likely due to the soot onset location. Soot particles that form upstream of the spray will experience higher flow velocity, thus leading to a shorter soot age when the span of the soot cloud is short.
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Figure 1: (a) Comparison of liquid and vapour penetration length for the non-reacting n-heptane spray case. (b) Comparison of simulated and experimental radial mixture fraction of the non-reacting n-heptane spray case at different axial locations and time after injection.
Figure 2: Comparison of simulated ignition delay time (IDT) and lift-off length (LOL) for the reacting $n$-heptane spray cases with experimental results at different ambient oxygen levels and ambient densities. [Hollow symbols represent measured data; Filled symbols represent simulation data]
Figure 3: Temporal evolution of the number of computational particles for soot under different ambient densities and oxygen levels.
Figure 4: Normalized SVF as a function of the axial distance from injector for 15% and 12% oxygen levels at ambient density of 14.8 kg/m³ (low density) and 30.0 kg/m³ (high density).
Figure 5: Probability density function (PDF) of primary soot size predicted along various axial locations from injector location for different ambient oxygen level and density cases.
Figure 6: Mean primary soot size as a function of axial distance from injector for different ambient oxygen level and density cases. The filled symbols refer to low density cases; hollow symbols refer to high density cases.
Figure 7: Temporal evolution of the net growth rates at core of spray jet for different ambient oxygen level and density cases at different timings. The filled symbols refer to low density cases; hollow symbols refer to high density cases.
Figure 8: Primary soot size distribution at the core of the spray jet for different ambient oxygen level and density cases. (a) – (d) refer to low density cases and (e) – (f) refer to high density cases.
Figure 9: Soot age distribution of soot particles at the core of spray jet for different ambient oxygens and densities at different timing ASO. Solid lines refer to low density cases; dashed lines refer to high density cases.
List of Tables

Table 1: Experimental conditions for Sandia n-heptane test cases. Data taken from Ref. [34].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spray configuration</th>
<th>$T_{am}$ [K]</th>
<th>$\rho_{am}$ [kg/m³]</th>
<th>$O_2$ % [-]</th>
<th>Injection duration [ms]</th>
<th>Nozzle orifice diameter [mm]</th>
<th>Injection pressure drop [MPa]</th>
<th>Total fuel mass [mg]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-reacting</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reacting</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$T_{am}$: Ambient temperature; $\rho_{am}$: Ambient density; $O_2$ %: Ambient oxygen concentration (in mole fraction)

Table 2: The soot model constants. Data taken from Ref. [50,52]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soot Model Constants</th>
<th>Descriptions</th>
<th>Value [Unit]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{sg}$</td>
<td>Surface growth rate scaling factor</td>
<td>$11,700$ [kg m kmol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{OH}$</td>
<td>Model constant for soot oxidation due to OH</td>
<td>$105.81$ [kg m kmol$^{-1}$ K$^{-0.5}$ s$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{O_2}$</td>
<td>Model constant for soot oxidation due to O$_2$</td>
<td>$8903.51$ [kg m kmol$^{-1}$ K$^{-0.5}$ s$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{sg}$</td>
<td>Activation temperature of surface growth</td>
<td>$12,100$ [K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{O_2}$</td>
<td>Activation temperature of soot oxidation due</td>
<td>$19,778$ [K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{coll}$</td>
<td>Collision efficiency parameter</td>
<td>$0.13$ [-]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Soot onset time and onset location for different ambient oxygen level and density n-heptane spray cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ambient density [kg/m³]</th>
<th>Ambient oxygen [%]</th>
<th>Soot onset time [ms]</th>
<th>Soot onset location [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>