DTU Library



# Behind-the-Meter Energy Flexibility Modelling for Aggregator Operation with a Focus on Uncertainty

Data presentation

Blomgren, Emma Margareta Viktoria; De Zotti, Giulia; Ebrahimy, Razgar; Kani, Seyyed Ali Pourmousavi; Madsen, Henrik

Publication date: 2021

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Blomgren, E. M. V., De Zotti, G., Ebrahimy, R., Kani, S. A. P., & Madsen, H. (2021). *Behind-the-Meter Energy Flexibility Modelling for Aggregator Operation with a Focus on Uncertainty: Data presentation.* Technical University of Denmark.

# General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Behind-the-Meter Energy Flexibility Modelling for Aggregator Operation with a Focus on Uncertainty - Data presentation

Emma M. V. Blomgren,
Giulia de Zotti, Razgar Ebrahimy, Ali Pourmousavi Kani\*, Henrik Madsen

\*DTU Compute, Copenhagen, Denmark

\*University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

emvb@dtu.dk, raze@dtu.dk, a.pourm@adelaide.edu.au, hmad@dtu.dk

### DATA PRESENTATION

The data presented here is based on data from the Danish Elforbrugspanel project, which was a collaboration between the Danish TSO Energinet and Dansk Energi. The flexibility of consumers in response to different electricity prices is then synthesized using the method proposed in [1]. The electricity price is a combination of a baseline electricity prices, 2.25 DKK/kWh, and variable price component  $\pi_h$ , such that the total price is  $2.25 + \pi_h$  DKK. The variable electricity price component is different for each hour and has a cap, such that  $\pi_h \in [-0.75, 0.75]$ . There are 29 different customer categories in the data with different responsiveness to dynamic prices (see Table I in [1] for details). In our work, 70 unique customers of each load category are exposed to 1000 price-sets for one day in a simulation study. As previously mentioned, a future scenario is assumed where the electricity customers have EMS, in which they can set their personal preferences. A static rebound effect is assumed when generating the data according to [1]. For further details on the data and the method used for synthesizing, the reader is referred to [1]. The reason for synthesizing the flexibility of consumers is the lack of available data for research on price responsive demand with automation. It is assumed that the methodology and analytical approach can be applied to real world data when available.

From the aggregators' perspective, the baseline consumption is not of primary concern, but rather the deviation from the baseline due to a given price deviation is important. Thus, we build our models for load deviation versus price deviation data in this study. An example of the data is plotted in Fig. 1, showing the flexibility from 70 customers of category 3 (house with heating) in hours 3, 6, 12 and 19. It can be seen that category 3 is not so flexible at late night (hour 3), but as the day starts there is more active energy consumption to be utilized as a flexible resource. Fig. 1 shows the aggregated flexibility for 70 consumers of categories 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. The four categories form the "residential cluster", as they all belong to residential categories including "Apartment without heating" (cat. 1), "House without heating" (cat. 2), "House with heating" (cat. 3) and "Cottage" or alternatively "Summer house" (cat. 4). It is clear that the variance of the flexibility increases when aggregating these consumers together. In the




Fig. 1. **Purple**: flexibility of 70 customers in category 3 in hours 3, 6, 12 and 19. **Turquoise**: flexibility of consumers in cluster 1.

aggregated response in Fig. 1, it can be observed that the response to the negative price changes (i.e., down-regulation) are smaller than the flexibility achieved for up-regulation (i.e., positive price deviations), possibly due to a 'natural maximum energy consumption' for residential consumers. For example, a consumer would probably not start the dishwasher just because it is possible, but rather when it is needed.

As previously mentioned, categories 1-4 are aggregated to create a residential cluster (cluster 1). Likewise, 70 consumers of categories 8-12 are aggregated to form a light industry cluster, called cluster 2 (including Food, Basic metal, Wood, Textile and Paper). 70 consumers of categories 13-15 are aggregated to form the heavy industry cluster (cluster 3), which includes Non-metallic, Chemical, and Other Industries.

## REFERENCES

[1] G. De Zotti, S. A. Pourmousavi, J. M. Morales, H. Madsen, and N. K. Poulsen, "Consumers' Flexibility Estimation at the TSO Level for Balancing Services," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 1918–1930, May 2019.