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Abstract
We use the combined Wishart-Loewner method to successfully detect change and direction of change in truly multitemporal, multilooked quad/full polarization synthetic aperture radar image data in the covariance matrix representation. Based on in situ data interpretations of the obtained results are given for three selected fields. Histograms of the Wishart test statistics in a wooded no-change area shows good agreement with the theoretical distributions.

1. INTRODUCTION
This paper uses a method based on the complex Wishart distribution described in [1, 2] for change detection in truly multitemporal, multilook, quad/full polarization synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data in the covariance matrix representation. Many authors have worked with temporal change detection in such data, see for example [3–7].

We also use the Löwner (or Loewner) order to determine whether radar signal as measured by the definiteness of the difference of covariance matrices has increased or decreased over time, i.e., to determine the direction of change [8–10].

2. TEST STATISTICS AND THEIR DISTRIBUTIONS
Below we very briefly recapitulate the main results from [1] on the test statistics \( Q \) and \( R_t \), and the corresponding probabilities for finding smaller values of \(-2\rho \ln Q\) and \(-2\rho \ln R_t\), relating to change detection in a series of \( k \geq 2 \) covariance matrix representation multilook SAR data with all (including the off-diagonal) elements in the covariance matrix, see [11].

The average covariance matrix for multilook polarimetric SAR is defined as [11]

\[
\langle C \rangle = \begin{bmatrix}
\langle S_{hh} S_{hh} \rangle & \langle S_{hh} S_{hv} \rangle & \langle S_{hh} S_{vv} \rangle \\
\langle S_{hv} S_{hh} \rangle & \langle S_{hv} S_{hv} \rangle & \langle S_{hv} S_{vv} \rangle \\
\langle S_{vv} S_{hh} \rangle & \langle S_{vv} S_{hv} \rangle & \langle S_{vv} S_{vv} \rangle
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) denotes ensemble averaging and * denotes complex conjugation. \( S_{rt} \) denotes the complex scattering amplitude for receive and transmit polarization (\( r, t \in \{ h, v \} \) for horizontal and vertical polarization). \( \langle C \rangle \) is Hermitian also known as self-adjoint, i.e., it is equal to its own conjugate transpose.

2.1. Test for equality of several complex covariance matrices
For the logarithm of the likelihood ratio test statistic \( Q \) for testing whether a series of \( k \geq 2 \) complex variance-covariance matrices \( \Sigma_i \)

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \ln R_j = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (j-1) \ln |X_j| + \ln |X_j| - j \ln \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i|.
\]

Furthermore, the \( R_j \) constitute a factorization \( Q = \prod_{j=2}^{k} R_j \) or

\[
\ln Q = \sum_{j=2}^{k} \ln R_j.
\]
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Change may occur at more than one time point. Hence we establish what we call an omnibus change path for each pixel, see [1, 2].

For full and dual pol data ($p = 3$ and $p = 2$, respectively) with all off-diagonal elements in the covariance matrix and with

$$g = p^2$$

$$\rho_j = 1 - 2p^2 - \frac{1}{6p^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{j(j-1)} \right)$$

$$\omega_{2j} = -\frac{p^2}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\rho_j} \right)^2 + \frac{p^2(p^2 - 1)}{24n^2\rho_j^2} \left( 1 + \frac{2j - 1}{j^2(j-1)^2} \right)$$

the probability of finding a smaller value of $-2\rho_j \ln R_j$ is

$$P\{-2\rho_j \ln R_j \leq z\} \approx P\{\chi^2(g) \leq z\} + \omega_{2j} [P\{\chi^2(g + 4) \leq z\} - P\{\chi^2(g) \leq z\}],$$

where $z = -2\rho_j \ln r_j$ and $r_j$ is a particular realization (the observed value) of the stochastic variable $R_j$.

2.3. The Loewner order

For scalar quantities it is easy to establish whether one quantity is larger than another, for example, we can check whether the difference between them is positive, negative or zero. For matrices this is a more complicated matter. The Loewner order provides a partial ordering of matrices [8–10]. Here in our context it gives a direction of change: does the radar response $X_1$ at time point one and $X_2$ at time point two increase or decrease (or does it possibly change structure or nature) between the two time points? To establish the Loewner order we calculate the definiteness of the difference $X_1 - X_2$. If $X_1 - X_2$ is positive definite, we write $X_2 \prec_L X_1$, if $X_1 - X_2$ is negative definite, we write $X_1 \prec_L X_2$.

3. CASE STUDY ON EMISAR DATA

The EMISAR [14, 15] L-band data used (which are also reported on in [1, 2]) are acquired on $t_1 = 21$ March, $t_2 = 17$ April, $t_3 = 20$ May, $t_4 = 16$ June, $t_5 = 15$ July, and $t_6 = 16$ August. The 13-look images are 1024 rows by 1024 columns 5m pixels, see [1] for more details. Descendingly ordered eigenvalues of the data are shown as RGB in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows the results of pairwise consecutive test for change: positive definite matrix difference in red (i.e., $X_{t_{i+1}} \prec_L X_{t_i}$), negative definite matrix difference in green (i.e., $X_{t_i} \prec_L X_{t_{i+1}}$), indefinite matrix difference in yellow, all where $P$-values, i.e., the change probabilities in the Wishart based test are larger than 99%. Red regions exhibit significant change and have stronger backscatter at $t_i$, green regions exhibit significant change and have stronger backscatter at $t_{i+1}$, and yellow regions exhibit significant change with a nature so that the Loewner order cannot determine which backscatter is stronger. Hence, the Loewner order gives a measure of direction of detected change. Gray scale regions exhibit no
significant change. From March to April a number of fields are dominated by a change from a strong backscatter in March to a weaker backscatter in April. This situation corresponds to spring crop fields that change from plowed soil (rough surface) to sowed soil (smoother surface, e.g., the VV-backscatter for field A (spring barley) changes from $-16.4$ dB to $-25.3$ dB. From April to May many of the fields with change are represented by indefinite matrix differences (yellow). This corresponds to a change in scattering mechanism with smaller changes in the backscatter coefficients. In this case, it corresponds to a change from surface scattering or attenuated surface scattering (through vegetation for the winter crops) to double bounce scattering, where the vegetation has grown sufficiently in May to scatter back the forward scattering component from the smooth soil. For field B (winter wheat), for instance, the cross-polarized backscatter changes from $-34.8$ dB, over $-24.8$ dB, to $-18.3$ dB, and the entropy changes from 0.53, over 0.76, to 0.86. These results clearly show an increase in the volume scattering from May to July. Finally, the positive definite matrix difference seen between July and August is caused by a decrease in backscatter due to either harvesting or drying out of the crops, in both cases changing the scattering from volume scattering to (attenuated) surface scattering.

Figure 3 shows sample and theoretical distributions for $-2\rho_2 \ln Q$ (top row plots) and $-2\rho_2 \ln R_q$ for a small wooded no change area just below field B. Judged visually, the sample distributions fit the theoretical ones nicely.

The following table shows mean values of $-2\rho_2 \ln Q$ (top row) and $-2\rho_2 \ln R_q$ for the small wooded no change region just below field B. The first column with numbers shows results for testing $t_1 = t_2 = \cdots = t_6$, the second column with numbers shows results for testing $t_2 = \cdots = t_6$ (omitting $t_1$), the third column with numbers shows results for testing $t_3 = \cdots = t_6$ (omitting $t_2$ and $t_1$), etc. Ideal values are the numbers of degrees of freedom.
The following table shows mean values of $1 - P\{-2p \ln Q < z\}$ (top row) and $1 - P\{-2p \ln R < z\}$ for the small wooded no change region just below field B. The ideal value is $1/2$ for all entries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Omnibus</th>
<th>$t_1 = t_2$</th>
<th>$t_2 = t_3$</th>
<th>$t_3 = t_4$</th>
<th>$t_4 = t_5$</th>
<th>$t_5 = t_6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Omnibus</td>
<td>$t_1 = t_2$</td>
<td>$t_2 = t_3$</td>
<td>$t_3 = t_4$</td>
<td>$t_4 = t_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Omnibus</td>
<td>$t_1 = t_2$</td>
<td>$t_2 = t_3$</td>
<td>$t_3 = t_4$</td>
<td>$t_4 = t_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3360</td>
<td>0.4092</td>
<td>0.4087</td>
<td>0.4024</td>
<td>0.4425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3933</td>
<td>0.5333</td>
<td>0.6149</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4054</td>
<td>0.4039</td>
<td>0.4830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4067</td>
<td>0.4138</td>
<td>0.4461</td>
<td>0.4469</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4088</td>
<td>0.4072</td>
<td>0.4097</td>
<td>0.4128</td>
<td>0.4425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Sample and theoretical distributions for $-2\ln Q$ (top row plots) and $-2\ln R$ for a small wooded no change area just below field B. Judged visually, the sample distributions fit the theoretical ones nicely.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have used a change detection scheme for polarimetric SAR data based on a combination of the Wishart detector with an associated $p$-value and the Loewner order. The Loewner order determines the direction of significant change as detected by the Wishart test statistic. This direction is here determined by the definiteness of the pairwise consecutive matrix differences.

In an example with EMISAR quad/full polarization data, change and direction of change are successfully detected by the combined Wishart-Loewner detector and interpreted for three selected fields.

Plots of sample and theoretical distributions of the test statistics over a no change region show satisfactory agreement.

The methods described here can be used in the analysis of other SAR data also, for example data from Sentinel-1, Radarsat-2, TerraSAR-X, COSMO-SkyMed and GaoFen-3.

Matlab code to perform the above analyses is available on Allan Nielsen’s homepage with [10].
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