**DTU Library** 



Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm water seas. IX. Coronoeca gen. nov., Polyfibula Manton and spiny forms of Parvicorbicula Deflandre

Thomsen, Helge Abildhauge; Hara, Seiko; Østergaard, Jette Buch

Published in: European Journal of Protistology

Link to article, DOI: 10.1016/j.ejop.2021.125826

Publication date: 2021

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Thomsen, H. Á., Hara, S., & Østergaard, J. B. (2021). Loricate choanoflagellates (*Acanthoecida*) from warm water seas. IX. *Coronoeca* gen. nov., *Polyfibula* Manton and spiny forms of *Parvicorbicula* Deflandre. *European Journal of Protistology*, 81, Article 125826. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2021.125826

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm water seas. IX. *Coronoeca* gen. nov., *Polyfibula* Manton and spiny forms of *Parvicorbicula* Deflandre

Helge Abildhauge Thomsen, Seiko Hara, Jette Buch Østergaard

PII: S0932-4739(21)00061-4

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2021.125826

Reference: EJOP 125826

To appear in: European Journal of Protistology

Received Date: 3 May 2021 Revised Date: 6 July 2021 Accepted Date: 12 July 2021



Please cite this article as: H.A. Thomsen, S. Hara, J.B. Østergaard, Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm water seas. IX. *Coronoeca* gen. nov., *Polyfibula* Manton and spiny forms of *Parvicorbicula* Deflandre, *European Journal of Protistology* (2021), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2021.125826

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier GmbH.

Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm water seas. IX. 1 Coronoeca gen. nov., Polyfibula Manton and spiny forms of Parvicorbicula 2 Deflandre 3 4 Helge Abildhauge Thomsen<sup>a,\*</sup>, Seiko Hara<sup>b</sup> and Jette Buch Østergaard<sup>c</sup> 5 6 7 <sup>a</sup>Technical University of Denmark, National Institute of Aquatic Resources (DTU Aqua), Kemitorvet, Bygning 201, DK 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark / <a href="mailto:hat@aqua.dtu.dk">hat@aqua.dtu.dk</a> 8 9 blshikawauchi 368, Kijo-cho, Koyu-gun, Miyazaki, 884-0104, Japan 10 <sup>c</sup>Nørrebrogade 52a 5th, 2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark 11 \*Corresponding author. E-mail address: hat@agua.dtu.dk (H.A. Thomsen) 12 13 14 15 16 Abstract 17 The ambition to generate an overview of warm water loricate choanoflagellate biodiversity, based 18 19 on a classic morphometric approach, is here completed by analyses of a range of tiny forms with 20 anterior spines or projections and in most cases also a posterior pedicel. The warm water study complements previously obtained results from the more extensively studied temperate and polar 21 22 regions of the world's oceans. It thus contributes to a significantly more balanced approach to global diversity patterns for these organisms. The current survey includes taxa such as *Polyfibula* 23 24 elatensis, Parvicorbicula pedicellata, as well as a range of primarily undescribed and taxonomically 25 challenging species, that are in an interim approach allocated to Coronoeca gen. nov. (C. kosmaniae sp. nov., C. conicella sp. nov., C. superpositus (Booth) comb. nov., C. marchantii sp. 26 27 nov., C. tongiae sp. nov., and C. patongiensis sp. nov.). The analysis of warm water acanthoecid 28 biodiversity has revealed in total 80 species from the six geographic regions sampled,

corresponding to approximately 50% of all loricate species described. Nineteen species are

29

previously undescribed forms. The Andaman Sea, Thailand, and West Australia are in a global
 context the most species-rich regions with 62 and 64 species respectively.

32

Keywords: Acanthoecida; Biogeography; Loricate choanoflagellates; Taxonomy; Ultrastructure

33 34

## Introduction

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

In an ongoing effort (Thomsen and Østergaard 2019a-e, 2021; Thomsen et al. 2020a, b) to provide a first comprehensive overview of warm water loricate choanoflagellate diversity, based on a traditional microscopical approach, we here primarily deal with a contingent of small, spiny and often pedicellated species. While a single species (i.e. *Polyfibula elatensis* (Thomsen, 1978) Manton, 1981), belongs to an unambiguously defined genus, the remaining taxa have unresolved phylogenetic positions within the loricate choanoflagellate species matrix. It is in our opinion beneficial to future research, to be able to refer to a morphospecies by using a specific taxon name, rather than an ad hoc labelling of forms that will not to the same extent, systematically and rigorously, serve as a reference point for future observations of the specific taxon. We have therefore created the genus Coronoeca gen. nov., that can serve as an interim 'parking space' for these forms, while awaiting an in-depth analysis aided by state-of-the-art molecular tools. The generic type-species, C. kosmaniae sp. nov., has unique morphological features, that irrespective of the future fate of other species currently allocated to the genus (i.e. C. conicella sp. nov., C. superpositus (Booth, 1990) comb. nov., C. marchantii sp. nov., C. tongiae sp. nov., and C. patongiensis sp. nov.) will likely remain a phylogenetically valid unit. Parvicorbicula superpositus Booth, 1990, is transferred to Coronoeca (C. superpositus (Booth, 1990) comb. nov.) based on critical discrepancies, between this species and a core group species of Parvicorbicula as defined

- by Leadbeater (2015), relating to in particular mutual positions of transverse and longitudinal
- 55 costae.
- The current paper rounds off the survey of warm water loricate choanoflagellate diversity with
- a brief global perspective of the results obtained, and an inter-comparison of the geographic
- regions sampled.

## Material and Methods

59 60 61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

The material that constitutes the background for this and a series of papers on warm water acanthoecid choanoflagellates was collected over a period of 35 years. The geographic origin of samples is recorded in Fig. 1. See Thomsen and Østergaard (2019a) for information on each of the collection sites and sampling campaigns. In order to substantiate the analysis of Coronoeca superpositus (= Parvicorbicula superpositus) we have added material (Fig. 12) from Danish coastal waters. In order to further corroborate observations from our standard regions (Thomsen and Østergaard 2019a) we have appended to this paper wherever relevant, also historical data from Japan (Seto Inland Sea) to species descriptions, as well as the global analysis of loricate choanoflagellate biogeography (Figs. 16, 17). The general protocol for processing water samples for light (LM) and (TEM/SEM) electron microscopy was according to Moestrup and Thomsen (1980) and Thomsen (1982). For details on sample processing, preparational issues and microscopes used see Thomsen and Østergaard (2019a). The Japanese material from the Shioya Coast (Seto Inland Sea, Kobe, Japan) comprises surface water samples collected from April 1979 to September 1982 (7.5-27.3°C; 27-33 PSU). The processing of the Japanese samples for electron microscopy followed the basic principles outlined by Moestrup and Thomsen (1980). The material examined here is dried, which means that the natural 3-D structures have collapsed to become 2-D structures, leading to a partial dislocation of costal strips, and an artefactual expansion of in particular the lorica width. While several structures can still be

measured with confidence, e.g. lorica height and the length of spines and pedicels, it does imply

82 be measured directly but only calculated from measurements of the circumference. This approach was used in the species descriptions below. 83 84 Efforts were made when describing lorica features to follow the terminology standards that 85 have developed in the course of dealing with these organisms; see e.g. Leadbeater (2015; loc. cit. 86 chapter 4 and glossary p. 278) and Thomsen and Buck (1991). The unfortunate existence of an electron microscope specific problem causing negatives to 87 88 appear horizontally flipped, was discussed in Thomsen and Østergaard (2019d). The evidence in 89 favour of dealing with this problem as a purely technical issue is overwhelming. Scans of the 90 affected negatives have accordingly been flipped horizontally to produce non-inverted images. In 91 the current publication this applies to: Fig. 2a; Fig. 3c; Fig. 5d-f; Fig. 6a; Fig. 8a, b; Fig. 14a, b; Fig. 92 15a, b, g. The shade matrix (Fig. 17) is constructed using the PRIMER software package (ver. 7) that has a 93 94 built-in wizard that carries out the sequences of routines needed to perform the analysis (Clarke et 95 al. 2014; Clarke and Gorley 2015).

### Results and Discussion

Polyfibula Manton in Manton and Bremer, 1981

98 99 100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

97

Species of Polyfibula are characterized by small, barrel-shaped loricae that comprise 6-8 longitudinal costae and three transverse costae. The transverse costae are all located inside the longitudinal costae. The individual species may have a posterior pedicel and short to mediumsized spines anteriorly. Most characteristically, the transverse costal strips that form the anterior and intermediate costal rings, are all furnished with centrally placed enlargements (facets) that anteriorly secures the fusion (T-joins) of longitudinal and transverse costal strips. A single costal strip from the posterior transverse costa may also be furnished with a median central facet (Fig. 2a; arrow). The protoplast is located posteriorly in the lorica. The genus is characterized by tectiform division (Fig. 2J; see also Manton and Bremer 1981; loc. cit. Figs. 2, 18, 31). The genus currently comprises five formally described species (i.e. P. sphyrelata (Thomsen, 1973) Manton, 1981; P. elatensis (Thomsen, 1978) Manton, 1981; P. caudata (Leadbeater, 1975) Manton, 1981; P. hexacostata Manton, 1981, and P. stipitata Manton, 1981). A comprehensive description of the genus is provided by Manton and Bremer (1981). Tong (1997a) advocated that P. caudata, P. hexacostata and P. stipitata should be relegated to synonyms of P. sphyrelata. The distinguishing lorica features are merely the number of longitudinal costae, and the presence or absence of a pedicel. Such lorica features are generally accepted to show some level of variability at the intra-specific level (P. elatensis (see below) typically has seven longitudinal costae, however occasionally eight; P. sphyrelata usually has seven longitudinal costae (Thomsen 1973) but is also found with eight longitudinal costae (Thomsen et al. 1991)). It is thus likely that the species circumscriptions provided by Manton and Bremer (1981) are too narrow.

While three morphotypes (i.e. *P. caudata, P. hexacostata, P. stipitata*) are sparingly recorded and almost exclusively from North Pacific and North Atlantic sub-arctic seas (Manton and Bremer 1981), the generic type species *P. sphyrelata* is more widely reported from in particular midlatitude locations on both hemispheres (Thomsen 1973; Moestrup 1979; Hallegraeff 1983; Thomsen et al. 1991; Tong 1997a; Tong et al. 1998; Bérard-Therriault et al. 1999; Menezes 2005). The species also extends into brackish waters (Thomsen 1979; Ikävalko and Thomsen 1997), Antarctic circumpolar waters (Marchant 1985; Thomsen et al. 1990), and is further recorded from the Beagle Channel, Patagonia (Thomsen, unpublished).

P. elatensis (Thomsen, 1978) Manton in Manton and Bremer, 1981 (Fig. 2)

Polyfibula elatensis is unambiguously distinguished from other species of *Polyfibula* based on the presence of prominent anterior spines (Fig. 2a, d), each one-half costal strip in length. The material examined here (Fig. 2; Table 1) is morphometrically in good agreement with the type material from the Gulf of Aqaba (Thomsen 1978). While the facets on transverse costal strips stand out clearly in material from the Gulf of California (Fig. 2a, e), these structures are, however, in material from the Andaman Sea (Fig. 2d, f, g) often very little pronounced, and appearing as just a minor median bulging of the transverse costal strip (Fig. 2f, g; arrows). Such specimens are hard to distinguish from *Parvicorbicula pedicellata* (Fig. 3; see further below). The vast majority of specimens examined have seven longitudinal costae. However, a few cells with eight longitudinal costae were also registered (e.g. Fig. 2h). While the type material (Thomsen 1978) was characterized by a posterior pedicel comprising just a single costal strip, it is evident that specimens examined here may occasionally, and most likely depending on environmental nuances, carry a considerably longer pedicel (Fig. 2j, I) that is up to five costal strips long.

Polyfibula elatensis was frequently observed at all sites here examined (Table 2) with the exception of the East Mediterranean Sea. It is also known from Japanese coastal waters and has furthermore been recorded from the Gulf of Aqaba, Israel (Thomsen 1978), Galapagos and N. Alaska (Manton and Bremer 1981), California (Thomsen et al. 1991), Sydney, Australia (Tong et al. 1998), Pettaquamscutt River Estuary, Rhode Island, USA (Menezes 2005), Brazil (Bergesch et al. 2008), and also from Beagle Channel, Chile, and Friday Harbor, Puget Sound, USA (Thomsen, unpublished), and from New Zealand (Moestrup, unpublished).

Parvicorbicula Deflandre, 1960

Non-spiny warm water forms of *Parvicorbicula* were commented on in Thomsen et al. (2020a), and overall concerns with reference to a proper circumscription of the genus discussed at length. The generic type species (*P. socialis* (Meunier, 1910) Deflandre, 1960) forms, together with a small group of species of *Parvicorbicula* and *Pleurasiga* Schiller, 1925, i.e. *Pa. quadricostata* Throndsen, 1970; *Pa. circularis* Thomsen, 1976; *Pa. corynocostata* Thomsen, Garrison and Kosman, 1997; *Pl. minima* Throndsen, 1970; *Pl. reynoldsii* Throndsen, 1970, *Pl. tricaudata* Booth, 1990, what appears to constitute a phylogenetically coherent cluster of species, that in the future should be singled out as a separate genus. A feature that characterizes this group of species is first and foremost the fact that they have both the anterior and the mid-lorica transverse costae on the outer surface of the lorica. Additional shared morphological features are longitudinal costae (4-10) composed of three costal strips, two transverse costae separated by a single longitudinal costal strip, anterior T-joins between longitudinal and transverse costal strips, a flattened and slightly biforked termination of the tip of the anterior longitudinal costal strips, and the presence of a membrane, suspended from the free posterior tips of the middle layer of costal strips, and enveloping the

posteriorly positioned protoplast. None of the species have anterior spines or projections, and a pedicel is only reported from *Pl. tricaudata*.

The genus *Parvicorbicula* additionally comprises eight morphologically diverse species, including *P. pedicellata* (see below), that in different ways do not conform to the morphological circumscription of the core group of taxa referred to above. Because of uncertainties with respect to selecting, within the loricate choanoflagellate species matrix at large, more appropriate taxonomic positions for these outlier species, Thomsen et al. (2020a) opted for maintaining status quo while awaiting in particular molecular data to support the decisions needed.

P. pedicellata Leadbeater, 1973 (Fig. 3)

There is overall good agreement between the *P. pedicellata* material examined here (Fig. 3; Table 1) and the type material from Bay of Kotor, Montenegro (Leadbeater 1973). The only noticeable difference is with respect to the number of longitudinal costae. Leadbeater (1973) reports that there are 6-9 longitudinal costae, whereas in our material there is consistently seven longitudinal costae. In *P. pedicellata* (Table 3) the anterior transverse costa is located inside the longitudinal costae (Fig. 3d) while the mid-lorica transverse costa (Fig. 3e) is exterior. The posterior transverse costa is interior.

The only *P. pedicellata* morphological feature that ties-up this species to the *Parvicorbicula* core group of species as circumscribed above, is the fact the mid-lorica transverse costa is located outside the longitudinal costae. Features that separate *P. pedicellata* from *Parvicorbicula* sensu stricto are the presence of three transverse costae and anterior spines, and also the fact that each longitudinal costa consists of four costal strips.

Parvicorbicula pedicellata shares features with Coronoeca spp. (see below), e.g. anterior spines and four costal strips in each longitudinal costa, but is still clearly differentiated because of the external position of the mid-lorica transverse costa. While awaiting supporting molecular evidence it appears most relevant to make no taxonomic changes with reference to P. pedicellata.

Parvicorbicula pedicellata is easily mistaken for Polyfibula elatensis (Fig. 2). Decisive differences between these taxa, that can only be resolved using electron microscopy, are the presence in Pol. elatensis of facets in the middle of transverse costal strips, and the fact that all transverse costae are located inside the longitudinal costae in Pol. elatensis (Table 3). At the light microscopical level the best distinguishing feature is the overall lorica size (Pa. pedicellata is 25% smaller) and the shape of the lorica chamber, which is strictly conical in Pa. pedicellata (Fig. 3f-j) while anteriorly more parallel sided in Pol. elatensis (Fig. 2b, c, h-m).

Parvicorbicula pedicellata is here recorded from the Andaman Sea, Thailand, West Australia, the Equatorial Pacific Ocean, and the Gulf of California, Mexico (Table 2). The species is also observed in Japanese coastal samples and has previously been reported from Bay of Kotor, Montenegro, and Bay of Algiers (Leadbeater 1973), the Gulf of Aqaba, Israel (Thomsen 1978), equatorial Pacific (Vørs et al. 1995), Sydney, Australia (Tong et al. 1998), and the Pettaquamscutt River, Rhode Island, USA (Menezes 2005).

Coronoeca gen. nov.

Although the species dealt with below under the heading 'Coronoeca' do share certain basic morphological features, we are painfully aware of that a future in depth analyses of these taxa, including also a multifaceted molecular probing, will undoubtedly unveil a need for a redistribution of these within a number of separate genera. Realizing that none of these forms can

be smoothly and convincingly allocated to an existing genus, and further in an attempt to avoid
establishing too many monotypic genera created on an uncertain knowledge basis, we here opt
for creating a pro forma genus that can at least temporarily harbour these forms (Fig. 4). Choosing
C. kosmaniae as the generic type species reflects the fact, that this species has a large range of
unique morphological features, that makes it a good long-term candidate for genus survival.
Diagnosis: Lorica conical or barrel-shaped and comprising 4-10 longitudinal costae and 2-4
transverse costae. There are anterior projections or spines, and the lorica may have a compound
pedicel, a simple pedicel or no pedicel. Each longitudinal costa comprises four costal strips
(including anterior projection). Anterior junctions between longitudinal and transverse costal
strips can be either T-junctions or E-junctions. All costal strips are narrow rods. Minor
morphological modifications can apply to anterior projections or costal strips from the pedicel.
The longitudinal costae are external relative to the mid-lorica transverse costa. Tectiform division
presumed but not yet verified in the type species.
Generic type species: Coronoeca kosmaniae sp. nov.
Etymology: Genus name derived from 'corona' (Latin) meaning 'crown' and referring to the
prominent anterior spines or projections, and 'oicos' (Greek) meaning 'house'.
Coronoeca kosmaniae sp. nov. (Figs. 4a, 5, 6)
<b>Diagnosis</b> : Lorica barrel- or bell-shaped (lorica height: 25.5 ±1.2 μm incl. anterior projections and
excl. posterior pedicel), constructed of rod-shaped costal strips, and with modified attenuating
anterior projections (seven) that have a proximal swelling ca. 0.2 μm from the posterior tip (Fig.
5e, f). Anterior transverse costal strips attach to longitudinal costae at the level of the swellings

creating T-junctions. The lorica chamber is composed of seven longitudinal costae and two
transverse costae (Fig. 5a-d). The anterior transverse costa rests in a fork created by the
overlapping longitudinal costal elements (Fig. 5e, f) and is exterior relative to the tip of the
penultimate longitudinal costal strip, but interiorly located relative to the anterior projection. The
mid-lorica transverse costa is inside the longitudinal costae. A longitudinal costa is composed of
four costal strips (including the anterior projections). Longitudinal costae converge posteriorly,
with the posteriormost longitudinal costal strips being noticeably curved and sometimes
duplicated. Transverse costae each comprising seven costal strips, and of approximately the same
size (anterior ring: 15.5 $\pm 0.6~\mu m$ / mid-lorica ring: 15.2 $\pm 0.7~\mu m$ ). Lorica with a compound pedicel,
usually with 1-3 diverging costal strips. Both anterior and posterior projections tapering, and ca.
1.0 μm longer than all other costal strips (7.2 ±0.6 μm versus 6.2 ±0.6 μm). The protoplast is
located at the posterior end of the lorica chamber, within a suspensory membrane (Figs. 5c, d; 6a,
d). Tectiform division presumed but not verified from an accumulation of newly formed costal
strips in the collar region.
Holotype: The specimen illustrated in Fig. 5d of the present work is fixed as holotype (ICZN 1999,
Article 73.1.4).
Type locality: Surface water sample (ca. 18°C / 35 PSU) from a near coastal site at Bahia de los
Ángeles, Gulf of California, Mexico, collected 7 January 1990.
Etymology: The species-group name is chosen to acknowledge significant contributions to the
microscopical examination of the Gulf of California material made by Carol Kosman. Carol was
during the period 1991-1992 working at the Univ. of Copenhagen as a Fulbright Research Scholar

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

The spacious C. kosmaniae lorica has an uncomplicated and fairly standard lorica construction, but is nevertheless uniquely defined, in particular because of the costal strip swellings (Fig. 5e, f) at the base of the anterior projections, and at a point where the anterior transverse costa crosses. These localized swellings are reminiscent of the median facets on *Polyfibula* transverse costal strips (Manton and Bremer 1981; see also Fig. 2e) and also the less confined swellings in the posterior one third of anterior longitudinal costal strips in Saroeca attenuata (Thomsen 1979). There is in *C. kosmaniae* a variable degree of costal strip duplication at the posterior lorica end. The TEM image (Fig. 6a) thus shows a lorica in which all posterior longitudinal costal strips are duplicated (or even triplicated; Fig. 6a arrows) except for one costal strip (Fig. 6a; arrowhead). Duplications are also easily detected from LM (Fig. 6b). The anterior projections and costal strips from the compound pedicel are basically similar and deviate from mid-lorica longitudinal costal strips by being larger and attenuating in width from one end to the other (Fig. 5d). This precludes both the possibility that the posterior lorica end costal strip duplication could in part be explained by a displacement of costal strip elements from the compound pedicel, and likewise that the compound pedicel could be interpreted as a simple displacement of costal strips from the posterior lorica end duplications. A compound pedicel is a feature that is otherwise shared among several species, e.g. Didymoeca tricyclica (Bergesh et al. 2008), Pleurasiga tricaudata (Booth 1990; Thomsen et al. 2020a), Thomsenella cercophora (Thomsen and Boonruang 1983; Thomsen and Østergaard 2019e), and Syndetophyllum pulchellum (Thomsen and Moestrup 1983; Thomsen et al. 2020b). The costal strip pattern at the level of the anterior transverse costa, where the transverse ring rests in a fork created by an overlap between neighbouring longitudinal costal strips (the ring is external relative to the penultimate longitudinal costal strip, however, overlaid externally by the

283 anterior projection), is basically similar to what is observed in species of *Diaphanoeca*, e.g. 284 Diaphanoeca grandis (Leadbeater 2015; loc. cit. page 80 and Figs 4.29-4.33) and Conion 285 groenlandicum (Thomsen 1982), and also mirrored in species discussed below (C. conicella, C. 286 superpositus, and C. marchantii). 287 Coronoeca kosmaniae is superficially reminiscent of Diaphanoeca throndsenii (Thomsen and 288 Østergaard 2021). However, in this species there is consistently eight longitudinal costae, anterior 289 E-junctions between longitudinal and transverse costal elements, no swelling at the posterior end 290 of a projection, a pedicel that comprises a single costal strip, and no indication of costal strip 291 duplications at the posterior lorica end. 292 The combination of lorica features in C. kosmaniae as accounted for above calls for the 293 description of a new genus. Coronoeca kosmaniae was frequently observed in samples from the Gulf of California, Mexico, 294 295 and has also been observed in samples from the Seto Inland Sea, Japan. The Japanese specimens 296 are morphometrically exactly similar to the Mexican type material. 297 298 Coronoeca conicella sp. nov. (Figs. 4b, c, 7-9) 299 300 Diagnosis: Lorica funnel-shaped (lorica height: 16.4 ±2.5 μm incl. anterior projections and excl. 301 posterior pedicel) and constructed of rod-shaped costal strips organized in 4-(5) longitudinal 302 costae and two transverse costae. There are anterior attenuating projections and a pedicel of 303 highly variable length. The anterior transverse costa (diam. 11.1 ±1.7 μm) comprises twice as many 304 costal strips as there are longitudinal costae (T-junctions). The mid-lorica transverse costa (diam. 305 6.8 ±1.4 μm) comprises (4)-5-(6) costal strips. The anterior transverse costa rests in a fork created 306 by overlapping longitudinal costal elements (Fig. 8b). It is exterior relative to the tip of the

penultimate longitudinal costal strip, but interiorly located relative to the anterior projections (Fig. 7a, Fig. 8b). The mid-lorica transverse costa is inside the longitudinal costae. A longitudinal costa is composed of four costal strips (including the anterior projections). The protoplast is located at the posterior end of the lorica chamber, within a suspensory membrane (Fig. 7a, b, j; Fig. 8f, h). Tectiform division evidenced from an accumulation of newly formed costal strips in the collar region (Fig. 9b). Holotype: The specimen illustrated in Fig. 7a of the present work is fixed as holotype (ICZN 1999, Article 73.1.4). Type locality: Surface water sample (ca. 28°C / 35 PSU / 2 meters depth) from a near coastal site at Ao Patong, Phuket Island, Thailand (7° 53′56″ N / 98° 17′36″ E), collected 8 September 1981. Etymology: The species-group name refers to the perfect conical shape of the lorica; -ella = diminutive.

Ignoring the anterior projections and the pedicel, *C. conicella* does superficially appear similar to e.g. *Parvicorbicula circularis* (Thomsen 1976). Shared features include four longitudinal costae each comprising three costal strips, and two transverse costae where the anterior costa has eight costal strips with every second strip forming T-junctions with the longitudinal costae, while the posterior transverse costa is formed by 5-6 costal strips. The decisive factor when considering a possible phylogenetic linkage between the two species is, however, that while the mid-lorica transverse costa is located outside the longitudinal costae in *P. circularis* it is inside in *C. conicella* (Table 3). This is a fundamental difference that is intimately linked to processes being part of the lorica assembly following cell division. Despite a superficial resemblance, *P. circularis* and *C. conicella* must therefore be kept apart at the supra-generic level.

330 In C. conicella the anterior transverse costa is external relative to the tip of the penultimate 331 longitudinal costal strip, however, overlaid by the anterior projections (Figs 7b, 8a, b). A similar set 332 up is observed in *C. kosmaniae* (see above) and *C. superpositus* (see below). 333 Coronoeca conicella is, as defined here, a highly variable taxon, and has accordingly been 334 lavishly illustrated from various geographic regions. We have at all sites examined observed two 335 morphotypes of the species, i.e. forms with a short pedicel comprising just a single costal strip (e.g. Fig. 7c, k; 8j), and others that have an extended pedicel comprising multiple costal strips (e.g. 336 337 Fig. 7d, g, j; 8f). There is an obvious linear linkage (Fig. 10A; r<sup>2</sup>= 0.77) between lorica height and 338 length of pedicel in the material examined (> 60 specimens), as well as a non-patchy distribution, 339 indicating that this element of variability is likely an inherent characteristic of the species. It can be 340 further noticed from Fig. 10A that there are no obvious regional differences, although specimens 341 from the Gulf of California are much less variable in size than e.g. specimens from West Australia. 342 Somewhat surprisingly it has been noticed that approximately 10% of all loricae examined have five rather than four longitudinal costae (Fig. 7g; 8a, f). The Gulf of California (Mexico) has a 343 344 marked overrepresentation of such forms with five longitudinal costae (Fig. 10B). In material from 345 Japanese coastal sites the only morphotype observed has five longitudinal costae (Fig. 9a, 10B). 346 All costal strips are narrow rods and quasi-identical throughout the lorica. However, the anterior projections do differ in being anteriorly attenuating and pointed and markedly thickened 347 posteriorly (Fig. 8b). The maximum diameter of the rod is at the crossing of the transverse costa. 348 349 Costal strips from extended pedicels generally appear somewhat more compact than costal strips 350 elsewhere. 351 Coronoeca conicella was observed at all sites sampled (Table 2) and the form with an extended 352 pedicel also occurred in Japanese coastal samples. The species has previously been observed

(specimens with five longitudinal costae) in samples from the Galapagos Islands, Peru (I. Manton (†), pers. com.) and Sydney, Australia (Tong et al. 1998, loc. cit. Fig. 2I; referred to as *Parvicorbicula superpositus*).

356

353

354

355

357358

Coronoeca superpositus (Booth, 1990) comb. nov. (Figs. 4d, e, 11, 12)

Basionym: Parvicorbicula superpositus Booth, 1990

359360361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

When first described (Booth 1990) this species was primarily discussed with reference to Parvicorbicula socialis (Meunier, 1910) Deflandre, 1960, with which it does share a striking resemblance (e.g. ten longitudinal costae, two transverse costae separated by a single longitudinal costal strip, anterior T-junctions), when ignoring the C. superpositus anterior projections and pedicel. However, a close inspection of the material from Danish coastal waters that have been included here to consolidate the C. superpositus species circumscription, clearly unveils that the mid lorica transverse costa is located inside the longitudinal costae (Table 3), while it is clearly exterior in *Parvicorbicula socialis* (see e.g. Buck 1981, loc. cit. Figs. 9, 11). This is perhaps even more evident when consulting the excellent SEM images of C. superpositus published by Bérard-Therriault et al. 1999; loc. cit. Pl. 142a, b). An exterior versus interior placement of the mid-lorica transverse costa is, as previously emphasized, a fundamental distinguishing characteristic, which is coupled to intrinsic processes of lorica assembly, and hence being a feature only applicable at the supra-generic level. The anterior transverse costa is exterior relative to the tips of the longitudinal costal strips from the tier below, however externally overlaid by the anterior projections (Fig. 11a, 12c). The costal strip configuration at the level of the anterior transverse costa is thus similar to what is observed in both C. kosmaniae and C. conicella. The actual morphological elaboration of the anterior projection is also identical in the species C. kosmaniae, C. conicella and C.

378	superpositus. The projection is anteriorly sharply attenuated while bulging posteriorly (Fig. 12c).
379	The maximum width is attained at exactly the level of the anterior transverse costa.
380	Specimens resembling C. superpositus (Fig. 11) are only sparingly observed in our warm water
381	samples (Andaman Sea, Thailand). While the mid-lorica transverse costa is also in these specimens
382	internal relative to the longitudinal costae, they deviate from C. superpositus sensu stricto by
383	having fewer longitudinal costae (eight) and by the fact that all and not just a sub-set of
384	longitudinal costae are here terminated by anterior projections (Fig. 11a, b). For the time being
385	the variability encountered in Thailand specimens, when compared with C. superpositus sensu
386	stricto (Fig. 12), is considered to represent intraspecific variability. The Thailand morphotype of
387	Coronoeca superpositus was sparingly observed also in samples from Japanese coastal waters.
388	Coronoeca superpositus sensu stricto has previously been reported from the subarctic North
389	Pacific Ocean (Booth 1990; type locality), Kaikoura, New Zealand (Moestrup 1979, loc. cit. Fig. 46;
390	referred to as <i>Parvicorbicula</i> sp.), Kilsfjorden, Norway (Espeland and Throndsen 1986, loc. cit. Fig.
391	36; referred to as Parvicorbicula socialis aff.), California, USA (Thomsen et al. 1991), Southampton
392	UK (Tong 1997a), Taiwan (Hara et al. 1997), Sydney, Australia (Tong et al. 1998; only loc. cit. Fig.
393	2k), St. Lawrence Estuary, Canada (Bérard-Therriault et al. 1999), and Danish coastal waters
394	(Thomsen et al. 2016). Soto-Liebe et al. (2007) reports <i>C. superpositus</i> from the Chilean coast.
395	However, the resolution of the image provided (loc. cit. Fig. 1d) does not allow for a positive
396	identification. The overall picture of the distribution pattern is thus that <i>C. superpositus</i> sensu
397	stricto has a preference for the temperate climate zone avoiding high and low latitude habitats.
398 399 400	

402 403	Coronoeca marchantii sp. nov. (Figs. 4h, 13)
404	<b>Diagnosis</b> : Lorica funnel-shaped (lorica height: $14.2 \pm 1.4  \mu m$ incl. anterior projections and excl.
405	posterior pedicel) and constructed of rod-shaped costal strips organized in (7)-8 longitudinal
406	costae and three transverse costae separated by single tiers of longitudinal costal strips. There are
407	anterior attenuating projections and a pedicel comprising a single costal strip. A longitudinal costa
408	is composed of four costal strips (including the anterior projections). The anterior transverse costa
409	(diam. 8.9 $\pm$ 0.8 $\mu$ m) comprises as many costal strips as there are longitudinal costae (E-junctions).
410	The mid-lorica transverse costa (diam. 6.6 $\pm$ 0.5 $\mu$ m) has the same number of costal strips with
411	much larger overlap between neighbouring strips. The posterior transverse costa (diam. $2.9 \pm 0.4$
412	$\mu$ m) comprises 4-5 costal strips. All transverse costae are internal relative to the longitudinal
413	costae. The anterior transverse costa rests in a fork created by overlapping longitudinal costal
414	elements. It is exterior relative to the tip of the penultimate longitudinal costal strip, but interiorly
415	located relative to the anterior projections (Fig. 13a). The protoplast is located at the posterior
416	end of the lorica chamber, within a suspensory membrane (Fig. 13a). Tectiform division evidenced
417	from the accumulation of costal strips in the collar region (Fig. 13e, h).
418	Holotype: The specimen illustrated in Fig. 13a of the present work is fixed as holotype (ICZN 1999,
419	Article 73.1.4).
420	Type locality: Surface water sample (ca. 28°C / 35 PSU / 2 metres depth) from a near coastal site
421	at Ao Patong, Phuket Island, Thailand (7° 53′56″ N / 98° 17′36″ E), collected 8 September 1981.
422	Etymology: The species-group name acknowledges the great contributions that Dr. Harvey
423	Marchant (Australian Antarctic Division, Hobart) has made to loricate choanoflagellate research.
424	

There is little to add to the formal description of <i>C. marchantii</i> which has a well-defined costal
strip configuration of its tiny conical lorica. In most cases this species can be unambiguously
identified also from LM of dried specimens (e.g. Fig. 13c-e, j, k). A few slightly deviant forms were
encountered in samples from West Australia and the Sargasso Sea (Fig. 13f-i). While these
specimens obviously have eight longitudinal costae and three transverse costae, the difference
noted is a slight pushing forward of the anterior transverse costa. Arrows (Fig. 13f-i) indicate
where to find the transition between the anterior projections and the first tier of longitudinal
costal strips. The overall appearance of these forms is reminiscent of <i>Parvicorbicula pedicellata</i>
(Fig. 3). However, the pattern of crossing between longitudinal costae and costal strips from the
anterior transverse costa (T- versus E-junctions) and the mere number of longitudinal costae
(seven versus eight) adequately separates the two forms. For now, it thus appears relevant to
treat this small deviation in lorica morphology as merely <i>C. marchantii</i> intraspecific variability.
However, the validity of this approach will need to be tested using molecular tools.
Coronoeca marchantii was observed at all sites sampled with the exception of the eastern
Mediterranean Sea (Table 2). It is additionally observed from the Galapagos Islands, Peru (I.
Manton (†), pers. comm.).

Coronoeca tongiae sp. nov. (Figs. 4f, 14a-g)

**Diagnosis**: Lorica funnel-shaped (lorica height:  $14.6 \pm 0.9 \, \mu m$ ) and constructed of rod-shaped costal strips organized in 9-10 longitudinal costae and four transverse costae. There are anterior spines up to one half longitudinal costal strip in length. A longitudinal costa is composed of four costal strips. The mid-lorica and posterior transverse costae are separated by single tiers of longitudinal

costal strips. The three anterior transverse costae (diam. 12.0 $\pm$ 1.1 $\mu$ m, 9.9 $\pm$ 0.5 $\mu$ m, and 7.4 $\pm$ 0.8
$\mu$ m) comprise as many costal strips as there are longitudinal costae. While longitudinal costal
strips attach to the middle of costal strips from the anterior ring, transverse costal strips from the
mid-lorica costae span the width between neighbouring longitudinal costae. The reduction in
diameter is occasioned by increasing overlaps between neighbouring transverse costal strips. The
posterior transverse costa (diam. 3.1 $\pm$ 0.5 $\mu$ m) comprises 4-5 costal strips. All transverse costae
are internal relative to the longitudinal costae. The protoplast is located at the posterior end of
the lorica chamber, within a suspensory membrane. Tectiform division assumed but not yet
confirmed.
Holotype: The specimen illustrated in Fig. 14a of the present work is fixed as holotype (ICZN 1999,
Article 73.1.4).
<b>Type locality</b> : Water sample (ca. 28°C / 35 PSU / 45 metres depth) from a continental shelf station
(#31) in the Andaman Sea, Thailand (7° 24' N / 97° 27' E), collected March 1996.
<b>Etymology</b> : The species-group name acknowledges the great contributions that Dr. Susan M. Tong
has made to loricate choanoflagellate research.

This species was first observed by Leadbeater (1974; loc. cit. Pl. 2E / referred to as Parvicorbicula sp.) in samples from the Mediterranean (Split, Croatia and the Bay of Algiers). The single specimen illustrated is unmistakably morphometrically identical to the material examined here (Table 1). The generic affiliation of this species was uncertain when first examined by Leadbeater (1974), and it has remained so ever since. It therefore seems appropriate at this stage, and while awaiting a molecular based unravelling of its phylogenetic connections, to at least formally describe this form, and as an interim solution allocate it to the 'pro forma' genus

473 Coronoeca described here. The possibility initially indicated by Leadbeater (1974) of a certain 474 resemblance between this form and species of *Parvicorbicula* is no longer valid considering the 475 recent focus on regrouping selected species of Pleurasiga and core group members of 476 Parvicorbicula species that are closely affiliated with the Parvicorbicula type species P. socialis 477 (Leadbeater 2015, Thomsen et al. 2020a). 478 Coronoeca tongiae is here (Fig. 14a-g; Table 2) reported from the Andaman Sea, Thailand, West 479 Australia, the eastern Mediterranean Sea, Alexandria, and the Gulf of California, Mexico. 480 481 Coronoeca patongiensis sp. nov. (Figs. 4g, 14h, i) 482 483 Diagnosis: Lorica funnel-shaped (lorica height ca. 15 μm) and constructed of rod-shaped costal 484 strips organized in ten longitudinal costae and three transverse costae. There are anterior spines corresponding in length to two thirds of an anterior longitudinal costal strip. A longitudinal costa is 485 486 composed of four costal strips. Both the anterior transverse costa (diam. 12.5 µm) and the mid-487 lorica costa (diam. 8.0 μm) comprise as many costal strips as there are longitudinal costae, yet 488 with a significantly larger overlap between neighbouring strips in the mid-lorica transverse costa. 489 Transverse costal strips from both the anterior and the mid-lorica costa span the width between neighbouring longitudinal costae. The posterior transverse costa (diam. ca. 3 μm) comprises 4-5 490 491 costal strips. The anterior transverse costa is external relative to the longitudinal costae while the 492 mid-lorica and the posterior transverse costae are internally located. The protoplast is located at 493 the posterior end of the lorica chamber. Tectiform division presumed but not yet evidenced from 494 the accumulation of costal strips in the collar region. 495 Holotype: The specimen illustrated in Fig. 14i of the present work is fixed as holotype (ICZN 1999, 496 Article 73.1.4).

497	<b>Type locality</b> : Surface water sample (ca. 28°C / 35 PSU / 2 metres depth) from a near coastal site
498	at Ao Patong, Phuket Island, Thailand (7° 53′56″ N / 98° 17′36″ E), collected 8 September 1981.
499	<b>Etymology</b> : The species-group name refers to the type locality Ao Patong, Phuket Island, Thailand.
500 501	While this species is superficially reminiscent of <i>C. tongiae</i> with reference to lorica shape,
502	dimensions and costal strip configuration, there are, however, a number of distinguishing
503	features, i.e. the pattern of junctions between transverse and longitudinal costal strips at the level
504	of the anterior transverse costa (E-joins in <i>C. patongiensis</i> and T-joins in <i>C. tongiae</i> ), and the
505	placing of the anterior transverse costa (internal in <i>C. tongiae</i> and external in <i>C. patongiensis</i> ). It is
506	thus credible that the two species, once further studied using also molecular tools, cannot reside
507	within the same genus. However, in an interim period it is convenient to be able to refer to a well-
508	defined morphotype such as <i>C. patongiensis</i> using a formally established scientific name.
509	Coronoeca patongiensis has also been observed in samples from West Australia (Fig. 14h) and
510	from the Sargasso Sea (Paul Davis; pers. com.).
511	
512 513 514	Miscellaneous forms (Fig. 15)  Under this heading we have assembled material of loricate choanoflagellate taxa that have
515	either been observed too sparingly to allow for a formal species description, or unintentionally left
516	out while dealing with the relevant genus in a previous paper (Stephanoeca urnula).
517	
518	Choano sp. 1 (Fig. 15a)
519 520	Lorica funnel shaped (lorica height: 10.1 $\mu m$ ) comprising eight longitudinal costae and two
521	transverse costae (diam. 7.6 and 4.8 μm). The anterior tier of longitudinal costal strips project as

spines. Costal strips from the anterior ring span the width between neighbouring longitudinal costal strips. This taxon resembles *C. patongiensis* but differs in having fewer transverse and longitudinal costae. Only observed (single specimen) in a water sample from the equatorial Pacific Ocean.

Choano sp. 2 (Fig. 15b)

Lorica funnel shaped (lorica height: 14.8 μm) comprising ten longitudinal costae and two transverse costae (i.e. an anterior ring (diam. 12.0 μm) forming E-junctions with the longitudinal costae, and a posterior costa (diam. 4.6 μm) located at the joints between longitudinal costal strip number two and three counted from the anterior lorica end). This taxon, which is only observed once in a sample from the equatorial Pacific Ocean, resembles *Cosmoeca phuketensis* (see Thomsen and Østergaard 2019d) but differs because of a different number of longitudinal costae (there are consistently nine in *C. phuketensis*) and also by having a more complex posterior transverse costa.

Choano sp. 3 (Fig. 15g)

A single cell observed in a sample from the Andaman Sea, Thailand. The funnel-shaped lorica (lorica height:  $19.5~\mu m$ ) is constructed from eight longitudinal costae and two transverse costae. The anterior ring (diam.  $12~\mu m$ ) closes the lorica (E-junctions). The mid lorica transverse costa (diam.  $9~\mu m$ ) crosses posterior to the joints between the first and second longitudinal costal strips (counted from the anterior lorica end). Notice that the longitudinal costal strips are exceptionally long (6-7  $\mu m$ ). The lorica chamber is pedicellate and posteriorly terminated by a basket-like configuration of obliquely oriented costal strips.

547 548

Stephanoeca urnula Thomsen, 1973 (Fig. 15c, d, h)

549550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

This species was observed repeatedly in samples from the Eastern Mediterranean Sea outside Alexandria, Egypt. It is morphometrically (lorica height: 11 μm; diam. 3.7 μm (anterior opening), 7.2 µm (max. lorica width), and 4.5 µm (lorica waist)) in overall good agreement with the Danish type material (Thomsen 1973), and also material sampled from the innermost parts of the Baltic Sea (Thomsen, 1979, Vørs 1992, Ikävalko and Thomsen 1997, Ikävalko 1998). Stephanoeca urnula has additionally been recorded from Lake Saroma, Japan (Takahashi 1981) and the South Atlantic coastal zone, Brazil (Bergesh et al. 2008; 14-15 PSU). The majority of samples yielding S. urnula are from low-saline regions such as the Baltic Sea. The occurrence of this form in an eastern Mediterranean high salinity environment is thus surprising. The exact habitat sampled is perhaps impacted by the river Nile freshwater outflow creating brackish water niches that may allow S. urnula to thrive, or is it rather that the Egyptian material, despite an overall similarity, does represent a separate taxon with markedly different habitat demands. It is in this connection relevant to refer to recently described nudiform Stephanoeca-like species (Enibas tolerabilis and E. thessalia; Schiwitza et al. 2019; Schiwitza and Nitsche 2021) that are morphologically reminiscent of S. urnula yet with a significantly different autecological signature. Based on laboratory tests is was found (Schiwitza et al. 2019) that *E. tolerabilis* is able to survive within a salinity range of 0-70 PSU.

567

Savillea sp. (Fig. 15e, f)

568569570

571

Two cells observed in samples from the Sargasso Sea. They differ from *Savillea parva*(Ellis, 1929)Loeblich III, 1967, by having a significantly more open-meshed pattern of spiralling and

longitudinal costae. The lorica is 13-15  $\mu$ m high, and the diameter at the orifice 4-5  $\mu$ m and in the mid-lorica region 8-9  $\mu$ m. Similar material has previously been observed in Sargasso Sea samples (Paul. G. Davis, pers. com.; lorica height 14.2  $\mu$ m, diam. at orifice 4.2  $\mu$ m, max. diam. 7.3  $\mu$ m).

## Species diversity

The total number of species encountered in our warm water samples is 80 (Table 2). This represents close to 50% of all loricate choanoflagellate species described so far. There is a fairly obvious link between the material available to us, in terms of the mere number of water samples and LM/TEM preparations, and the total number of species listed from each individual site. The most thoroughly screened locations (West Australia and the Andaman Sea) are each represented by more than 60 species, whereas for the least intensively sampled regions (the Caribbean Sea, and the Eastern Mediterranean Sea) we have only listed approximately 30 species. For comparison it can be emphasized that similarly intensely sampled regions, i.e. Danish coastal waters and Disko Bay, West Greenland, are each represented by a maximum of 45 species. It thus appears that the tropical Indian Ocean sites sampled (the Andaman Sea and West Australia) do represent unique biodiversity hot spots.

To put the regions here analysed into a proper perspective, we have assembled biodiversity data worldwide (Fig. 16A, B) and conducted a matrix analysis (Fig. 17) in the shape of a two-way clustering of localities (35) versus species (presence-absence data; the diagram includes the 50 most decisive species).

It is immediately obvious (Fig. 17), judged from the overall diagonal patterning of the matrix, that specific subsets of samples largely defined by temperature are matched by fairly clear-cut subgroups of species. The warm water sites reported on here cluster closely together (ca. 60 %

similarity) with maximum similarity (ca. 90%) between the Andaman Sea and the West Australian
collections, and match up with a cluster of 9 species that have their maximum occurrences in such
warm water habitats (Fig. 17 D/H; i.e. Campyloacantha imbricata, Campyloacantha spinifera,
Cosmoeca ceratophora, Cosmoeca subulata, Pleurasiga echinocostata, Polyfibula elatensis,
Stephanacantha dichotoma, Syndetophyllum pulchellum, Thomsenella infundibuliformis). It is
similarly evident that there is a well-defined square within the matrix that defines associations
between both Arctic and Antarctic cold-water habitats and cold-water adapted species (Fig. 17
B/F; i.e. Acanthocorbis unguiculata, Bicosta antennigera, B. spinifera, Calliacantha longicaudata, C.
natans, Diaphanoeca pedicellata, Parvicorbicula quadricostata, P. socialis). Notice that there is a
North Atlantic cluster of sites (Baltic Sea, Denmark, Southampton (UK) and Rhode Island (USA))
that is overall very similar to the cold-water community, however, with a wider range of species
represented. The matrix segment marked 'C' (Fig. 17) comprises species that are truly
cosmopolitan forms (i.e. Bicosta minor, Cosmoeca norvegica, C. ventricosa, Calliacantha simplex,
Diaphanoeca grandis, Parvicorbicula circularis, Pleurasiga minima). The most divergent matrix
element (Fig. 17 A/E) is represented by Antarctic Weddell Sea icebiota samples which display a
wide range of forms not encountered elsewhere. Samples from South Brazil and the North Pacific
Central Gyre cluster separately very likely due to limitations in the number of taxa recorded from
these sites (Fig. 16B). The inevitable conclusion to be extracted from the kind of analysis
undertaken here, is that there are consistent and well-defined temperature dependent species
associations with clear distributional constrictions, as well as groups of cosmopolitan species that
have the potential to occur everywhere.

### Conclusions

619620621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

While the genus *Polyfibula* is unambiguously defined based on overall lorica constructional details and especially the facets on transverse costal strips, it applies to all remaining species examined here, that the generic allocation is negotiable and likely to change once supplemental molecular data becomes available. In order to generally move loricate choanoflagellate taxonomy ahead, it is urgently required to seek supporting molecular evidence. A cultivation approach is one obvious way to go (see e.g. Nitsche and Arndt 2008; Schiwitza et al. 2019). However, it is likely that a vast range of species, and in particular those that prefer more oceanic habitats, will be very hard and time consuming to ever establish in culture. An alternative, or perhaps rather supplemental approach, is sequencing based on the extraction of single cells from a suspension of nanoflagellates concentrated from freshly collected water samples (see e.g. Nitsche et al. 2017; Schiwitza and Nitsche 2021). While this does work adequately when dealing with large forms, that can be recognized and unambiguously identified from mixed populations at low magnification in the inverted microscope (x40-60 objective), this approach will not suffice, when it comes to small loricate forms that share basic features in lorica design and overall construction. What is needed to move fast ahead, linking specific morphotypes with their unique sequences, is an approach that allows for an initial incontestable species identification, based on e.g. an examination of natural, wet and non-coated material in an environmental scanning electron microscope, and a subsequent extraction of the specific cell for sequencing. While the identification part and the position-fixing is fairly straightforward to accomplish, it is the subsequent manipulation (extraction) of the cell that is likely to be seriously challenging. No matter the approach taken it appears that an initial accessibility to flow-sorted cells, prepared as described by e.g. Kamennaya

et al. (2018), might be essential in optimizing the success-rate in terms of securing quality approved data linking specific morphotypes to molecular profiles.

It is evident from Fig. 16A that the loricate choanoflagellate research community has by now achieved, based on classical light and electron microscopical descriptive techniques, a fairly comprehensive global coverage of species distributions. This has in turn contributed to e.g. a basic understanding of how temperature in particular impacts on large-scale species occurrence patterns. The approach that we have taken in the current series of papers on warm water loricate choanoflagellate biodiversity, is to reduce often complex regional sampling programmes (along multiple transects covering several depths) to a single species file. While this has proved adequate with reference to the taxonomic and morphometric data analysis, and also in the context of underpinning that temperature is a decisive factor in global biodiversity patterns, it conceals, however, species associations differentiating e.g. near coastal versus offshore habitats, surface water versus fluorescence maximum layers, and also where relevant (e.g. the Andaman Sea, Thailand) possible seasonal patterns in species occurrences. Once adequately progressed the molecular tools will be keystone elements in puzzling out any such small-scale patterns in species occurrences.

## Author contribution statement

Helge A. Thomsen (HAT) has conceived and designed the analysis, generated funding, and collected and processed samples. HAT is further responsible for compiling and writing the paper.

Jette B. Østergaard (JBO) has been much involved in data collection and sample processing with reference to the Andaman Sea and the Pacific Ocean. Seiko Hara (SH) has contributed data from

665	the Seto Inland Sea, Japan, and has been instrumental in finalizing the later versions of the
666	manuscript.
667	
668 669	Acknowledgements
670	Crew and scientists on board the research vessels ('Dana', 'Vædderen', 'Chakratong Tongyia',
671	'Malcolm Baldrige') are acknowledged for good collaborative spirit and for providing excellent
672	facilities for collecting and processing our samples. Dr. Gunni Ærtebjerg and Steffen Mariager
673	Pedersen are acknowledged for providing the samples from outside the Alexandria Harbour. We
674	are grateful to Dr. Sergio Sanudo-Wilhelmy and Kurt Buck for organizing the trip to Gulf of
675	California. Carol Kosman is warmly acknowledged for her meticulous contribution to this paper.
676	Main funding sources, i.e. the Independent Research Fund Denmark, the Carlsberg Foundation,
677	Danida (Denmark's development cooperation), the Højgaard Foundation, and the Danish Centre
678	for Marine Research are acknowledged for their generous contributions to our research. The
679	present work was carried out as part of the Galathea3 expedition under the auspices of the Danish
680	Expedition Foundation (Galathea3 contribution no. 135), and as part of the Sargasso-Eel 2014
681	Expedition.
682	
683	
684	References
685 686	Bérard-Therriault, L., Poulin, M., Bossé, L., 1999. Guide d'identification du phytoplancton marin de
687	l'estuaire et du Golfe du Saint-Laurent incluant également certains protozoaires. Publ. spec. sci.

688

halieut. Aquat. 128, 1-387.

- Bergesch, M., Odebrecht, C., Moestrup, Ø., 2008. Loricate choanoflagellates from the South
- 690 Atlantic coastal zone (~32 °S) including the description of *Diplotheca tricyclica* sp. nov. Biota
- 691 Neotrop 8, 111-122.
- Booth, B.C., 1990. Choanoflagellates from the subarctic North Pacific Ocean, with description of
- 693 two new species. Can. J. Zool. 68, 2393-2402.
- 694 Buck, K., 1981. A study of choanoflagellates (Acanthoecidae) from the Weddell Sea, including a
- description of *Diaphanoeca multiannulata* n. sp. J. Protozool. 28, 47-54.
- 696 Clarke, K.R., Gorley, R.N., 2015. PRIMER v7: User Manual / Tutorial. PRIMER-E: Plymouth.
- 697 Clarke, K.R., Gorley, R.N., Somerfierld, P.J., Warwick, R.M., 2014. Change in marine communities:
- an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. PRIMER-E: Plymouth.
- 699 Daugbjerg, N., Hansen, L.E., Skovgaard, K., Østergaard, J.B., 1991. An investigation on some protist
- plankton groups from the marine waters around Igloolik Island, NWT, Canada. In: Jørgensen, M.
- 701 (ed.), Arctic Biology Course 1989, Igloolik Island, NWT Canada. Publ. by Zoological Museum,
- 702 Univ. of Copenhagen, pp. 39-59.
- Figure 103 Espeland, G., Throndsen, J., 1986. Flagellates from Kilsfjorden, southern Norway, with description
- of two new species of Choanoflagellida. Sarsia 71, 209-226.
- Hallegraeff, G.M., 1983. Scale-bearing and loricate nanoplankton from the East Australian Current.
- 706 Bot. Marina 26, 493-515.
- Hara, S., Sheu, J., Chen, Y.L., Takahashi, E., 1997. Choanoflagellates (Sarcomastigophora, Protozoa)
- from the coastal waters of Taiwan and Japan (II): Species composition and biogeography. Zool.
- 709 Stud. 36, 98-110.
- Hoepffner, H., Haas, L.W., 1990. Electron microscopy of nanoplankton from the North Pacific
- 711 central gyre. J. Phycol. 26, 421-439.

- 712 ICZN 1999. International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, Fourth Edition: The International Trust
- 713 for Zoological Nomenclature, London, UK, 306 pp.
- 714 Ikävalko, J., 1998. Further observations on flagellates within sea ice in northern Bothnian Bay, the
- 715 Baltic Sea. Polar Biol. 19, 323-329.
- 716 Ikävalko, J., Thomsen, H.A., 1997. The Baltic Sea ice biota (March 1994): a study of the protistan
- 717 community. Eur. J. Protistol. 33, 229-243.
- 718 Kamennaya, N.A., Kennaway, G., Fuchs, B.M., Zubkov, M.V., 2018. "Pomacytosis" Semi-
- extracellular phagocytosis of cyanobacteria by the smallest marine algae. PLOS Biol. 16,
- 720 e2003502, doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.2003502.
- Leadbeater, B.S.C., 1973. External morphology of some marine choanoflagellates from the coast of
- 722 Jugoslavia. Arch. Protistenk. 115, 234-252.
- 723 Leadbeater, B.S.C., 1974. Ultrastructural observations on nanoplankton collected from the coast of
- Jugoslavia and the Bay of Algiers. J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K. 54, 179-196.
- Leadbeater, B.S.C., 2015. The Choanoflagellates: Evolution, biology and ecology. Cambridge
- 726 University Press.
- Lee, W.J., Brandt, S.M., Vørs, N., Patterson, D.J., 2003. Darwin's heterotrophic flagellates. Ophelia
- 728 57*,* 63-98.
- 729 Manton, I., Bremer, G., 1981. Observations on lorica structure and aspects of replication in the
- 730 Pleurasiga sphyrelata Thomsen complex (= Polyfibula spp., gen.n.) (Choanoflagellata). Zool. Scr.
- 731 10, 273-291.
- 732 Marchant, H.J., 1985. Choanoflagellates in the Antarctic marine food chain. In: Siegfried, W.R.,
- Condy, P.R., Laws, R.M. (Eds.), Antarctic Nutrient Cycles and Food Webs. Springer-Verlag Berlin
- 734 Heidelberg, pp. 271-276.

735 Marchant, H.J., Perrin, R.A., 1990. Seasonal variation in abundance and species composition of choanoflagellates (Acanthoecidae) at Antarctic coastal sites. Polar Biol. 10, 499-505. 736 737 McKenzie, C.H., Deibel, D., Thompson, R.J., MacDonald, B.A., Penney, R.W., 1997. Distribution and 738 abundance of choanoflagellates (Acanthoecidae) in the coastal cold ocean of Newfoundland, 739 Canada. Mar. Biol. 129, 407-416. Menezes, S., 2005. Nanoplankton biodiversity in the Pettaquamscutt river estuary, Rhode Island, 740 741 U.S.A. PhD thesis, Univ. Rhode Island, 319pp. Moestrup, Ø., 1979. Identification by electron microscopy of marine nanoplankton from New 742 743 Zealand, including the description of four new species. New Zeal. J. Bot. 17, 61-95. 744 Moestrup, Ø., Thomsen, H.A., 1980. Preparation of shadow-cast whole mounts. In: Gantt, E. (Ed.), 745 Handbook of Phycological methods. Vol. III. pp. 385-390 (Cambridge). Nitsche, F., Arndt, H., 2008. A new choanoflagellate species from Taiwan: Morphological and 746 747 molecular biological studies of Diplotheca elongata nov. spec., and D. costata. Eur. J. Protistol. 748 44, 220-226. 749 Nitsche, F., Thomsen, H.A., Richter, D.J., 2017. Bridging the gap between morphological species 750 and molecular barcodes – exemplified by loricate choanoflagellates. Eur. J. Protistol. 57, 26-37. Schiwitza, S., Nitsche, F., 2021. A needle in the haystack – mapping sequences to morphology 751 752 exemplified by the loricate choanoflagellate Enibas thessalia sp. nov. (Acanthoecida, 753 Acanthoecidae). Protist 172(1):125782 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protis.2020.125782 Schiwitza, S., Arndt, H., Nitsche, F., 2019. First description of an euryoecious acanthoecid 754 755 choanoflagellate species, Enibas tolerabilis gen. et sp. nov. from a salar in the Chilean Andes

based on morphological and transcriptomic data. Eur. J. Protistol. 67, 106-113.

756

- 757 Soto-Liebe, K., Collantes, G., Kuznar, J., 2007. New records of marine choanoflagellates off the
- 758 Chilean coast. Invest. Mar. Valparaíso 35, 113-120.
- 759 Takahashi, E., 1981. Floristic study of ice algae in the sea ice of a lagoon, Lake Saroma, Hokkaido,
- Japan. Mem. National Inst. Polar Res. Series E: Biology and Medical Science 34, 49-56.
- 761 Thomsen, H.A., 1973. Studies on marine choanoflagellates I. Silicified choanoflagellates of the
- 762 Isefjord (Denmark). Ophelia 12, 1-26.
- 763 Thomsen, H.A., 1976. Studies on marine choanoflagellates II. Fine-structural observations on some
- silicified choanoflagellates from the Isefjord (Denmark), including the description of two new
- 765 species. Norw. J. Bot. 23, 33-51.
- 766 Thomsen, H.A. 1978. Nanoplankton from the Gulf of Elat (= Gulf of Aquaba), with particular
- 767 emphasis on the choanoflagellates. Isr. J. Zool. 27, 34-44.
- 768 Thomsen, H.A., 1979. Electron microscopical observations on brackish-water nannoplankton from
- the Tvärminne area, S.W. Coast of Finland. Acta Bot. Fenn. 110, 11-37.
- 770 Thomsen, H.A., 1982. Planktonic choanoflagellates from Disko Bugt, West Greenland, with a
- survey of the marine nanoplankton of the area. Meddr. Grønland, Bioscience 8, 1-35.
- 772 Thomsen, H.A., Boonruang, P., 1983. A microscopical study of marine collared flagellates
- (Choanoflagellida) from the Andaman Sea, SW Thailand: Species of *Stephanacantha* gen. nov.
- and *Platypleura* gen. nov. Protistologica 19, 193-214.
- 775 Thomsen, H.A., Buck, K R., 1991. Choanoflagellate diversity with particular emphasis on the Acan-
- thoecidae. In: Patterson, D.J., Larsen, J. (Eds.), Free-living heterotrophic flagellates. Clarendon
- 777 Press, Oxford, pp. 259-284.

778 Thomsen, H.A., Moestrup, Ø., 1983. Electron microscopical investigations on two loricate 779 choanoflagellates (Choanoflagellida), Calotheca alata gen. et sp. nov. and Syndetophyllum 780 pulchellum gen. et comb. nov., from Indo-Pacific localities. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. 219, 41-52. 781 Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., 2017. Acanthoecid choanoflagellates from the Atlantic Arctic 782 region – a baseline study. Heliyon 3 (2017) e00345 783 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2017.e00345 Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., 2019a. Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm 784 water seas. I. Conioeca gen. nov. and Nannoeca Thomsen. Eur. J. Protistol. 67, 77-88. 785 Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., 2019b. Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm 786 787 water seas. II. Bicosta, Apheloecion, Campyloacantha and Saroeca. Eur. J. Protistol. 67, 114-131. Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., 2019c. Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm 788 789 water seas. III. Acanthocorbis Hara and Takahashi and Stephanoeca Ellis. Eur. J. Protistol. 69, 52-790 69. Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., 2019d. Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm 791 792 water seas. IV. Cosmoeca Thomsen. Eur. J. Protistol. 71, pp. 125632 793 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2019.125632 Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., 2019e. Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecida) from warm 794 water seas. V. Thomsenella Özdikmen (= Platypleura Thomsen). Eur. J. Protistol. 71, pp. 125632 795 796 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2019.125633 797 Thomsen, H.A., Østergaard, J.B., 2021. Loricate choanoflagellates (Acanthoecidae) from warm water 798 seas. VIII. *Crinolina* Thomsen and *Diaphanoeca* Ellis. Eur. J. Protistol. (in press)

799 Thomsen, H.A., Buck, K.R., Coale, S.L., Garrison, D.L., Gowing, M.M., 1990. Loricate 800 choanoflagellates (Acanthoecidae, Choanoflagellida) from the Weddell Sea, Antarctica. Zool. 801 Scr. 19, 367-387. 802 Thomsen, H.A., Buck, K.R., Chavez, F.P., 1991. Choanoflagellates of the central California waters: 803 Taxonomy, morphology and species assemblages. Ophelia 33, 131-164. Thomsen, H.A., Garrison, D.L., Kosman, C., 1997. Choanoflagellates (Acanthoecidae, 804 805 Choanoflagellida) from the Weddell Sea, Antarctica, taxonomy and community structure with 806 particular emphasis on the ice biota; with preliminary remarks on choanoflagellates from Arctic 807 sea ice (Northeast Water Polynya, Greenland). Arch. Protistenk. 148, 77-114. 808 Thomsen, H.A., Nitsche, F., Richter, D.J., 2016. Seasonal occurrence of loricate choanoflagellates in 809 Danish inner waters. Protist 167, 622-638. Thomsen, H.A., Kamennaya, N., Zubkov, M.V., Østergaard, J.B., 2020a. Loricate choanoflagellates 810 811 (Acanthoecida) from warm water seas. VI. Pleurasiga Schiller and Parvicorbicula Deflandre. Eur. J. 812 Protistol. 75, pp. 125717 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2020.125717 813 Thomsen, H.A., Kamennaya, N., Zubkov, M.V., Østergaard, J.B., 2020b. Loricate choanoflagellates 814 (Acanthoecida) from warm water seas. VII. Calotheca Thomsen and Moestrup, Stephanacantha Thomsen and Syndetophyllum Thomsen and Moestrup. Eur. J. Protistol. 76, pp. 125728 815 816 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2020.125728 Tong, S.M., 1997a. Choanoflagellates in Southampton Water including the description of three 817 818 new species. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K. 77, 929-958.

819 Tong, S.M., 1997b. Heterotrophic flagellates from the water column in Shark Bay, Western 820 Australia. Mar. Biol. 128, 517-536. 821 Tong, S.M., Nygaard, K., Bernard, C., Vørs, N., Patterson, D.J., 1998. Heterotrophic flagellates from 822 the water column in Port Jackson, Sydney, Australia. Eur. J. Protistol. 34, 162-194. Vørs, N., 1992. Heterotrophic amoebae, flagellates and heliozoa from the Tvärminne area, Gulf of 823 Finland, in 1988-1990. Ophelia 36, 1-109. 824 825 Vørs, N., Buck, K.R., Chavez, F.P., Eikrem, W., Hansen, L.E., Østergaard, J.B., Thomsen, H.A., 1995. Nanoplankton of the equatorial Pacific with emphasis on the heterotrophic protists. Deep-Sea 826 827 Research 42, 585-602.

829 830	Legends
831	Fig. 1. Map showing the approximate sampling sites for material reported here and MODIS sea
832	surface temperatures (2003-2011 average). A circular dot refers to a single spot sampling, while a
833	line or square indicates that samples were collected along extended transects. For further
834	information see the materials and methods section in Thomsen and Østergaard (2019a).
835	
836	Fig. 2.a-m. TEM (a, d-g) and LM whole mounts (b, c, h-m; phase contrast) of Polyfibula elatensis
837	from the Gulf of California (a-c, e), the Andaman Sea, Thailand (d, f, g), the Caribbean Sea (h), the
838	Sargasso Sea (i), West Australia (j, l, m), and the equatorial Pacific Ocean (k). (a, d) Complete cells;
839	the arrow (a) points to a single facetted costal strip from the posterior transverse costa; (b, c, h-m)
840	Selection of specimens from various geographic regions; (e-g) Detail (reversed printing) of
841	distinctly (e) and less distinctly (f, g) facetted transverse costal strips; the arrows (f, g) point to
842	median thickenings of costal strips. The scale bar (b) applies to all LM images.
843	
844	Fig. 3.a-j. TEM (a-e) and LM whole mounts (f-j; phase contrast) of Parvicorbicula pedicellata from
845	the Andaman Sea, Thailand (a, b, d, e), the Gulf of California (c, i, j), the equatorial Pacific (f), and
846	West Australia (g, h). (a-c) Complete Ioricae; (d) Detail (reversed printing) of anterior transverse
847	costa (from (b)) showing the exterior position of the longitudinal costae; (e) Detail of the mid-
848	lorica transverse costa (from (a)) to highlight the interior position of the longitudinal costae; (f-j)
849	Selection of specimens from various geographic regions. The scale bar (g) applies to all LM images.
850	
851	Fig. 4.a-h. Drawings to approximate scale of <i>Coronoeca</i> spp. The drawings are based on dried
852	material as observed in either LM or TEM, hence the protoplast position and dimensions are only

indicative. (a) C. kosmaniae; (b, c) C. conicella; (d) C. superpositus (Thailand); (e) C. superpositus (Denmark); (f) C. tongiae; (g) C. patongiensis; (h) C. marchantii. The scale bar applies to all images.

**Fig. 5.a-f.** LM (a-c; phase contrast) and TEM (d-f; reversed printing (e)) whole mounts of *Coronoeca kosmaniae* sp. nov. from the Gulf of California, Mexico. (a-c) Selection of images to show the lorica as appearing from different angles; (d) Complete lorica (type specimen); (e, f) Detail of longitudinal costal strip swelling and crossing of transverse costa. The scale bar (a) applies to all LM images.

Fig. 6.a-d. Coronoeca kosmaniae sp. nov. TEM (a) and LM (b-d; phase contrast) whole mount micrographs from the Gulf of California, Mexico. (a) Detail of posterior lorica end to show the arched and often duplicated or triplicated (arrows) costal strips that terminate the lorica posterior end. Notice the only solitary longitudinal costal strip (arrowhead) and the attenuating single costal strip from the pedicel; (b-d) Selection of specimens to show the variability in appearance under the LM; notice (b) the marked accumulation of additional costal strips at the posterior lorica end, and (d) the vacated membrane that normally secures the protoplast into position. The scale bar (b) applies to all light micrographs.

**Fig. 7.a-I.** *Coronoeca conicella* sp. nov. TEM (a-c) and LM (d-f; DIC / g-l; phase contrast) whole mounts from the Andaman Sea, Thailand (a-f) and West Australia (g-l). **(a-c)** Selected specimens to show the variability encountered including specimens with extended (a) and short pedicel (c). **(d-f)** Andaman Sea images of long (d) and short (e, f) pedicellate forms. **(g-l)** The variety encountered in samples from West Australia. The scale bar (g) applies to all LM images.

	30umar 110-proofs
876	
877	Fig. 8.a-k. Coronoeca conicella sp. nov. TEM (a, b) and LM (c-i; phase contrast / j, k; DIC) whole
878	mounts from the Gulf of California, Mexico (a-e), the Sargasso Sea (f), the Caribbean Sea (g), the
879	equatorial Pacific Ocean (h, i), and the eastern Mediterranean Sea (j, k). (a) Complete Iorica
880	without protoplast; notice five longitudinal costae; (b) High magnification (reverse printing) to
881	show anterior projections and connections between longitudinal and transverse costal elements;
882	(c-k) Specimens from various sources selected to show the morphological variability encountered;
883	notice (e-f) five longitudinal costae. The scale bar (e) applies to all light micrographs.
884	
885	Fig. 9.a, b. Coronoeca conicella sp. nov. TEM whole mounts from the Seto Inland Sea, Japan. (a)
886	Complete cell. Notice that the lorica has five longitudinal costae; (b) Dividing cell that verifies
887	tectiform division in this species.
888	
889	<b>Fig. 10.</b> Diagrams (A) to show the relationship between lorica height and length of pedicel in <i>C</i> .
890	conicella, and site-specific variability, and (B) the site-specific occurrence of loricae with five rather
891	than four longitudinal costae.
892	
893	Fig. 11.a-c. Coronoeca superpositus TEM (a, b) and LM (c; phase contrast) whole mounts from the
894	Andaman Sea, Thailand. (a, b) Complete Ioricae (a; reverse printing) both with eight longitudinal
295	costae and a similar number of projections: the interior location of the mid-lorica transverse costa

897

896

is evident (a); **(c)** Empty lorica.

Fig. 12.a-g. Coronoeca superpositus TEM (a-c) and LM (d-g; phase contrast) whole mounts of specimens from Danish coastal waters (Kulhuse) selected to supplement the limited image gallery available of this taxon. (a, b) Well preserved loricae with four and six anterior projections. (c) Sharply pointed anterior projection and detail of the connection between transverse and longitudinal costal strips (reverse printing). (d-g) LM images showing the variability typically encountered. The scale bar (d) applies to all light micrographs.

Fig. 13.a-k. *Coronoeca marchantii* sp. nov. TEM (a), SEM (b) and LM (c-k; phase contrast) whole mounts from the Andaman Sea, Thailand (a-b), West Australia (c-e; g, h), the Sargasso Sea (f), the Caribbean Sea (i), the equatorial Pacific Ocean (j), and the Gulf of California, Mexico (k). (a) Type specimen; notice the membrane that secures the protoplast in position; (b) Empty lorica which clearly shows the interior location of all three transverse costae; (c-e, j, k) Selected specimens to show the variability encountered across all regions sampled; (f-i) Deviant forms where the anterior transverse costa is pushed forward. The arrows point to the overlap between anterior longitudinal costal strips. The scale bar (e) applies to all LM images.

**Fig. 14.a-h.** *Coronoeca tongiae* sp. nov. (a-g) and *C. patongiensis* sp. nov. (h, i) TEM (a, b, d-f, i) and LM (c, g, h; phase contrast) whole mounts from the Andaman Sea, Thailand (a, b, d, i), West Australia (c, h), the eastern Mediterranean Sea, Alexandria (e), the Seto Inland Sea, Japan (f), and the Gulf of California, Mexico (g). (a) Type specimen (reverse printing). (c, g, h) Images selected to demonstrate that species specific details can be resolved using LM; (b, d-f) Specimens selected to document the consistency in lorica construction; (i) Type specimen (reverse printing). The scale bar (h) also applies to (c).

922	Fig. 15.a-h. TEM (a, b, g, h) and LM (c-f; phase contrast) whole mounts of miscellaneous species
923	from the equatorial Pacific Ocean (a, b), the eastern Mediterranean Sea, Alexandria (c, d, h), the
924	Sargasso Sea (e, f), and the Andaman Sea, Thailand (g). (a) Choano sp. 1; (b) Chono sp. 2; (c, d, h)
925	Stephanoeca urnula; (e, f) Savillea sp.; (g) Choano sp. 3. The scale bar (f) applies to all LM images.
926	
927	Fig. 16. (A) Map showing the approximate geographical positions of material that is part of the
928	analysis presented in Fig. 17. Data sources: (1) NEW, N.E. Greenland; Thomsen and Østergaard
929	2017; (2) NOW, N.W. Greenland; Thomsen and Østergaard 2017; (3) Disko Bay, West Greenland;
930	Thomsen and Østergaard 2017; (4) Igloolik, Canada; Daugbjerg et al. 1991; (5) Baltic Sea; Thomsen
931	1979, Vørs 1992, Ikävalko and Thomsen 1997, Ikävalko 1998; <b>(6)</b> Denmark; Thomsen et al. 2016;
932	(7) Kilsfjorden, Norway; Espeland and Throndsen 1986; (8) Southampton, UK; Tong 1997a; (9)
933	Newfoundland, Canada; McKenzie et al. 1997; (10) Gulf of St. Lawrence, Canada; Bérard-Therriault
934	et al. 1999; <b>(11)</b> Rhode Island, USA; Menezes 2005; <b>(12)</b> Sargasso sea; Thomsen and Østergaard
935	2019a and subsequent papers; (13) Algiers; Leadbeater 1974; (14) Croatia and Montenegro;
936	Leadbeater 1973, 1974; (15) Mediterranean Sea, Alexandria; Thomsen and Østergaard 2019a and
937	subsequent papers; (16) Caribbean Sea; Thomsen and Østergaard 2019a and subsequent papers;
938	(17) South Brazil; Bergesh et al. 2008; (18) Beagle Channel, Patagonia; Thomsen, unpublished; (19)
939	Weddell Sea, Antarctica; Thomsen et al. 1990, Thomsen et al. 1997; (20) Prydz Bay, Antarctica;
940	Marchant 1985, Marchant and Perrin 1990; (21) Andaman Sea, Thailand; Thomsen and Østergaard
941	2019a and subsequent papers; (22) Darwin, Australia; Lee et al. 2003; (23) West Australia;
942	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019a and subsequent papers; (24) Shark Bay, Australia; Tong 1997b;
943	(25) Sydney, Australia; Tong et al. 1998; (26) New Zealand; Moestrup 1979; Moestrup,

unpublished; (27) Taiwan; Hara et al. 1997; Hara unpublished; (28) Japan; Hara et al. 1997; Hara,
unpublished; (29) Subarctic North Pacific; Booth 1990; (30) California, USA; Thomsen et al. 1991;
(31) Gulf of California, Mexico; Thomsen and Østergaard 2019a and subsequent papers; (32) North
Pacific Central Gyre; Hoepffner and Haas 1990; (33) Equatorial Pacific Ocean; Thomsen and
Østergaard 2019a and subsequent papers. (B) Number of species observed at each locality.
Fig. 17. Shade matrix plot (Primer 7) showing relationships among clusters of samples (35) and
species (50 most important taxa only / presence-absence data). Both samples and species are
hierarchically clustered independently. Clusters are further identified by letters (species: A-D;
localities: E-H). See text for further explanation.

	Lorica height (excl. pedicel)	Diam. ant. transv. costa	Diam. 2 <sup>nd</sup> transv. costa	Diam. 3 <sup>rd</sup> transv. costa	Diam. 4 <sup>th</sup> transv. costa	# longitu dinal costae	# long. costal strips (incl. projections / excl. pedicel)	Projecti ng spines	Pedicel	Flagellum	n
Coronoeca conicella	16.4 ±2.5	11.1 ±1.7	6.8 ±1.4			4-(5)	4	4-(5)	12.5 ±6.7	8.1 ±1.0	63
Coronoeca kosmaniae	$25.5 \pm 1.2$	$15.5 \pm 0.6$	$15.2 \pm 0.7$			7	4	7	$7.9 \pm 0.4$		26
Coronoeca marchantii	14.2 ±1.4	8.9 ±0.8	6.6 ±0.5	2.9 ±0.4		(7)-8	4	(7)-8	3.9 ±0.6	6.6 ±0.4	27
Coronoeca patongiensis	15.2/16.5	12.4/15.9	8.0/10.2	3.3/		10	4	10			2
Coronoeca patongiensis (Davis, pers. comm. / Sargasso Sea)	18.5	16.1	10.3	4.6		11	4	11		15.2	1
Coronoeca superpositus (Thail.)	13.7	10.0	7.3			8	4	7-8	4.2	7.9	2
Coronoeca superpositus (Denm.)	18.8 ±1.9	13.6 ±1.1	9.5 ±0.7			10-(11)	4	4-8	10.7 ±2.6	11.9 ±1.7	19
Coronoeca tongiae	14.6 ±0.9	12.0 ±1.1	9.9 ±0.5	7.4 ±0.8	$3.1 \pm 0.5$	9-10	4	9-10			7
Coronoeca tongiae (Leadbeater 1974; loc. cit. Pl. 2E)	13.5	11.0	10.4	8.4	3.4	9	4	9			1
Parvicorbicula pedicellata	13.7 ±1.1	7.7 ±0.6	6.1 ±0.6	2.9 ±0.3		7	4	7	3.6 ±0.5	5.7 ±1.8	15
Polyfibula elatensis	$18.0\pm\!1.7$	$9.8 \pm 1.4$	$9.0 \pm 1.0$	$3.9 \pm 0.4$		8	4	8	$9.6 \pm 6.0$	$12.3 \pm 2.0$	26

Table 1. Summary of lorica morphometric features.

Table 2. Occurrence pattern of species discussed here and in Thomsen and Østergaard (2019a-e, 2021) and Thomsen et al. (2020a, b; 2021). New species described are marked with \*.

Acanthocorbis apoda A. camarensis A. campanula A. conicella*	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	x x	- Mes∗ Australia	Sařgasso Sea	Caribbean Sea Equatorial Pacific Ocean	× Gulf of California, Mexico	Mæditerranean Sea, Alexandria	Reference  Thomsen and Østergaard 2019c Thomsen and Østergaard 2019c Thomsen and Østergaard 2019c Thomsen and Østergaard 2019c
A. gladiella*	x <	∢ x	≶	X <del></del>	Сxщ	9	2	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019c
A. haurakiana	x	х	х	Š				Thomsen and Østergaard 2019c
Apheloecion articulatum	x	Х					x	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
A. eqpacia*	x	Х			Х			Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
A. pentacanthum	х	Х			X	х	, X	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
A. quadrispinum	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
Bicosta minor (form A)	Х	Х		Х	X			Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
B. spinifera						X		Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
Calliacantha magna*	x	Х		Х	x			Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
C. natans						Х		Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
C. simplex	x	Х	Х	X	x	Х	Х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
<b>Calotheca</b> alata	x	Х						Thomsen et al. 2020b
<b>Campyloacantha</b> imbricata	Х	Х	X	Х	Х			Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
C. spinifera	x	Х	х			Х	Х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
Conioeca boonruangii*	x	Х		Х	x			Thomsen and Østergaard 2019a
Coronoeca conicella*	x	X	X	Х	x	Х	Х	This paper
C. kosmaniae*						Х		This paper
C. marchantii*	x	Х	X	Х	x	Х		This paper
C. patongiensis*	x	X						This paper
C. superpositus	х							This paper
C, tongiae*	x	X				Х	Х	This paper
Cosmoeca ceratophora	x	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019d
C. norvegica	x	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019d
C. phuketensis	x	Х	Х	Х	Х		х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019d
C. subulata	x	Х			x			Thomsen and Østergaard 2019d

C. ventricosa (incl. form A)	Х	Х	х	х	х	Х	х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019d
C. ventricosa (form B)	x	Х	Х		x	Х	х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019d
C. ventricosa (form C)	x	Х	Х	Х	х			Thomsen and Østergaard 2019d
<b>Crinolina</b> aperta	x	Х	Х	Х	х		Х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2021a
C. isefiordensis	x	Х				Х		Thomsen and Østergaard 2021a
Crucispina cruciformis	x	Х	Х			Х	Х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
<b>Diaphanoeca</b> cylindrica	x	Х						Thomsen and Østergaard 2021
D. grandis	x	Х				Х	Х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2021
D. multiannulata		Х						Thomsen and Østergaard 2021
D. pedicellata		Х			х	Х		Thomsen and Østergaard 2021
D. pedicellata cfr.		Х	Х		х		х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2021
D. pseudoundulata*	x	Х			х	Х		Thomsen and Østergaard 2021
D. sargassoensis*			Х					Thomsen and Østergaard 2021
D. spiralifurca	x	Х						Thomsen and Østergaard 2021
D. throndsenii*	x	Х	Х			Х		Thomsen and Østergaard 2021
Nannoeca mexicana*		Х				Х		Thomsen and Østergaard 2019a
N. minuta	x	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019a
N. minuta (form A)	x				х			Thomsen and Østergaard 2019a
Parvicorbicula circularis		Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Thomsen et al. 2020a
P. pedicellata	x	Х			х	Х		This paper
P. socialis		Х						Thomsen et al. 2020a
Pleurasiga echinocostata	x	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Thomsen et al. 2020a
P. echinocostata form A	x	Х						Thomsen et al. 2020a
P. echinocostata form B	x				Х			Thomsen et al. 2020a
P. minima	x	Х	Х	Х	х	X		Thomsen et al. 2020a
P. minutissima*	x	Х	Х	Х	х	X	х	Thomsen et al. 2020a
P. quadrangiella*	x	Х			х			Thomsen et al. 2020a
P. reynoldsii		Х	Х		X	Х		Thomsen et al. 2020a
P. tricaudata						Х		Thomsen et al. 2020a
<b>Polyfibula</b> elatensis	X	X	X	X	X	X		This paper
Saroeca attenuata			Х		(x)			Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
S. paucicostata	x	Х	Х			Х	х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019b
<b>Savillea</b> sp.			Х					This paper
Stephanacantha campaniformis					x	Х		Thomsen et al. 2020b
S. dichotoma	x	Х	х	х	х	Х	Х	Thomsen et al. 2020b
S. formosa	x	Х	x	х	х	Х	х	Thomsen et al. 2020b
S. oceanica*		Х	X					Thomsen et al. 2020b
S. parvula	x	х	х	Х	х			Thomsen et al. 2020b
S. zigzag	x	х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Thomsen et al. 2020b
Stephanoeca andemanica*	x	X						Thomsen and Østergaard 2019c
S. apheles	x	X						Thomsen and Østergaard 2019c
S. broomia*	x	X						Thomsen and Østergaard 2019c
S. diplocostata var. paucicostata		X						Thomsen and Østergaard 2019c
S. naja*	x	X	Х		х	Х		Thomsen and Østergaard 2019c
S. supracostata	x							Thomsen and Østergaard 2019c
S. urnula							х	This paper
Syndetophyllum pulchellum	X	Х				Х	х	Thomsen et al. 2020b
Thomsenella acuta	Х	Х	Х	х	Х		Х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019e
T. cercophora	Х	Х			Х		Х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019e

T. infundibuliformis			X		X	Х	Х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019e
T. perforata	x	Х	Х	Х	x	x	Х	Thomsen and Østergaard 2019e

	Anterior transverse costa	2 <sup>nd</sup> transverse costa	3 <sup>rd</sup> transverse costa	4 <sup>th</sup> transverse costa	costal strip joins
Coronoeca conicella	(1)	1			1
Coronoeca kosmaniae	(1)	1			Т
Coronoeca marchantii	1	1	1		E
Coronoeca patongiensis	0	1	1		Ε
Coronoeca superpositus (Thail.)	(1)	1			T
Coronoeca superpositus (Denm.)	(1)	1			Т
Coronoeca tongiae	I	1	1	l I	T
Parvicorbicula pedicellata	1	О	1		Т
Polyfibula elatensis	1	1	I		Т

Table 3. Summary of lorica features. I: Transverse costa inside longitudinal costae'; (I): Transverse costa outside anterior tip of the penultimate longitudinal costal strip, but inside the anterior projections; O: Transverse costa outside longitudinal costae; T: T-joins anteriorly; E: E-joins anteriorly

Helge A. Thomsen (HAT) has conceived and designed the analysis, generated funding, and collected and processed samples. HAT is further responsible for compiling and writing the paper. Jette B. Østergaard (JBO) has been much involved in data collection and sample

processing with reference to the Andaman Sea and the Pacific Ocean. Seiko Hara (SH) has contributed data from the Seto Inland Sea,

Japan, and has been instrumental in finalizing the later versions of the manuscript.



































