

### A decade of monitoring micropollutants in urban wet-weather flows

What did we learn?

Mutzner, Lena; Furrer, Viviane; Castebrunet, Hélène; Dittmer, Ulrich; Fuchs, Stephan; Gernjak, Wolfgang; Gromaire, Marie-Christine; Matzinger, Andreas; Mikkelsen, Peter Steen; Selbig, William R. *Total number of authors:* 

11

Published in: Water Research

Link to article, DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2022.118968

Publication date: 2022

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Mutzner, L., Furrer, V., Castebrunet, H., Dittmer, U., Fuchs, S., Gernjak, W., Gromaire, M-C., Matzinger, A., Mikkelsen, P. S., Selbig, W. R., & Vezzaro, L. (2022). A decade of monitoring micropollutants in urban wetweather flows: What did we learn? *Water Research*, *223*, Article 118968. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118968

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Water Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/watres

# A decade of monitoring micropollutants in urban wet-weather flows: What did we learn?

Lena Mutzner<sup>a,\*</sup>, Viviane Furrer<sup>b,c</sup>, Hélène Castebrunet<sup>d</sup>, Ulrich Dittmer<sup>e</sup>, Stephan Fuchs<sup>f</sup>, Wolfgang Gernjak<sup>g,h</sup>, Marie-Christine Gromaire<sup>i</sup>, Andreas Matzinger<sup>j</sup>, Peter Steen Mikkelsen<sup>a</sup>, William R. Selbig<sup>k</sup>, Luca Vezzaro<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Environmental and Resource Engineering (DTU Sustain), Technical University of Denmark, Bygningstorvet, Building 115, 2800 Kgs., Lyngby, Denmark

<sup>d</sup> University of Lyon, INSA Lyon, DEEP, EA 7429, 11 rue de la Physique, Villeurbanne Cedex F-69621, France

<sup>f</sup> Department of Aquatic Environmental Engineering, Institute for Water and River Basin Management, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Gotthard-Franz-Str. 3, Karlsruhe 76131, Germany

<sup>8</sup> ICRA, Catalan Institute for Water Research, Scientific and Technological Park of the University of Girona, H2O Building, Emili Grahit 101, Girona 17003, Spain

h ICREA, Catalan Institute for Research and Advanced Studies, Barcelona 08010, Spain

<sup>1</sup> Leesu, École des Ponts ParisTech, Université Paris-Est Créteil. 6-8 avenue Blaise Pascal, Cité Descartes, Marne-la-Vallée cedex 2, 77455, France

<sup>j</sup> Kompetenzzentrum Wasser Berlin (KWB), Berlin 10961, Germany

k U.S. Geological Survey, Upper Midwest Water Science Center, Madison 53726, WI, United States

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Trace contaminants Stormwater outlets Combined sewer overflow Sampling Data-driven analysis Metastudy Heavy metals

#### ABSTRACT

Urban wet-weather discharges from combined sewer overflows (CSO) and stormwater outlets (SWO) are a potential pathway for micropollutants (trace contaminants) to surface waters, posing a threat to the environment and possible water reuse applications. Despite large efforts to monitor micropollutants in the last decade, the gained information is still limited and scattered. In a metastudy we performed a data-driven analysis of measurements collected at 77 sites (683 events, 297 detected micropollutants) over the last decade to investigate which micropollutants are most relevant in terms of 1) occurrence and 2) potential risk for the aquatic environment, 3) estimate the minimum number of data to be collected in monitoring studies to reliably obtain concentration estimates, and 4) provide recommendations for future monitoring campaigns. We highlight micropollutants to be prioritized due to their high occurrence and critical concentration levels compared to environmental quality standards. These top-listed micropollutants include contaminants from all chemical classes (pesticides, heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, personal care products, pharmaceuticals, and industrial and household chemicals). Analysis of over 30,000 event mean concentrations shows a large fraction of measurements (> 50%) were below the limit of quantification, stressing the need for reliable, standard monitoring procedures. High variability was observed among events and sites, with differences between micropollutant classes. The number of events required for a reliable estimate of site mean concentrations (error bandwidth of 1 around the "true" value) depends on the individual micropollutant. The median minimum number of events is 7 for CSO (2 to 31, 80%-interquantile) and 6 for SWO (1 to 25 events, 80%-interquantile). Our analysis indicates the minimum number of sites needed to assess global pollution levels and our data collection and analysis can be used to estimate the required number of sites for an urban catchment. Our data-

#### https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118968

Received 18 April 2022; Received in revised form 27 July 2022; Accepted 7 August 2022 Available online 9 August 2022

0043-1354/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Diibendorf 8600, Switzerland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Institute of Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, ETH Zürich, Zurich 8093, Switzerland

e Department of Civil Engineering, Institute for Urban Water Management, Technical University Kaiserslautern, Kaiserslautern 67663, Germany

Abbreviations: CAS, chemical abstracts service; CSO, combined sewer overflow; CV, coefficient of variation; EMC, event mean concentration; EQS, environmental quality standard; HM, heavy metals; LOQ, limit of quantification; PAH, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; PPCP, pharmaceuticals, personal care products; ROS, regression on order statistics; RQ, risk quotient; SMC, site mean concentration; SWO, stormwater outlets.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses*: lezomu@dtu.dk (L. Mutzner), Viviane.Furrer@eawag.ch (V. Furrer), hcastebrunet@gmail.com (H. Castebrunet), ulrich.dittmer@bauing.uni-kl. de (U. Dittmer), stephan.fuchs@kit.edu (S. Fuchs), wgernjak@icra.cat (W. Gernjak), marie-christine.gromaire@enpc.fr (M.-C. Gromaire), Andreas.Matzinger@kompetenz-wasser.de (A. Matzinger), psmi@dtu.dk (P.S. Mikkelsen), wrselbig@usgs.gov (W.R. Selbig), luve@dtu.dk (L. Vezzaro).

#### 1. Introduction

Large efforts have been made in the last decade to shed light on the occurrence of micropollutants in wet-weather flows from urban areas (i. e. discharges from combined sewer overflows - CSO and separate stormwater outlets - SWO). These monitoring activities are driven by the increasing awareness of the threat posed by micropollutants (also defined as chemicals of emerging concern, chemicals of mutual concern, priority substances, xenobiotics, etc.) to urban and peri-urban water bodies (Musolff et al., 2010; Schwarzenbach et al., 2006). Monitoring of micropollutants in wet-weather flows has multiple aims: (i) to identify the sources of micropollutants across urban areas, linking release processes to e.g. land use, climate and building materials (Burant et al., 2018; Gooré Bi et al., 2015; Kafi et al., 2008; Mutzner et al., 2020; Rippy et al., 2017; Wicke et al., 2021), (ii) to assess their threat to the water environment (Masoner et al., 2019; Nickel et al., 2021; Peter et al., 2020; Petrie, 2021) (iii) to quantify the loads of micropollutants discharged from urban areas at the catchment/city/river basin scale (Gasperi et al., 2012; Launay et al., 2016; Nickel et al., 2021; Wittmer et al., 2010; Zgheib et al., 2012), (iv) to assess the performance of pollution control strategies by e.g. quantifying the removal performance of stormwater control measures (Fairbairn et al., 2018; Sébastian et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2014), and (v) to issue discharge permits by local authorities (Jensen et al., 2020; e.g. Miljøstyrelsen, 2017, Miljøstyrelsen, 2013).

Micropollutant concentrations vary across urban areas (Gasperi et al., 2014; Mutzner et al., 2020; Rippy et al., 2017; Wicke et al., 2021). Moreover, micropollutants show a high variability over time due to the release and transport processes, mainly driven by rainfall (Gooré Bi et al., 2015). Hence, a great number of samples needs to be collected before knowledge of the pollution levels from a specific site is established with confidence (Bertrand-Krajewski et al., 2002; Burton and Pitt, 2001; McCarthy et al., 2018). Furthermore, uncertainty in sampling and chemical analysis affects the results. However, the high costs and resource requirements create barriers to extensive data collection. These practical limitations are reflected by the fact that single monitoring studies report a relatively small number of data, compared to other "traditional" pollutants, such as total suspended solids, phosphorus, or nitrogen (Lee et al., 2007; McCarthy et al., 2018; Métadier and Bertrand-Krajewski, 2012). Studies on micropollutants typically sample between 1 and 12 events (based on Spahr et al., 2020 and Table 1). Single published datasets, analyzed individually, do not allow broader conclusions on micropollutant occurrences in urban areas and the planning of optimal monitoring strategies targeting multiple sites. There are examples of review studies that collected and compiled measurements from different studies (Brudler et al., 2019; Göbel et al., 2007; Petrie, 2021; Spahr et al., 2020) but little focus has been put into an overarching statistical analysis of the datasets and its implications for future monitoring efforts.

Our study aims to gain new insights from past monitoring and improve the foundation for planning future monitoring campaigns targeting micropollutants in urban wet-weather flows. Our analysis builds upon a data-driven analysis of monitoring data collected from multiple sites over the last decade. Specifically, we (i) investigated the occurrence of micropollutants found in wet-weather flows; (ii) assessed the potential risk that they might pose to the water environment; and (iii) analyzed the variability of the collected data both across discharge events and sites to estimate an indicative number of events and sites needed for reliable information on micropollutant concentrations for individual sites as well as several urban catchments. Finally, we summarized these outcomes to (iv) provide recommendations for designing future monitoring programs that maximize the usefulness of the collected information. Overall, this study will create the basis for a more effective collection of data on micropollutants in wet-weather flows, enabling more effective management in tackling this environmental challenge.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Data on micropollutants in urban wet-weather flows

For our data analysis, we considered data from both urban wetweather discharge types: combined sewer overflows (CSO) from combined sewer systems and stormwater outlets (SWO), from separate sewer systems. We selected only datasets collected after 2010 and those for which we were able to get access to the raw data. The data and code are accessible via https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6808401.

We choose only datasets collected with composite sampling, meaning the collection of several sub-samples per event pooled together into a composite sample, and reported as Event Mean Concentration (EMC – the average concentration in a rainfall-runoff event). The analyzed EMC data were collected with time-, flow- or volume-proportional sampling (Table 1). In addition, we considered data from passive sampling designed for sampling of wet-weather events (Mutzner et al., 2019). Pooling data obtained from different sampling strategies limits comparability among studies and thus causes additional uncertainty (e.g. (McCarthy and Harmel, 2014)). However, given the few available datasets, we assume that the advantage of learnings from a collected dataset outweighs the disadvantages. Our study did not consider datasets with limited data representatively (e.g. grab sampling) or unclear sampling strategies.

The collected data originate from 77 sites (36 CSO, 41 SWO, Table 1) and include 683 events and 610 total micropollutants. While SWO data from three continents were (Europe, Australia, USA), the data for CSO are only from Europe. Some of the collected datasets also reported other water quality indicators (nutrients, pathogens), which were not included in the scope of this study.

#### Table 1

List of datasets with locations, number of sites, events, overflow type, and monitoring strategy.

#	Country	# sites	Type <sup>a</sup>	Sampling <sup>b</sup>	Reference
1	Germany	5	SWO	Vol-prop. C-WS	(Wicke et al., 2021)
2	US	21	SWO	Flow-prop C- WS	(Masoner et al., 2019)
3	Switzerland	22	CSO	Time-prop PS & C-WS	(Mutzner et al., 2020)
4	US	2	SWO	Flow-prop C- WS	(Burant et al., 2018)
5	France	2	SWO	Flow-prop C- WS & time-prop manual WS	(Gasperi et al., 2014)
6	France	1	SWO	Flow-prop C- WS	(Garnier, 2020; Gasperi et al., 2014; Sébastian et al., 2015)
7	Australia	8	SWO	Flow-prop C- WS	(Rippy et al., 2017)
8	Denmark	2	CSO, SWO	Vol. prop C-WS	Danish EPA ( Miljøstyrelsen, 2017, Miljøstyrelsen, 2013)
9	Germany	14	CSO, SWO	Vol. prop C-WS	(Nickel et al., 2021)

<sup>a</sup> CSO: combined sewer overflows, SWO: stormwater outlets.

<sup>b</sup> C-WS: Composite water sampling with an automated sampler, PS: Passive sampling (Mutzner et al., 2019).

The R package webchem (Szöcs et al., 2020) was used to find unique CAS (Chemical Abstracts Service) numbers for the micropollutants, as different names and CAS numbers were used across published studies. Nevertheless, there might be some overlap in the full list of 610 micropollutants. The full dataset was then cleaned by considering micropollutants at least found once higher than the limit of quantification (LOQ) and by a final manual check, leading to 297 micropollutants (including heavy metals). A total of 34,266 EMC observations (including those below LOQ) were considered in the analysis (Fig. 1 and SI Fig. A 1). The number of micropollutants found varies considerably among monitored sites and events, ranging from 13 to 214 micropollutants and 1 to 35 events per site (SI Fig. A 2).

#### 2.2. Sample preparation and chemical analysis

The studies listed in Table 1 are based on different sample preparation methods and chemical analyses. The detailed chemical analysis and preparation procedures can be found in the original publications (Table 1). For micropollutant concentrations that were measured as filtered (dissolved) and not-filtered (total), the total was taken for further calculations (heavy metals, hydrophobic chemicals). For compounds only measured in dissolved (not filtered) form, the dissolved concentration was taken. Accordingly, the Environmental Quality Standard (EQS) was also considered for the total concentration - see details in Section 2.4.

The differences in sample preparation and chemical analysis can influence the comparison among sites, which can falsely suggest a different emission situation due to differences in LOQ. LOQs vary among studies and measured micropollutant concentrations range from below ng/L to  $\mu$ g/L. A comparison of LOQ with EQS shows that for seven out of the nine considered studies LOQ was higher than EQS for selected micropollutants. For 40 micropollutants EQS was smaller than the corresponding LOQ for selected sites (for min. 1 – max. 30 sites, SI Figs. A 3

and A 4). In these cases, low concentrations close to EQS might not be detected. This highlights the challenge faced by existing chemical analysis methodologies in detecting relevant micropollutants in urban wet-weather flows.

# 2.3. Data pre-processing: summary statistics including values below the limit of quantification

The compiled datasets contain a large number of observations below LOQ, with 51.4% left-censored observations (see also Section 3.1). The data were pre-processed to estimate summary statistics taking into account left-censored observations (< LOQ). Micropollutants that were not found (below detection limit) in any samples were considered as "not applicable" (NA) in the data pre-processing when estimating summary statistics. When at least 3 EMC observations were available, and less than 80% of the data were left-censored, summary statistics were computed for all sites combined as well as for individual sites (Fig. 1). Summary statistics were computed by using regression on order statistics (ROS - as detailed in (Helsel, 2011)) based on the R package NADA (Lee, 2020): First, the data were log-transformed and then Weibull-type plotting positions of censored and uncensored observations were calculated. Linear regression was fitted to the uncensored observations. This regression model was then used to estimate the concentration of the observations below LOQ based on their normal quantiles. ROS was done for each site and micropollutant separately. A visual check of the results confirmed a good fit for the linear regression for most sites, with  $R^2 > 0.5$  and a significance of p<0.05 for 85% of all micropollutants per site. For each micropollutant and site, the ROS summary statistics mean was used as Site Mean Concentration SMCsite. The calculated SMCsite is thus the mean of the measured concentrations and it is not weighted with the volume of the event, as often done in other studies (e.g. McCarthy et al., 2018). The comparison of mean to median per micropollutant and site resulted in an 80%-interquantile



Fig. 1. Pre-processing steps and the resulting number of micropollutants, event mean concentration (EMC) and site mean concentration (SMC) observations used for the data analysis.

range of 0.96 to 2.3, confirming that for most micropollutants the data are skewed and a lognormal distribution is more suitable than a normal distribution (SI Fig. A 5). Overall, there were sufficient data for 223 micropollutants (30,659 EMC observations), which could be used to calculate summary statistics across all sites, while for 186 micropollutants, site-specific summary statistics  $SMC_{site}$  were possible (Fig. 1). Due to few observations and high censoring, no  $SMC_{site}$  summary statistics could be computed for 72% of the  $SMC_{site}$  observations. These measurements were only used to assess the contaminant occurrence at a site (Section 3.1), without concentration estimates. All calculations and graphics were done in R, 4.0.3 (R Core Team, 2020) using tidyverse (incl. ggplot2) and NADA (Lee, 2020; Wickham, 2016; Wickham et al., 2019).

#### 2.4. Micropollutants occurrences and risk quotients

Micropollutants were classified into five chemical classes with diverse urban sources ranging from household wastewater to street runoff (Table 2)

We assessed which micropollutants i) are often found if searched for per site (occurrence) and ii) are found in concentrations above exiting EQS (risk quotient), distinguishing between CSO and SWO.

We calculated the occurrence by looking at the number of sites where a substance was found at least once > LOQ: we then calculated occurrence as the 'number of detections at least once > LOQ at a site' and normalized it by the 'number of sites where the substance was searched' (Section 2.2 for discussion on LOQ variability).

The environmental risk was assessed by calculating a risk quotient (RQ) based on a precautionary 90%-percentile of the concentration over all sites (estimated from the ROS summary statistics), divided by chronic

#### Table 2

Classification of micropollutants into five chemical classes, urban source (wastewater or surface runoff) and exemplary list of micropollutants (full list with at least one value > LOQ in SI Table A 1).

Classification (number found)	Definition	Potential main urban sources	Micropollutants (examples)
HM (20)	Heavy metals	industrial/ household wastewater street runoff building runoff	Antimony, Cadmium, Chromium, Copper, Lead, Mercury, Nickel, Selenium, Silver, Strontium, Tin, Uranium, Zinc
Household/	Ubiquitous	industrial/	Acesulfame.
Industrial	chemicals:	household	Benzotriazole, Bisphenol
(81)	plasticizers, flame	wastewater	A, Caffeine, para-
	retardants,	street runoff	Nonylphenol, DEHP,
	corrosion inhibitors,		Nicotine, PFOS,
	disinfection		Diethylhexylphthalat,
	byproducts, wood		PBDE 153, PCB 149, Tri
	preservatives,		(2-butoxyethyl)
	consumer goods		phosphate
PAH (27)	Polycyclic aromatic	street runoff	Phenanthrene, Pyrene,
	hydrocarbons		Fluoranthene, Benzo
			[ghi]perylene, Benzo[a]
			pyrene, Benzo[b]
			fluoranthene,
Destiside (00)	Dlast sectors		Anthracene
Pesticide (99)	Plant protection	urban green	Atrazine, Carbendazim,
	products,	area runoif	Diuron, DEE1, Maconnon Matalashlar
	hisecticides,	Dullaing	Terbutture Disainer
	biocides, jungicides	runon	MCDA Fipropil
			Imidaclonrid
PPCP (72)	Pharmaceuticals	household	Diclofenac Cholesterol
1101 (/2)	nersonal care	wastewater	Acetaminonhen
	products and	wastewater	Triclosan beta-
	biomarkers		Sitosterol, Camphor
	Stoffminers		Acetophenone, 4-
			Nitrophenol

EQS for surface freshwaters. If no chronic EQS was available, acute EQS was used. Critical concentrations in receiving waters are assumed to potentially occur if  $RQ \ge 1$ , i.e. dilution in receiving waters is needed to avoid environmental impacts. The use of chronic EQS ensures a more conservative assessment, as chronic EQS are lower than acute EQS. Also, even though acute EQS would be more appropriate to judge the risk of a single discharge event, their definition (definition of event, sampling duration, etc.) remains unclear. The applied EOS originate from the EU Directive (annual average EQS), the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA=, and from national water quality standards from Denmark and Switzerland (EU Directive 2013/39, 2013; Miljø-, 2017; The Swiss Federal Council, 1998; US EPA, 2022). In addition, EQS recommended by the Swiss Ecotox Center were used (Swiss Centre Ecotox, 2021). If more than one EQS value was available, the lowest value was taken. EQS could be defined for 117 of the 297 micropollutants (SI Table A 2). For micropollutants without EQS no risk quotient was calculated and these micropollutants were not considered for the risk ranking (Section 3.2).

### 2.5. Resampling of measured EMC concentrations to estimate the number of events and sites needed

#### 2.5.1. Number of events needed for site mean concentration estimate

The number of events needed to reliably estimate a Site Mean Concentration ( $SMC_{site}$ ) depends on the variability at the specific site, i.e. the inter-event variability of measured EMCs ( $EMC_{site}$ ) (Bertrand-Krajewski et al., 2002; Burton and Pitt, 2001; McCarthy et al., 2018). This variability can be described by the coefficient of variation ( $CV_{EMC,site}$ ), calculated as the standard deviation of  $EMC_{site}$  divided by the mean of  $EMC_{site}$  (i.e.  $SMC_{site}$ , Fig. 2A). Differences among coefficient of variations per wet-weather discharge type and chemical class were evaluated with one-way ANOVA analysis, analyzed for homoscedasticity, and a Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test for pairwise comparisons was done in R (R Core Team, 2020).

We evaluated the effect of sampling a limited number of events by drawing subsets of events from the site-specific  $\text{EMC}_{\text{site}}$  lognormal distributions for a given micropollutant (obtained from the ROS summary statistics - Section 2.3). The following bootstrapping procedure was applied for each micropollutant for the second resampling approach (Fig. 2B):

- A population of 10,000 EMC<sub>site</sub> values for a given micropollutant and site *j* was generated from lognormal distributions. These lognormal distributed values were calculated with the R package EnvStats (Millard, 2013), using the mean of EMC<sub>site</sub> (SMC<sub>site</sub>) and the coefficient of variation of EMC<sub>site</sub> (CV<sub>EMC,site</sub>) from the ROS analysis.
- A subset of *n* random EMC<sub>site,n</sub> values were drawn from the population (step 1) without replacement, varying the number of drawn events *n* from 1 to 300.
- For each number of events *n* subset, the SMC<sub>j,n</sub> per site *j* was calculated and then compared to the "true" SMC<sub>site</sub>.
- 4. Step 2) and 3) were repeated 1,000 times, enabling the estimation of the 95% confidence interval of the ratio SMC<sub>j,n</sub>/SMC<sub>site</sub> (step 3) for different number of events *n* (grey points in Fig. 2B).
- 5. The error in the  $SMC_{j,n}$  estimation per number of drawn events was calculated by the error bandwidth of the 95%-confidence interval of  $SMC_{i,n}/SMC_{site}$  (red line in Fig. 2B).
- We identified the number of events needed to estimate SMC<sub>site</sub> based on an error band width of 0.5 and 1 (green arrows in Fig. 2B).

### 2.5.2. Number of sites needed for micropollutants emission estimate among sites

Urban catchments differ in aspects such as size, sewer system, topography, land use, and substance use. Therefore, there is an inherent variability among catchments and wet-weather discharge sites, which challenges the estimation of micropollutant emissions from wet-weather

#### A. Variability among events and sites **Events** 2 3 SMC<sub>tot</sub> 1 4 i ... EMC<sub>1,2</sub> 1 $EMC_{1.1}$ EMC<sub>13</sub> EMC<sub>1.4</sub> SMC<sub>1</sub> EMC<sub>2,i</sub> 2 EMC<sub>2,1</sub> EMC<sub>2,2</sub> EMC<sub>2,3</sub> EMC<sub>2,4</sub> EMC<sub>2,5</sub> SMC<sub>2</sub> Variability of SMC Sites Variability of EMC **CV**SMC SMC<sub>3</sub> 3 CV<sub>EMC,site</sub> SMC ... EMC<sub>i,1</sub> SMC<sub>i</sub> i EMC:

#### B. Re-sampling to estimate number of events



flows at the city/river catchment scale, where a large number of discharge sites is often present. Thus, an indication of the number of sites needed to account for this inter-site variability will help urban water managers to decide on future monitoring programs and allow model-based predictions. The estimation of a "typical" SMC range of a specific micropollutant in CSO and SWO requires a minimum number of sites to be monitored. For this analysis, the coefficient of variation  $CV_{SMC}$  was calculated based on the mean ( $SMC_{tot}$ ) and standard deviation of available  $SMC_{site}$  values, Fig. 2A). Data from SWO and CSO were analyzed separately and micropollutants with less than 3 sites with summary statistics were removed. This resulted in a total of 160  $SMC_{tot}$  (47 CSO and 113 SWO). The exploration of  $SMC_{site}$  indicated that the root-squared transformation (to avoid negative values of  $SMC_{site}$ ) of  $SMC_{site}$  per micropollutant follows a normal distribution. For the resampling of the sites we followed the following procedure:

- 1. For each micropollutant per SWO and CSO site a population of 10,000 random normal-distributed root-squared transformed  ${\rm SMC}_{\rm site}$  was generated
- 2. A subset of *j* random SMC<sub>site</sub> values was drawn from the population without replacement, varying the number of drawn sites *j* from 1 to 200.
- 3. For each *j*-th subset, the SMC<sub>tot,j</sub> value was calculated and then compared to SMC<sub>tot</sub>
- 4. Step 2) and 3) were repeated 1,000 times, enabling the estimation of the 95% confidence interval of the ratio SMC<sub>tot,j</sub>/SMC<sub>tot</sub> (step 3) for each site *j*.

**Fig. 2.** (A). Variability of  $\text{EMC}_{\text{site,event}}$  per site ( $\text{CV}_{\text{EMC}}$  in orange) and  $\text{SMC}_{\text{site}}$  ( $\text{CV}_{\text{SMC}}$  in green) among sites per micropollutant. The mean of all site specific SMC is  $\text{SMC}_{\text{tot}}$ . (B). The number of events *n* needed for a 'reliable' estimate of the site mean concentration ( $\text{SMC}_{\text{site}}$ ) was assessed by the 95%-Confidence Interval (scattered red solid line) of the 1,000  $_{\text{SMC}_{j,n}}/\text{SMC}_{\text{site}}$  for each number *n* of drawn events and error band width of 0.5 and 1 of the 95%-Confidence Interval. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

- 5. The error of each *j*-th SMC<sub>tot,j</sub> estimation was calculated based on the error band width of the 95%-confidence interval SMC<sub>tot,i</sub>/SMC<sub>tot</sub>.
- 6. We identified the number of sites needed to estimate  $SMC_{tot}$  for an error band width of 0.5 and 1.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Micropollutants occurrence in CSO and SWO

The percentage of left-censored values is higher in SWO with 57% censored EMC observations than 29% in CSO (Table 3). This difference in censoring could be explained by the larger number of micropollutants searched in SWO than in CSO (about 24,000 and 10,000 observations, respectively) including micropollutants that are rare in SWO (e.g. PPCPs).

Chemical classes differ in the number of searched micropollutants. Pesticides are the largest group of searched pollutants in SWO and household/industrial in CSO. The percentage of left-censored observations varies from 2% for HM in SWO to 83% for PPCPs in SWO. In general, there is a clear trend that chemical classes that are expected in wastewater (PPCPs, Household/Industrial) have fewer left-censored values in CSO than in SWO. HM are almost always found in both system types (SI Fig. A 1).

Some micropollutants were searched in almost all sites (CSO and SWO), as shown in Fig. 3 (full list in SI B). Diuron, for example, was found at 72 sites (34 CSO and 41 SWO), highlighting the importance of CSO and SWO contributions of this pesticide. Some micropollutants are

#### Table 3

Overview of analyzed data for CSO and SWO in comparison. Number of pollutants includes micropollutants found at least once >LOQ. Micropollutants never found were not considered in the analysis.

Chemical Class	CSO Number pollutants	EMC observations	Left-censored [%]	SWO Number pollutants	EMC observations	Left-censored [%]
HM	15	1,996	8	18	2,767	2
Household/Industrial	36	1,805	32	64	5,241	58
PAH	24	2,748	17	20	3,345	35
Pesticide	28	2,412	56	96	7,454	68
PPCP	14	1,216	32	71	5,282	83
Total	117	10,177	29	269	24,089	57



Fig. 3. Top 80 micropollutants ranked by the highest number of total sites found at least once > LOQ (CSO and SWO sites summed). The data are wrapped into two panels with 40 micropollutants each. The labels on the bars show the percentage of found/searched, with 100% meaning that the micropollutant was found at all searched sites. Micropollutants with labels in orange have EQS, for the ones labeled in black no EQS were given in the used regulations and recommendations. Full list of micropollutants and ranking based on percentage found/searched shown in SI B. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

predominantly found in CSO, such as pharmaceuticals from domestic wastewater (Carbamazepine, Diclofenac, and Triclosan). Nevertheless, micropollutants typically associated with domestic wastewater are also found in SWO (Carbamazepine or Diclofenac). This suggests connections of household wastewater to storm sewers, leaky sewer systems, or illegal dumping. Also, some pesticides such as Mecoprop, Metolachlor, and Terbutryn are detected more often in CSO than in SWO. The detection frequency is linked to country and catchment-specific use patterns: for example, Terbutryn was searched but never found in SWOs in Australia, or Fipronil was found only in SWO in the United States. Hence, countryspecific patterns influence the selection of micropollutants for monitoring.

Among the 80 most found micropollutants, 26 do not have EQS (marked black in Fig. 3), and no evaluation of the risk quotient was

possible (Section 3.2). On the other hand, of the 40 most found micropollutants almost all have EQS available. Overall, 180 micropollutants found at least once > LOQ have no EQS values. Micropollutants often found can be important to consider for future monitoring and regulators, especially when they show a high occurrence (list of found/searched in SI B). The results of this graph depend on what has been looked for in the first place. For instance, Cholesterol has only been looked for in SWO, but most likely it would be present in all CSO sites.

#### 3.2. Top list of micropollutants based on occurrences and risk quotient

For many sites, micropollutants were detected, but in an insufficient number of events to allow the estimation  $SMC_{site}$ , as among the over 34,000 EMC observations 51.4% were left-censored. Moreover, in some

studies, EQS values were below their corresponding LOQ for 40 micropollutants, hitting a maximum of 30 sites with LOQ < EQS for Estradiol (SI Fig. A 4).

Comparing occurrence with the risk quotient (RQ) allows to prioritize micropollutants to be considered in future monitoring campaigns and regulations (Fig. 4): 31 CSO and 31 SWO micropollutants showed high occurrence (found in over > 50% of the sites where they were searched for) and a RQ bigger than one (upper right grass-green zone in, Fig. 4). Among these top-listed micropollutants, the top-ten micropollutants in CSO are (from the highest RQ): cypermethrin, ibuprofen, benzo[a]pyrene, estradiol, copper, zinc, pyrene, fluoranthene, estrone, PFOS. In SWO the top-ten micropollutants are benzo[a]pyrene, fluoranthene, pyrene, copper, zinc, mercury, benzo[b]fluoranthene, chrysene, benzo[ghi]perylene, dibenz[ah]antracene. Thus, the highest RQ are found for metals and PAHs, with CSO sites additionally adding selected pesticides, PPCPs, and Household/Industrial.

Our ranking, based on measurements at the discharge point, overlaps with studies investigating measured concentrations and environmental risk in receiving waters. The analysis of Johnson et al. (2017) identified copper, aluminium, zinc, ethinylestradiol, linearalkylbenzene sulfonate, triclosan, manganese, iron, methomyl, and chlorpyrifos as the micropollutants posing the highest risk for aquatic organisms in UK rivers. Although these data are limited to one country (the UK, not included in our analysis) and not necessarily collected during wet-weather conditions, this confirms that some critical micropollutants (e.g. copper, zinc, and chloropyrifos) have a relevant source in urban wet-weather flows.

Our data-based ranking also overlaps with a previous assessment based on micropollutants sources and inherent properties. The recommendation for SWO monitoring by Eriksson et al. (2007) included: metals (cadmium, chromium, copper, nickel, lead, platinium, and zinc), PAHs (naphthalene, pyrene, benzo[a]pyrene), pesticides (pendimethalin, phenmedipham, pentachlorophenol, glyphosate, and terbuthylazine) and household/industrial (nonylphenol ethoxylates, DEHP, PCB-28, and methyl tert-butyl ether). This has a high agreement for metals, some PAHs, and household/industrial (Fig. 4). However, we calculated RQ<0.1 for glyphosate and naphthalene. Phenmedipham, PCB-28, and methyl tert-butyl ether were not searched for in the analyzed studies, while no EQS values were available for pendimethalin (found at 46% of SWO sites).

The highlighted micropollutants with RQ>1 cover different chemical classes (pesticides, household, industrial, PAHs, heavy metals, and PPCPs, Fig. 5) showing how various urban sources, ranging from household and industrial wastewater to street runoff, potentially contribute to environmental impacts. Based on life-cycle analysis, a previous study indicated that 97% of the ecotoxicity impacts from SWO discharges can be attributed to heavy metals (Brudler et al., 2019). Our analysis, based on the comparison against EQS, confirms that heavy metals are relevant, but also that additional micropollutants are relevant when looking at all potential environmental impacts of wet-weather flows.

Overall, when monitoring CSOs and SWOs to assess environmental risks, a comprehensive micropollutants list of 31 for CSO and SWO can be prioritized (RQ>1 and occurrence > 50%, Fig. 4), under consideration of country and site-specific contaminant bans or detection of illicit discharges. The comparison of micropollutants with RQ>1 for CSO and SWO (Fig. 5) shows that 22 micropollutants are relevant for both systems. PPCPs are mainly relevant in CSO: diclofenac, ibuprofen, estradiol, estrone. Several pesticides have a high RQ>1 in SWO only: carbendazim, imidacloprid, chlorpyrifos, pentachlorophenol. However, these pesticides also occur in CSO, and in selected sites concentrations can be higher than EQS. While most of the highlighted micropollutants were found at a large number of SWO and CSO sites (Fig. 3), others such as the pesticides diflufenican and cypermethrin were measured and found only at two CSO sites. Hence, increased data collection could confirm the relevance of these micropollutants. be highly relevant but do not have an EQS yet. This can be the case for micropollutants with high occurrence shown in Fig. 3 (e.g., some PFAS, organophosphates or nicotine) or micropollutants that have not yet been reported in stormwater. In addition, the risk quotient does not take into account transformation products, which in some cases could be more toxic than initial compounds. Therefore, if the focus of a monitoring campaign lies in identifying urban sources, the above top list can be extended with micropollutants showing high occurrence.

#### 3.3. The variability of wet-weather flows informs monitoring strategy

#### 3.3.1. Variability among events and sites

Variability among events. The measured EMCs are highly variable among events, with CV<sub>EMC,site</sub> 80%-interquantile ranging from 0.3 to 1.3 for SWO and 0.3 to 1.4 for CSO (median SWO: 0.6, median CSO: 0.7). This variability among events is associated with the inherent variability due to rainfall, substance use patterns, sources, and urban land use (Gasperi et al., 2014; Mutzner et al., 2020; Rippy et al., 2017; Wicke et al., 2021). Although our analysis used datasets with experimentally reliable procedures (sampling and chemical analysis), the influence of data quality on the observed variability cannot be fully excluded. Our estimates of CV<sub>EMC</sub> for micropollutants are lower than those reported for traditional pollutants (CV<sub>EMC</sub> total suspended solids: 1.8, conductivity: 1.6, COD: 1.1, total nitrogen: 1.3 in Lee et al., 2007) in SWO. Also, McCarthy et al. (2018) found a higher variability with CV<sub>EMC</sub> values of 0.7 to 1.3 for total nitrogen and 1.4 to 2.4 for total suspended solids. The higher CV<sub>EMC</sub> for total suspended solids than total nitrogen and micropollutants in our study is an indication that the variability is pollutant specific. However, more events per site will need to be collected as we cannot exclude that the lower number of monitored events leads to the lower  $CV_{EMC}$  in our study. The variability of  $CV_{EMC}$  might be different if more events had been monitored. However, no clear trend between the number of measured events and CV<sub>EMC</sub> was found (SI Fig. A 6), and it is thus difficult to estimate what the "true"  $CV_{EMC}$  would be.

A one-way ANOVA of CV<sub>EMC</sub> for SWO versus CSO sites indicates significant differences (p = 0.003, SI A Section 2.1). Also, the median CV<sub>EMC</sub> is slightly higher for CSO, which could be explained by the mixing of storm and wastewater and the temporal storage of flows, adding additional variability. Hence, the minimum number of events to sample for reliable results is slightly different for SWO and CSO (Section 3.3.2). The comparison of  $CV_{EMC}$  with chemical classes shows slight differences for both SWO and CSO (Fig. 6A and p<0.001 in one-way ANOVA, SI A Section 2.2). In the case of SWO, a Tukey comparison shows that this difference is mainly due to pesticides versus heavy metals, and household/industrial chemicals. Heavy metals (0.54) and household/industrial (0.59) related micropollutants in SWO have lower  $CV_{EMC}$  than pesticides (0.79). The higher  $CV_{EMC}$  for pesticides may be related to the local variability in usage, sources, rainfall, and seasonality for pesticides. In addition, the Tukey comparison shows differences between PAH and heavy metals for SWO, which is not the case for CSO. For CSO the differences among CV<sub>EMC</sub> are due to pesticides versus heavy metals, household/industrial and PAHs. Pesticides in CSO have a higher  $CV_{EMC}$  of. 0.80 than other chemical classes with  $CV_{EMC}$  ranging from 0.62 (household/industrial), 0.66 (PPCP, PAH) to heavy metals (0.67).

*Variability among sites*. Sufficient information was available (more than two sites with  $SMC_{site}$ ) to calculate  $SMC_{tot}^*$  for 48 micropollutants for CSO and 117 for SWO. (Fig. 6B). The median  $CV_{SMC}$  was higher for SWO (0.9) than for CSO (0.6) (SI Fig. A 13). An ANOVA analysis shows a significant difference between  $CV_{SMC}$  for CSO versus SWO (p = 0.01, SI A Section 3.1). The larger variability for SWO might be explained by the higher variability of storm-driven micropollutants due to differences in catchment size, land use, and substance use. While not evaluated as part of this study, future research could include these and other watershed characteristics to determine their influence, if any, on resulting pollutant concentrations. The variability among sites is also influenced by systematic differences among sampling strategies and chemical analysis in



Fig. 4. Comparison of occurrence (fraction of sites where each contaminant was found at least once >LOQ) against risk quotient (90%-percentile of the observed EMCs across all sites divided by the chronic EQS) for CSO (A) and SWO (B).



**Fig. 5.** Comparison of micropollutants with RQ>1 and occurrence >50% for SWO (green) and CSO (violet) according to chemical classes. The numbers at the top of the circle show the number of micropollutants in each group. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

the studies, which could induce additional variability among sites. However, the variability among sites could in part also be caused by the few events collected for some sites. Among continents, minor differences among CV<sub>SMC</sub> for SWO were found (Median CV<sub>SMC</sub> Europe: 0.69, Australia: 0.64, United States: 0.74, SI Fig. A 18) with no significant differences revealed by an ANOVA analysis. The continent-specific CV<sub>SMC</sub> is lower than the overall CV<sub>SMC</sub> for SWO of 0.9, showing that local differences influence CV<sub>SMC</sub>. Hence, a lower variability can be expected for a catchment or country-specific study. The comparison of CV<sub>SMC</sub> highlights distinct differences among chemical classes, showing larger variability among sites for certain classes (Fig. 6B). The one-way ANOVA analysis for SWO versus chemical classes (p=0.0001, SI A Section 3.2) shows that PAHs have a large influence on the observed differences, with a high median  $CV_{SMC}$  (PAHs: 1.6 and HM: 1.2). A previous study in the US showed that although the range of concentrations can vary, commercial parking lots and residential driveways exceed all other source areas, often by one or two orders of magnitude (Selbig, 2009). In the case of CSO, pesticides have a higher median CV<sub>SMC</sub> (1.05) and the differences in the one-way ANOVA analysis (p=0.006) are attributed to pesticides versus PAHs. The observed differences in  $CV_{SMC}$  could also be related to the smaller sample size for pesticides and more data would be needed to clarify the observed patterns.

#### 3.3.2. How many events should be sampled?

The resampling results show the dependency between the number of events to be sampled and  $CV_{EMC}$  (Fig. 7A), with an increasing number of events needed for higher variability in EMC. As the  $CV_{EMC}$  depends on the chemical class (Fig. 6), the number of events to be sampled is different depending on the analyzed micropollutant (SI A Section 2.3). Based on our resampling procedure, a median number of events of 7 results for CSO (80%-interquantile of 2 to 31 events for all analyzed  $CV_{EMC}$ , Fig. 7A1) and 6 for SWO (80%-interquantile of 1 to 25 events for all analyzed  $CV_{EMC}$ , Fig. 7A1). If the error band width is set to 0.5, the median number of events increases to 28 and 25 for CSO and SWO, respectively (Fig. 7A2). However, there is a large variability among the

number of required events depending on the analyzed site and thus, Fig. 7 can be used to determine the number of required events based on local conditions and substance selection.

In comparison, McCarthy et al. (2018) estimated a higher average number of required events for traditional pollutants in SWO of 27 (total suspended solids), 12 (E. coli), and total nitrogen (11) (error band width of 1). A theoretical calculation assuming normally distributed data by Burton and Pitt (2001) estimated 20 events for a CV<sub>EMC</sub> of 0.4 (error band width of 0.5), which is higher than our estimate of a median of 10 events for a  $CV_{EMC}$  of 0.4. A potential explanation for the lower number of events in our study could be the difference in methodology, where we assume that the data are log-normal distributed. Moreover, even though the studies considered in our analysis are among the most comprehensive found in literature, the number of measured events was lower than the estimated required events for 40% of the SMCsite observations (SI Fig. A 10). Thus, our estimation of the number of events to be monitored may be biased. Moreover, our analysis used only data from sites with sufficient data points for statistical inference. In case of a large number of censored data points, more events would be needed to determine a reliable SMC<sub>Site</sub>.

#### 3.3.3. How many sites should be sampled?

The number of sites required to obtain a reliable estimate of the range of  $SMC_{site}$  considering the full dataset used in this study 4 sites for CSO (80%-interquantile of 2 to 16) and 10 sites for SWO (80%-interquantile of 3 to 22) for an error band width of 1 around  $SMC_{tot}$  (Fig. 7B1). The number of required sites increases considerably for an error band width of 0.5 around  $SMC_{tot}$ , with 18 CSO and 41 SWO sites (SI A Section 3.3). The differences between CSO and SWO sites relate to the higher variability of  $CV_{SMC}$  among SWO sites (Section 3.3.1). However, considering only one continent, the median number of required sites is lower due to a lower  $CV_{SMC}$  per continent (0.6 to 0.74) than the overall  $CV_{SMC}$  of 0.9. Moreover, the required number of sites is limited and which is subject to different environmental regulations, climatic conditions, use patterns, and design criteria.

These results have to be interpreted carefully, as 43% of all micropollutants have fewer sites measured than would be necessary according to our analysis for a reliable estimate of SMC<sub>tot</sub> (SI Fig. A 17). This is especially the case for SWO where 66 out of 117 micropollutants (56%) have not enough sites monitored. In the case of CSO, this is the case for only 6 micropollutants out of 48 (13%). In general, more sites per micropollutant have been monitored for CSO than SWO (median 12 CSO sites and 8 CSO sites). In conclusion, the obtained results for CSO seem to be more reliable than for SWO and the number of required sites is lower for CSO than SWO. Here, the importance of monitoring a sufficient number of sites is shown, which will enable a model estimate of pollution levels for an urban catchment or river stretch. However, there are some substance-specific considerations. Micropollutants with high  $CV_{SMC}$  require a much larger number of sites, with sometimes more sites needed for CSO than for SWO.

For instance, the estimation of SMC<sub>tot</sub> for diuron requires the monitoring of 25 CSO and 14 SWO sites. This also shows how, for selected contaminants, the number of sites to monitor is significantly higher than our average values reported above. These high site numbers may also indicate micropollutants with high variations linked to regional or land use patterns. For example, Wicke et al. (2021) high-lighted high differences in building-based biocides diuron, and mecoprop between French and German sites, probably due to regional product preferences. In these cases, the estimation of a minimum number of sites is very challenging based on the currently available data.

# 3.4. Discussing the future of monitoring micropollutants in wet-weather flows

Based on the survey of data from the monitoring campaigns that have



Fig. 6. Coefficient of variation of (A) the EMC ( $CV_{EMC}$ ) across events per micropollutant group and (B) the SMC ( $CV_{SMC}$ ) across all sites per micropollutant group. The white line shows the Median. Violin plots show the distribution of the values. The labels above the violin plots give the sample sizes (of  $CV_{EMC}$  and  $CV_{SMC}$ ) for each micropollutant group, and the points show individual CV data.

been published in the last decade, and their outcomes, we identified several learnings for future monitoring campaigns and regulations of wet-weather flows:

Which substances should we measure and regulate?

1. Our data-driven analysis highlights micropollutants with high occurrence and risk quotient to focus on in regulatory and research monitoring campaigns, thus ensuring that efforts and resources are maximized. Clearly, this general list will need to be adapted to the local conditions, as the prioritization could be affected by site-specific factors, such as industrial production and land usage, use habits, and country-specific regulations. The analyzed data are based on a selected, developed countries and the transferability of the results to other countries depends on local product preferences and use patterns. Also, the top-listed micropollutants are subject to change over time as new products are brought to the market, while others are substituted or banned. This is especially relevant for micropollutants used in household products and found in domestic wastewater where product composition and usage pattern can change over time. For mainly stormwater-based micropollutants the

evolution over time is buffered, as building materials or vehicles create a stock of micropollutants.

- 2. Selected non-target screening for future stormwater quality monitoring is needed to update the top list with new micropollutants of emerging concern such as the tire-rubber chemical 6-PPD-quinone (Tian et al., 2021). Moreover, access to consumer data and communication with product regulators could lead to targeted monitoring of micropollutants of potential concern.
- 3. Micropollutants are often grouped into chemical classes. However, the top lists from our study show the occurrence of micropollutants belonging to different groups. This suggests that monitoring efforts should target different classes, as one class does not cover the whole toxicity spectrum and/or the diversity of urban sources. Also, to reduce the resource requirements, it is beneficial to identify "indicator micropollutants" (i.e. proxies of other substances) that are representative of specific sources, transport mechanisms, environmental behavior and/or land usages to reduce analytical efforts in case of limited resources (Launay et al., 2016; Mutzner et al., 2020). Although this was not performed in this study, the collected data can provide the information basis for such future analysis.



**CV**SMC

**Fig. 7.** (A). Estimated number of events needed for an error band width of 1 (A1, top left) and 0.5 (A2, top right) in the estimated SMC<sub>site</sub> (95% confidence interval) versus  $CV_{EMC}$ . Each point represents a  $CV_{EMC}$  observation for a specific micropollutant at a specific site. The median  $CV_{EMC}$  is given on top of the  $CV_{EMC}$  histogram of A1 and the estimated median number of events is next to the histogram of the number of events (vertical axis). Results for vertical axis scale 1 to 100 events are shown in SI Fig. A 19. (B). Estimated number of sites needed for an error band width of 1 (B1, bottom left) and 0.5 (B2, bottom right) in SMC<sub>tot</sub> (95% confidence interval) versus  $CV_{SMC}$ . Each point represents a  $CV_{SMC}$  for a specific micropollutant across all sites. The median  $CV_{SMC}$  is given on top of the  $CV_{SMC}$  histogram of B1 and the estimated median number of sites (global scale for the full dataset) is on the right of the histogram of the number of sites (horizontal axis). All histograms pool data from SWO and CSO.

How much should we measure?

- 1. Micropollutants at concentrations of potential risk for aquatic organisms are found in both CSO and SWO. Thus, the assessment of surface water status requires the consideration of both wet weather fluxes.
- 2. The analysis indicates the number of events for wet-weather flow monitoring of CSO (2 to 31 for events 80%-interquantile range, median 7) and SWO (1 to 25 events for 80%-interquantile range, median 6) that need to be monitored to draw reliable conclusions (error band width of 1) for  $SMC_{site}$ . For selected chemical classes (pesticides for CSO and SWO, PAHs for SWO) or expected high variability ( $CV_{EMC}$ ) the number of events needs to be chosen higher. Moreover, also for individual micropollutants, the number of events can differ considerably from the estimated median number of events (SI Fig. A 11), and Fig. 7 can be used to estimate the number of events.
- 3. The observed high number of censored values in the collected data (<50%) stresses the importance to redesign future wet-weather monitoring programs by improving current sample preparation and chemical analysis. This is highlighted by a considerable number of micropollutants (40 of 117), where EQS was smaller than LOQ in some studies.
- 4. Reliable sampling and chemical analysis are essential for robust statistical inference. For example, the variability among events estimated in our work (expressed as  $CV_{EMC}$ ) was lower than previously reported for traditional pollutants (Lee et al., 2007; McCarthy et al., 2018). While there is some indication that the variability is pollutant specific, we do not know if the lower variability in our study is also related to the small number of events monitored at some sites.
- 5. Most urban catchments and surface waters have a large number of wet-weather discharge sites, thus we need to know how many sites would need to be monitored to allow a model prediction for all

discharge sites. Based on our full dataset covering different countries, our analysis indicates that a minimum of 4 CSO (80%-interquantile of 2 to 16) and 10 SWO (80%-interquantile of 3 to 22) sites need to be monitored to estimate a typical range of  $SMC_{tot}$  (an error band width of 1). However, the required number of sites depends on the catchment of interest for which typically the number of sites is limited and local conditions regarding environmental regulations, climatic conditions, use patterns, and design criteria are more constant. Hence, the required minimum number of sites gives the first indication if there is a lack of local monitoring data. Generally, a monitoring program has to be planned carefully to cover a diversity of typical land-use types, especially for chemical classes with high variability among sites (PAH, heavy metals, pesticides).

6. The concept of SMC implies the hypothesis of stationarity of the pollutant release processes throughout the monitoring period. However, pollutant sources in the catchment can change due to e.g. changes in the human activities/industries in the catchment, banning of specific substances, etc. This stresses the importance of adapting the monitoring program to the knowledge of the pollutant sources in the catchment.

#### What else do we need to take into account?

- 1. In our risk evaluation we compared Event Mean Concentrations (EMC) with EQS for surface waters. However, most EQS values are not designed for short-term (minutes to hours) wet-weather flows and not all micropollutants with high occurrence have EQS values. Therefore, new risk assessment tools, specifically targeting wetweather flows, are needed to evaluate the results of monitoring efforts. In addition, EMC values lump intra-event variability, neglecting possible ecotoxicology impacts due to peak concentrations. Also, monitoring data in high-temporal resolution would be needed to evaluate peak concentrations. Our analysis is based on EQS values, for future work also other approaches could be considered that complement or substitute the EQS approach. Moreover, the risk quotient shows the required dilution factor in the receiving water and not the actual eco-toxicological risk.
- 2. There is a clear need for the organization of available and future data according to the FAIR data principles (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable, as outlined in Wilkinson et al., 2016 and www. go-fair.org/fair-principles/). Our analysis thoroughly scanned the literature looking for suitable datasets. However, for some published datasets, raw data were not findable, and/nor accessible (e.g. corresponding authors did not reply to our inquires). Therefore, there are potentially more datasets of interest that could contribute to refining our conclusions. Not all the datasets included in this study were immediately accessible, and they required extensive pre-processing before our analysis could be performed. Moreover, we did not report and analyze catchment characteristics due to large differences and subjectivity in the reported land usage, which is a challenge for a systematic comparison among sites.
- 3. Careful assessment of available resources is essential before undertaking new monitoring campaigns, to ensure that collected data are sufficient to draw reliable conclusions. Given these considerable challenges related to monitoring micropollutants in wet-weather flows, the involvement of experienced observatories and research teams in monitoring programs is beneficial.

#### 4. Conclusions

Our analysis of data from past monitoring efforts, covering 77 wetweather discharge sites, showed that:

• The collected data has a large number of observations below LOQ (> 50%) and 40 micropollutants with cases of EQS below LOQ. Future monitoring outcomes can be greatly improved with the definition of

minimum standards for monitoring wet-weather discharges (sampling technique, number of events), and suitable chemical analysis of micropollutants by considering expected concentrations. Moreover, the selection of top-listed micropollutants found in higher concentrations (e.g.  $\mu$ g/L range) will enable a reliable assessment if chemical analysis resources are not sufficient for lower concentration ranges.

- Micropollutants from all considered chemical classes (heavy metals, household/industrial, PAH, pesticides, pharmaceuticals, and personal care products) and type of wet-weather discharge (CSO or SWO) were measured at concentrations above EQS for surface waters. Hence, a thorough monitoring campaign covering different chemical classes and both wet-weather discharge types (if relevant in the specific catchment) would allow to assess environmental impact for receiving waters. If resources are limited, the total number of monitored micropollutants could be reduced to those identified in the top list (after consideration of local, regional, and national use patterns).
- The number of events (and sites) needed to draw reliable conclusions depends on the micropollutants concentration variability, with more events needed if a micropollutant is expected to show highly variable concentrations. For future monitoring campaigns we, therefore, propose to carefully consider if available resources are sufficient to draw reliable conclusions. In case of limited resources, an option would be to select indicator micropollutants with high occurrence. Moreover, future data analysis is needed to determine if more sites or more observations per site given a fixed budget produce reliable results for an urban catchment. Measuring more sites would lead to higher costs due the monitoring equipment needed for each site.
- Our analysis highlights the high variability of micropollutant levels in wet-weather flows from urban areas. Larger datasets would enable future analyses, such as exploring the factors (e.g. climatic and catchment specific characteristics) behind this variability, potentially predicting the expected pollution levels and the corresponding environmental impacts in the receiving water bodies. Collecting sufficient data requires considerable resources, which are beyond what single monitoring activities can typically afford. Hence, there is a global need for a minimum standard reporting, and for promoting a broader sharing of past and future measurements according to FAIR principles. The data and code used in this work can be accessed on https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6808401.

#### Funding

Lena Mutzner was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation [grant number P2EZP2\_187913]

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Data availability

Data are shared in a Zenodo repository (https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.6808401).

#### Acknowledgments

This study could not be carried out without the contribution of the numerous researchers and funding agencies worldwide that collected the monitoring data and made them publicy available to us and the research community. In addition, we thank Roland Löwe (Technical University of Denmark) for valuable discussions on the data analysis. We

Water Research 223 (2022) 118968

thank two anonymous reviewers as well as Michelle Nott and Geneva Chong for their feedback. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

#### Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.watres.2022.118968.

#### References

- Bertrand-Krajewski, J.L., Barraud, S., Bardin, J.P., 2002. Uncertainties, performance indicators and decision aid applied to stormwater facilities. Urban Water 4, 163–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1462-0758(02)00016-X.
- Brudler, S., Rygaard, M., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., Hauschild, M.Z., Ammitsøe, C., Vezzaro, L., Ammitsoe, C., Vezzaro, L., 2019. Pollution levels of stormwater discharges and resulting environmental impacts. Sci. Total Environ. 663, 754–763. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.388.
- Burant, A., Selbig, W., Furlong, E.T., Higgins, C.P., 2018. Trace organic contaminants in urban runoff: associations with urban land-use. Environ. Pollut. 242, 2068–2077. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.06.066.
- Burton Jr., G.A., Pitt, R., 2001. Stormwater Effects Handbook: A toolbox for watershed managers, scientists, and engineers. CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/ 9781420036244.
- Eriksson, E., Baun, A., Scholes, L., Ledin, A., Ahlman, S., Revitt, M., Noutsopoulos, C., Mikkelsen, P.S., 2007. Selected stormwater priority pollutants — a European perspective. Sci. Total Environ. 383, 41–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. SCITOTENV.2007.05.028.
- EU Directive 2013/39, 2013. Directive 2013/39/EU amending Directives 2000/60/EC and 2008/105/EC as regards priority substances in the field of water policy [WWW Document]. URL https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/dir/2013/39/oj (accessed 2.21.22).
- Fairbairn, D.J., Elliott, S.M., Kiesling, R.L., Schoenfuss, H.L., Ferrey, M.L., Westerhoff, B. M., 2018. Contaminants of emerging concern in urban stormwater: spatiotemporal patterns and removal by iron-enhanced sand filters (IESFs). Water Res. 145, 332–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.08.020.
- Garnier, R., 2020. Systèmes alternatifs de gestion des eaux pluviales: Contribution à l 'analyse de performances conjointes en matière d'hydrologie quantitative et de piégeage de micropol- luants. Comparaison systèmes à la source – système centralisé. Institut National des Sciences Appliquées de Lyon (INSA-Lyon). https://tel.arch ives-ouvertes.fr/tel-03156850.
- Gasperi, J., Sebastian, C., Ruban, V., Delamain, M., Percot, S., Wiest, L., Mirande, C., Caupos, E., Demare, D., Diallo Kessoo, M., Saad, M., Schwartz, J.J., Dubois, P., Fratta, C., Wolff, H., Moilleron, R., Chebbo, G., Cren, C., Millet, M., Barraud, S., Gromaire, M.C., Kessoo, M.D.K., Saad, M., Schwartz, J.J., Dubois, P., Fratta, C., Wolff, H., Moilleron, R., Chebbo, G., Cren, C., Millet, M., Barraud, S., Gromaire, M. C., Diallo Kessoo, M., Saad, M., Schwartz, J.J., Dubois, P., Fratta, C., Wolff, H., Moilleron, R., Chebbo, G., Cren, C., Millet, M., Barraud, S., Gromaire, M. C., Diallo Kessoo, M., Saad, M., Schwartz, J.J., Dubois, P., Fratta, C., Wolff, H., Moilleron, R., Chebbo, G., Cren, C., Millet, M., Barraud, S., Gromaire, M.C., 2014. Micropollutants in urban stormwater: occurrence, concentrations, and atmospheric contributions for a wide range of contaminants in three French catchments. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 21, 5267–5281. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-2396-01
- Gasperi, J., Zgheib, S., Cladière, M., Rocher, V., Moilleron, R., Chebbo, G., 2012. Priority pollutants in urban stormwater: part 2 - case of combined sewers. Water Res. 46, 6693–6703. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.09.041.
- Göbel, P., Dierkes, C., Coldewey, W.G., 2007. Storm water runoff concentration matrix for urban areas. J. Contam. Hydrol. 91, 26–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jconhyd.2006.08.008.
- Gooré Bi, E., Monette, F., Gasperi, J., 2015. Analysis of the influence of rainfall variables on urban effluents concentrations and fluxes in wet weather. J. Hydrol. 523, 320–332. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.01.017.
- Helsel, D.R., 2011. Statistics for Censored Environmental Data using Minitab and R. Wiley. ISBN: 978-1-118-16276-7.
- Jensen, D.M.R., Thomsen, A.T.H., Larsen, T., Egemose, S., Mikkelsen, P.S., 2020. From EU directives to local stormwater discharge permits: a study of regulatory uncertainty and practice gaps in Denmark. Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.3390/ SU12166317 (Switzerland).
- Johnson, A.C., Donnachie, R.L., Sumpter, J.P., Jürgens, M.D., Moeckel, C., Pereira, M.G., 2017. An alternative approach to risk rank chemicals on the threat they pose to the aquatic environment. Sci. Total Environ. 599–600, 1372–1381. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.039.
- Kafi, M., Gasperi, J., Moilleron, R., Gromaire, M.C., Chebbo, G., 2008. Spatial variability of the characteristics of combined wet weather pollutant loads in Paris. Water Res. 42, 539–549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.08.008.
- Launay, M.A., Dittmer, U., Steinmetz, H., 2016. Organic micropollutants discharged by combined sewer overflows – characterisation of pollutant sources and stormwaterrelated processes. Water Res. 104, 82–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. watres.2016.07.068.
- Lee, H., Swamikannu, X., Radulescu, D., Kim, S., Stenstrom, M.K., 2007. Design of stormwater monitoring programs. Water Res. 41 (18), 4186–4196. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.watres.2007.05.016.
- Lee, L., 2020. NADA: Nondetects and Data Analysis for Environmental Data. R package, 1.6-1.1. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=NADA.

- Masoner, J.R., Kolpin, D.W., Cozzarelli, I.M., Barber, L.B., Burden, D.S., Foreman, W.T., Forshay, K.J., Furlong, E.T., Groves, J.F., Hladik, M.L., Hopton, M.E., Jaeschke, J.B., Keefe, S.H., Krabbenhoft, D.P., Lowrance, R., Romanok, K.M., Rus, D.L., Selbig, W. R., Williams, B.H., Bradley, P.M., 2019. Urban stormwater: an overlooked pathway of extensive mixed contaminants to surface and groundwaters in the United States. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53 (17), 10070–10081. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. est.9b02867.
- McCarthy, D., Harmel, D., 2014. Quality assurance/quality control in stormwater sampling. In: Zhang, C., Mueller, J.F., Mortimer, M.R. (Eds.), Quality Assurance & Quality Control of Environmental Field Samples. Future Medicine Ltd, London UK, pp. 98–127. https://doi.org/10.4155/EBO.13.475.
- McCarthy, D.T., Zhang, K., Westerlund, C., Viklander, M., Bertrand-krajewski, J.-L., Fletcher, T.D., Deletic, A., 2018. Assessment of sampling strategies for estimation of site mean concentrations of stormwater pollutants. Water Res. 129, 297–304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.001.
- Métadier, M., Bertrand-Krajewski, J.L., 2012. The use of long-term on-line turbidity measurements for the calculation of urban stormwater pollutant concentrations, loads, pollutographs and intra-event fluxes. Water Res. 46 (20), 6836–6856. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.12.030.
- Miljø- og Fødevareministeriet, 2017. Bekendtgørelse om fastlæggelse af miljømål for vandløb, søer, overgangsvande, kystvande og grundvand (BEK nr 1625 af 19/12/ 2017). https://www.retsinformation.dk/eli/lta/2017/1625 (accessed 21.02.2022).
- Miljøstyrelsen, 2017. Afrapportering af det intensive måleprogram for de regnbetingede udløb 2014-2016 - Grønlandstorv Aalborg (in Danish). Cited in Miljøstyrelsen, 2022. Typetal for miljøfarlige forurenende stoffer i regnbetingede udledninger - på baggrund af data fra det nationale overvågningsprogram 2000-2020, ISBN: 978-87-7038-386-8.
- Miljøstyrelsen, 2013. Afrapportering af det intensive måleprogram for de regnbetingede udløb 2012-2013 – Gug Skole opland (in Danish). Cited in Miljøstyrelsen, 2022. Typetal for miljøfarlige forurenende stoffer i regnbetingede udledninger - på baggrund af data fra det nationale overvågningsprogram 2000-2020, ISBN: 978-87-7038-386-8.
- Millard, S.P., 2013. EnvStats: an R Package for Environmental Statistics. Springer, New York, NY. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-8456-1.
- Musolff, A., Leschik, S., Reinstorf, F., Strauch, G., Schirmer, M., 2010. Micropollutant loads in the urban water cycle. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44, 4877–4883. https://doi. org/10.1021/es903823a.
- Mutzner, L., Bohren, C., Mangold, S., Dicht, S., Ort, C., Bloem, S., Ort, C., 2020. Spatial differences among micropollutants in sewer overflows: a multisite analysis using passive samplers. Environ. Sci. Technol. 54, 6584–6593. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acs.est.9b05148.
- Mutzner, L., Vermeirssen, E.L.M., Mangold, S., Maurer, M., Scheidegger, A., Singer, H., Booij, K., Ort, C., 2019. Passive samplers to quantify micropollutants in sewer overflows: accumulation behaviour and field validation for short pollution events. Water Res. 160, 350–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.04.012.
- Nickel, J.P., Sacher, F., Fuchs, S., 2021. Up-to-date monitoring data of wastewater and stormwater quality in Germany. Water Res. 202, 117452 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. watres.2021.117452.
- Peter, K.T., Hou, F., Tian, Z., Wu, C., Goehring, M., Liu, F., Kolodziej, E.P., 2020. More than a first flush: urban creek storm hydrographs demonstrate broad contaminant pollutographs. Environ. Sci. Technol. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00872.
- Petrie, B., 2021. A review of combined sewer overflows as a source of wastewaterderived emerging contaminants in the environment and their management. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-14103-1.
- R Core Team, 2020. R: a Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Rippy, M.A., Deletic, A., Black, J., Aryal, R., Lampard, J.L., Tang, J.Y., McCarthy, D., Kolotelo, P., Sidhu, J., Gernjak, W., 2017. Pesticide occurrence and spatio-temporal variability in urban run-off across Australia. Water Res. 115, 245–255. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.03.010.
- Schwarzenbach, R.P., Escher, B.I., Fenner, K., Hofstetter, T.B., Johnson, C.A., von Gunten, U., Wehrli, B., 2006. The challenge of micropollutants in aquatic systems. Science 313 (5790), 1072–1077. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1127291.
- Sébastian, C., Becouze-Lareure, C., Lipeme Kouyi, G., Barraud, S., 2015. Event-based quantification of emerging pollutant removal for an open stormwater retention basin - loads, efficiency and importance of uncertainties. Water Res. 72, 239–250. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.11.014.
- Selbig, W.R., 2009. Concentrations of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in urban stormwater Madison, Wisconsin, 2005–08. Open-File Report 2009-1077. U.S. Geological Survey, doi: 10.3133/ofr20091077.
- Spahr, S., Teixidó, M., Sedlak, D.L., Luthy, R.G., 2020. Hydrophilic trace organic contaminants in urban stormwater: occurrence, toxicological relevance, and the need to enhance green stormwater infrastructure. Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol. 6, 15–44. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9EW00674E.
- Swiss Centre Ecotox, 2021. Proposals for acute and chronic quality standards [WWW Document]. URL https://www.ecotoxcentre.ch/expert-service/quality-standards /proposals-for-acute-and-chronic-quality-standards/ (accessed 2.21.22).
- Szöcs, E., Stirling, T., Scott, E.R., Scharmüller, A., Schäfer, R.B., 2020. Webchem: an r package to retrieve chemical information from the Web. J. Stat. Softw. 93 (13), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v093.i13.
- The Swiss Federal Council, 1998. Swiss waters protection ordinance . URL https://www.fedlex.admin.ch/eli/cc/1998/2863\_2863\_2863/en (accessed 11.08.2022).
- Tian, Z., Zhao, H., Peter, K.T., Gonzalez, M., Wetzel, J., Wu, C., Hu, X., Prat, J., Mudrock, E., Hettinger, R., Cortina, A.E., Biswas, R.G., Kock, F.V.C., Soong, R., Jenne, A., Du, B., Hou, F., He, H., Lundeen, R., Gilbreath, A., Sutton, R., Scholz, N.L., Davis, J.W., Dodd, M.C., Simpson, A., McIntyre, J.K., Kolodziej, E.P., 2021.

#### L. Mutzner et al.

A ubiquitous tire rubber-derived chemical induces acute mortality in coho salmon. Science 371 (1979), 185–189. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd6951.

- US EPA, 2022. National recommended water quality criteria aquatic life criteria table. URL https://www.epa.gov/wqc/national-recommended-water-quality-criteria-aqua tic-life-criteria-table (accessed 11.08.2022).
- Wicke, D., Matzinger, A., Sonnenberg, H., Caradot, N., Schubert, R.L., Dick, R., Heinzmann, B., Dünnbier, U., von Seggern, D., Rouault, P., 2021. Micropollutants in urban stormwater runoff of different land uses. Water 13. https://doi.org/10.3390/ w13091312.
- Wickham, H., 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L.D., Francois, R., Grolemund, G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T.L., Miller, E., Bache, S.M., Mueller, K., Ooms, J., Robinson, D., Seidel, D.P., Spinu, V., Takahashi, K., Vaughan, D., Wilke, C., Woo, K., Yutani, H., 2019. Welcome to the tidyverse. J. Open Source Softw. 4 (1686) https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686.
- Wilkinson, M.D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I.J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., Blomberg, N., Boiten, J.-W., da Silva Santos, L.B., Bourne, P.E., Bouwman, J., Brookes, A.J., Clark, T., Crosas, M., Dillo, I., Dumon, O., Edmunds, S., Evelo, C.T.,

Finkers, R., Gonzalez-Beltran, A., Gray, A.J.G., Groth, P., Goble, C., Grethe, J.S., Heringa, J., 't Hoen, P.A.C., Hooft, R., Kuhn, T., Kok, R., Kok, J., Lusher, S.J., Martone, M.E., Mons, A., Packer, A.L., Persson, B., Rocca-Serra, P., Roos, M., van Schaik, R., Sansone, S.-A., Schultes, E., Sengstag, T., Slater, T., Strawn, G., Swertz, M. A., Thompson, M., van der Lei, J., van Mulligen, E., Velterop, J., Waagmeester, A., Wittenburg, P., Wolstencroft, K., Zhao, J., Mons, B., 2016. The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. Sci. Data 3, 160018. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18.

- Wittmer, I.K., Bader, H.P., Scheidegger, R., Singer, H., Luck, A., Hanke, I., Carlsson, C., Stamm, C., 2010. Significance of urban and agricultural land use for biocide and pesticide dynamics in surface waters. Water Res. 44, 2850–2862. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.watres.2010.01.030.
- Zgheib, S., Moilleron, R., Chebbo, G., 2012. Priority pollutants in urban stormwater: part 1 - case of separate storm sewers. Water Res. 46, 6683–6692. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.watres.2011.12.012.
- Zhang, K., Randelovic, A., Page, D., McCarthy, D.T., Deletic, A., 2014. The validation of stormwater biofilters for micropollutant removal using in situ challenge tests. Ecol. Eng, 67, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.03.004.