

Quantifying the transport of biologics across intestinal barrier models in real-time by fluorescent imaging

Weller, Arjen; Hansen, Morten B.; Marie, Rodolphe; Hundahl, Adam C.; Hempel, Casper; Kempen, Paul J.; Frandsen, Henrik L.; Parhamifar, Ladan; Larsen, Jannik B.; Andresen, Thomas L.

Published in: Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Link to article, DOI: 10.3389/fbioe.2022.965200

Publication date: 2022

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Weller, A., Hansen, M. B., Marie, R., Hundahl, A. C., Hempel, C., Kempen, P. J., Frandsen, H. L., Parhamifar, L., Larsen, J. B., & Andresen, T. L. (2022). Quantifying the transport of biologics across intestinal barrier models in real-time by fluorescent imaging. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, *10*, Article 965200. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2022.965200

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Antonella Piscioneri, Institute for Membrane Technology, Department of Chemical Sciences and Materials Technologies, National Research Council (CNR), Italy

REVIEWED BY

Klaus Eyer, ETH Zürich, Switzerland Antonietta Messina, INSERM U1193 Physiopathogénèse et Traitement des Maladies du Foie, France

*CORRESPONDENCE

Jannik B. Larsen, jannla@dtu.dk Thomas L. Andresen, tlan@dtu.dk

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

RECEIVED 09 June 2022 ACCEPTED 18 July 2022 PUBLISHED 09 September 2022

CITATION

Weller A, Hansen MB, Marie R, Hundahl AC, Hempel C, Kempen PJ, Frandsen HL, Parhamifar L, Larsen JB and Andresen TL (2022), Quantifying the transport of biologics across intestinal barrier models in real-time by fluorescent imaging. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 10:965200. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2022.965200

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Weller, Hansen, Marie, Hundahl, Hempel, Kempen, Frandsen, Parhamifar, Larsen and Andresen. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Quantifying the transport of biologics across intestinal barrier models in real-time by fluorescent imaging

Arjen Weller^{1,2}, Morten B. Hansen^{1,2}, Rodolphe Marie^{1,2}, Adam C. Hundahl^{1,2}, Casper Hempel^{1,2}, Paul J. Kempen^{2,3}, Henrik L. Frandsen⁴, Ladan Parhamifar^{1,2}, Jannik B. Larsen^{1,2*} and Thomas L. Andresen^{1,2*}

¹Center for Intestinal Absorption and Transport of Biopharmaceuticals, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark, ²Department of Health Technology, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark, ³The National Centre for Nano Fabrication and Characterization, DTU Nanolab, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark, ⁴National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark

Unsuccessful clinical translation of orally delivered biological drugs remains a challenge in pharmaceutical development and has been linked to insufficient mechanistic understanding of intestinal drug transport. Live cell imaging could provide such mechanistic insights by directly tracking drug transport across intestinal barriers at subcellular resolution, however traditional intestinal in vitro models are not compatible with the necessary live cell imaging modalities. Here, we employed a novel microfluidic platform to develop an in vitro intestinal epithelial barrier compatible with advanced widefield- and confocal microscopy. We established a quantitative, multiplexed and high-temporal resolution imaging assay for investigating the cellular uptake and crossbarrier transport of biologics while simultaneously monitoring barrier integrity. As a proof-of-principle, we use the generic model to monitor the transport of co-administrated cell penetrating peptide (TAT) and insulin. We show that while TAT displayed a concentration dependent difference in its transport mechanism and efficiency, insulin displayed cellular internalization, but was restricted from transport across the barrier. This illustrates how such a sophisticated imaging based barrier model can facilitate mechanistic studies of drug transport across intestinal barriers and aid in vivo and clinical translation in drug development.

KEYWORDS

organ-on-a-chip, drug transport, fluorescence live cell imaging, drug development, high through put screening platform

1 Introduction

Drug formulation development to allow for oral drug administration has been pursued for decades due to high patient compliance (Balimane et al., 2000; Masaoka et al., 2006). However, especially for biologics, such as peptides and proteins, the success has been limited and only five orally administered peptide formulations have reached the clinic (Drucker, 2020; Maher et al., 2021). These issues reflect the translational struggles seen broadly within the field of drug delivery, spurring the push for a deeper mechanistic understanding of drug transport, allowing for better rational design of drug formulations (Mechanism matters, 2010; Time to deliver, 2014).

To identify and understand the mode of action employed by drug formulations for crossing the intestinal barrier, assays compatible with live cell imaging techniques for monitoring the drug-cell barrier penetration in real-time would be ideal (Gumbleton, 2005; Watson, 2005; Larsen et al., 2021). The most popular and established in vitro platform for studying drug transport has been the Transwell system (TW), where cells are cultivated on a rigid membrane that separates two mediumcontaining chambers under static conditions (Hidalgo et al., 1989; Hubatsch et al., 2007). However, the structural build of TW limits its compatibility with live cell-imaging modalities (Zaderer et al., 2019), impeding high-resolution kinetic measurements and image-based readouts, and therefore provides only limited direct information on the underlying transport mechanisms. In addition, the TW system is incapable of incorporating three-dimensional cell cultures, extracellular matrix support and perfusion flow, all aspects shown to be highly important for cell proliferation and differentiation (Basson et al., 1996; Simon-Assmann et al., 2007; Huh et al., 2011; Youhanna and Lauschke, 2021). In recent years advanced microfluidic platforms were developed in order to recapitulate the three-dimensional physiology of the intestinal epithelial and the gastrointestinal environment in vitro (Bein et al., 2018). Among these, the OrganoPlate represents a user-friendly platform facilitating real-time fluorescent readouts. However until now, the OrganoPlate have primarily been used for toxicity assessment (Trietsch et al., 2017; Beaurivage et al., 2019), or disease modeling (Beaurivage et al., 2019). Only recently, did Hagiwara et al. apply the platform in the context of drug delivery as they investigated how the cross barrier transport of two well-known small molecule drugs was affected by simulated intestinal fluids. However, taking full advantage of the compatibility of the OrganoPlate with live cell imaging for studying the cross barrier transport mechanism of biologics is yet to be achieved. Furthermore, a prerequisite for this is a systematic validation of the OrganoPlate as a reliable in vitro model with a high in vivo drug absorption predictability by a direct correlation to standard drugs with known human absorption values. Expanding and validating models for use in transport studies is non-trivial, as highlighted by recent accounts showing that it is paramount to perform a comprehensive validation as they often are limited by poor abilities to predict the *in vivo* level of drug transport (Dahlgren et al., 2015). Together this highlights how there are still room for new methodologies facilitating the study of *in vitro* drug transport in validated, easy-to-use and reproducible platforms (Gleeson and McCartney, 2019), especially compatible with real-time fluorescent imaging (Wong et al., 2020; Halamoda-Kenzaoui et al., 2021; Larsen et al., 2021; Yilmaz et al., 2022).

Here, we employed the OrganoPlate microfluidic chip as a platform for developing an in vitro intestinal barrier model allowing us to study drug transport and uptake mechanisms using advanced fluorescent imaging modalities. An image-based in-chip validation of epithelial differentiation and polarization markers in generated monolayer cell tubules revealed a leaktight, fully differentiated epithelial cell barrier, encompassing important features of the in vivo barrier, including a complex mucus layer and a functional metabolic machinery known to influence drug transport efficiency. We validate, for the first time, the microfluidic platform as a suitable model for intestinal drug transport studies by correlating the transport of small molecule drugs to the corresponding human absorption data. Furthermore, we use the model as a multiplexed live-cell imaging-based assay to obtain mechanistic in-depth knowledge about the mobility of biologics across fully differentiated and polarized cell barrier tubules. This epitomizes how the developed epithelial barrier system can facilitate sensitive real-time image-based quantitative studies on the transport and intracellular fate of biologics.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Cell culture

The human colon adenocarcinoma cell line Caco-2 (European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures (0,9,042,001, ECACC) were maintained in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM) (30-2003, ATCC) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (S1810-500, Biowest), 1% MEM non-essential amino acids (NEAA) (M7145, Sigma) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (P/S) (P0781, Sigma). The clone HT29-MTX-E12 generated from the parental human colon adenocarcinoma cells (12,040,401, ECACC), HT29, were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) (D5796, Sigma) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% NEAA and 1% P/S. Both cell lines were maintained at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator. Caco-2 cells with passage numbers between 47-61 and HT29-MTX-E12 cells between passage numbers 50-70 were used for all experiments. Cells were seeded for experiments after reaching 80-90% confluency in parental flasks. For coculture experiments, both cell lines were cultured in Caco-2 cell growth media.

2.2 OrganoPlate culture

For all experiments the three-lane OrganoPlate (4,004-400-B, Mimetas BV) with 40 individual chips was used, where each chip consists of a seeding channel, an ECM-channel and a perfusion channel (220 µm height x 300 µm width). Cell seeding into the OrganoPlate was performed as previously described (Trietsch et al., 2017). In brief, a 4 mg ml-1 Collagen 1 (3,447-020-01, R&D Systems) ECM-gel supplemented with 100 mM HEPES (H0887, Sigma) and 3.7 mg ml⁻¹ NaHCO₃ was prepared. The ECM-mixture was dispensed into the ECM-channel (2 µL) and placed in the incubator for 30 min to polymerize. After polymerization $30\,\mu\text{L}$ Hanks' Balanced Salt solution (HBSS) was added to the ECM-channel to avoid gel drying. Next, cells were detached with 0.25% trypsin EDTA and diluted to a final concentration of 1 \times 107 cells mL⁻¹. For coculture of Caco-2 and HT29-MTX E12 cells, a ratio of 6:1 was used. The upper channel of the chip was filled with 2 μ L cell suspension (a total of 20 × 10³ cells/channel) and the OrganoPlate was placed vertically inside the incubator for 3 h to allow cell attachment. Thereafter, 50 µL medium was added to the seeding channel (inlet and outlet) and the perfusion channel (inlet and outlet). The OrganoPlate was incubated horizontally for 4 days on an interval rocker (± 7° angle, 8 min rocking interval) at 37°C, 5% CO₂ resulting in a formation of a tubule inside the chip. The medium was replaced every 2-3 days.

2.3 Transwell culture

Caco-2 cells were seeded at a final cell density of 5×10^4 cells cm⁻² in a 24-well format Transwell system with a surface area of 0.33 cm² (CLS3413, Corning). The cells were grown in EMEM with 10% FBS and 1% P/S over 19–21 days and the medium was replaced every 2–3 days.

2.4 Transepithelial resistance measurements

The barrier integrity of cells seeded in the Transwell system was monitored routinely using an EVOM2 system equipped with an EndOhm-6G cup for measurements TEER (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL). Raw resistance data were translated into TEER using the equation:

 $TEER[\Omega \times cm^{2}] = TEER_RAW[\Omega] \times surface area[cm^{2}]$ (1)

Caco-2 monolayers with a TEER above 1,500 $\Omega^* cm^2$ were selected for further drug absorption studies.

2.5 Imaging modalities

Spinning disc (SD) confocal microscopy was performed on a Nikon Ti2 inverted microscope equipped with a Yokogawa CSU-W1 module and a Photometrics Prime 95B sCMOS camera was used for all experiments (excl. Image-based TAT transport). The set-up includes four excitation lasers 405/488/561/638 nm with 442/42, 520/28 BrightLine HC filters and 600/50 ET, 700/75 ET bandpass filters. For this work, a set of CFI Plan Apochromat Lambda objectives were used: ×4/0.2, 20x/0.75, 60x/1.4 and 100x/1.45. For image-based transport experiments, epi-fluorescence microscopy was performed using a Nikon Eclipse Ti2 microscope equipped with an LED source (CoolLED), a dual-band filter cube (AHF, Excitation 455–489 nm, 557–588 nm; Emission 506–542 nm, 605–660 nm) and a Photometrics Evolve 512 electron-multiplying charge-coupled device camera was used (10x N.A. 0.30).

2.6 Barrier integrity assay

The BI of the mono- and coculture systems was analyzed on day 1, 2 and 4. The Medium in the seeding channel was replaced with EMEM containing 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ 4.4 kDa TD (T1037, Sigma) and placed on an interval rocker for 15 min in the incubator. After incubation, the plate was imaged using a SD confocal microscope at ×4 magnification. Inhomogeneous cell coverage in the seeding channel over during the cultivation leads to an inhomogeneous fluorescence intensity profile. To ensure an accurate comparison of BI values the whole channel (Seeding and ECM channel) was selected for measuring the intensity.

2.7 Immunostaining

Cells seeded in the OrganoPlate were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (D8537, Sigma) for 15 min, washed with PBS and permeabilized for 10 min in 0.3% Triton-X (T8787, Sigma). Subsequently, cells were washed with 4% FBS/PBS and incubated for 30 min in blocking solution 3% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA)/PBS followed by incubation with primary antibody for 60 min at room temperature. Cells were then washed with 4% FBS/PBS and incubated for 30 min with the secondary antibody. Primary antibodies against Rabbit-a-Zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1) (61-7,300, Thermo Fischer, 1:200), Rabbit-a-Mucin 2 (Muc2) (PA5-21329, Thermo Fischer, 1:200), Mouse-a-Ezrin (610,602, BD Transduction, 1:100), Mouse-a-Multidrug Resistance Protein 2 (MRP2) (SC-59608, Santa Cruz, 100 µg ml-1), Mouse-a-Breast Cancer Resistance Protein (BCRP) (ab3380, Abcam, 1:50) were used. For the secondary antibody staining, Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 (ab150077, Abcam, 1:200) and Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H + L) Alexa Fluor 647 (A-21236, Thermo Fisher, 1:200) were used. Following antibody staining cells were washed three times with 4% FBS/PBS and nuclei were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (D1306, Thermo Fisher, 1:1,000) for 5 min. Cells were kept in PBS at 4°C and imaged using the previously described SD confocal microscope. All protein stainings were repeated at least three times in mono-and coculture tubules. Images in Figure 2 display representative immunostained cell-tubules.

2.8 Microvilli visualization using transmission electron microscopy

The coculture tubules were fixed by filling all three channels of the chip system with a solution of 2% glutaraldehyde, 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M Na cacodylate buffer at pH 7.4. After fixation for 30 min at room temperature, the plate was stored at 4°C to await further processing. Each channel was washed three times with 0.1 M Na cacodylate buffer and stained with 1% osmium tetroxide in a 0.1 M Na-cacodylate buffer for 2 h at room temperature. After staining with osmium tetroxide, the channels were washed three times in milliQ water and stained with a 1% uranyl acetate solution overnight. The following day, the channels were washed twice in milliQ water followed by dehydration in increasing concentrations of ethanol, 50, 70, 95% and twice 100% with 20 min between solvent exchanges, to ensure complete dehydration. The channels were further dehydrated three times in acetonitrile for 10 min each before the start of resin infiltration. The channels were infiltrated with a 1:1 solution of TAAB 812 medium hardness embedding resin and acetonitrile. After 1 h, the channels were re-infiltrated with the same 1:1 solution to ensure complete infiltration. After one more hour, the channels were infiltrated with a 2:1 resin: acetonitrile solution overnight. The next morning the channels were re-infiltrated with the 2:1 solution for 6 h. The channels were then infiltrated with pure resin overnight. The following morning the channels were again re-infiltrated with pure resin to ensure complete infiltration. After 6 hours the plate was cured at 60°C for 72 h.

After the resin was completely cured, individual channel setups were cut from the larger plate for further processing. The samples were placed in a concentrated hydrofluoric acid solution, 48 wt%, to dissolve the glass substrate. After 45 min, the sample was removed from the hydrofluoric acid and rinsed with a saturated calcium chloride solution, 45 wt%. The sample was then rinsed with milliQ water. The now exposed channel was cut from the remaining device and re-embedded in TAAB resin which was cured overnight at 60°C. The resin block was trimmed with a razor blade, exposing a surface perpendicular to the channel. Thin sections, 120 nm thick, were cut using a Leica EM UC7 ultramicrotome and placed on a nickel slot grid coated with carbon-stabilized formvar. TEM was performed utilizing a FEI Tecnai T20 G2 TEM located at the Center for Electron Nanoscopy at the Technical University of Denmark, and images were acquired using TVIPS XF416 CCD camera.

2.9 Microvilli visualization using transmission electron microscopy

The coculture tubules were fixed by filling all three channels of the chip system with a solution of 2% glutaraldehyde, 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M Na cacodylate buffer at pH 7.4. After fixation for 30 min at room temperature, the plate was stored at 4°C to await further processing. Each channel was washed three times with 0.1 M Na cacodylate buffer and stained with 1% osmium tetroxide in a 0.1 M Na cacodylate buffer for 2 h at room temperature. After staining with osmium tetroxide, the channels were washed three times in milliQ water and stained with a 1% uranyl acetate solution overnight. The following day, the channels were washed twice in milliQ water followed by dehydration in increasing concentrations of ethanol, 50, 70, 95% and twice 100% with 20 min between solvent exchanges, to ensure complete dehydration. The channels were further dehydrated three times in acetonitrile for 10 min each before the start of resin infiltration. The channels were infiltrated with a 1:1 solution of TAAB 812 medium hardness embedding resin and acetonitrile. After 1 h, the channels were re-infiltrated with the same 1:1 solution to ensure complete infiltration. After one more hour, the channels were infiltrated with a 2:1 resin: acetonitrile solution overnight. The next morning the channels were re-infiltrated with the 2:1 solution for 6 h. The channels were then infiltrated with pure resin overnight. The following morning the channels were again re-infiltrated with pure resin to ensure complete infiltration. After 6 hours the plate was cured at 60°C for 72 h.

After the resin was completely cured, individual channel setups were cut from the larger plate for further processing. The samples were placed in a concentrated hydrofluoric acid solution, 48 wt%, to dissolve the glass substrate. After 45 min, the sample was removed from the hydrofluoric acid and rinsed with a saturated calcium chloride solution, 45 wt%. The sample was then rinsed with milliQ water. The now exposed channel was cut from the remaining device and re-embedded in TAAB resin which was cured overnight at 60°C. The resin block was trimmed with a razor blade, exposing a surface perpendicular to the channel. Thin sections, 120 nm thick, were cut using a Leica EM UC7 ultramicrotome and placed on a nickel slot grid coated with carbon-stabilized formvar. TEM was performed utilizing a FEI Tecnai T20 G2 TEM located at the Center for Electron Nanoscopy at the Technical University of Denmark, and images were acquired using TVIPS XF416 CCD camera.

2.10 Mucus determination with alcian blue staining

Leak tight tubules in the OrganoPlate were fixed with 4% PFA for 15 min and washed afterwards three times with PBS. The cell tubules were acidified with 3% acidic acid and stained

with Alcian blue (B8438, Sigma) for 30 min at room temperature. Next, cells were washed three times with PBS for 5 min and bright-field images were acquired with a light microscope.

2.11 P-glycoprotein mediated calcein efflux

Calcein-AM is a substrate of the P-gp efflux transporter. After internalization, it fluoresces until it is pumped out the cells (see Supplementary Figure S3). All channels in the OrganoPlate were washed with Opti-buffer containing Phenolred-free medium (DMEM) and HBSS (H6648, Sigma, Ratio of 1 DMEM: 3 HBSS). For detection of Calcein-AM efflux, the Opti-buffer in the seeding channel was replaced with Calcein-AM (C3099, 10 µM in 0.1% DMSO). Inhibition of the P-gp transporter was achieved by pre-incubation with Verapamil (V4629, Sigma, 50 µM in 0.5% Methanol) in the cell-seeding channel. The OrganoPlate was incubated for 60 min on an interval rocker at 37°C with 10 µM Calcein-AM or 50 µM Verapamil +10 µM Calcein-AM. To ensure that the presence of neither 0.5% methanol nor 0.1% DMSO affected the cross membrane partitioning of calcein-AM, both ± verapamil experiments contained the same amount of these compounds. Following incubation, all solutions in all inlets/outlets were replaced with ice cold stopping solution (20 µM Verapamil and nuclei stain Hoechst (H1399, Thermo Fischer, 10 µg ml⁻¹). After 15 min of incubation the cells were imaged immediately by SD confocal microscopy using 405 nm (442/ 42 BrightLine HC emission filter) and 488 nm (520/ 28 BrightLine HC emission filter) excitation lasers using a ×20 air objective. Analyzing tubule intensity was performed in Fiji, and the whole tubule was selected as the region of interest (ROI) and the fluorescence intensities for both, calcein and nuclei were extracted. The ratio of calcein and nuclei fluorescence intensity was calculated, representing the intracellular fluorescence of the cell.

2.12 Aminopeptidase-N activity determination

Aminopeptidase-N activity of cells was determined using 1.5 μ M L-Alanine 4-nitroanilide hydrochloride (A4N) (A9325, Sigma) as a substrate. Serum-free medium was used for the bottom flow channel and the medium in the seeding channel was replaced with A4N. The OrganoPlate was incubated on the interval rocker for 2 h at 37°C, 5% CO₂. After incubation, the solution in the inlet and outlets of the seeding channels were collected and transferred to a 96-well plate. The absorbance of the cleaved product 4-nitroanaline was measured at 405 nm in a plate reader (Tecan, Switzerland).

2.13 In vitro drug absorption study

All drugs were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Cells seeded in Transwells and OrganoPlate were washed with transport buffer (TB; 10 mM HANKS/HBSS). For the apical side, the pH of TB was adjusted to 6.5 (TB-apical) and for the basolateral side the pH was set to 7.4 (TB-basal). All drugs were diluted to a final concentration of 10 µM in 0.1% DMSO. The cultured monolayers were exposed to the drug for 2 h at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Control cells were exposed to TB without drugs but supplemented with a final concentration of 0.1% DMSO on the apical side. The OrganoPlate was placed for the total 2 h of drug incubation under constant flow, whereas the Transwells were incubated for 2 h under static conditions according to standard protocols (Artursson and Karlsson, 1991; Pontier et al., 2001; Béduneau et al., 2014; Twarog et al., 2020). For the permeability quantification of each drug, samples were analyzed using LC-MS and correlated to a standard curve. As a control for barrier integrity, 100 µM Lucifer Yellow (LY) were added to all samples (Ayehunie et al., 2018).

2.14 Quantification of absorbed drugs with liquid chromatography mass spectrometry

Samples and standards were analyzed with a Shimadzu Nexera X2/Prominence HPLC (Shimadzu Europe, Duisburg, Germany) and ESI micrOTOF-Q III (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) LC-MS setup. The LC was performed by injection of the analyte (5 µL) on a Poroshell 120 SB-C8 column, 2.7 μ m, 2.1 \times 50 mm (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, United States) followed by elution with a linear gradient of MeCN and 2.5 mM NH₄OH in water with 0.1% formic acid (from 0 to 100% over 9 min) at a flow rate of 0.4 ml min⁻¹ (a detailed description of the HPLC setup and gradient is reported in Supplementary Table S1). The chromatographic front (1.75 min) was diverted to waste while the remaining run was injected into the ion source. A calibration solution consisting of 2.5 mM NaOH, 2.25 mM formic acid in 90% i-PrOH/water was injected into the ion source between 1.75 and 1.85 min at a flowrate of 30 μ L h⁻¹ for internal calibration of the spectra. MS analysis was performed in positive mode in the range 50–2,000 m z^{-1} at a rate of 2 Hz. The MS settings used for analysis of the various drugs are listed in the Supplementary Table S2. The concentration determination of each individual drug was used to calculate the apparent permeability of a compound (apical to basolateral) according to the equation (Lennernäs et al., 1997):

$$P_{app} = \frac{dQ}{dt} * 1/AC_0 \tag{2}$$

Where dQ/dt is the flux of drug across the cell monolayer per time [μ M sec⁻¹], A is the surface area of the monolayer exposed to the drug (cm²) and C₀ is the initial concentration of the drug.

A two-tailed non-parametric Spearman's correlation function was applied to determine the Spearman correlation coefficient R (von Erlach et al., 2020). The R-value defines the correlation between the quantified P_{app} of the drugs in the used model with the known human absorption values, a value closer to one represents a stronger correlation. Furthermore, a fourparametric logistic model curve fit was applied (Sjöberg et al., 2013) to better visualize the correlation between the quantified P_{app} values and the known human absorption.

2.15 Image-based localization and quantification of TAT and TAT/INS transport across epithelial monolayer tubules

Coculture tubules were used on day 4 after seeding and after confirming their leak-tight BI. Varying concentrations of TAT (24 µM; 2 µM) were applied to the coculture-tubules for capturing the internalization. Here, the cells were counterstained with Hoechst (H1399, Thermo Fischer, 10 µg ml-1) and incubated for 1 h at 37°C, 5% CO2, washed with PBS and images were acquired using SD confocal microscopy with 488 nm laser and 520/28 BrightLine HC filters for detecting FITC-labelled TAT and the 405 nm laser and 442/42 BrightLine HC filter for acquiring Hoechst. The cell tubules exposed to TAT/INS (24 µM/50 µM, IS1-AF647-1, Nanocs) were stained with above mentioned cellular dyes and CellMask-green (C37608, Thermo Fisher, 1:500) for 30 min on ice after 3 h of incubation. The transport of TAT was tracked over 2 h, acquiring time lapse images every 30 s using epi-fluorescence microscopy (see Imaging modalities). Two different concentrations of TAT (24 µM; 2 µM) or TAT/ INS were applied to the coculture chips and imaged. To simultaneously monitor the barrier integrity TD (0.5 mg ml⁻¹) was co-applied to the chips and measured in parallel to TAT. For the quantification of both TAT, INS and TD transport across the cell barrier, calibration curves were established. The rate of transport was extracted by a linear fit of each individual curve (Supplementary Figures S7, S8). In-house developed MATLAB based macro was used for analysis.

2.16 Image based analysis of salcaprozate sodium (SNAC) induced semaglutide transport

Coculture tubules were exposed to SNAC (20 mM or 40 mM) in combination with semaglutide-Cy3 (5 μ M) and FITC-dextran (46,944, Sigma Aldrich, 0.5 mg ml⁻¹) and imaged over approx. 4 h as described above.

3 Results

3.1 Development and verification of leaktight small intestinal chip model

To develop an imaging compatible intestinal in vitro model we utilized the OrganoPlate, constituting a 348-Microwell set up with 40 individual chips and a 150 μm thick glass bottom (Trietsch et al., 2017; Gijzen et al., 2020). The chips are constructed with a seeding channel, an extracellular matrix (ECM) channel and a (fluidic) perfusion channel (Figures 1A,B). To enable the use of the chip as a model for studying intestinal transport, we first ensured that an intact and tight cellular barrier was formed. We seeded either pure Caco-2 cells (Monoculture) or a 6:1 ratio of Caco-2:HT29-MTX E12 cells (Coculture), the latter in an attempt to increase the biological relevance through the presence of mucus-producing goblet cells. For both systems, we facilitated the formation of a differentiated epithelial monolayer by inducing constant shear stress of 0.13 Pa and a mean flow rate of $2.02 \,\mu L \, \text{min}^{-1}$ using a pump free perfusion system for the total culturing period of only 4 days (Schutgens et al., 2019). To evaluate the barrier integrity (BI) we then applied a 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ solution of 4.4 kDa Tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate (TRITC)-dextran (TD) in the seeding channel and used confocal microscopy to image the TD distribution in the chip. If no cells were added to the chip the TD intensity spread through the whole chip, a distribution also seen for both mono- and coculture systems after 1 day of barrier formation (Figure 1C). After 2 days we recorded a partial decrease in the TD intensity in the ECM and medium channels, while after 4 days both mono- and coculture chips displayed complete restriction of TD intensity to the seeding channel, demonstrating that a tight barrier had formed (Figure 1C, Day 4). In comparison, culturing of HT29-MTX E12 cells as a monoculture did not result in the formation of a tubules structure capable of restricting TD diffusion (Supplementary Figure S1). To quantitatively verify the presence of a tight barrier after 4 days of incubation, we determined the BI value by extracting the integrated intensity inside a region of interest in the ECM- and seeding channels (Figure 1C). We calculated the BI value for each chip as F_{ECM} / $F_{\text{cell}}.$ This allowed us to ascertain cell barrier tightness, with values ranging from 1 (leaky) - 0 (tight), noting that BI values below 0.4 have previously been defined to represent a tight barrier (Trietsch et al., 2017) (Figure 1D). On day one post cell seeding we quantified ${\rm BI}_{\rm Mono}$ = 0.95 \pm 0.07 and ${\rm BI}_{\rm Co}$ = 0.96 \pm 0.05, constituting leaky cell barriers. After 2 days in culture BI_{Mono} = 0.32 ± 0.08 and BI_{Co} = 0.43 ± 0.05 values were calculated, representing a semi-tight cell barrier (Figure 1D, Day 2). On day four post seeding, the low TD intensity in the receiving channels lead to ${\rm BI}_{\rm Mono}$ = 0.05 \pm 0.01 and ${\rm BI}_{\rm Co}$ = 0.07 \pm 0.01 values, verifying that for both systems a tight barrier was established (Figure 1D, Day 4).

monolayer



3.2 The epithelial tubule represents a fully polarized and differentiated cell

To confirm the polarization and differentiation of the celltubules into an epithelial monolayer we relied on conventional staining strategies for characteristic phenotypical epithelial cell markers (Anderson et al., 1989; Sambuy et al., 2005; Englund et al., 2006). The unique ability of the microfluidic platform of immunostaining and imaging directly in the system bypasses the need for cell monolayer extraction necessary in traditional in vitro TW models. Both culture systems revealed a homogenous expression of the tight junction protein Zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1) along the whole tubule, demonstrating its differentiation into a compact epithelial cellular network (Figure 2A). We next determined the apicobasal membrane orientation of the cell-tubules by immunostaining the known apically expressed brush border protein ezrin (Cao et al., 2014). Performing z-stack imaging allowed us to investigate ezrin staining in vertical cross-section of the cell tubule (Figure 2B, top). For all individual cells, ezrin staining was completely

restricted to the cellular membrane facing the tubule lumen, as determined by a distinct fluorescence signal of ezrin above the cell nucleus (Figure 2B). This demonstrates that the cellular tubules are differentiated into monolayers of correctly polarized epithelial cells. Next, we identified two major intestinal efflux transporters of the ABC-family, the Breast Cancer Resistance Protein (BCRP) and the Multi Drug Resistant Protein (MRP2), which are key regulators of drug localization and thus essential for the in vitro platform accurately predicting intestinal transport (Giacomini et al., 2010; Billat et al., 2017). By staining for BCRP and MRP2 we observed the expected expression profile along the whole monolayer tubules, strengthening the conclusion that the cells are fully differentiated (Figures 2C,D). All apicobasal membrane orientation assessments described above were performed at least three times in both mono- and coculture tubules finding equivalent expression and localization of ZO-1, ezrin, MRP2 or BCRP for both systems (Supplementary Figure S2). Overall, the in-chip immunostaining revealed the formation of a fully differentiated and polarized epithelial tubule.



FIGURE 2

Verification of a differentiated and polarized epithelial monolayer using direct in-chip immunostaining. (A) Tight junction formation depicted by maximum intensity projection (MIP) from confocal z-stack of ZO-1 (green) and DAPI (blue) (scale bar is 100 μ m). (B) Bottom, brush borders are visualized as MIP from confocal z-stack of ezrin (red) and DAPI (blue) (scale bar is 100 μ m). Top, the apical orientation of ezrin expression is depicted as a vertical cross-section of the cell-tubule (Scale bar is 10 μ m). (C) Bottom, BCRP efflux transporter (white) and DAPI (blue) are visualized as MIP from confocal z-stack (scale bar is 100 μ m). Top, A vertical cross section of the BCRP stained cell-tubule. (D) Bottom, MIP of cell-tubule stained for the efflux transporter MRP2 (yellow) (scale bar is 100 μ m). Top, vertical cross section of the MRP2 stained cell-tubule. (E) Brightfield microscopy images showing Alcian Blue staining of acidic mucins in mono- (top) and coculture (bottom) tubules (scale bar is 200 μ m). (F) Micrograph of single z-slice of the cell layer along the ECM interface for mono- and coculture depicting Muc2 (green) and DAPI (blue) (scale bar is 100 μ m).

3.3 Homogenous Muc2-expression in coculture-tubules along the extracellular matrix-cell interface

To evaluate if introducing the goblet cells in the coculture induced the expected mucus production we performed various imaging-based assays to evaluate the mucus expression in both the mono- and coculture system. First, we studied the presence and expression profile of unspecific mucins by staining the cultured cell-tubules with Alcian blue, which binds to all acidic mucins (Figure 2E, Supplementary Figure S2) (Béduneau et al., 2014). The coculture tubules showed a notable higher mucus expression compared to the monoculture tubule (Gijzen et al., 2020). This was especially observed along the ECM-interface, highlighting the need for an ECM support to promote adequate cell differentiation. To verify the presence of Muc2, which is the small intestinal specific mucus type produced by goblet cells (Schneider et al., 2018), we visualized Muc2 by confocal imaging of immunostained cell-tubules. The staining for Muc2 proteins showed a strong and homogenous expression in coculture tubules but was completely absent in monoculture tubules (Figure 2F). Again, it was



primarily the cells supported by the ECM that displayed high expression of Muc2 in coculture tubules. Thus, the introduction of the goblet-like cells in coculture systems leads to strong and homogeneous mucus production in contrast to Caco-2 cells in monoculture. This addition increases the biological relevance of the epithelial monolayer system (Karlsson et al., 1993; Hilgendorf et al., 2000; Doherty and Charman, 2002) and permits its use for studying how the mucus layer affects drug transport across the intestinal cell barrier, by comparing the mono and coculture tubules.

3.4 Microvilli formation in coculture tubules corroborates an *in vivo* mimicking cellular morphology

To further corroborate the correct polarization of the cell monolayer we visualized cell morphology in detail by extracting the coculture tubule samples and imaging them using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Figure 3). Cell tubules were fixed, stained, embedded and sectioned into 120 nm thick slices after 4 days of culture before the tubule sections of the cell-ECM interface were imaged. The micrographs of the tubule sections displayed a distinct and homogenous formation of microvilli along the whole tubule, restricted only on the side facing the lumen opposite to the ECM, clearly illustrating the development of a well-defined apical side (Figure 3A). The basal side of the cells, which is attached to the ECM did not show any morphological changes. Additionally, each individual cell expressed a dense network of microvilli and thus a membrane surface area expansion typically seen for differentiated intestinal epithelial (Figure 3B). Having this characteristic cellular morphology in an in vitro assay is pivotal for its in vivo absorption predictability as the cell membrane surface area is a strong regulator of the total drug absorption. Thus, the generated cell tubules fully differentiated



into epithelial cells evidenced by their apicobasal characteristic membrane morphologies.

3.5 Intestinal metabolic markers are expressed in mono- and coculture tubules

To establish a physiological relevant small intestinal *in vitro* platform for drug transport studies the presence and activity of proteolytic enzymes and drug transporters are crucial (Gan and Thakker, 1997; Langguth et al., 1997; Estudante et al., 2013). A highly relevant group of intestinal metabolic enzymes are the

aminopeptidases, therefore we evaluated the presence of the brush border aminopeptidase N by employing a standard absorbance based assay, measuring the enzymatic cleavage product 4-Nitroanilide (Figure 4A) (Kim et al., 2012). Both mono- and coculture tubules showed a significant increase in measured 4-Nitroanilide compared to the cell-free control, confirming the presence of functional aminopeptidase N in both mono- and coculture tubules after 4 days of culture.

After having verified the presence of intestinal efflux transporters (Figures 2C,D) we wanted to ensure that these also constituted a functional efflux transport machinery, therefore we examined the function of the intestinal

P-glycoprotein (P-gp) efflux transporter by following the change in intracellular calcein upon inhibition with Verapamil (Figures 4B,C, Supplementary Figure S3). We added 10 µM of calcein to the tubular lumen and incubated for 60 min before imaging the whole tubule (Figure 4B, active). The relative intracellular fluorescence (I_{relative}) was then determined as the ratio of the calcein and DAPI intracellular fluorescence intensities for the whole tubule. For both the mono- and coculture systems we found similar low I_{relative} values for mono- and coculture tubules, due to the continuous efflux of calcein mediated by the active P-gp transporter (Figure 4C, light grey (mono) and light red (coculture)). Next, we repeated the experiment while adding the P-gp inhibitor Verapamil leading to a significant increase in I_{relative} values for both the mono- and coculture systems (Figure 4B, Inhibited; Figure 4C, dark grey (mono) and dark red (coculture)). This demonstrated the presence of functional P-gp transporters, representing a key component of the dynamic efflux machinery known to affect drug transport and thus vital for creating an in vitro model with high in vivo transport predictability.

3.6 Mono and coculture tubules display strong *in vivo* drug transport predictability

To validate the chip platform for drug transport studies, we correlated the absorption of twelve small model drugs in both the mono- and coculture tubules to their known human absorption values. To ensure a complete evaluation across the whole range of known human absorption values, as recommended by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), we selected model drugs from all Biopharmaceutical Classification System (BCS) classes with quantifiable transport (Supplementary Table S4) (Amidon et al., 1995; Niazi, 2019). For comparison, we performed the same correlative analysis for a classical TW system (Lipka and Amidon, 1999), which was set up following standard protocols (see experimental section). To quantify the transport, we added the drugs to either chips or TW and extracted both the apical and basolateral fractions after 2 h (Supplementary Figure S4, Supplementary Tables S3, S4). The concentration in all samples was determined using liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS) and internal standards (see experimental section, Supplementary Tables S1, S2). The apparent permeability (P_{app}) of each drug was calculated as described in the method section and summarized in Supplementary Table S4. Comparing the TW and the monoculture tubules systems we quantified ranges of P_{app} values from 1.20 \times 10⁻⁶ cm s⁻¹ for Erythromycin to 243.41 \times $10^{-6}\,\text{cm}~\text{s}^{-1}$ for Warfarin in TW and $1.33\,\times\,10^{-6}\,\text{cm}~\text{s}^{-1}$ for Erythromycin to 213.99×10^{-6} cm s⁻¹ for Carbamazepine in the chip monoculture. We correlated the obtained permeability data of each system to the known human absorption values

(Cheng et al., 2007; Skolnik et al., 2010; Takenaka et al., 2016; Youhanna and Lauschke, 2021) by plotting the P_{abb} values against the fraction absorbed in humans (FA) and extracted the Spearman correlation coefficient (SCC-R) (von Erlach et al., 2020). This statistic represents a measure of how well an in vitro model recapitulates the in vivo transport and thus allows for comparison between models (Figures 5A,B) (Li et al., 2007; Skolnik et al., 2010; Takenaka et al., 2016). We determined a SCC-R of 0.77 for the TW system, indicating a strong correlation to in vivo transport. In addition, the transport in monoculture tubules showed a similar SCC-R to TW with 0.88. This demonstrates that the monoculture is a qualified platform for drug transport studies, with an ability to predict in vivo intestinal transport at least on the level of the widely employed TW system, additionally having the unique ability to be directly compatible with advanced imaging modalities.

It has previously been shown that the utilization of Caco-2 monocultures for drug transport studies suffers from 1) underestimation of transport through the paracellular route due to a high density of tight junctions and 2) an overestimation of passively absorbed drugs due to the lack of mucus (Hilgendorf et al., 2000; Pontier et al., 2001; Béduneau et al., 2014). This underscores the importance of developing more biological complex in vitro models like the coculture tubules described in this work. Like for the TW and monoculture tubules, we next measured the transport of the twelve drugs in the coculture platform using LC-MS and quantified the Papp (Supplementary Table S4). The detected ranges of P_{app} values for the coculture system were from 0.99 \times 10⁻⁶ cm s⁻¹ for Erythromycin to 300.39×10^{-6} cm s⁻¹ for Warfarin. Plotting the FA against the P_{app} for the coculture revealed a SCC-R of 0.89, which is overall similar to the values found for the monoculture tubules and TW (Figure 5C). Thus, while the coculture system did not directly improve the in vivo predictability as compared to the TW system it is encouraging that this more physiologically relevant model can be established in a platform compatible with advanced imaging. Also, to further compare the mono- and coculture tubule system and delineate the effect of introducing the goblet cells we performed a direct comparison of P_{app} (monoculture) versus P_{app} (coculture) for each individual drug (Figure 5D). No systematic trend towards elevated or diminished transport for one system in particular, was evident (Figure 5D), however, knowing the transport route employed by the individual drugs allowed us to selectively plot the relative difference in average Papp, using a Bland-Altman comparison analysis (Altman and Bland, 1983). For all four paracellular transported drugs we saw increased relative transport for the coculture (red) versus the monoculture (black), up to 27% seen for Terbutaline (Figure 5E, top). This corroborates the believed increase in the monolayer permeability introduced when adding another cell line into the Caco-2 monolayer, directly increasing paracellular drug transport (Hilgendorf et al., 2000). Plotting the



correlation function, generating Spearman's coefficients (R). Compounds 1–12 are listed in Supplementary Table S4. (**D**) The average P_{app} found for either the monoculture (black) or the coculture (red) tubules plotted for each individual drug tested. (**E**) The relative difference of the average P_{app} for paracellular transported drugs (top) and transcellular transported drugs (bottom). Error bars represent the SEM for each drug transport measured for at least three biological replicates (n = 3).

same relative difference in average P_{app} for the transcellular drugs, we did not see any systematic change towards elevated or diminished transport for either the mono- (black) or the coculture (red) tubules (Figure 5E, bottom, Supplementary Table S5). This suggests that the presence of a mucus layer only had a minute effect on the transcellular transport. However, we caution on underestimating the importance of the mucus layer based on experiments with only small molecule drugs. We imagine that for larger peptide and protein drugs, the presence of a mucus layer might strongly affect the transport rate and thus give a more biological accurate measure of their transport across an intestinal cell layer. Based on this, we choose to continue with the coculture tubule for subsequent transport studies of biologics.

3.7 Multiplexed live cell imaging technique on coculture tubules allows for simulations assessment of cellular uptake, transport and barrier integrity

We next used the developed and verified coculture tubules to use imaging-based live cell assays to untangle the uptake and transport mechanism of biologics. To validate the platform for quantitative image based intestinal transport studies, we first employed the FITC-labeled transcriptional activator peptide in HIV (TAT), an arginine-rich cell-penetrating peptide (CPP) extensively used to deliver therapeutic proteins or peptides across cellular barriers (Morris et al., 2001; Kristensen and Nielsen, 2016; Guo et al., 2019). While the ability of TAT to



Live cell imaging-based uptake and transport quantification of TAT for determining high-temporal resolution kinetic transport profiles reveals a strong concentration dependent TAT transport in coculture tubules. (A) Live cell micrographs depicting TAT (green) and Hoechst (blue) intensities in coculture tubules treated with (left) low concentration of TAT (2 µM) or (right) high concentrations of TAT (24 µM). Scale bar is 10 µm. (B) Representative fluorescence surface intensity plot after 130 min incubation with the low TAT (left) and high TAT (right) concentrations. The surface intensity plots are taken from time series acquired using high-temporal resolution live cell imaging of TAT transport. The field of view was set as a z-plane in the middle of each chip-system, including all three connected channels as depicted. The fluorescence intensity summed along the (*Continued*)

FIGURE 6 (Continued)

red dotted line at the center of the ECM-channel was selected for quantification of transport. (C) Image-based quantification of kinetic transport profile plotted as the average TAT concentration within the red square in (B) as a function of time for both the low (green) and high concentration (purple) of TAT (n = 4). Dashed lines represent the SEM of each condition. (D) Comparison of the average rate of TAT transport for low and high TAT concentration systems. (n = 7) (***p = 0.0002). (E) Comparison of the relative TAT transport after 130 min of incubation (n = 4) (*p = 0.01). (F) Determination of BI kinetic quantified using the TD concentration for the low (grey) and high (blue) TAT concentration systems (n = 4). Dashed lines in the figure represent the SEM. All error bars in the figure represent the SEM of each condition.

cross cellular-membranes and barriers are uniformly reported, the transport mechanism of TAT remains debated, potentially due to previously studies being restricted to fixed cell samples or undifferentiated single cell experiments (Frankel and Pabo, 1988; Brock, 2014). Here, the unique imaging capabilities of the celltubule platform allowed us to quantify, for the first time, TAT transport across a differentiated cellular barrier using hightemporal live cell imaging. We first investigated how the TAT concentration affected its transport mechanism by applying either a low (2 μ M) or a high (24 μ M) concentration of TAT to the cell-tubules and imaged the coculture chips after 1 h of incubation. We detected a clear concentration dependent difference in the intracellular localization of TAT, with the low TAT concentration system displaying a punctate distribution of TAT inside the cells, suggesting endosomal uptake (Figure 6A). In contrast, the high TAT concentration $(24\,\mu\text{M})$ system displayed a diffuse TAT intensity in the cytoplasm, indicating uptake predominantly via transduction (cell membrane accumulation and destabilization) into the cells (Figure 6A). These results corroborate previous studies on undifferentiated single cell models, showing а concentration dependence of the cellular internalization mechanisms of TAT (Tünnemann et al., 2006, 2008; Duchardt et al., 2007; Brock, 2014). Here, we expand this to a fully differentiated coculture system demonstrating that concentrations differences down to a factor of 12 can lead to distinctly different uptake mechanisms of TAT.

Next we used the platform to study the barrier transport kinetics of TAT. We performed whole-chip real-time live cell imaging, to quantify the concentration of TAT transported across the coculture cell layer and reaching the receiving channel (Figure 6B). Here, we took advantage of the high temporal resolution and strong detection sensitivity offered by the imaging-compatible chip system. The same two concentrations of TAT (2 μ M, 24 μ M) were added to coculture tubules along with a 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ concentration of TD, allowing us to simultaneously track barrier integrity over time. Both the FITC and TRITC channels were recorded for each tubular system every 30 s for a total of 2.5 h. The well-plate format of the microfluidic platform allowed us to mark the position of numerous coculture tubules and use automated multi-spot imaging to perform several experiments in parallel. A calibration experiment where known concentrations of TAT or TD were added to a chip without cells allowed us to convert the

measured intensities to actual concentration in μ M and mg mL⁻¹ respectively (Supplementary Figure S5). A TAT surface intensity plot of the seeding, ECM and perfusion channels after 130 min of incubation showed a clear intensity gradient in the ECM-channel for both concentrations, demonstrating that TAT is capable of crossing the tubular cell layer and migrate through the ECM (Figure 6B). To quantify the transport of TAT we integrated the intensity within the ECM facing the receiving channel in the region displayed in Figure 6B (red square). We then used the calibration control to convert this intensity to concentration, which we plotted as a function of time for both the low- and high TAT concentration systems (Figure 6C). For both systems, we record a lag time of approx. 30 min showing a high noise to signal ratio, indicating the detection limit of the individual molecules before a significant signal above the background was detected. Subsequently, both systems reach a linear regime displaying a steady increase in transported peptide. Thus, to decouple the transport efficiency from the initial difference in concentration we quantified the transport rate for the linear regime for both the low and high TAT systems (Supplementary Figures S6, S7). Calculating the average transport rate for all experiments revealed a 54-fold higher rate for the high versus the low TAT system (Figure 6D). To elucidate how this affected the overall amount of transported TAT we again normalized for the initial difference in concentration by converting the quantified TAT concentration after 2.5 h to a percentage of the initially added concentration of TAT. Doing this, we quantified average relative transport percentages of 0.5 \pm 0.1% for low TAT and $2.0 \pm 0.4\%$ for high TAT, demonstrating a 4.4 fold higher relative amount of TAT transported in the high versus low TAT concentration system (Figure 6E). Finally, the influence of TAT on the barrier integrity was displayed using the acquired TD time series, showing an intact barrier indicated by an overall transport of TD below 5%. However, the sensitivity of the assay allows to detect a TAT concentration dependent difference in the TD transport profile. In the high TAT system a steady increase of TD was observed, but only a minimal transport of TD was detected in the low TAT system (Figure 6F). This TAT concentration dependent differences in the barrier interaction, further support that TAT can use two very different transport pathways, governed by the initial TAT concentration. Additionally, our data demonstrate that the transduction pathway employed by TAT at higher concentrations is vastly more efficient than the endocytic pathway employed at lower concentrations.

3.8 Intracellular entrapment of insulin restricts its transport across the epithelial cell barrier

Insulin is considered to be at the forefront of oral drug delivery, but despite immense efforts, no insulin-based oral formulation has reached the market, primarily due to extremely low bioavailability, originating both from low stability of free insulin in the harsh gastro-intestinal environment and an extremely low intrinsically cross barrier transport efficiency (Goldberg and Gomez-Orellana, 2003). Next, we took advantage of the subcellular resolution of the platform to study 1) the transport of AlexaFluor647-labeled insulin (INS) alone or 2) the transport of INS when using TAT as a vehicle for the attempted delivery across the cell barrier. In the latter case, we relied on the well-established strategy of electrostatic CPP and peptide complexation (Chen et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2019) and thus simultaneously added both TAT and INS to the tubule system. Additionally we added TD allowing us to track, in realtime, the transport of both the drug delivery vehicle (TAT) and the cargo (INS), while also monitoring how these affected the barrier integrity (TD). We detected no INS transport across the cell barrier independent of whether or not TAT was present, whereas a clear transport of TAT was again detected (Figure 7A). Also here, the barrier integrity was not compromised significantly in chips with and without TAT (Supplementary Figure S8). To ascertain the lack of INS transport we investigated its fate along the transport pathway after 3 h. We described the distribution of internalized INS by live cell imaging of the tubules showing that INS was indeed internalized into the enterocyte cell layer even without TAT (Figure 7B). Additionally, to investigate the intracellular we changed the magnification from ×20 to 100x for live cell imaging, allowing us to elucidate that for both INS and INS/TAT, the INS was localized inside the cytosol in punctual patterns, indicating endosomal uptake (Figure 7C). This suggests that the main limiting factor for the INS delivery across the cellular barrier in our setup was an inability to escape endosomes and/or be moved across the basolateral membrane.

Additionally, we attempted to use the model to study other pharmacological relevant transport mixtures including the permeation enhancer SNAC and the glucagon-like peptide 1 analog semaglutide (SG) used in the recently FDA approved treatment for type II diabetes (Buckley et al., 2018). However, from tracking the transport of SG-Cy3 and the BI by TD, in combination with a direct visual inspection of the cell layer in the bright field channel, we concluded that before any significant semaglutide-Cy3 transport across the barrier could be detected, SNAC had caused irreversible damage to the cell layer (Supplementary Figure S9). This illustrate the substantial impact on barrier morphology and integrity imposed by SNAC and suggests that its *in vivo* feasibility strongly relies on the regenerative nature of the epithelium cell layer. Overall, these findings illustrate the immense potential of employing imaging modality compatible *in vitro* intestinal barrier models, offering the ability to simultaneously perform detailed studies on uptake mechanisms and high-quality quantitative kinetic transport measurements and with that obtaining valuable mechanistic insights.

4 Discussion

Drug screening platforms compatible with live-cell imaging could offer the possibility to directly track how biologics cross the intestinal epithelial barrier and hereby offer mechanistic insights facilitating the rational design of new and improved oral administrated drugs (Gumbleton, 2005; Watson, 2005; Mechanism matters, 2010; Time to deliver, 2014; Sahay et al., 2010; Larsen et al., 2021). Here we developed a fully polarized and differentiated in vitro model from mono- and coculture epithelial tubules in the microfluidic OrganoPlate system. Both systems exhibited the correct expression patterns of standard epithelial cell markers such as brush border enzymes and effluxtransporters after 4 days of culture. In comparison, Caco-2 cells grown in Transwells require 17-21 days of culture for the differentiation into epithelial cells, proposed to be due to their static culture condition, as the importance of shear stress on Caco-2 cells for distinct and fast differentiation into an epithelial monolayer was reported in previous studies (Shemesh et al., 2015; Langerak et al., 2020). In our model, we applied bidirectional flow during the whole cultivation time and the resulting shear stress on the cell tubules induced the fast differentiation kinetics, greatly reducing the period from initial cell seeding to having a functional model for experiments.

In coculture tubules, we visualized and quantified the realtime transport of peptide transport using fluorescent microscopy. We employed TAT, a well-known vehicle for cellular drug delivery (Kristensen and Nielsen, 2016; Zhu et al., 2016), due to its proven ability to translocate across cell membranes, resulting in a push for identifying its underlying mechanism (Wadia et al., 2004; Brooks et al., 2005). Initially, it was proposed that TAT was mainly transported to the nuclei, but this was later disputed as concerns about potential artefacts from sample fixation were raised (Richard et al., 2003). Therefore, to avoid fixation investigators turned to live imaging of single cells, however, such systems do not accurately represent a biological cell barrier and are neither differentiated nor polarized cells. Therefore, we here investigated the uptake of TAT in the fully differentiated and polarized cell layer with mucus and microvilli, revealing a concentration dependent mode of uptake, corroborating earlier findings (Brock, 2014). Then we expanded this by showing that the difference in uptake mechanism also led to a significant concentration dependent difference in transport efficiency, quantifying a 54-fold increase in transport efficiency for a 12-fold difference in initial TAT concentration. This illustrates how our system can circumvent



(yellow) and TAT (purple) transport over 3 h. Data reflect the average of three independent biological replicates depicted with the SEM in dashed lines (n = 3). (B) Live cell micrographs showing the intracellular distribution of INS (red) with (bottom) and without (top) co-incubation of TAT for 3 h in coculture tubules. Tubules were stained with CellMask-green (green) for representation of the plasma membrane and Hoechst (blue) as nuclei staining. Scale bar is 500 µm. (C) The uptake and intracellular localization of INS with (left) and without the addition of TAT after 3 h of incubation. Scale bar is 10 µm.

many of the shortcomings of previous *in vitro* models and provide detailed imaging based insights on the cellular uptake and barrier transport of peptides.

The finding that co-delivery of TAT/insulin does not lead to strong transport of insulin is in line with previous studies (Kamei et al., 2008), whereas the features of the platform enabled to disclose the intracellular accumulation and entrapment of insulin in endosomal compartments, despite TATs ability of performing endosomal escape mechanisms and hence being transported across the epithelium (Lönn et al., 2016). This demonstrates the versatility of the advanced model allowing for simultaneous mechanistic studies on uptake and intracellular transport as well as sensitive kinetic measurements of barrier translocation.

While the microscopy-based readout offers great advantages for tracking the transport of biologics, it also poses a limitation to the current setup, as the selected drug needs to be modified with a fluorescent reporter. It is known that the modification could potentially alter the transport behavior of biologics, especially for smaller peptides (Szeto et al., 2005; Hedegaard et al., 2018). Consequently, it is paramount to select fluorescent dyes that to a minimal extent interfere with the physicochemical property of the biologics and e.g. select one of the number of fluorophores that has been shown to display minimal propensity for interaction with lipid membranes (Hughes et al., 2014). Additionally, while the applied Caco-2 cell line is a workhorse within the in vitro intestinal barrier community, it is still not capable of fully replicating the complex cellular environment seen in vivo epithelium (Englund et al., 2006; Maubon et al., 2007; Harwood et al., 2016; Vaessen et al., 2017). Thus, the biological complexity of the model could potentially be increased by replacing the commonly used Caco-2 and HT29 cell lines with a more biological relevant cell pool, e.g. from intestinal organoids (Bein et al., 2018; Beaurivage et al., 2020; Naumovska et al., 2020; Pimenta et al., 2022)."

Due to the intrinsic low bioavailability of most biologics, the transport of orally delivered formulations across the intestinal cell layer typically requires some extent of barrier disruption (Brayden et al., 2020). Therefore, most oral biologics formulations include excipients that can increase the transport across the cellular barrier (Brown et al., 2020). To facilitate safe transport using this strategy for oral drug delivery it is crucial that the barrier disruption is only transient and can be fully reversed to avoid inducing long term degradation of the intestinal tissue (Maher et al., 2021). Therefore, monitoring barrier integrity is a cornerstone of traditional Transwell assay, however hightemporal measurements of the transient cell barrier disruption are difficult in Transwell setups. Consequently, specialized transepithelial resistance (TEER) instruments with the ability to record the barrier integrity with a temporal resolution down to seconds have to be employed (Srinivasan et al., 2015; Gerasimenko et al., 2020). However, these setups have major drawbacks including being expensive and have limited experimental throughput as simultaneous monitoring of transport and TEER are unfeasible. The real-time read-out feature of the tubule platform presented here allowed for continuous evaluation of the barrier integrity by tracking the TD intensity with high temporal resolution. Additionally, we demonstrated that such BI measurements can run simultaneously with drug transport studies without the measurements affecting each other, as long as the chosen fluorophores do not display significant spectral overlap. This possibility of multiplexing within the same experiment greatly increases the throughput and flexibility of the assay.

In summary, the developed cell tubule setup represents a novel *in vitro* model system of the small intestine epithelium with a very high *in vivo* predictability and is thus directly applicable for drug transport studies. The unique compatibility of the platform with common microscopy modalities for live cell imaging allowed for studying the uptake mechanism and real-time transport of TAT and insulin across a fully differentiated epithelial barrier with high sensitivity and temporal kinetics. The generic ability of the model to perform detailed mechanistic studies for all fluorescently labeled biologics underscores how its widespread implementation could greatly benefit early-stage oral drug development.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

AW designed and performed experiments as well as analyzed the data, with help from JL and LP. AW and JL wrote the manuscript. RM, AH and MH contributed with data acquisition and data interpretation. CH provided input for the statistical analysis. PK contributed with generating TEM images. HF assisted setting up the Q-TOF. TA, JL and LP designed the project and were responsible for overall project management.

Funding

This work has been supported by the Novo Nordisk foundation Grant No. NNF16OC0022166.

Acknowledgments

Schemes were created by BioRender.com. We thank S. Vasudevan for the graphical design in this study and the Novo Nordisk compound sharing program for providing us with Semaglutide-Cy3.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Altman, D. G., and Bland, J. M. (1983). Measurement in medicine: The analysis of method comparison studies. *Statistician* 32, 307. doi:10.2307/2987937

Amidon, G. L., Lennernäs, H., Shah, V. P., and Crison, J. R. (1995). A theoretical basis for a biopharmaceutic drug classification: The correlation of *in vitro* drug product dissolution and *in vivo* bioavailability. *Pharm. Res.* 12, 413–420. doi:10.1023/a:1016212804288

Anderson, J. M., Van Itallie, C. M., Peterson, M. D., Stevenson, B. R., Carew, E. A., and Mooseker, M. S. (1989). ZO-1 mRNA and protein expression during tight junction assembly in Caco-2 cells. *J. Cell Biol.* 109, 1047–1056. doi:10.1083/jcb.109. 3.1047

Artursson, P., and Karlsson, J. (1991). Correlation between oral drug absorption in humans and apparent drug permeability coefficients in human intestinal epithelial (Caco-2) cells. *Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun.* 175, 880–885. doi:10.1016/0006-291X(91)91647-U

Ayehunie, S., Landry, T., Stevens, Z., Armento, A., Hayden, P., and Klausner, M. (2018). Human primary cell-based organotypic microtissues for modeling small intestinal drug absorption. *Pharm. Res.* 35, 72. doi:10.1007/s11095-018-2362-0

Balimane, P. V., Chong, S., and Morrison, R. A. (2000). Current methodologies used for evaluation of intestinal permeability and absorption. *J. Pharmacol. Toxicol. Methods* 44, 301–312. doi:10.1016/S1056-8719(00)00113-1

Basson, M. D., Turowski, G., and Emenaker, N. J. (1996). Regulation of human (Caco-2) intestinal epithelial cell differentiation by extracellular matrix proteins. *Exp. Cell Res.* 225, 301–305. doi:10.1006/excr.1996.0180

Beaurivage, C., Kanapeckaite, A., Loomans, C., Erdmann, K. S., Stallen, J., and Janssen, R. A. J. (2020). Development of a human primary gut-on-a-chip to model inflammatory processes. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 21475. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-78359-2

Beaurivage, C., Naumovska, E., Chang, Y. X., Elstak, E. D., Nicolas, A., Wouters, H., et al. (2019). Development of a gut-on-a-chip model for high throughput disease modeling and drug discovery. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 5661. doi:10.3390/IJMS20225661

Béduneau, A., Tempesta, C., Fimbel, S., Pellequer, Y., Jannin, V., Demarne, F., et al. (2014). A tunable Caco-2/HT29-MTX co-culture model mimicking variable permeabilities of the human intestine obtained by an original seeding procedure. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 87, 290–298. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2014.03.017

Bein, A., Shin, W., Jalili-Firoozinezhad, S., Park, M. H., Sontheimer-Phelps, A., Tovaglieri, A., et al. (2018). Microfluidic organ-on-a-chip models of human intestine. *Cell. Mol. Gastroenterology Hepatology* 5, 659–668. doi:10.1016/j. jcmgh.2017.12.010

Billat, P.-A., Roger, E., Faure, S., and Lagarce, F. (2017). Models for drug absorption from the small intestine: Where are we and where are we going? *Drug Discov. Today* 22, 761–775. doi:10.1016/j.drudis.2017.01.007

Brayden, D. J., Hill, T. A., Fairlie, D. P., Maher, S., and Mrsny, R. J. (2020). Systemic delivery of peptides by the oral route: Formulation and medicinal chemistry approaches. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 157, 2–36. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2020. 05.007

Brock, R. (2014). The uptake of arginine-rich cell-penetrating peptides: Putting the puzzle together. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 25, 863–868. doi:10.1021/bc500017t

Brooks, H., Lebleu, B., and Vives, E. (2005). Tat peptide-mediated cellular delivery: Back to basics. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 57, 559–577. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2004.12.001

Brown, T. D., Whitehead, K. A., and Mitragotri, S. (2020). Materials for oral delivery of proteins and peptides. *Nat. Rev. Mat.* 5, 127–148. doi:10.1038/s41578-019-0156-6

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2022. 965200/full#supplementary-material

Buckley, S. T., Bækdal, T. A., Vegge, A., Maarbjerg, S. J., Pyke, C., Ahnfelt-Rønne, J., et al. (2018). Transcellular stomach absorption of a derivatized glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 10, eaar7047. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aar7047

Cao, L., McCaig, C. D., Scott, R. H., Zhao, S., Milne, G., Clevers, H., et al. (2014). Polarizing intestinal epithelial cells electrically through Ror2. *J. Cell Sci.* 127, 3233–3239. doi:10.1242/jcs.146357

Chen, S., Guo, F., Deng, T., Zhu, S., Liu, W., Zhong, H., et al. (2017). Eudragit S100coated chitosan nanoparticles Co-loading tat for enhanced oral colon absorption of insulin. *AAPS PharmSciTech* 18, 1277–1287. doi:10.1208/s12249-016-0594-z

Cheng, L., Liu, T., Cui, X., Uss, A. S., and Cheng, K.-C. (2007). Development of *in vitro* pharmacokinetic screens using caco-2, human hepatocyte, and caco-2/ human hepatocyte hybrid systems for the prediction of oral bioavailability in humans. *SLAS Discov.* 12, 1084–1091. doi:10.1177/1087057107308892

Dahlgren, D., Roos, C., Sjögren, E., and Lennernäs, H. (2015). Direct *in vivo* human intestinal permeability (peff) determined with different clinical perfusion and intubation methods. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 104, 2702–2726. doi:10.1002/jps.24258

Doherty, M. M., and Charman, W. N. (2002). The mucosa of the small intestine. *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* 41, 235–253. doi:10.2165/00003088-200241040-00001

Drucker, D. J. (2020). Advances in oral peptide therapeutics. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 19, 277–289. doi:10.1038/s41573-019-0053-0

Duchardt, F., Fotin-Mleczek, M., Schwarz, H., Fischer, R., and Brock, R. (2007). A comprehensive model for the cellular uptake of cationic cell-penetrating peptides. *Traffic* 8, 848–866. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2007.00572.x

Englund, G., Rorsman, F., Rönnblom, A., Karlbom, U., Lazorova, L., Gråsjö, J., et al. (2006). Regional levels of drug transporters along the human intestinal tract: Co-expression of ABC and SLC transporters and comparison with caco-2 cells. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 29, 269–277. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2006.04.010

Estudante, M., Morais, J. G., Soveral, G., and Benet, L. Z. (2013). Intestinal drug transporters: An overview. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 65, 1340–1356. doi:10.1016/j.addr. 2012.09.042

Frankel, A. D., and Pabo, C. O. (1988). Cellular uptake of the tat protein from human immunodeficiency virus. *Cell* 55, 1189–1193. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(88) 90263-2

Gan, L.-S. L., and Thakker, D. R. (1997). Applications of the caco-2 model in the design and development of orally active drugs: elucidation of biochemical and physical barriers posed by the intestinal epithelium. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 23, 77–98. doi:10.1016/S0169-409X(96)00427-9

Gerasimenko, T., Nikulin, S., Zakharova, G., Poloznikov, A., Petrov, V., Baranova, A., et al. (2020). Impedance spectroscopy as a tool for monitoring performance in 3D models of epithelial tissues. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 7, 474. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2019.00474

Giacomini, K. M., Huang, S. M., Tweedie, D. J., Benet, L. Z., Brouwer, K. L. R., Chu, X., et al. (2010). Membrane transporters in drug development. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 9, 215–236. doi:10.1038/nrd3028

Gijzen, L., Marescotti, D., Raineri, E., Nicolas, A., Lanz, H. L., Guerrera, D., et al. (2020). An intestine-on-a-chip model of plug-and-play modularity to study inflammatory processes. *SLAS Technol.* 25, 585–597. doi:10.1177/ 2472630320924999

Gleeson, J. P., and McCartney, F. (2019). Striving towards the perfect *in vitro* oral drug absorption model. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 40, 720–724. doi:10.1016/j.tips.2019. 07.010

Goldberg, M., and Gomez-Orellana, I. (2003). Challenges for the oral delivery of macromolecules. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 2, 289–295. doi:10.1038/nrd1067

Gumbleton, M. (2005). Coming out of the dark: The evolving role of fluorescence imaging in drug delivery research. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 57, 5–15. doi:10.1016/j. addr.2004.08.002

Guo, F., Ouyang, T., Peng, T., Zhang, X., Xie, B., Yang, X., et al. (2019). Enhanced oral absorption of insulin using colon-specific nanoparticles co-modified with amphiphilic chitosan derivatives and cell-penetrating peptides. *Biomater. Sci.* 7, 1493–1506. doi:10.1039/c8bm01485j

Halamoda-Kenzaoui, B., Vandebriel, R. J., Howarth, A., Siccardi, M., David, C. A. W., Liptrott, N. J., et al. (2021). Methodological needs in the quality and safety characterisation of nanotechnology-based health products: Priorities for method development and standardisation. *J. Control. Release* 336, 192–206. doi:10.1016/J. JCONREL.2021.06.016

Harwood, M. D., Achour, B., Neuhoff, S., Russell, M. R., Carlson, G., and Warhurst, G. (2016). *In vitro*-in vivo extrapolation scaling factors for intestinal P-glycoprotein and Breast cancer resistance protein: Part I: A cross-laboratory comparison of transporter-protein abundances and relative expression factors in human intestine and caco-2 cells. *Drug Metabolism Dispos.* 44, 297–307. doi:10. 1124/dmd.115.067371

Hedegaard, S. F., Derbas, M. S., Lind, T. K., Kasimova, M. R., Christensen, M. V., Michaelsen, M. H., et al. (2018). Fluorophore labeling of a cell-penetrating peptide significantly alters the mode and degree of biomembrane interaction. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 6327. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-24154-z

Hidalgo, I. J., Raub, T. J., and Borchardt, R. T. (1989). Characterization of the human colon carcinoma cell line (Caco-2) as a model system for intestinal epithelial permeability. *Gastroenterology* 96, 736–749. doi:10.1016/S0016-5085(89)80072-1

Hilgendorf, C., Spahn-Langguth, H., Regårdh, C. G., Lipka, E., Amidon, G. L., and Langguth, P. (2000). Caco-2 versus caco-2/HT29-MTX Co-cultured cell lines: Permeabilities via diffusion, inside- and outside-directed carrier-mediated transport. J. Pharm. Sci. 89, 63–75. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1520-6017(20001)89: 1<63:AID-JPS7>3.0.CO;2-6

Hubatsch, I., Ragnarsson, E. G. E., and Artursson, P. (2007). Determination of drug permeability and prediction of drug absorption in Caco-2 monolayers. *Nat. Protoc.* 2, 2111–2119. doi:10.1038/nprot.2007.303

Hughes, L. D., Rawle, R. J., and Boxer, S. G. (2014). Choose your label wisely: Water-soluble fluorophores often interact with lipid bilayers. *PLOS ONE* 9, e87649. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0087649

Huh, D., Hamilton, G. A., and Ingber, D. E. (2011). From 3D cell culture to organs-on-chips. *Trends Cell Biol.* 21, 745–754. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2011.09.005

Kamei, N., Morishita, M., Eda, Y., Ida, N., Nishio, R., and Takayama, K. (2008). Usefulness of cell-penetrating peptides to improve intestinal insulin absorption. *J. Control. Release* 132, 21–25. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2008.08.001

Karlsson, J., Wikman, A., and Artursson, P. (1993). The mucus layer as a barrier to drug absorption in monolayers of human intestinal epithelial HT29-H goblet cells. *Int. J. Pharm.* 99, 209–218. doi:10.1016/0378-5173(93)90363-K

Kim, H. J., Huh, D., Hamilton, G., and Ingber, D. E. (2012). Human gut-on-a-chip inhabited by microbial flora that experiences intestinal peristalsis-like motions and flow. *Lab. Chip* 12, 2165. doi:10.1039/c2lc40074j

Kristensen, M., and Nielsen, H. M. (2016). Cell-penetrating peptides as carriers for oral delivery of biopharmaceuticals. *Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 118, 99–106. doi:10.1111/bcpt.12515

Langerak, N., Ahmed, H. M. M., Li, Y., Middel, I. R., Eslami Amirabadi, H., Malda, J., et al. (2020). A theoretical and experimental study to optimize cell differentiation in a novel intestinal chip. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 8, 763. doi:10. 3389/fbioe.2020.00763

Langguth, P., Bohner, V., Heizmann, J., Merkle, H. P., Wolffram, S., Amidon, G. L., et al. (1997). The challenge of proteolytic enzymes in intestinal peptide delivery. *J. Control. Release* 46, 39–57. doi:10.1016/S0168-3659(96)01586-6

Larsen, J. B., Taebnia, N., Dolatshahi-Pirouz, A., Eriksen, A. Z., Hjørringgaard, C., Kristensen, K., et al. (2021). Imaging therapeutic peptide transport across intestinal barriers. *RSC Chem. Biol.* 2, 1115–1143. doi:10.1039/D1CB00024A

Lennernäs, H., Nylander, S., and Ungell, A. L. (1997). Jejunal permeability: a comparison between the ussing chamber technique and the single-pass perfusion in humans. *Pharm. Res.* 14, 667–671. doi:10.1023/a:1012121632357

Li, C., Liu, T., Cui, X., Uss, A. S., and Cheng, K.-C. (2007). Development of *in vitro* pharmacokinetic screens using caco-2, human hepatocyte, and caco-2/human hepatocyte hybrid systems for the prediction of oral bioavailability in humans. *SLAS Discov.* 12, 1084–1091. doi:10.1177/1087057107308892

Lipka, E., and Amidon, G. L. (1999). Setting bioequivalence requirements for drug development based on preclinical data: optimizing oral drug delivery systems. *J. Control. Release* 62, 41–49. doi:10.1016/S0168-3659(99)00022-X

Lönn, P., Kacsinta, A. D., Cui, X.-S., Hamil, A. S., Kaulich, M., Gogoi, K., et al. (2016). Enhancing endosomal escape for intracellular delivery of macromolecular biologic therapeutics. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 32301. doi:10.1038/srep32301

Maher, S., Geoghegan, C., and Brayden, D. J. (2021). Intestinal permeation enhancers to improve oral bioavailability of macromolecules: reasons for low efficacy in humans. *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 18, 273–300. doi:10.1080/17425247.2021.1825375

Masaoka, Y., Tanaka, Y., Kataoka, M., Sakuma, S., and Yamashita, S. (2006). Site of drug absorption after oral administration: Assessment of membrane permeability and luminal concentration of drugs in each segment of gastrointestinal tract. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 29, 240–250. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2006.06.004

Maubon, N., Le Vee, M., Fossati, L., Audry, M., Le Ferrec, E., Bolze, S., et al. (2007). Analysis of drug transporter expression in human intestinal Caco-2 cells by real-time PCR. *Fundam. Clin. Pharmacol.* 21, 659–663. doi:10.1111/j.1472-8206. 2007.00550.x

Mechanism matters (2010). Mechanism matters. Nat. Med. 16, 347. doi:10.1038/ nm0410-347

Morris, M. C., Depollier, J., Mery, J., Heitz, F., and Divita, G. (2001). A peptide carrier for the delivery of biologically active proteins into mammalian cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 19, 1173–1176. doi:10.1038/nbt1201-1173

Naumovska, E., Aalderink, G., Wong Valencia, C., Kosim, K., Nicolas, A., Brown, S., et al. (2020). Direct on-chip differentiation of intestinal tubules from induced pluripotent stem cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21, 4964. doi:10.3390/ ijms21144964

Niazi, S. K. (2019). "Waiver of *in vivo* bioavailability and bioequivalence studies for immediate-release solid oral dosage forms based on a biopharmaceutics classification system," in *Handbook of pharmaceutical manufacturing formulations*. Third Edition. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 27–35. doi:10.1201/ 9781315103389-2

Pimenta, J., Ribeiro, R., Almeida, R., Costa, P. F., da Silva, M. A., and Pereira, B. (2022). Organ-on-Chip approaches for intestinal 3D *in vitro* modeling. *Cell. Mol. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 13, 351–367. doi:10.1016/J.JCMGH.2021.08.015

Pontier, C., Pachot, J., Botham, R., Lenfant, B., and Arnaud, P. (2001). HT29-MTX and Caco-2/TC7 monolayers as predictive models for human intestinal absorption: Role of the mucus layer. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 90, 1608–1619. doi:10.1002/ jps.1111

Richard, J. P., Melikov, K., Vives, E., Ramos, C., Verbeure, B., Gait, M. J., et al. (2003). Cell-penetrating peptides: A reevaluation of the mechanism of cellular uptake. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 585–590. doi:10.1074/jbc.M209548200

Sahay, G., Alakhova, D. Y., and Kabanov, A. V. (2010). Endocytosis of nanomedicines. *J. Control. Release* 145, 182–195. doi:10.1016/J.JCONREL.2010. 01.036

Sambuy, Y., De Angelis, I., Ranaldi, G., Scarino, M. L., Stammati, A., and Zucco, F. (2005). The caco-2 cell line as a model of the intestinal barrier: Influence of cell and culture-related factors on caco-2 cell functional characteristics. *Cell Biol. Toxicol.* 21, 1–26. doi:10.1007/s10565-005-0085-6

Schneider, H., Pelaseyed, T., Svensson, F., and Johansson, M. E. V. (2018). Study of mucin turnover in the small intestine by *in vivo* labeling. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 5760. doi:10. 1038/s41598-018-24148-x

Schutgens, F., Rookmaaker, M. B., Margaritis, T., Rios, A., Ammerlaan, C., Jansen, J., et al. (2019). Tubuloids derived from human adult kidney and urine for personalized disease modeling. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 303–313. doi:10.1038/ s41587-019-0048-8

Shemesh, J., Jalilian, I., Shi, A., Heng Yeoh, G., Knothe Tate, M. L., and Ebrahimi Warkiani, M. (2015). Flow-induced stress on adherent cells in microfluidic devices. *Lab. Chip* 15, 4114–4127. doi:10.1039/C5LC00633C

Shin, M. K., Kim, S. K., and Jung, H. (2011). Integration of intra- and extravasation in one cell-based microfluidic chip for the study of cancer metastasis. *Lab. Chip* 11, 3880. doi:10.1039/c1lc20671k

Simon-Assmann, P., Turck, N., Sidhoum-Jenny, M., Gradwohl, G., and Kedinger, M. (2007). *In vitro* models of intestinal epithelial cell differentiation. *Cell Biol. Toxicol.* 23, 241–256. doi:10.1007/s10565-006-0175-0

Sjöberg, Å., Lutz, M., Tannergren, C., Wingolf, C., Borde, A., and Ungell, A. L. (2013). Comprehensive study on regional human intestinal permeability and prediction of fraction absorbed of drugs using the Ussing chamber technique. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 48, 166–180. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2012.10.007

Skolnik, S., Lin, X., Wang, J., Chen, X.-H., He, T., and Zhang, B. (2010). Towards prediction of *in vivo* intestinal absorption using a 96-well caco-2 assay. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 99, 3246–3265. doi:10.1002/jps.22080

Srinivasan, B., Kolli, A. R., Esch, M. B., Abaci, H. E., Shuler, M. L., and Hickman, J. J. (2015). TEER measurement techniques for *in vitro* barrier model systems. *SLAS Technol.* 20, 107–126. doi:10.1177/2211068214561025

Szeto, H. H., Schiller, P. W., Zhao, K., and Luo, G. (2005). Fluorescent dyes alter intracellular targeting and function of cell-penetrating tetrapeptides. *FASEB J.* 19, 118–120. doi:10.1096/fj.04-1982fje

Takenaka, T., Harada, N., Kuze, J., Chiba, M., Iwao, T., and Matsunaga, T. (2016). Application of a human intestinal epithelial cell monolayer to the prediction of oral drug absorption in humans as a superior alternative to the caco-2 cell monolayer. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 105, 915–924. doi:10.1016/j.xphs.2015.11.035

Time to deliver (2014). Time to deliver. Nat. Biotechnol. 32, 961. doi:10.1038/nbt. 3045

Trietsch, S. J., Naumovska, E., Kurek, D., Setyawati, M. C., Vormann, M. K., Wilschut, K. J., et al. (2017). Membrane-free culture and real-time barrier integrity assessment of perfused intestinal epithelium tubes. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 262. doi:10. 1038/s11467-017-00259-3

Tünnemann, G., Martin, R. M., Haupt, S., Patsch, C., Edenhofer, F., and Cardoso, M. C. (2006). Cargo-dependent mode of uptake and bioavailability of TATcontaining proteins and peptides in living cells. *FASEB J.* 20, 1775–1784. doi:10. 1096/fj.05-5523com

Tünnemann, G., Ter-Avetisyan, G., Martin, R. M., Stöckl, M., Herrmann, A., and Cardoso, M. C. (2008). Live-cell analysis of cell penetration ability and toxicity of oligo-arginines. *J. Pept. Sci.* 14, 469–476. doi:10.1002/psc.968

Twarog, C., Liu, K., O'Brien, P. J., Dawson, K. A., Fattal, E., Illel, B., et al. (2020). A head-to-head caco-2 assay comparison of the mechanisms of action of the intestinal permeation enhancers: SNAC and sodium caprate (C10). *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 152, 95–107. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2020.04.023

Vaessen, S. F. C., van Lipzig, M. M. H., Pieters, R. H. H., Krul, C. A. M., Wortelboer, H. M., and van de Steeg, E. (2017). Regional expression levels of drug transporters and metabolizing enzymes along the pig and human intestinal tract and comparison with caco-2 cells. Drug Metab. Dispos. 45, 353-360. doi:10.1124/ dmd.116.072231

von Erlach, T., Saxton, S., Shi, Y., Minahan, D., Reker, D., Javid, F., et al. (2020). Robotically handled whole-tissue culture system for the screening of oral drug formulations. *Nat. Biomed. Eng.* 4, 544–559. doi:10.1038/s41551-020-0545-6

Wadia, J. S., Stan, R. V., and Dowdy, S. F. (2004). Transducible TAT-HA fusogenic peptide enhances escape of TAT-fusion proteins after lipid raft macropinocytosis. *Nat. Med.* 10, 310–315. doi:10.1038/nm996

Watson, P. (2005). Intracellular trafficking pathways and drug delivery: Fluorescence imaging of living and fixed cells. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 57, 43–61. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2004.05.003

Wong, C. Y., Al-Salami, H., and Dass, C. R. (2020). Cellular assays and applied technologies for characterisation of orally administered protein nanoparticles: a systematic review. *J. Drug Target.* 28, 585–599. doi:10.1080/1061186x.2020.1726356

Yilmaz, D., Sharp, P. S., Main, M. J., and Simpson, P. B. (2022). Advanced molecular imaging for the characterisation of complex medicines. *Drug Discov. Today* 27, 1716–1723. doi:10.1016/J.DRUDIS.2022.03.001

Youhanna, S., and Lauschke, V. M. (2021). The past, present and future of intestinal *in vitro* cell systems for drug absorption studies. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 110, 50–65. doi:10.1016/j.xphs.2020.07.001

Zaderer, V., Hermann, M., Lass-Flörl, C., Posch, W., and Wilflingseder, D. (2019). Turning the world upside-down in cellulose for improved culturing and imaging of respiratory challenges within a human 3D model. *Cells* 8, 1292. doi:10.3390/cells8101292

Zhu, S., Chen, S., Gao, Y., Guo, F., Li, F., Xie, B., et al. (2016). Enhanced oral bioavailability of insulin using PLGA nanoparticles co-modified with cell-penetrating peptides and Engrailed secretion peptide (Sec). *Drug Deliv.* 23, 1980–1991. doi:10.3109/10717544.2015.1043472