DTU Library

Serious Game in Virtual Reality for Safe, Active, and Personalized Learning Related to Pedestrian Workers Struck by Equipment and Other Construction Hazards

Golovina, Olga ; Teizer, Jochen

Link back to DTU Orbit

SERIOUS GAME IN VIRTUAL REALITY FOR SAFE, ACTIVE, AND PERSONALIZED LEARNING RELATED TO PEDESTRIAN WORKERS STRUCK BY EQUIPMENT AND OTHER CONSTRUCTION HAZARDS

Olga Golovina
Department of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark

Jochen Teizer
Department of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark (Corresponding Author)

ABSTRACT: *Struck-by injuries and fatalities resulting from workplace accidents remain a major concern within the global construction industry. While existing education and training of personnel offer well-known approaches for establishing a safe work environment, Serious Games are being increasingly investigated as a complementary and safe approach for active personalized learning. Serious games in Virtual Reality (VR) yet have to take full advantage of the inherent data that can be collected about the players. This research presents a novel approach for the automated assessment of the players' data. The proposed method was tested by gathering the data within a serious game platform for instant personalized feedback. The application focuses on close calls and contact collisions between pedestrian construction workers and hazardous operations involving equipment (e.g., tower crane lifts, earth moving), harmful substances of temporary construction assets (e.g., materials, tools), or restricted work zones (e.g., confined work spaces). The results demonstrate the benefits and limitations of safety and behavioral information previously unavailable, or very hard or impossible to collect. The provided outlook presents the role of VR-based safety education and training in risk management and Digital Twins (DT).*

KEYWORDS: *Accident investigation, close call, construction safety, education, equipment contact collisions, hazard, human-hazard interaction, risk prevention, serious game, situational awareness, virtual reality, training.*

INTRODUCTION

The initial inspiration to conduct the proposed research stems from research on construction accident investigations (Hinze and Teizer, 2011). The publication found that approximately 7% of all construction workplace fatalities in the United States relate to too close contact with construction equipment or parts of it (like attached loads) (US BLS 2019). Their work has also shown that 87% of visibility-related construction fatalities related to construction equipment could not have been prevented by either the pedestrian worker or the operator. Subsequently, advanced safety training should accommodate such level of technical understanding.

While numerous best practices exist to mitigate risk in organizations early, starting with a good safety culture and tight safety processes. The layers in the hierarchy of controls, however, still have to take full advantage of data that can be provided by technology during training sessions. Data mining in serious games can offer participants instant personalized feedback. Yet, hardly any objective comparison (def. as excluding opinion-based judgements by safety trainers or superiors in organizations) or quantifiable evidence exists to date which factors contribute and how frequent zones around a piece equipment are harmful to pedestrian personnel.

The novelty of the proposed approach lies in a safe learning environment where participants can make mistakes without suffering from the normal consequences. The general hypothesis is that wrong judgement – whether conducted knowingly or not – can be discovered in and eliminated by training early, before appearing in workplaces, and prevented (Golovina et al., 2016).

This research first designed a safe learning process, then a learning platform using serious games in virtual reality (VR). The implementation of a realistic VR environment was supported by the latest, commercially-existing head-mounted display (HMD) units and actual three-dimensional worksite models and schedules (4D) using Building Information Modeling (BIM). Several hazards related to close calls and contact collisions between construction workers, equipment or its parts, harmful substances, and restricted zones were added to the virtual construction scene. Equipment blind spaces that limit the operator's field-of-view were also considered (Figure 1).

Consequentially, close calls and contact collisions were defined based on given occupational safety and health standards. Participants with some construction experience tested the scenario individually while personal safety performance data were collected and analyzed in real-time. Instant quantitative analysis and visual safety performance information became available that is used for personalized feedback and improvement.

Fig. 1: Limited operator visibility for a piece of construction equipment (according to ISO 5006:2017)

RELATED WORK

For years Virtual Reality (VR) has been used for education and training purposes. The high number of VR applications that exists in the fields of military, aviation, and medicine resulted in numerous serious games. Serious games are named 'serious', because they have a primary purpose other than pure entertainment. For example, serious games helped to increase the awareness of airplane passengers in case of an emergency situation (Chittaro and Buttussi, 2015). Like many other studies, their primary research method evaluates two user groups. One group uses the traditional approach, in the airline industry, for example, safety cards, the other group using the serious game. Based on entry- and exit-questionnaires and pre- and exit-interviews user experience (UX), net promoter score (NPS), and system usability (SUS) are evaluated (works by: Wolf et al., 2019 and 2022). Earlier studies related to VR in construction (Hilfert et al., 2016; Kassem et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018; Zaker and Coloma, 2018; Bükrü et al., 2020; Jelonek et al., 2022) concluded that VR-based safety awareness training offers more engaging learning environments. Study participants also reported to favor personalized feedback over no feedback (as commonly in lectures, videos, or demonstrations) (Sacks et al., 2013). Some of the benefits of VR-based safety training are:

- presents trainees with hazards directly and realistically without compromising their own safety;
- holds the attention of trainees better than conventional classroom teaching does;
- gives trainees a measure of control in the environment, thus reinforcing learning; and
- allows trainers to repeat learning content for many participants under the same training conditions.

Golovina et al. (2016); and other authors (mentioned earlier) proposed using already existing data, for example, pulling objects from BIM in the virtual world, to increase the level of realism in VR scenarios. Using the 4D component of such models that presents the progress of the built environment over time, could exploit BIM as additional data source for a level of unseen detail. Players would then experience the most current state of a construction site, including objects with associated hazards. BIM data as part of a Safe Digital Twin (Teizer et al., 2022) would go beyond its use in design/planning tasks or for providing visual walkthroughs in VR.

On the other side, VR learning scenarios were swiftly criticized by some for being unsophisticated and unrealistic compared to the real world experience (Hilfert et al., 2016). A reason is that creating VR scenarios is a very time-consuming task, requiring a lot of attention to fine details (Sacks et al., 2013) such as programming logic. Reducing motion sickness (aka. VR sickness) for some players, teaching people unaccustomed to computerized environment, creating multi-user environments (incl. training larger groups), and providing options to instantly record and analyze the players' behaviors seem to be other challenges for realistic VR-implementations. Known limitations are summarized.

- Although hard- and software technology are rapidly evolving, the investment of developing training materials and virtual construction scenarios is high.
- Several studies (Sacks et al., 2013; Zaker and Coloma, 2018; Burke et al., 2011, Hilfert et al., 2016) claim that the gained safety knowledge of some trainees may remain at similar levels compared to standard learning approaches.

While some of the issues create needs for additional research, they remain outside the scope of this study (e.g., VR sickness and multi-user environments (Solberg et al., 2021; Moelmen et al., 2022)). This study addresses an effort towards real-time data collection and instant analysis in an immersive serious game for a more detailed construction worker-equipment close call and contact collision assessment.

PROPOSED APPORACH

This section explains noteworthy details to the research methodology, its objective and scope definitions. As illustrated in Figure 2, the developed virtual safety learning platform consists of a player (e.g., a trainee or a (un)skilled construction worker), a virtual construction site environment (e.g., 3D content generated from 4D models or open source libraries), scene- or object-related content (e.g., typical sounds from a construction site), and soft- and hardware technology (e.g., authoring tools and head mounted displays, respectively).

Fig. 2. VR safety learning platform (Teizer et al., 2018)

While a person may have previous education and experience (i.e., related to construction safety), advanced tools for automated, in depth, and personalized performance analysis and feedback are not existent in today's practice (our research objective). Personal safety skills particularly to close call and contact collision detection and analysis of construction workers to hazardous equipment or restricted work areas will be tested (research scope definition). VR technology is used as it provides a safe work environment (called an experimental testbed) where humans can make mistakes without suffering the losses they would typically experience in the real world. Thus, further processing of any worthwhile information generated in the virtual world (e.g., the location of close calls or contact collisions, their frequency and types) might be applied to improve the working conditions in the real world. Since 4D-models normally exist before construction starts, such information (e.g., relations between 3D objects and construction sequence) will be used to generate realistic virtual work environments. The following explains how VR is used to advance the analysis and control.

Visualizing and controlling

VR consists primarily of hard- and software components. While multiple commercial systems exist, this approach used the head mounted display (HMD) of HTC Vive Pro (2018 version) with a 110° field-of-view for visualization and the game engine Unity3D for authoring the content. Although VR raises the immersion effect of the user, it is still challenging to achieve a high realism of VR scenes. Two hand-operated controllers give a player the opportunity to interact within the virtual construction scene. The lighthouse-system tracks the head-mounted display and controller movements via the emitted infrared rays. A computer combines and finally sends data from the graphics processing unit to the displays of the virtual reality headset. To reduce latency and diminish motion sickness the refresh rate should be close to 90 Hz (Bailenson et al., 2008).

Data recording and processing

The second technology which was used is the gaming-engine Unity3D. While the virtual construction scenario is created in Unity3D, it offers programmers many additional functionalities like collision detection or physical handling. To use the HTC Vive tracking system in Unity3D the "steamVR"-plugin" (Unity, 2019) is needed. This plugin contains necessary objects like the "[CameraRig]"-object which handles the communication between the HTC Vive tracking system and the operating computer. The "[CameraRig]"-object has three child-objects: "Controller (left)", "Controller (right)" and "Camera (head)". Both of controller-objects are used to handle the player's input. They visualize the controller's position and rotation in real-time. The "Camera (head)"-object is used to show the direction of the player's view. A player uses the touchpad of the right HTC Vive controller for moving within the VR scene.

Authoring content and model functions

Construction site models from a real project and additional models from the internal Unity3D Asset Store, online libraries for free share or for purchase (e.g., equipment and materials), self-modeled models, or other sources served as content to create a serious game which was tested by players. To increase a player's immersion effect, the selection of 3D models plays a pivotal role. Compulsory to the construction scene model were models of a construction worker, a tower crane, a skid steer loader and several smaller construction objects to illustrate two

restricted work areas in the two scenarios (safe and unsafe).

Unity3D supports files with .fbx-, .dae-, .3DS-, .dxf- and .obj-extensions (Unity, 2019). All selected models were converted into the file extension .fbx and made available for further use in Unity3D. They should have the same quality in order to keep the player´s experience, an realistic level. The acceptable number of polygons are preferred, because a higher number influences the computing performance negatively. Once the required models with the supported extension are fetched, they can be imported into the Unity3D-project. While recently announced plugins allow exporting/importing native building information models into Unity3D (Unity, 2019), this research used a self-developed approach (Hilfert et al. 2016) to import .IFC models directly. Via drag-and-drop the models can finally be placed into the Unity3D-scene. Within the scene the position, the rotation, and the scale-properties of the models can be adjusted using the inspector-window.

Construction scene

For this research, an existing building project incl. the 3D models (e.g., model of neighboring buildings, detailed site layout plan, excavated pit model and structural model, incl. reinforced concrete walls, columns, and slabs) and the construction schedule served as the main source of information. Figure 3 shows the resulting VR scene model at two stages in the construction schedule: (a) unsafe and (b) safe.


Fig. 3. Construction scene (isometric view)

Construction worker

A 3D-model of a construction worker (Figure 4) allowed to visualize the movement of the player. The pseudocode explaining the movement in the scene has already been explained in Golovina et al. (2018) and is not repeated again.

Otherwise, the serious game has a Start-function that initializes the right HTC Vive Pro controller when the simulation starts. The Update-function checks in every recorded frame whether the worker has pressed the right controller's touchpad. The task of the pseudo C#-script is to move the 3D-model of the worker dependent on the input of the right controller's touchpad.


Fig. 4. 3D model view of the construction worker with PPE (left); the respective protective envelope (PE) in form of a bounding cylinder turns out to be a circle in plan view (right)

The developed approach has two additional features (Figure 4): (a) an arrow that visualizes the direction of the worker's field-of-view (FOV) in real-time and (b) a circular layer, defined as the protective envelope (Golovina et al., 2016; Teizer and Cheng, 2015). A player's FOV covers 114° (Howard and Rogers, 1995) (compared to 110° of the Vive tracking system). The arrow's purpose is to give trainers or by-standers administering or observing a training session an immediate understanding which direction the player is looking at. Data collected to the FOV can further be analyzed, as explained later. Likewise is the protective envelope of the worker used. It is a three-

dimensional collider object in form of a cylinder has radius of 0.6 m (represents a typical adult person's shoulder width) that triggers a close call event when touching a different collider object. This segmentation assists in the counting of the number and the direction of close call or contact collisions. Segments with higher counts thus explain how often and from where the worker collided with hazards.

Equipment

One model of a skid steer loader with operator (Figure 5, left three images) (Unity, 2019) travels (for the preliminary research phase only) on a pre-scripted path within the developed virtual scene. Its purpose is to cause human-machine interactions (willingly or not). To add further complexity to the scene, a tower crane with a moving load (Figure 5, right three images) was added to the scene.

The second and third images to a machine, respectively, show two different layers which are important for the close call and contact collision data recording and analysis. The first layer bounds all of the physical parts of the skid steer loader to a box. The second layer represents, according to the ISO 5006:2017 standard, a 1 m-safety envelope to each side of this bounding box (Teizer et al., 2010). No worker is allowed to enter either area, otherwise a contact collision or a close call, respectively, is called and recorded. The crane load is given a 1.5 m safety envelope, but could change, as load swing and other criteria may demand, to a dynamic range instead.


(a)　　　　(b)　　　　(c)　　　　　　(d)　　　(e)　(f)

Fig. 5. 3D model of skid steer loader and operator, bounding box encompassing all physical elements, and 1 m-safety envelope (left three images), and model of tower crane and load (right three images)

Harmful substance, restricted work zone, and other object models

Among the vast number of hazards that are present on construction sites, a few potential construction hazards were selected. The selected ones dealt with the handling of harmful substances and the prohibited entrance in a restricted work space. A gas bottle and an electric power generator (Figure 6), respectively, were placed in the virtual scene as representative examples. The safety envelope of the gas bottle was set to a 1 m radius. Three security fences around the generator and a nearby wall formed a restricted work zone of 2.5 m×3 m. Despite shortcut options, no player was allowed to enter either one as the electric fuse box and some (potential) electric sparks presents a form of harm to the human body. The scene also consisted of a recycling container, which each player had to fill with recycling bags that were placed elsewhere on the virtual construction site. This forces the player to move and experience the construction site including several hazards by trial or chance.


(a)　　　　　(b)　　　　　(c)　　　　(d)

Fig. 6. Models: harmful substance (a), restricted workspace (b), material pick-up (c) and drop-off location (d)

Player's mission

Each player was in the role of the construction worker. Equipped with the HMD and controller's touchpad a player traversed through the scene. Their mission was to pick, walk, and finally place 5 recycling bags, one after the other, in a nearby container. Figure 7 shows the construction scene. The gas bottle and the generator with its associated fences were placed in such way that all players had the opportunity of entering their respective safety envelopes. For example, a player may squeeze by the security fences (an obviously shorter, but more dangerous path). While completing their mission, the players further had chances to interact with the skid steer load and crane load.

Data gathering in VR

Close calls and contact collisions

The second pseudocode how to detect a close call or a contact collision between the construction worker's and the crane load's protective safety envelopes has already been explained in Golovina et al. (2018) and is not repeated again.

The OnCollisionEnter-function (provided in Unity3D) detects collisions between two objects. A pseudo C#-script attached to the construction worker's safety envelope layer checks whether it collides with, for example, the crane load's safety envelope layer. If it does, it collects timestamped data of the actual positions and speeds of the construction worker and the crane load. Simultaneously, the CreateCyanSphereOnGround-function sets a cyan colored sphere (a visual marker) at the position of the close call position in the virtual scene.

While placing a visual marker provides real-time feedback for the user (and might be helpful in sensitizing his/her behavior), it might influence the data recording in the remainder time of playing the serious game. For this reason, the option of visualizing the markers right away can be set inactive.

The developed serious game designed 6 different collision types (colors). Figure 7 shows the visual markers obtained from a participant (in this case, participant 1) in the construction scene. As explained later in detail, it includes the trajectories of the skid steer load and the crane (both scripted, in blue and red colors, respectively) and the construction worker (participant's actual path, in green color).


Fig. 7. Various types of automatically detected close calls and contact collisions (incl. markers)

Table 1: Color scheme of close calls & contact collisions

Collision type	Color (Size of safety envelope)	Marker color
Construction worker (0.6m)	Skid steer loader (1m)	Red
Construction worker (0.6m)	Skid steer loader (0m)	Black
Construction worker (0.6m)	Crane load (1.5m)	Cyan
Skid steer loader (1m)	Crane load (1.5m)	Yellow
Construction worker (0.6m)	Gas bottle (1m)	Blue
Construction worker (0.6m)	Electric generator, Restricted work zone (0m)	Magenta

A collision point becomes red if the construction worker's safety environment layer collides with the skid steer loader's 1 m protective envelope layer (Figure 5c). Black cubes are set to the scene's ground if the construction worker's safety envelope collides with the skid steer loader's bound box (0 m) (Figure 5b). Every type of close call or contact collisions receives its own color (Table 1). This differentiation makes the post analysis between

trainer and trainee more effective. For example, a black marker means the player made physical contact with the skid steer loader. While this may result in the real world in life-threating damage, a trainer may give a trainee special responses if such colors appear.

Trajectories

During the entire play time, the trajectories to each moving object are recorded. The blue and green lines, for example, show the trajectories of the skid steer loader and the construction worker, respectively. These can be visualized in real-time while playing or for later analysis (Figure 7), for example, to reason about collision angle and speeds. The following pseudocode shows how the trajectories of objects are visualized:

The pseudo C#-script is attached to the 3D-model of the construction worker. Its task is drawing the trajectory of the worker first using the internal Untiy3D-library (which offers the DrawLine-function). The function has the input values: 3D-vectors (oldPosition, currentPosition), a color (green), and a number (999). The latter defines how many seconds the line should be visible. The first 3D-vector defines the start position of the line (oldPosition: the position of the last frame) and the second 3D-vector defines the end position of the line (currentPosition: current frame). Finally a green line between both positions is drawn. The 3D-vector oldPosition is updated in every frame. Analogue thereto a similar pseudo C#-script is attached to the skid steer loader and the crane load (blue and red lines, respectively).

Heat map, statistics and video recording

In order to visualize the location and frequency of close calls, a C#-script generates a heat map after (Golovina et al., 2016; Teizer and Cheng, 2015). The heat map is divided into equally sized squares (1 m×1 m). Each square changes its saturation from a bright to a dark (red) color as the number of close calls in the square increases. Darker colors probably refer to a location on the virtual construction site that deserves further attention and/or corrective action by responsible safety personnel. Figure 8 shows in an example the different saturation levels. A built-in bird-view camera provides at the end of each serious game a screenshot of the resulting heat map. It can be used for feedback with the player.


Fig. 8. Close-up view of heat map: Increasing saturation visualizes higher number of close calls

Further functions were scripted to offer detailed insights on the whereabouts and frequency of the recorded close calls. Examples like investigation of angles and speeds were mentioned already. Every gaming session was recorded using virtually placed cameras. Such video material was used occasionally for playback, giving the participant opportunity to explain behavior or simply modify the construction site layout. Although these options exist, they were not explored in this research at this time.

Tests and results

The results of testing 3 participants are presented. Every participant was able to choose their own path through the construction scene to complete the task. They were first instructed on the functionality of the VR equipment and told in advance that time to complete the task is of importance. Signs to restricted work areas in the scene and the possibility of oncoming traffic (a skid steer loader and a crane load) were not explained ahead of time.

Close call and contact collision events

Within the developed serious game close calls and contact collisions occurred with static hazards: a participant (without the proper safety experience nor in possession of an adequate training certificate) was getting too close to a gas bottle (blue marker, Figure 9a) or was entering a restricted work zone (magenta marker, Figure 9b). The second observation shows a too close human-machine interaction. Red (Figure 10a) and black markers (Figure

10b) were left at the close call positions accordingly. Figure 12 illustrates the third example of a recorded close call between the construction worker and the crane load.

The required time for completion of the same work task by all 3 participants were 193, 298, and 177 seconds. While one might think that the number of close calls might increase with the speed a participant executing the mission, the third participant was the fastest and safest.

Table 2 summarizes the results of the independent tests. Participant 1 had by far the most close calls and was the only one who made contact collisions with the skid steer loader (4). The second participant had less, while the third had the fewest. In brief, the first test participant had 48 close calls within the 3 minutes and 13 seconds needed to complete the mission. In brief, while all participants deserve (additional or first time) safety training, the first participant should attend basic safety education (had 4 contact collisions, entered restricted work areas).

As explained in the introduction section, visuals are important to communicate the cause of close calls or contact collisions. Figure 12 illustrates the precise location of each of the participants' self-made experiences. It appears that all participants in this study had such events in the front-left of the skid steer loader's driving direction. This in fact is due to the scripted path of the skid steer loader (traveling only forward). Had a multi-user environment with a second or third player operating the equipment existed, the result may have looked differently.

Data in Figure13 shows the performance of the first participant over the game time. The large number of crane load swings becomes visible. Future training may point out this important safety issue still very common to many workers on construction sites (and even in this virtual game).

(a)   (b)

Fig. 9. Construction worker: (a) proximity to gas bottle and (b) entrance in restricted zone

(a) Close call: t_1=120s   (b) Collision: t_2=121s

Fig. 10. Worker-skid steer loader close call and contact collision at two consecutive timestamps

Fig. 11. Close calls with crane load: construction worker (left) and skid-steer (right)

Table. 2: Number of close calls

Type	Hazard	Participant			Sum / Avg
		1	2	3	
Crane	Overhead load	20	10	2	32 / 10.6
Skid steer loader	Close call (1m)	8	3	2	13 / 3.3
	Collision (0m)	4	0	0	4 / 1.3
Gas bottle	Proximity (1m)	10	0	0	10 / 3.3
Restricted work zone	Entrance	10	0	0	10 / 3.3

(a) Participant 1   (b) Participant 2   (c) Participant 3

Fig. 12. Close call & contact collision locations

Fig. 13. Close call & contact collision numbers

268

Trajectories

Compared to the original publication of Golovina et al. (2018), this study allowed comparing the participants' performance over two rounds. The difference in the number of close calls that can be seen based on the experience of one of the participants that follows a prescribed path in the second round is quite relevant for the safe design of construction site layouts (Figure 14). Whereas in the first round of play maximum freedom was given to the participant to traverse the virtual scene, 4 close calls (1 m protective envelope of machine) with the skid steer loader and two contact collisions (kills) occurred. The second round of play had none.


Fig. 14. Close call & contact collision numbers

Heat maps

Heat maps were drawn. Figure 15 (left image) displays the heat map of the first participant. Position and frequency of close calls and contact collision events become visible. While the other participants' heat maps were different, a site safety layout plan always could now be adjusted based on the observed 'hot spots' (arrows pointing to darker red cells). It seems in this situation that the construction worker's path collided with the skid steer loader, crane load, gas bottles and restricted work area. Skid steer loader and crane load had several close call situations as well. While some hot spots were expected (worker passing scripted equipment path), this may still result in decisions towards modifying the internal traffic control plan, for example, the installation of a guided crosswalk. Figure 15 (right image) shows the location and number of entries of another participant into the blind spaces of the operator.


Fig. 15: Heat map to test data of participant 1(left) and number of entries in equipment blind spaces (right)

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

VR in serious games has been around since the late 20th century. These are mainly applied games designed for specific purposes other than fun, however, so far hardly collect nor analyze data that is readily available in a game engine. This study developed and tested a serious game in VR that allows a player in the role of a construction worker to immerse into a construction scene and face multiple hazards. It became possible to record and analyze previously unknown data to close calls and contact collision to human-hazard interactions. Several benefits and limitations were found throughout the study that still exist today and will help improve further research and development. In particular the difficulty of building realistic and meaningful (multiplayer) VR scenes, the size of the test group, human-behavioral issues, and impact on existing construction workface planning and safety processes (i.e. digital tools of any kind for pre-investigative construction risk analysis and prevention) play

important future roles to make any kind of VR education and training an effective tool in construction.

Acknowledgment

References

Author unkown (2004). Remote Control Hazard Awareness, Keep out of the Turning Radius. U.S. Department of Labor (MSHA).

Bailenson J., Yee, N., Blascovih J., Beall A.C., Lundblad N., & Jin M. (2008). The Use of Immersive Virtual Reality in the Learning Sciences: Digital Transformations of Teachers, Students, and Social Context. Learning Practices, 17:102–141.

Bükrü, S., Wolf, M., Böhm, B., König, M., & Teizer, J. (2020). Augmented Virtuality in Construction Safety Education and Training. In *European Group for Intelligent Computing in Engineering Conference (EG-ICE)*, Berlin, Germany, 115-124.

Bükrü, S. Wolf, M., Golovina, O., & Teizer, J. (2020), Using Field of View and Eye Tracking for Feedback Generation in an Augmented Virtuality Safety Training. In *Construction Research Congress*, Tempe, Arizona, USA, March 8-10, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784482872.068.

Burke et al.'s. (2011). The Dread Factor: How Hazards and Safety Training Influence Learning and Performance. Applied Psychology, 96:46-70.

Chittaro L., & Buttussi F. (2015). Assessing Knowledge Retention of an Immersive Serious Game vs. Traditional Education Method in Aviation Safety. IEEE Trans. on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 21(4):529-538.

Deb S., Carruth D., Sween R. Strawderman L., & Garrsion T. (2017). Efficacy of virtual reality in pedestrian safety research. Applied Ergonomics, 65:449-460.

Golovina, O., Perschewski, M., Teizer, J., & König, M. (2018). Predictive Analytics for Close Calls in Construction Safety. In *35th International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction*, Berlin, Germany, https://doi.org/10.22260/ISARC2018/0065.

Golovina O., Teizer J., & Pradhananga N. (2016). Heat map generation for predictive safety planning: preventing Struck-by and near miss interactions between workers-on-foot and construction equipment. Automation in Construction, 71:99-115, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2016.03.008.

Hilfert T., Teizer J., & König M. (2016). First Person Virtual Reality for Evaluation and Learning of Construction Site Safety. In *33rd International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction*, https://doi.org/10.22260/ISARC2016/0025.

Hinze J.W., & Teizer J. (2011). Visibility-Related Fatalities Related to Construction Equipment. Safety Science, 49(5):709-718, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2011.01.007.

Howard I.P., & Rogers, B.J. (1995). Binocular vision and stereopsis. Oxford University Press.

Jelonek, M., Fiala, E., Hermann, T., Teizer, J., Embers, S., Koenig, M., & Mathis, A. (2022). Evaluating Virtual Reality Simulations for Construction Safety Training – A User Study Exploring Learning Effects, Usability and User Experience. Journal of Interactive Media, 21(2), 269-281, https://doi.org/10.1515/icom-2022-0006.

Kassem M., Benomran L., & Teizer J. (2017). Virtual environments for safety learning in construction and engineering: seeking evidence and identifying gaps for future research. Visualization in Engineering, Springer,

5:16, http://doi.org/10.1186/s40327-017-0054-1.

Li X., Yi W., Chi H., Wang X., & Chan A. (2018). A critical review of virtual and augmented reality (VR/AR) applications in construction safety. Automation in Construction, 86:150-62.

Moelmen, I., Grim, H.L., Jacobsen, E.L., & Teizer, J. (2021). Asymmetrical Multiplayer Serious Game and Vibrotactile Haptic Feedback for Safety in Virtual Reality to Demonstrate Construction Worker Exposure to Overhead Crane Loads. In *38th International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction*, https://doi.org/10.22260/ISARC2021/0083.

Sacks R., Perlman A., & Barak R. (2013). Construction safety training using immersive virtual reality. Construction Management and Economics, 31(9):1005–1017.

Solberg, A., Hognestad, J.K., Golovina, O., & Teizer, J. (2020). Active Personalized Training of Construction Safety Using Run Time Data Collection in Virtual Reality. In *20th Intl. Conference on Construction Application of Virtual Reality (CONVR)*, Middlesbrough, UK, p. 19-30.

Unity3D (2019). https://assetstore.unity.com, (Last accessed August 20, 2019)

US Bureau of Labor Statistics (2021). 2019 Census of fatal occupational injuries, Washington, DC, https://www.bls.gov/iif/oshcfoi1.htm (Last accessed January 24, 2021).

Teizer J., Allread B.S., Fullerton C.E., & Hinze J. (2010). Autonomous Pro-Active Real-time Construction Worker and Equipment Operator Proximity Safety Alert System. Automation in Construction, 19(5):630-640, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2010.02.009.

Teizer J., & Cheng T. (2015). Proximity hazard indicator for workers-on-foot near miss interactions with construction equipment and geo-referenced hazard areas. Automation in Construction, 60:58-73, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2015.09.003.

Teizer, J., Golovina, O., Embers, S., & Wolf, M. (2020). A Serious Gaming Approach to Integrate BIM, IoT, and Lean Construction in Construction Education. In *Construction Research Congress*, 21-30, https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784482889.003.

Teizer J., Wolf M., & König M. (2018). Mixed Reality Anwendungen und ihr Einsatz in der Aus- und Weiterbildung kapitalintensiver Industrien. Bauingenieur, Springer, 73-82, ISSN 0005-6650.

Teizer, J., Johansen, K.W., & Schultz, C. (2022). The Concept of Digital Twin for Construction Safety. In *Construction Research Congress*, Arlington, Virginia, USA, 1156-1165, https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784483961.121.

Wang P. Wu P., Wang J., Chi H., & Wang X. (2018). A Critical Review of the Use of Virtual Reality in Construction Engineering Education and Training. Environment Research and Public Health, 15(6):1204.

Wolf, M., Teizer, J., Wolf, B., Bükrü, S., & Solberg, A. (2022). Investigating hazard recognition in augmented virtuality for personalized feedback in construction safety education and training. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 51, 101469. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2021.101469.

Wolf, M., Teizer, J., & Ruse, J.H. (2019). Case Study on Mobile Virtual Reality Construction Training. In *36th International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction*, Banff, Canada, https://doi.org/10.22260/ISARC2019/0165.

Zaker R., & Coloma E. (2018). Virtual reality-integrated workflow in BIM-enabled projects collaboration and design review: a case study, Visualization in Engineering, 6:4.

Zhao D., & Jason L. Virtual reality simulation for construction safety. Promotion. Injury Control and Safety Promotion, 22:1, 57-67.