Microscopic approach to polaritons

Skettrup, Torben

Published in:
Physical Review B

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1103/PhysRevB.24.884](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.24.884)

Publication date:
1981

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Skettrup, T. (1981). Microscopic approach to polaritons. *Physical Review B*, 24(2), 884-891.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.24.884>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Microscopic approach to polaritons

T. Skettrup

Physics Laboratory III, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark

(Received 6 October 1980)

The interaction between excitons and light has been investigated in detail. The perturbational approach turns out to be invalid. However, an exact solution can be obtained directly from the Schrödinger equation for a fixed light field. This solution corresponds to a nonlinear optical response contrary to experimental experience. In order to remove this absurdity the semiclassical approach must be abandoned and the electromagnetic field quantized. A simple microscopic polariton model is then derived. From this the wave function for the interacting exciton-photon complex is obtained. It is discussed whether the magnitude of the exciton-photon interaction energy has any influence on the exciton binding. The concept of polariton fields is introduced (i.e., the electric and polarization field per polariton), and it is seen that close to resonance the electric field per polariton is essentially zero while the polarization field per polariton is essentially the excitonic dipole moment per unit volume. From the wave function of the polariton it is seen that in a very broad spectral range around the resonance the polariton is essentially an exciton that travels through the crystal with the speed of light of the crystal. The introduction of damping smears out the excitonic spectra. The wave function of the polariton, however, turns out to be very independent of damping up to large damping values. Finally, this simplified microscopic polariton model is compared with the exact solutions obtained for the macroscopic polariton model by Hopfield. It is seen that standing photon and exciton waves must be included in an exact microscopic polariton model. However, it is concluded that for practical purposes, only the propagating waves are of importance and the simple microscopic polariton wave function derived in the present work is then sufficient.

I. INTRODUCTION

The polariton is usually considered as the elementary quantum of the coupled propagating electromagnetic field and polarization field of the crystal. The properties of the polaritons have, therefore, been derived from the macroscopic equations of motion for the fields by means of quantization. However, the polaritons possess an internal structure determined by the properties of the quanta of the pure polarization (i.e., excitons, phonons, etc.). The purpose of the present work is to derive these internal properties of the polaritons (i.e., the wave function) in the case of interaction between excitons and light. Hence, it is necessary to apply a microscopic approach to the interaction problem instead of the usual macroscopic approach employed by Hopfield.¹

Section II serves as an introduction to this microscopic interaction. The problem is introduced in terms of the usual perturbational approach. However, this method turns out to be invalid, and in Sec. III it is shown that the problem of an exciton interacting with a fixed electromagnetic field can be solved exactly in a certain approximation. From this semiclassical solution it is seen that the solution appears meaningless unless the exciton is interacting not with field, but with the quantum of the field (the photon). A simple microscopic approach to the exciton-photon interaction problem is then given in Sec. IV. The wave func-

tion for the interacting exciton-photon complex (the polariton) is found. The question of whether the exciton can bind together when the exciton-photon interaction energy exceeds the binding energy is discussed in terms of this wave function. The results obtained from this simplified microscopic polariton model is then compared with the results from the exact macroscopic model of Hopfield in Sec. V.

II. PERTURBATIONAL APPROACH

The perturbational approach to the treatment of the interaction between light and excitons is well known (see, for example, Ref. 2) and will not be described here in any detail. We shall only, as an introduction to the following, state the results and discuss why they, in principle, are wrong.

The interaction term in the Hamiltonian is given by

$$V = -e \sum_i \vec{E}_0 \cdot \vec{r}_i \cos(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_i - \omega t), \quad (1)$$

where E_0 is the amplitude of the electric field of the light wave with wave vector $\vec{k}$ and angular frequency ω , and $\vec{r}_i$ is the coordinate of the i th electron. The perturbed wave function is

$$\Psi_p = a_1(t)\Psi_G + a_2(t)\Psi_{ex}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\Psi_G = \Phi_0 e^{-i\omega_0 t} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\Psi_{ex} = \Phi_1 e^{-i\omega_1 t}. \quad (4)$$

Φ_0 and Φ_1 are wave functions for the crystal ground state and $n = 1$ exciton state, respectively. Excited exciton states are omitted in (2) since their interaction with light decreases with n^3 . The resonance frequency of the exciton ($n = 1$) state is

$$\omega_{ex} = \omega_1 - \omega_0. \quad (5)$$

Inserting (2) into the time-dependent Schrödinger equation we obtain

$$i\hbar \frac{da_1}{dt} = V_{12} a_2, \quad (6)$$

$$i\hbar \frac{da_2}{dt} = V_{21} a_1, \quad (7)$$

where

$$V_{21} = V_{12}^* = - \frac{e \vec{E}_0 \cdot \langle \vec{r} \rangle \phi}{2} \times (e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R} + \omega_{ex} t - \omega t)} + e^{-i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R} - \omega_{ex} t - \omega t)}). \quad (8)$$

Here $\langle \vec{r} \rangle$ is the r -matrix element between the Wannier functions from the valence and conduction bands² and

$$\phi = \int F^*(\vec{R}_e, \vec{R}_n) d^3 R_e = \left(\frac{N\Omega}{\pi a_B^3} \right)^{1/2} \delta_{\vec{R}_e, \vec{R}_n}, \quad (9)$$

where $F(\vec{R}_e, \vec{R}_n)$ is the envelope function for the exciton, N is the number of unit cells, Ω the unit cell volume, a_B the exciton radius, $\vec{K}$ and $\vec{R}$ [in (8)] are wave vector and coordinate of center of gravity.

Now, in the perturbational approach, an approximate expression for (2) is obtained by assuming $a_1 \approx 1$ and $a_2 \ll a_1$. Using the initial conditions

$$a_1(0) = 1, \quad a_2(0) = 0 \quad (10)$$

and neglecting the $(\omega_{ex} + \omega)$ term in (8), one finds as the approximate solutions of (6) and (7)

$$a_1(t) \approx 1, \quad a_2(t) \approx \frac{e \vec{E}_0 \cdot \langle \vec{r} \rangle \phi}{2} \frac{e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}} (e^{i(\omega_{ex} - \omega)t} - 1)}{\hbar(\omega_{ex} - \omega)}. \quad (11)$$

With (11), the induced dipole moment is

$$\langle \vec{\mu} \rangle = \langle \psi_p | \sum_i e \vec{r}_i | \psi_p \rangle \approx \vec{E}_0 \frac{e^2 \langle r \rangle^2 \phi^2}{3\hbar(\omega_{ex} - \omega)} \cos(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R} - \omega t), \quad (12)$$

neglecting the transient due to the initial conditions. The macroscopic polarization P_{ex} is the average dipole moment per unit volume. Hence

in the volume V ,

$$\vec{P}_{ex} = \frac{\langle \vec{\mu} \rangle}{V} = \frac{(N/V) e^2 f_{ex}}{m(\omega_{ex} - \omega) 2\omega_{ex}} \vec{E}_0 \cos(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R} - \omega t), \quad (13)$$

where

$$f_{ex} = \frac{2m\omega_{ex}}{3\hbar} \frac{\langle r \rangle^2 \phi^2}{N} \quad (14)$$

is the oscillator strength per unit cell. From (13), then, the well known dielectric constant is found,

$$\epsilon = \epsilon' + \frac{F}{\omega_{ex}^2 - \omega^2}, \quad (15)$$

where ϵ' is the background dielectric constant, and where $\omega_{ex}^2 - \omega^2 \approx 2\omega_{ex}(\omega_{ex} - \omega)$, while

$$F = \frac{(N/V) e^2 f_{ex}}{m\epsilon_0} \quad (16)$$

where ϵ_0 is the vacuum permittivity. From (11) we also obtain the transition probability per unit time,

$$W = \frac{|a_2(t)|^2}{t} \rightarrow 2\pi \frac{(\mu_0 E_0)^2 N}{4\hbar^2} \delta(\omega_{ex} - \omega), \quad (17)$$

where

$$\mu_0 = \frac{e |\langle r \rangle|}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{\Omega}{\pi a_B^3} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (18)$$

Hence, (13) and (17) are the results of the perturbational approach. It is evident that (17) is incorrect. Owing to the conservation of k [see Eq. (9)] there are no final states to sum over to remove the δ function. Furthermore, according to (17) the transition probability should be dependent on the size of the crystal, which is wrong. It is surprising, therefore, that (13) is correct. However, no estimates of the magnitude of E_0 and $\omega_{ex} - \omega$ are given to show when (13) can be applied. It is common to state that E_0 of light can be made arbitrarily small so that the perturbational approach can be used. This is not true, however, due to the corpuscular nature of light. The photon-exciton interaction energy (μE_0 , see below) is actually so large for most materials that the perturbational approach is not valid.

III. "EXACT" SOLUTION

Fortunately, (6) and (7) can be solved exactly in the approximation where the $\omega_{ex} + \omega$ terms are neglected (as was also done in Sec. I). Equations (6) and (7) can in this approximation be written as

$$\dot{a}_2 = A e^{i(\omega_{ex} - \omega)t} a_1, \quad (19)$$

$$\dot{a}_1 = -A^* e^{-i(\omega_{ex} - \omega)t} a_2, \quad (20)$$

where

$$A = -\frac{\mu E_0}{2i\hbar} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\mu = \mu_0 \sqrt{N}. \quad (22)$$

It is seen that $a_1 = \exp(i p_{1,2} t)$ is a solution to (19) and (20) when

$$p_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega) \pm \frac{1}{2} p, \quad (23)$$

where

$$p = p_2 - p_1 = [(\omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2]^{1/2} \quad (24)$$

with

$$\hbar \omega_c = 2\hbar |A| = \mu E_0. \quad (25)$$

μE_0 is the interaction energy between the applied field and the induced dipole moment. A solution is, then,

$$a_1(t) = \left(\frac{p_2}{p}\right)^{1/2} e^{ip_1 t}, \quad (26)$$

$$a_2(t) = -\frac{ip_1}{A^*} \left(\frac{p_2}{p}\right)^{1/2} e^{i(p_1 + \omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega)t}, \quad (27)$$

since $|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 = 1$ and $AA^* = -p_1 p_2$. From (2) and (12) we obtain using (26) and (27)

$$\vec{P}_{\text{ex}} = \frac{\langle \vec{\mu} \rangle}{V} = \frac{(N/V)\mu_0^2 \vec{E}_0 \cos(kR - \omega t)}{\hbar [(\omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2]^{1/2}}. \quad (28)$$

This is then the exact polarization response due to the fixed light field E_0 . Hence (13) is only valid for

$$|\omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega| \gg \omega_c. \quad (29)$$

It is seen that ω_c is dependent on the field E_0 . ω_c must, therefore, be a varying quantity. The solution (28) corresponds, then, to a nonlinear dielectric response. This appears to be rather meaningless and in contradiction with experimental experience. The problem can only be solved by giving up the semiclassical description and instead using directly the fact that the interaction between light and matter takes place via exchange of photons. The solution (28) can then be applied if E_0 is interpreted as the "electric field per photon." This field is given in (45) and discussed there in more detail. Introducing E_0 from (45) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_c &= \frac{\mu E_0}{\hbar} = \left(\frac{\mu_0^2 N \hbar \omega}{2 \hbar^2 \epsilon_0 \epsilon'} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= (\tfrac{1}{2} \omega_{\text{LT}} \omega)^{1/2} \simeq (\tfrac{1}{2} \omega_{\text{LT}} \omega_{\text{ex}})^{1/2} = \left(\frac{F}{4 \epsilon'} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where

$$\omega_{\text{LT}} = \frac{F}{2 \epsilon' \omega_{\text{ex}}} = \frac{(N/V) \mu_0^2}{\hbar \epsilon_0 \epsilon'} \quad (31)$$

is the splitting between transverse and longitudinal resonance frequencies of the excitons [see Eq. (15)].

The polarization (28) introduced by a photon with energy $\hbar \omega$ is then

$$P_{\text{ex}} = P_1 \frac{\omega_c}{[(\omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2]^{1/2}} \cos(kR - \omega t), \quad (32)$$

where

$$P_1 = \frac{\mu}{V} \quad (33)$$

is the "polarization per (pure) exciton."

An electromagnetic field can only propagate through the solid if the macroscopic wave equations for electric field and excitonic polarization are simultaneously obeyed. This is only the case if

$$k^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \epsilon(\omega) \quad (34)$$

and

$$P_{\text{ex}}/E = \epsilon_0(\epsilon(\omega) - \epsilon'), \quad (35)$$

where

$$\epsilon(\omega) = \epsilon' + \frac{F}{\omega_{\text{ex}}^2 - \omega^2} \simeq \epsilon' + \frac{F}{2\omega_{\text{ex}}(\omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega)}. \quad (36)$$

Equation (34) determines the dispersion relation between ω and k for propagating solutions. From (35) we find by introducing (32) and using (36) that the electric field of the propagating electromagnetic mode due to the exciton polarization (32) is given by

$$E = E_1 \frac{\omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega}{[(\omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2]^{1/2}} \cos(kR - \omega t), \quad (37)$$

where E_1 is the "electric field per (pure) photon" given by (45) as seen from (31). Hence, the incoming photon with energy $\hbar \omega$ gives rise to a propagating polarization of magnitude (37). The total energy of the combined waves is

$$W = 2 \tfrac{1}{2} \epsilon_0 \epsilon' E^2 V + 2 \frac{1}{2 \epsilon_0 F} \left(\frac{dP}{dt} \right)^2 V = \hbar \omega, \quad (38)$$

as seen from (32) and (37). Hence, this combined wave system itself represents a fundamental quantum $\hbar \omega$ propagating through the crystal with ω and k obeying (34). The macroscopic coupled electromagnetic and polarization field is built up of these quanta, and (32) and (37) can be interpreted as the "fields per quantum." It is seen, therefore, that this quantum is the same as the polariton introduced by Hopfield¹ by means of quantiza-

tion of the coupled macroscopic equations of motion for electric and polarization fields. Here it is obtained from a microscopic approach. The electric field was, however, still treated via the macroscopic equations, since it was not included in the Hamiltonian. This implies, for example, that (26) and (27) will not yield the correct exciton (polariton) wave function when inserted into (2) because the change in the electric field due to the interaction was not taken into account in the derivation of (26) and (27), and both the ω - k relationship and the ratio P/E are different for the solutions obtained from (26) and (27) than for those found in (34) and (35).

IV. SIMPLE POLARITON MODEL

In order to obtain the correct wave function for the exciton interacting with light it is, therefore, necessary to include the electric field directly into the Hamiltonian,

$$(\hat{H}_{\text{ex}} + \hat{H}_{\text{ph}} + \hat{H}_i)\psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \quad (39)$$

where $\hat{H}_{\text{ex}}$, $\hat{H}_{\text{ph}}$, and $\hat{H}_i$ are pure exciton, pure photon, and exciton-photon interaction Hamiltonians, respectively. The problem specified by (39) was solved exactly by Hopfield¹ from a macroscopic approach where the macroscopic electromagnetic and polarization fields were quantized. Here we are interested in obtaining the microscopic wave function for the excitonic polariton, and only the photon Hamiltonian is then derived from the macroscopic fields,

$$\hat{H}_{\text{ph}} = \hbar\omega_p \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{1}{2}), \quad (40)$$

where $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a photon annihilation operator, and

$$\omega_p = k \frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon'}} \quad (41)$$

is the frequency corresponding to the noninteracting photon with wave vector $\mathbf{k}$. The operator for the macroscopic vector potential is (when quantization is performed in a homogeneous material with dielectric constant ϵ' and volume V)

$$\hat{A} = A_1 \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}) \quad (42)$$

when only one polarization is considered. Here

$$A_1 = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0\epsilon'\omega_p V} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (43)$$

Since the electric field is $E = -\partial A/\partial t$, the operator

is

$$\hat{E} = iE_1 \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} - a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}) \quad (44)$$

with

$$E_1 = \left(\frac{\hbar\omega_p}{2\epsilon_0\epsilon'V} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (45)$$

E_1 can be considered as the "electric field per pure photon state." Equation (45) was applied in (30) to derive the magnitude of ω_c .

Owing to the quantization of the electric field the interaction Hamiltonian (1) is changed into

$$\hat{H}_i = -ieE_1 \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{k}} \hat{\mathbf{1}}_E \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_i (a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} - a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}), \quad (46)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{1}}_E$ is a unit vector along the E field. With (46) and (40) introduced into (39) a solution is the superposition

$$\psi = a_1(t)\Psi_0\Phi_1 e^{-i\omega_p t} + a_2(t)\Psi_1\Phi_0 e^{-i\omega_{\text{ex}} t}, \quad (47)$$

where Ψ_0 and Φ_0 are the crystal and vacuum ground states, respectively, while Ψ_1 and Φ_1 are the exciton state ($n=1$) and the one-photon state with wave vector $\mathbf{k}$. Inserting (47) into (39), we obtain in the usual approximation where the nonresonant $\omega_{\text{ex}} + \omega_p$ terms are neglected

$$\frac{da_1}{dt} = \omega_c a_2 e^{-i(\omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega_p)t}, \quad (48)$$

$$\frac{da_2}{dt} = -\omega_c a_1 e^{i(\omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega_p)t} \quad (49)$$

when (8), (9), and (30) are used. It is seen that $a_1 = \exp(-is_{1,2}t)$ is a solution to (48) and (49) when

$$s_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega_p) \pm \frac{1}{2}[(\omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega_p)^2 + 4\omega_c^2]^{1/2}. \quad (50)$$

Considering either s_1 or s_2 we then find from the normalization condition

$$a_1(t) = \left(\frac{\omega_c^2}{s_{1,2}^2 + \omega_c^2} \right)^{1/2} e^{-is_{1,2}t}, \quad (51)$$

$$a_2(t) = -i \frac{s_{1,2}}{\omega_c} \left(\frac{\omega_c^2}{s_{1,2}^2 + \omega_c^2} \right)^{1/2} e^{-i(s_{1,2} - \omega_{\text{ex}} + \omega_p)t}. \quad (52)$$

Introducing (51) and (52) into (47), the common time factor $(s_{1,2} + \omega_p)t$ is found. Hence, the mixed state (47) is a stationary state, and the eigenenergies of the mixed state (47) (the excitonic polariton) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar\omega_{1,2} &= \hbar(s_{1,2} + \omega_p) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\hbar\{\omega_{\text{ex}} + \omega_p \pm [(\omega_{\text{ex}} - \omega_p)^2 + 4\omega_c^2]^{1/2}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

From (50) and (53) the following relationships are

obtained:

$$(\omega_{1,2} - \omega_p)(\omega_{ex} - \omega_{1,2}) = s_{1,2}(\omega_{ex} - \omega_{1,2}) = -\omega_c^2. \quad (54)$$

Introducing (54) into (51) and (52), the wave function for the excitonic polariton can be written as

$$\psi = \left(\frac{\omega_{ex} - \omega}{[(\omega_{ex} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2]^{1/2}} \Psi_0 \Phi_1 + i \frac{\omega_c}{[(\omega_{ex} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2]^{1/2}} \Psi_1 \Phi_0 \right) e^{-i\omega t}, \quad (55)$$

where indices 1 and 2 are omitted. Hence (55) is a solution of (39) with the eigenenergies (53). Equation (55) is the wave function for the excitonic polariton (or the dipole-active exciton interacting with light) in the approximation where nonresonant terms (such as $\omega_{ex} + \omega_p$) are neglected. Furthermore, the interaction of the excitons with the background dielectric material was neglected in (39). This interaction has, essentially, the effect that ω_{ex} is shifted by the amount of ω_{LT} [given by (31)] towards higher frequencies. As shown later, the incorporation of above points to obtain the exact solution results in an unnecessarily complicated wave function. In the present simplified polariton model this is avoided.

With the wave function (55) for the interacting exciton-photon complex derived, several important questions can be answered. For many crystals, for example, $\hbar\omega_c > E_B$, i.e., the exciton-photon interaction energy is greater than the exciton binding energy E_B . When this is the case, one should expect that the exciton-photon interaction is so strong that the exciton can no longer bind together. This is, however, not the case as shown above. The pure exciton energy $\hbar\omega_{ex} = E_{gap} - E_B$ is changed to $\hbar\omega_1$ (or $\hbar\omega_2$) given by (53) by the interaction with photons. This new eigenenergy of the interacting exciton (the polariton) is just composed of independent contributions from the exciton (ω_{ex}), from the photon (ω_p), and from the interaction (ω_c). The exciton binding is not destroyed by the exciton-photon interaction. The binding energy is, however, somewhat changed, since the expectation value of the pure exciton Hamiltonian is,

$$\langle \psi | \hat{H}_{ex} | \psi \rangle = \frac{\omega_c^2}{(\omega_{ex} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2} (E_{gap} - E_B). \quad (56)$$

Similarly, any other pure exciton property will be modified according to (56) when the polariton wave function is taken into account.

It is seen from (55) that for $|\omega_{ex} - \omega| \ll \omega_c$, ψ is essentially the pure exciton wave function. Hence, the polariton is essentially an exciton in this important spectral range [which includes the range where exciton spectra are observed, typically a

few ω_{LT} around ω_{ex} , since $\omega_c/\omega_{LT} \approx (\omega_{ex}/2\omega_{LT})^{1/2} \gg 1$]. Although the polariton is described by the exciton wave function, it certainly has not a masslike dispersion curve. The ω - k relationship (see Fig. 1) is given by (53) or in exact form by (34) or (76), which is the dispersion curve for propagating light. Stated in a few words, the polariton for $|\omega_{ex} - \omega| \ll \omega_c$ can be described as an exciton propagating through the solid with the speed of light of the solid. The interaction between light and matter is in the present case so strong that light and matter become mixed, and close to resonance the energy of the propagating "light" is mainly matterlike. This implies the possibility of constructing a modulator or deflector device where the matter properties of the polariton are affected by external fields to change the transmission characteristics and hence to modulate or deflect the beam. A discussion of such a device possibility will be published elsewhere.

Equation (55) is a one-quantum wave function. Hence, it is not possible to compute the polarization or electric field directly from (55) [e.g., by means of (12)]. A macroscopic field can be constructed by a superposition of many-quantum states (see, e.g., Glauber³). The operator for a macroscopic field with wave vector $\vec{k}$ (in complex notation) is, in analogy to (42) or (44), given by

$$\hat{F} = F_1 \beta_k e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}}, \quad (57)$$

where β_k is the annihilation operator for the quanta of the field, and F_1 the "field per quantum." Introducing the creation operators $\alpha_k^\dagger$, $a_k^\dagger$, and $b_k^\dagger$ for polaritons, photons, and excitons, respectively, according to the definitions

$$\psi = \alpha_k^\dagger |00\rangle, \quad \Phi_1 = a_k^\dagger |00\rangle, \quad \Psi_1 = b_k^\dagger |00\rangle,$$

where $|00\rangle$ is the exciton-photon vacuum state, we can write (55) in terms of operators,

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\omega_{ex} - \omega}{[(\omega_{ex} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2]^{1/2}} a_k - i \frac{\omega_c}{[(\omega_{ex} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2]^{1/2}} b_k. \quad (58)$$

From (58) we can, according to (57), construct the operator for the contribution to the electric field from a macroscopic polariton wave,

$$E = E_1 \frac{\omega_{ex} - \omega}{[(\omega_{ex} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2]^{1/2}} a_k e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}}, \quad (59)$$

where E_1 is the "electric field per pure photon" and is given by (45) (with ω_p replaced by ω , since ω is the angular frequency of the wave). Similarly, the contribution to the polarization field from the polariton wave is given by

$$\hat{P} = P_1 \frac{\omega_c}{[(\omega_{ex} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2]^{1/2}} b_k e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}}, \quad (60)$$

where

$$P_1 = \frac{\mu}{V} = \left(\frac{\hbar \epsilon_0 F}{2 \omega_{ex} V} \right)^{1/2} \quad (61)$$

is the "polarization per pure exciton" [compare with (33)]. Equations (14), (16), and (18) were used in (61).

According to (59) and (60) we can then introduce

$$E_0 = E_1 \frac{\omega_{ex} - \omega}{[(\omega_{ex} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2]^{1/2}} \quad (62)$$

and

$$P_0 = P_1 \frac{\omega_c}{[(\omega_{ex} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2]^{1/2}} \quad (63)$$

as the "electric field per polariton" (62) and as "the polarization per polariton" (63). Similar expressions for the polariton fields have previously been derived by Balslev⁴ from a macroscopic approach. E_0 and P_0 are the fields of the macroscopic state divided by the average number $\langle n \rangle$ of polaritons in that state. Hence

$$\frac{P}{E} = \frac{\langle n \rangle P_0}{\langle n \rangle E_0} = \frac{P_1}{E_1} \frac{\omega_c}{\omega_{ex} - \omega} = \epsilon_0 \frac{F}{2\omega(\omega_{ex} - \omega)} \quad (64)$$

using (30), (45), and (61). Since $P/E = \epsilon_0(\epsilon - \epsilon')$ we obtain

$$\epsilon = \epsilon' + \frac{F}{2\omega(\omega_{ex} - \omega)} \simeq \epsilon' + \frac{F}{\omega_{ex}^2 - \omega^2}. \quad (65)$$

Hence we have derived the dielectric constant (or dielectric response) from the microscopic approach by means of (39).

Finally, we shall consider the effect of introducing damping into the polariton fields (62) and (63). The damping occurs due to the scattering of the excitonic part of the polariton. Hence damping can be introduced by rewriting (49) as

$$\frac{da_2}{dt} = -\omega_c a_1 e^{i(\omega_{ex} - \omega_p)t} - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma a_2. \quad (66)$$

Then if $\omega_c = 0$ (no interaction), $|a_2|^2 = \exp(-\Gamma t)$, i.e., the excitons decay with the rate Γ which is then the phenomenological damping constant. The solution of (48) and (66) follows that of (48) and (49). The result is that ω_{ex} everywhere must be exchanged by $\omega_{ex} - i\Gamma/2$. Hence the damping is easily introduced in the previous expressions by carrying out the replacement

$$\omega_{ex} \rightarrow \omega_{ex} - \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma. \quad (67)$$

Doing this in (65) we obtain the usual expression relevant for a damped harmonic oscillator. It is seen that the spectral structure is essentially

damped away for

$$\Gamma \gg \omega_{LT}. \quad (68)$$

Introducing (67) into (55), however, shows that the polariton wave function is relatively independent of damping for

$$\Gamma \ll \omega_c. \quad (69)$$

Thus, we have reached the important conclusion that although the exciton spectra are smeared out because of (68), the exciton is still the dominating part of the polariton (for $|\omega_{ex} - \omega| < \omega_c$) when (69) is valid. Only when $\Gamma \gg \omega_c$ does the excitonic contribution in the polariton vanish.

Inserting damping into (62) and (63) by means of (67) results in the following polariton fields:

$$E_0 = E_1 \frac{\omega_{ex} - \omega - \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma}{[(\omega_{ex} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2 + \Gamma^2/4]^{1/2}}, \quad (70)$$

$$P_0 = P_1 \frac{\omega_c}{[(\omega_{ex} - \omega)^2 + \omega_c^2 + \Gamma^2/4]^{1/2}}. \quad (71)$$

V. COMPARISON WITH EXACT POLARITON MODEL

Finally, we shall compare the exact polariton solution derived by Hopfield¹ from macroscopic considerations. The Hamiltonian of Hopfield is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} = \sum_k & [\hbar\omega_p(a_k^\dagger a_k + \frac{1}{2}) + \hbar\omega_{ex}(b_k^\dagger b_k + \frac{1}{2}) \\ & + i\alpha(a_k^\dagger b_k - a_k b_k^\dagger + a_{-k} b_k - a_{-k}^\dagger b_k^\dagger) \\ & + \beta(a_k a_k^\dagger + a_k^\dagger a_k + a_k^\dagger a_{-k}^\dagger + a_{-k} a_k)], \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

where

$$\alpha = \hbar\omega_c \left(\frac{\omega_{ex}}{\omega_p} \right)^{1/2} \quad (73)$$

and

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_{LT} \left(\frac{\omega_{ex}}{\omega_p} \right), \quad (74)$$

and a_k and b_k are annihilation operators of photons and excitons, respectively, with wave vector $\vec{k}$. Comparing (72) with (39) we see that the terms with $-k$ are dropped in (39) and the last term with β is missing in (39). Furthermore, second quantization was not used for the excitons in (39) since the internal structure and the wave functions of the excitons were known in advance. The omission of the $-k$ terms corresponds, essentially, to dropping nonresonant terms such as $\omega_p + \omega_{ex}$. This approximation is not serious and is often used close to resonance. However, as shown below [Eq. (83)] this approximation implies a considerably simpler polariton wave function. The neglect of the last term in (72) is more serious, since

it leads to incorrect eigenenergies close to $k=0$. This term is due to the energy caused by the presence of the exciton dipole field in the background dielectric material (with dielectric constant ϵ'). This amount of energy is

$$\frac{P_1^2}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon'} V = \epsilon_0 \epsilon' E'^2 V = \frac{\epsilon_0 F}{\omega_{ex}^2} E_1^2 V = \hbar \omega_{LT}, \quad (75)$$

where E_1 and P_1 are the "fields per quantum" given in (45) and (61) and E' is the magnitude of the electric field induced by the dipole field P_1 . The inclusion of this term shifts the exciton energy at $k=0$ the amount $\hbar \omega_{LT}$. The eigenenergies obtained from (72) are

$$\hbar \omega = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \{ \omega_p^2 + \omega_L^2 \pm [(\omega_L^2 - \omega_p^2)^2 + 16 \omega_p^2 \omega_c^2]^{1/2} \}^{1/2}, \quad (76)$$

where

$$\omega_L = (\omega_{ex}^2 + 2 \omega_{ex} \omega_{LT})^{1/2} \quad (77)$$

is the longitudinal resonance frequency which is obtained for $k=0$. Comparison between (76) and (53) obtained from the simplified polariton model is shown in Fig. 1 for the C exciton in ZnO. ZnO has a strong exciton-photon interaction with $\hbar \omega_c = 122$ meV. The parameters for ZnO are taken from Jensen.⁵ It is seen in Fig. 1 that the lower branch is relatively well described by (53). The upper branch computed from (53), however, starts at $\omega_{ex} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{LT}$ for $k=0$ instead of $\omega_{ex} + \omega_{LT}$. This results in a relatively large discrepancy between (53) and (76) for $k \approx 0$.

The exact polariton wave functions are created from the polariton creation operator¹

FIG. 1. Dispersion curves for the C exciton in ZnO. Dashed curves: Eigenenergies of pure photon and pure exciton states. Dot-dashed curves: Eigenenergies of polariton states according to (53). Solid curves: Exact eigenenergies of polaritons according to (76). The parameters $\hbar \omega_{ex} = 3.4210$ eV, $F = 0.37$ eV, $\epsilon' = 6.2$ ($\hbar \omega_{LT} = 8.72$ meV) are taken from Ref. 5.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k^\dagger &= c_{11}^* a_k^\dagger + c_{12}^* b_k^\dagger + c_{13}^* a_{-k} + c_{14}^* b_{-k} \\ &= (c_{11}^* - c_{13}^*) a_k^\dagger + (c_{12}^* - c_{14}^*) b_k^\dagger \\ &\quad + c_{13}^* (a_{-k} + a_k^\dagger) + c_{14}^* (b_{-k} + b_k^\dagger). \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

The last two terms of (78) represent a standing electromagnetic field and a standing polarization field, while the two first terms represent the propagating fields. The c coefficients are given in Ref. 1,

$$c_{11}^* - c_{13}^* = \frac{\omega_{ex}^2 - \omega^2}{[(\omega_{ex}^2 - \omega^2)^2 + 4 \omega_c^2 \omega_{ex}^2]^{1/2}} \left(\frac{\omega_p}{\omega} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (79)$$

$$c_{12}^* - c_{14}^* = i \frac{2 \omega_c \omega}{[(\omega_{ex}^2 - \omega^2)^2 + 4 \omega_c^2 \omega_{ex}^2]^{1/2}} \left(\frac{\omega_{ex}}{\omega} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (80)$$

$$c_{13}^* = (c_{11}^* - c_{13}^*) \frac{\omega_p - \omega}{2 \omega_p}, \quad (81)$$

$$c_{14}^* = i (c_{12}^* - c_{14}^*) \frac{\omega_{ex} - \omega}{2 \omega}. \quad (82)$$

Hence, the wave function derived in (55) for the dipole-active exciton (polariton) is only approximate. The more exact wave function can be deduced from the form of (78) to be

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \frac{1}{N} \left[(\omega_{ex}^2 - \omega^2) \left(\frac{\omega_p}{\omega} \right)^{1/2} \Psi_0 \Phi_1 + 2i \omega_c \omega \left(\frac{\omega_{ex}}{\omega} \right)^{1/2} \Psi_1 \Phi_0 \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{(\omega_{ex}^2 - \omega^2)(\omega_p - \omega)}{2(\omega_p \omega)^{1/2}} \Psi_0 (\Phi_{1-k}^* + \Phi_{1k}) \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i \omega_c \omega_{ex} (\omega_{ex} - \omega)}{(\omega_{ex} \omega)^{1/2}} \Phi_0 (\Psi_{1-k}^* + \Psi_{1k}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

where

$$N = [(\omega_{ex}^2 - \omega^2)^2 + 4 \omega_c^2 \omega_{ex}^2]^{1/2}. \quad (84)$$

Equation (83) is considerably more complicated than (55) obtained from the simplified treatment. When the nonresonant terms like $\omega_p + \omega$ are taken into account it is necessary to incorporate negative energies, too. This implies that standing waves appear in (83).

In analogy with (58), (59), and (60) we can from (65) and (83) construct the macroscopic field operators resulting in the following "fields per polariton":

$$E_0 = E_1 \frac{\omega_{ex}^2 - \omega^2}{[(\omega_{ex}^2 - \omega^2)^2 + 4 \omega_c^2 \omega_{ex}^2]^{1/2}}, \quad (85)$$

$$P_0 = P_1 \frac{2 \omega_c \omega}{[(\omega_{ex}^2 - \omega^2)^2 + 4 \omega_c^2 \omega_{ex}^2]^{1/2}}, \quad (86)$$

$$E_s = E_0 \frac{\omega_p - \omega}{2 \omega_p}, \quad (87)$$

$$P_s = P_0 \frac{\omega_{ex} - \omega}{2 \omega}, \quad (88)$$

where E_0 and P_0 are the propagating electric and

FIG. 2. Polariton fields for the C exciton in ZnO computed from (85) and (86). The parameters are taken from Ref. 5. The exciton-photon interaction energy is $\hbar\omega_c = 122$ meV. The spectral range used in Fig. 1 is indicated and the width of $\hbar\omega_{LT}$ is shown.

polarization fields, respectively, while E_s and P_s are the corresponding standing fields. E_1 and P_1 are given in (45) and (61). [The factors $(\omega_p/\omega)^{1/2}$ and $(\omega_{ex}/\omega)^{1/2}$ in (83) are included in E_1 and P_1 in (85) and (86). Hence E_1 and P_1 depend only on ω as they also must since the fields oscillate with the frequency ω .]

In Fig. 2 the spectral dependence of the polariton fields (85) and (86) is shown. The approximate expressions (62) and (63) coincide with the exact

curves in Fig. 2. The differences are too small to be seen in the scale applied, and (62) and (63) are, therefore, sufficiently accurate.

In conclusion of this comparison between the simplified and the exact polariton model it can be stated that the simplified wave function (55) represents the exact one (83) sufficiently well since only the propagating terms are of any practical importance. The derivation of the polariton fields from (55) is also sufficiently accurate. The eigenenergies (53) in the simplified model are, however, too approximate and either the exact expression (76) or the condition for light propagation (34) must be used.

Applying (76) [or (34)] and the wave function (55) for the interacting exciton-photon complex not only provides us with the detailed microscopic wave function for the dipole-active exciton and its dispersion relation, but it also yields a simple and clear physical picture of the interaction processes between photons and excitons which can help in the interpretations of experiments involving polaritons.

Finally, this simplified microscopic polariton model is compared with the exact solutions obtained for the macroscopic polariton model by Hopfield. It is seen that standing photon and exciton waves must be included in an exact microscopic polariton model. However, it is concluded that for practical purposes, only the propagating waves are of importance and the simple microscopic polariton wave function derived in the present work is then sufficient.

¹J. J. Hopfield, Phys. Rev. **112**, 1555 (1958).

²R. S. Knox, *Theory of Excitons* (Academic, New York, 1965).

³R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. **130**, 2529 (1963); **131**, 2766

(1963).

⁴I. Balslev, Phys. Rev. B **20**, 648 (1979).

⁵G. Hvedstrup Jensen, Phys. Status Solidi B **64**, K51 (1974).