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We report on an optimization of the wire confinement energies of the confined electronic states at the T-shaped intersection of GaAs and Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As quantum wells. These structures can be produced by the cleaved edge overgrowth technique. We present an analytical model for the confinement to give insight into the basic mechanism. The optimization of the confinement energy is done by calculations in a six-band $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ approximation for the valence band and in an isotropic effective-mass approximation for the conduction band. The confined valence-band states are only weakly bound at the T-shaped intersection due to the large and anisotropic hole effective masses. Employing optimized sample parameters, confinement energies for the free-electron-hole pairs are nearly doubled compared to symmetric structures, and 34 meV are predicted for a 3-nm overgrown GaAs well. This is expected to be further enhanced by the Coulomb interaction, that is neglected in the numerical model. The experimental structures grown using the optimized geometry show wire confinement energies of up to 54 meV, which is significantly larger than $kT$ at room temperature and larger than previously reported. [S0163-1829(96)04843-6]

I. INTRODUCTION

The fabrication of semiconductor nanostructures with an effective dimensionality lower than 2 is an active field of research in semiconductor physics. The decreasing dimensionality leads to a concentration of the density of states at the band edge and to a reduced scattering in the remaining directions of free motion, which is of interest for optical and electronic devices such as laser diodes and fast transistors. The reduction in the effective dimensionality can be achieved in structures of sizes in the nanometer range, in which the energy difference of the confined states is larger than both the thermal energy and the excitonic binding energy.

Technologically, the step from the two-dimensional quantum-well (QW) structures, which are fabricated by thin-film growth, down to one dimension is complicated. The lateral structuring of the thin films by lithographic methods is limited to an accuracy of some ten nanometers, and thus gives rise to a strong inhomogeneous broadening of the electronic properties. This may be circumvented by self-organized growth in prepatterned materials, such as etched V grooves on GaAs substrates.\textsuperscript{1}

Another possibility is the fabrication of a T-shaped intersection of two QW’s, as was proposed by Chang, Chang, and Esaki.\textsuperscript{2} These structures can be realized by the cleaved edge overgrowth technique.\textsuperscript{3–7} Using the latter technique, both dimensions are controlled by the high accuracy of the molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE). At the intersection, a bound quantum-wire state (TW state) forms. The photoluminescence of these structures, attributed to the TW-state transition, was demonstrated to originate from the T intersections by optical near-field spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{8,9} Optically and electrically pumped lasing of the TW transition in these structures was observed and attributed to excitonic processes.\textsuperscript{10–12} The largest wire confinement energy (WCE) of a TW state reported up to now is 38 meV.\textsuperscript{13} A theoretical calculation of the WCE in the T-shaped structures for various sample designs has not yet been published. Hence an optimization of the design has not been achieved.

In this paper, we present a calculation of the electronic states confined at the T-shaped intersection of two QW’s, the TW states. For given overgrown QW widths, we optimize the sample design for the maximal WCE by the variation of width and Al content of the (001) multiple QW (MQW). We show that this can improve the WCE compared to symmetric T-shaped structures by a factor of 2, giving a calculated WCE of 34 meV for an overgrown 3-nm (110) QW, without consideration of the Coulomb interaction. These predictions are confirmed by the properties of an experimental structure, which shows a WCE of 54 meV.

II. THEORETICAL MODELS

To optimize the sample parameters for maximum WCE prior to the growth, we employ a calculation of the TW states. First we present an analytical model to give the basic confinement mechanism that increases the WCE in asymmetric structures compared to symmetric ones. Then we describe the quantitative numerical model used for the optimization of the T-shaped structures and present the results.

A. Analytical model

In order to gain insight into the confinement mechanism of the electronic states at the T-shaped intersection, we first discuss an analytical model for the WCE, which is schematically depicted in Fig. 1. An infinite barrier height and particles with an isotropic effective mass $m$ are assumed. The quantization energy $E_{ib}$ of the lowest state in the overgrown QW of the width $L_{[110]}$ at the barrier regions of the cleaved QW [Fig. 1(a)] accordingly reads
calculate the quantization energy $E$ and the lowest transitions in the well region of the cleaved quantum well, we use the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with the relevant potentials and quantization energies used in the analytical model.

The largest energy separation between the TW transition and the lowest transitions in the (001) and (110) QW’s is obtained when the transition energies of both types of QW’s are equal. Any difference between the two QW transition energies reduces the relative WCE to the lowest of them, because the TW state is always a mixture of both QW states. Any difference between the two QW transition energies reduces the relative WCE to the lowest of them, because the TW state is always a mixture of both QW states.

This simple model thus predicts a binding energy of 24% of the QW quantization energy for the symmetric structure, and of 30% for the optimized structure. The results of the following numerical calculation show a confinement energy of 12–16% for the symmetric structure and about 22–30% for the optimized structure. The better agreement for the optimized structure is due to the larger ratio between $L_{[001]}$ and $L_{[110]}$, for which the simplified analytical model is better suited. Also, the relative WCE drops in the realistic structures with decreasing well width due to the finite barrier height.

**B. Numerical model**

To obtain a quantitative prediction of the dependence of the WCE in T-shaped structures on the various sample parameters, we have implemented a numerical model for the electronic states in such structures. It treats the conduction-band states in an isotropic effective-mass approximation and the valence-band states in a six-band $k \cdot p$ model, thus including the mixing of heavy hole, light hole, and split-off hole. Such a treatment has been used successfully to describe the properties of quantum wells and to calculate the states in model rectangular quantum wires. Coulomb interactions are neglected in the present calculations. However, the excitonic binding energy in the TW states is reported to be larger than in the QW states, thus leading to an additional confinement of the TW exciton states.

The TW states are calculated for an array of T-shaped intersections, allowing for periodic boundary conditions. The array period along the [001] direction is given by the period of the cleaved MQW structure. In the overgrowth direction [110], one end of the unit cell is given by the surface of the overgrown barrier, while the other end has to be introduced artificially in a specific depth of the (001) MQW. The depth is chosen to be large enough so that it does not have a significant influence on the TW states. The unit cells used in the calculations are displayed together with the wave functions of the TW states in Figs. 3, 4, 7, and 6, that will be discussed below.

---

**FIG. 1.** Schematic drawing of an asymmetric T-shaped structure with the relevant potentials and quantization energies used in the analytical model.

$$E_{ib} = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2mL_{[110]}^2}.$$  

(1)

The largest energy separation between the TW transition and the lowest transitions in the (001) and (110) QW’s is obtained when the transition energies of both types of QW’s are equal. Any difference between the two QW transition energies reduces the relative WCE to the lowest of them, because the TW state is always a mixture of both QW states. In such a structure with equal QW transition energies, one barrier of the overgrown (110) QW is lowered down to $E_{ib}$ in the well region of the cleaved (001) QW [Fig. 1(b)]. To calculate the quantization energy $E_{ib}$ in this asymmetric quantum well, we use the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$\left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_z^2 + V(z) - E \right] \Psi(z) = 0.$$  

(2)

This gives a reduced quantization energy $E_{ib}$ of 0.542$E_{ib}$ due to the penetration of the state into the barrier of finite height. The two different quantization energies $E_{ib}$ and $E_{ib}$ for the states in the overgrown QW in the well and barrier regions of the (001) QW form a one-dimensional confinement potential of the magnitude $E_{wp} = E_{ib} - E_{fb} = 0.458E_{ib}$ in the overgrown QW along the [001] direction [Fig. 1(c)].

Using Eq. (2), we determine the quantization energies of the TW states in the [001] direction using the confinement potential $E_{wp}$. For a symmetric T-shaped wire structure, e.g., where the width $L_{[001]}$ of the (001) QW is equal to the width $L_{[110]}$ of the overgrown (110) QW, this results in a TW state with a quantization energy of 0.481$E_{wp}$ and thus to an absolute energy of $E_w \approx E_{fb} + 0.481E_{wp} \approx 0.762E_{ib}$. The WCE $E_{wc}$ to the wire barrier $E_{ib}$ is then given by 0.238$E_{ib}$. If we increase $L_{[001]}$ until the second TW state is bound in the [001] direction, which occurs for $L_{[001]} = 1.48L_{[110]}$, the reduction of the wire quantization energy along the [001] direction leads to an enhancement of the WCE to $E_{wc} \approx 0.296E_{ib}$ at this optimum (001) QW width.
To calculate the electronic subbands, we start with a determination of the subband wave functions $\Phi^{\mu}_n$ at the zone center ($\Gamma$) in an effective mass approach. We discretize one period of the structure in real space to $N_x \times N_y$ points in the directions $x = [001]$ and $y = [110]$, where the numbers $N_{x,y}$ are powers of 2. For the presented results, we used $N_{x,y} = 32$.

In the following, we describe the calculation for one-dimensional superlattices in the $z$ direction, for simplicity. The expansion to the two-dimensional case is straightforward.

As an ansatz for the $\Phi^{\mu}_n$, we use the Fourier components $(\cos, \sin)((2\pi n_x/L_z)z]$ with $n_x = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x/2$, excluding the sine components of the lowest and highest momenta, which vanish on the grid in real space. Using the current-conserving boundary conditions, this leads to the eigenvalue equation

$$\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla^2 + V^{\mu}_n(z) - E_n^{\mu}\right) \Phi^{\mu}_n(z) & = 0, \\
& = 0, \\
\text{with the superlattice period } L_z, \text{ the superlattice potential } V^{\mu}_n(z), \text{ and the eigenenergies } E_n^{\mu} \text{ for the eigenvectors } (e^n, s^n) \text{ for the lowest } n \text{ and highest } n \text{ momenta, which vanish on the grid in real space. Using the current-conserving boundary conditions, this leads to the eigenvalue equation}
\end{align*}$$

$$\left(\nabla^2 + V^{\mu}_n(z) - E_n^{\mu}\right) \Phi^{\mu}_n(z) = 0, \quad \forall \mu.$$ (5)

The eigenvectors $\Phi^{\mu}_n(z)$ then describe the conduction subband envelope function on the $\Phi^{\mu}_n(z)$ basis. The kinetic operators $T^{\mu}_n(z)$ and the potential $V^{\mu}_n(z)$ are given by the Luttinger Hamiltonian and the valence-band offsets in Al$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$As. In $T^{\mu}_n(z)$, we replace the quasimomentum $h\mathbf{k}$ by the corresponding operator $-i\hbar \nabla$. To obtain a Hermitian Hamiltonian even with spatially varying Luttinger parameters $\gamma_{1,2,3}(z)$, we symmetrize the operators $T^{\mu}_n(z)$.

Solving Eq. (5) on the product basis of the envelope functions and the spin-orbit coupled zone-center states of the valence band $|\mu\rangle$, gives the subband wave functions $\Psi^{\mu}_n(z)$ for every subband state $n$ and quasimomentum $h\mathbf{k}$.

We now consider the optical transition matrix element $P_\epsilon^\mu$ between the $\Gamma$ conduction subband $\Psi^{\mu}(\mathbf{k},z)|0\rangle |s_\epsilon\rangle$ and the $\mu$th valence subband $\sum \Psi^{\mu}_n(\mathbf{k},z)|\mu\rangle$ for the light polarization $\epsilon$. It can be written down using the representation of the spin-orbit-coupled basis $|\mu\rangle$ in the uncoupled basis $(|X\rangle, |Y\rangle, |Z\rangle)|s_\epsilon\rangle$, with the electron and hole spins $s_\epsilon$ and $s_\mu$, and the zone-center valence-band-orbital states $|X\rangle, |Y\rangle, |Z\rangle$.

$$P_\epsilon^{\mu}(\mathbf{k}) \propto \sum_{s_\epsilon} \langle s_\epsilon | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \Psi^{\mu}(\mathbf{k},z) | \Psi^{\mu}(\mathbf{k},z) | \mu \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{s_\epsilon} \left[ \frac{L_z}{N_z} \sum_{n_z=0}^{N_z-1} \Psi^{\mu}(\mathbf{k}, n_z L_z) \Psi^{\mu}(\mathbf{k}, n_z L_z) \right]$$

$$\times \sum_{s_h} \delta(s_h,s_\epsilon) \sum_{P \in \{X,Y,Z\}} C_{s_h,P}^{\mu} e^\epsilon \langle S | V \rangle.$$ (6)

Here, $C_{s_h,P}^{\mu}$ are the coefficients relating the spin-orbit coupled basis $|\mu\rangle$ to the uncoupled basis $|P\rangle|s_\epsilon\rangle$. The momentum matrix elements $\langle S | V | X \rangle, \langle S | V | Y \rangle, \text{ and } \langle S | V | Z \rangle$ are equal and given in Ref. 24; all other combinations vanish.

In order to calculate the interband absorption, we determine the subband states and energies on an equidistant grid of the quasimomentum $h\mathbf{k}$ within the first mini-Brillouin zone of the superlattice. To determine the density of states (DOS) as a function of energy $D(E)$, we discretize the en-
energy into equidistant $\Delta E$ segments. The same is done with the quasimomentum space, in which the DOS is constant. By adding the DOS of every cube in quasimomentum space to the corresponding energy segment, we obtain $D(E)$.

To obtain a sufficient statistics, we have to divide the mini-Brillouin zone into millions of cubes. The corresponding eigenenergies are obtained by a three-dimensional spline interpolation in between the calculated eigenenergies at the grid points in quasimomentum space, including the correct boundary conditions at the zone center and the zone edge. To obtain the joint DOS for the interband absorption, we use the same procedure, but we also interpolate the optical transition matrix elements for the three polarization directions of light [Eq. (6)].

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We now present results of the numerical calculations, which give a quantitative description of the WCE’s and polarization of the TW transition. The Al$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$As material parameters used in the calculations are listed in Table I.

#### A. Symmetric structures

In Fig. 2 we display the calculated transition energies (a), the WCE (b), and the optical anisotropy (c) of the TW transition in symmetric GaAs T-shaped structures with Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$As barriers, as a function of well width. The corresponding values for a (110) QW are plotted for comparison.

The TW transition energy increases with decreasing well width due to the increasing quantization energies. In both the conduction and valence bands, the WCE amounts to 12–16% of the (110) QW quantization energy. The lower relative confinement in the thinner structures is due to the finite barrier height. This leads for 3-nm well width to a WCE of 17 meV in the conduction band and 4 meV in the valence band. The TW transition energy is accordingly redshifted by 21 meV compared to the (110) QW transition. The calculated WCE’s in the conduction band are in agreement with the results of Wegscheider et al.$^7$ It should be noted here that, due to the anisotropic hole mass, the hole quantization energy and thus the transition energy is lower in (110) QW’s compared to (001) QW’s of equal thickness.$^{25,26}$ Additionally, the highest valence-band states in the (001) and (110) QW’s consist of different mixtures of the heavy- and light-hole states, which leads to an inefficient localization at the intersection.

The optical anisotropy $\gamma$ of the TW transition [Fig. 2(c)] is given by the ratio between the transition strengths for the light polarizations orthogonal and parallel to the wires, e.g., $\gamma = P_{[001]} / P_{[1\overline{1}0]}$, with the dipole transition strengths $P_{[hkl]}$ for the polarization directions $[hkl]$. It is lower than that of the lowest transition in the (110) QW. The TW transition is slightly allowed for the polarization along the overgrowth direction [110] (not shown), in contrast to the forbidden character of the lowest transition in the (110) QW for this polarization. This redistribution of transition strength from $P_{[001]}$ to $P_{[1\overline{1}0]}$ shows the participation of the (001) QW states in the TW state. The close similarity of the anisotropy of the TW transition and of the (110) QW transition is due to the dominance of the lowest (110) QW valence subband in the TW valence-band state.

The envelope functions of the conduction- and valence-band TW states in a symmetric T-shaped structure of 3-nm QW width are shown in Fig. 3. The conduction-band TW state is confined symmetrically at the intersection, while the valence-band TW state is more extended along the overgrown (110) QW. This is a direct consequence of the isotro-

### Table I. Material parameters of Al$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$As used in the calculations are from Properties of Aluminum Gallium Arsenide, edited by S. Adachi (INSPEC, London, 1988).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Ga$_{1-x}$Al$_x$As</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_g$</td>
<td>energy gap</td>
<td>(eV)</td>
<td>$1.519 + 1.138x + 0.47x^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_c / \Delta E_v$</td>
<td>band offsets</td>
<td></td>
<td>67/33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_e$</td>
<td>electron mass</td>
<td>($m_0$)</td>
<td>1/(14.9 - 8.2$x$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_1$</td>
<td>Luttinger</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.1 - 3.34$x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_2$</td>
<td>parameter</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.02 - 1.12$x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.91 - 1.49$x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_{so}$</td>
<td>spin-orbit splitting</td>
<td>(eV)</td>
<td>0.34 - 0.065$x$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 2. Results of the numerical model for symmetric T-shaped wire structures with GaAs wells and Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$As barriers as a function of the well width. (a) Transition energy of the lowest wire state (cb1-vb1) and of the lowest transition in a (110) QW with equal barriers. (b) Wire confinement energies for the conduction- and valence-band states. (c) The optical anisotropy $\gamma = P_{[001]} / P_{[1\overline{1}0]}$ of the TW transition and the lowest (110) QW transition.
pic conduction-band mass and the anisotropic valence-band mass. The split-off band contributes only weakly to the TW state even for this narrow structure due to the large spin-orbit splitting.

**B. Asymmetric structures with GaAs wells**

To optimize the WCE in T-shaped structures with GaAs wells, the 110 QW width has to be taken to be somewhat smaller than the 001 QW width to compensate for the higher hole mass in the 110 direction. This was verified experimentally by Someya and co-workers for structures with 5.4-nm GaAs 001 QW’s and several overgrown 110 GaAs QW widths between 4.5 and 8 nm. A maximum of the WCE was found at a 110 QW width, for which the 001 and 110 QW transition energies are matched.

We have calculated the transitions in the structures grown by Someya and co-workers, and compare our results for the transition energies, optical anisotropy and wave functions of the TW state in Fig. 4 with their experimental results.

We find the calculated transition energies to be in good agreement with the experimental values. This seems to be fortunate, because the exciton binding energy is not taken into account in the calculation. At the crossover of the 001 and 110 QW transition energies [Fig. 4(a)], the 110 QW width of 5.0 nm is slightly smaller than the 001 QW width of 5.4 nm due to the valence-band anisotropy. We find the highest WCE at this position, as in the experiment. The calculated WCE’s are slightly smaller than the experimental ones. This might be due to a higher exciton binding energy of the TW state. The calculated exciton binding energy of the TW state, determined using the calculated dispersion along the 110 direction and the wave functions of the TW state, is between 12 and 10 meV. This is comparable to the exciton binding energy in the QW’s, and thus no significant additional confinement is predicted. However, the valence-band TW state is only weakly bound, and, thus, the calculated binding energy, using only the one confined valence-band TW state, is not very accurate, because the Coulomb interaction mixes different valence-band states.
This mixing has its fingerprint on the optical anisotropy, displayed in Fig. 4. The experimental anisotropy is smaller than the calculated anisotropy for the TW transition. This shows that, in the TW exciton, the valence-band state has a stronger admixture of the $\sim 001$ QW states than the TW valence-band state. The reason for this finding is the shape of the conduction-band TW state, which is extended more into the $\sim 001$ QW than the valence-band TW state [Fig. 4(c)].

While increasing the (110) QW width, the TW states shift from the (001) QW into the (110) QW due to the change of the balance between the (001) and (110) transition energies. The lower of the QW states contributes more to the TW state compared to the upper one. As a consequence, the optical anisotropy of the TW state increases with increasing (110) QW width, but stays between the (001) QW anisotropy of zero and the (110) QW anisotropy of about 0.74.

C. Asymmetric structures with Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As in the (001) wells and optimization of the wire confinement

To further confine the electronic states, a stronger asymmetric QW structure with Al in the (001) QW has to be implemented, as discussed already in the presented analytical model (Sec. II A). Assuming a given width of the overgrown (110) QW, we optimize the WCE by a variation of the width and the aluminum content of the (001) QW’s. The (001) barrier width is chosen to be large enough to avoid superlattice effects for the TW states. The barrier composition was fixed at Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$As. For a small QW width, a higher aluminum content increases the WCE. However, care has to be taken to avoid a type-II structure induced by the $\Gamma$-X crossing at 42% aluminum content. The largest energy separation between the TW-transition and the transitions in the $\sim 001$ and (110) QW’s is obtained, when the transition energies in both QW’s are equal. This condition determines the optimum aluminum content to be used in the (001) QW’s of a given width.

![Figure 5. Transition energies and energies of the conduction- and valence-band TW states in T-shaped structures with overgrown (110) QW’s of widths 3, 4, 5, 7, and 10 nm. The width of the (001) QW is varied together with the aluminum content, as given in the lowest graphs. The corresponding energies of the (110) QW’s are given as lines.](image)

![Figure 6. TW states in a T-shaped structure consisting of a 20-nm Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$As/20-nm Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$As (001) MQW overgrown by a 4-nm (110) GaAs QW. The shape of the structure is depicted in the left upper picture. The contours of constant probability are plotted for the two conduction-band TW states (cb1) and (cb2).](image)
We now consider structures with different (001) QW widths and the optimum aluminum content. The effect of an increasing well width can be regarded as an increase of the quantization length along the [001] direction for the TW state, which is reducing the TW quantization energy along the [001] direction. At even larger (001) QW widths, a second TW state can be bound to the intersection. This reduces the effective WCE of the first TW state, which then has to be taken as its energy separation to the second TW state. The optimum WCE is thus established just at the (001) QW width at which the second TW state becomes confined. This behavior resembles the numerical results, which are given in Fig. 5 for overgrown (110) GaAs QW widths of 3, 4, 5, 7, and 10 nm. The energies of the TW states (squares) in the conduction and valence bands and the TW transition energy are displayed as a function of the (001) QW width, using the optimum aluminum content for each width. The energies in the corresponding (110) QW’s are given as lines, indicating the level of the TW barriers. The general trend is an increase of the WCE with increasing (001) QW width.

At a certain width, a second TW state (triangles) appears in the conduction band below the (110) QW energy. The TW states in a T-shaped structure with two TW states in the conduction band are shown in Fig. 6. The first conduction-band TW state is well confined at the intersection and exhibits no nodes, whereas the second conduction-band TW state shows a node along the [001] direction. It is thus a second state along the [001] quantization, in agreement with the previous discussion. The onset of confinement of the second TW state in the conduction band marks the optimal (001) QW width for a given (110) QW width. The resulting parameters of the T-shaped structures with the optimal design are listed in Table II. The WCE in these optimized structures is about twice the WCE of a symmetric structure of equal (110) QW width [compare with Fig. 2(b)].

For the optimized 3-nm T-shaped structure, the geometrical shape and the TW states are given in Fig. 7. The states

![FIG. 7. TW states in the optimized T-shaped structure consisting of a 16-nm Al_{0.124}Ga_{0.876}As/20-nm Al_{0.3}Ga_{0.7}As (001) MQW overgrown by a 3-nm (110) GaAs QW and an Al_{0.3}Ga_{0.7}As barrier. The shape of the structure is shown at left, and the contours of the constant probability are plotted in the middle and right graphs for the conduction- and valence-band TW states, respectively.](image)

![FIG. 8. Calculated subband dispersions and interband absorption in the optimized 3-nm structure. (a) Subband dispersions of the lowest two conduction subbands and the highest six valence subbands from the zone center in the direction [110] along the wire and in the direction [001] along the wire array. (b) Absorption \(\alpha_{[hkl]}\) for the three principal light polarizations, as indicated. The transitions are labeled according to the involved subband states.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(110) QW width (nm)</th>
<th>(001) QW width (nm)</th>
<th>Al (%)</th>
<th>Transition energy (eV)</th>
<th>Electron confinement (meV)</th>
<th>Hole confinement (meV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>1.643</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>1.609</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.587</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.561</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>1.544</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
are stronger confined at the intersection compared to the TW states in the corresponding symmetric structure (Fig. 3). Especially for the valence-band TW state, the confinement is improved.

The calculated subband dispersions and interband absorption of this structure are shown in Fig. 8. As these results also are calculated using an array of T intersections in the [110] direction by cutting the (001) MQW in a depth of 15 nm, the unbound states are not the same as in the real structure.

The subband dispersion [Fig. 8(a)] along the wire direction \( k_{[110]} \) shows a nearly parabolic shape for the conduction-band states. In contrast, the valence-band states show a strong nonparabolicity of the dispersion along the wire due to the mixing of the heavy- and light-hole valence bands. The broken inversion symmetry of the T structure leads to a lifting of Kramer’s degeneracy outside the zone center. The decoupling of TW states confined at adjacent QW’s of the MQW structure results in flat dispersions of the lowest conduction subband and the highest valence subband along the MQW direction \( (k_{[001]}) \). The higher valence-band states show a strong dispersion along this direction, due to their extended character.

The calculated interband absorption [Fig. 8(b)] for the three principal light polarizations along [001], [110], and [110] shows the typical peaks of the joint density of states at a quantum-wire subband edge of the energy \( E_n \) proportional to \( (\hbar \omega - E_n)^{1/2} \). Above the fundamental transition between the confined TW states \((cb1-vb1)\), several transitions to higher valence subbands appear, that have a different polarization as the fundamental transition. This reflects the different mixtures of heavy and light holes in the higher valence subbands. In a realistic structure, which is extended along the [110] MQW direction, this is expected to show up as a continuum instead of separated transitions, since the higher valence subbands are not confined at the intersection. Consequently, in the absorption of the structure, only the low confinement of the valence-band TW state will determine the spacing to the higher subband transitions. The Coulomb interaction is expected to influence these results by the mixing of the valence bands.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL REALIZATION OF THE OPTIMIZED STRUCTURES

After the optimal design of the T-shaped structures of a given (110) GaAs QW width has been determined, as described in Sec. III, we grew two of these structures by the cleaved edge overgrowth technique\(^4,7\) using MBE. We selected structures with 3- and 5-nm overgrown well thicknesses. The (001) multiple-quantum-well structure for the 3-nm overgrowth nominally consists of a 500-nm GaAs buffer layer, 20 periods of (12-nm Al\(_{0.116}\)Ga\(_{0.895}\)As/20-nm Al\(_{0.3}\)Ga\(_{0.7}\)As), and a 5-nm GaAs cap layer. The (001) multiple-quantum-well structure for the 5-nm overgrowth nominally consists of a 500-nm GaAs buffer layer, 20 periods of (18-nm Al\(_{0.069}\)Ga\(_{0.937}\)As/32-nm Al\(_{0.3}\)Ga\(_{0.7}\)As), and a 10-nm GaAs cap layer. The barrier width was chosen to be large enough to avoid coupling between the TW states. The relative Ga and Al flux was adjusted to give a barrier composition of Al\(_{0.3}\)Ga\(_{0.7}\)As. The III/V flux ratio was 15, and the growth temperature was 700 °C.

To grow a QW with low aluminum content, we used the digital alloy (DA) technique. During the growth of each well, the aluminum shutter was opened and closed ten (20) times for sample A \((B)\), which corresponds to a DA period of 0.12 (0.09) nm. Since this is less than the interdiffusion length of Ga and Al during the growth, this procedure results in a homogeneous alloy. As the opening time for the aluminum shutter is comparable to its switching speed, the absolute aluminum content of the layer cannot be directly determined from the nominal shutter times of the MBE system. To compensate for the possible deviation, we measured the transition energy in the DA MQW’s by low-temperature photoluminescence (PL) before the overgrowth. The width of the overgrown (110) GaAs QW was then adjusted to match its transition energy with the DA MQW transition energy. As we discussed in Sec. IIIC, this is important for a high WCE, whereas the width of the (001) QW is not critical (compare Fig. 5). To perform this matching, we have previously investigated the dependence of the transition energy in (110) QW’s on the well width.\(^26\)

For the overgrowth, the substrates of DA MQW’s were lapped down to 90 μm and cut into 5×6 mm\(^2\) pieces along the (110) direction. They were remounted vertically on a special holder, cleaved inside the MBE chamber, and immediately overgrown on the cleaved edge along the (110) direction by a 2.5- (4.3-) nm GaAs QW for sample A \((B)\), a 20- (32-) nm Al\(_{0.3}\)Ga\(_{0.7}\)As barrier, and a 10- (1500) nm GaAs cap. The growth temperature was 460 (500) °C and the III/V flux ratio was 30 for sample A \((B)\).

In Fig. 9, we show the PL of samples A and B at a 40-K lattice temperature, excited on the overgrown edge with about 0.1 W/cm\(^2\) at 633 and 488 nm, respectively. The PL of the (001) MQW transition and the TW transition are sepa-
rated by 54 meV for sample A, and 37 meV for sample B. The difference in the PL efficiency of the TW transition in the two samples is due to the different overgrowth parameters mentioned above. Especially the thicker GaAs cap layers on sample B is leading to a higher PL yield.

A reference (110) QW of the same nominal width and barrier composition as in sample A was grown on a planar (110) substrate after the cleaved edge overgrowth of sample A. Since we could use the same growth calibration, the reference QW is expected to be very similar to the overgrown QW. The PL of this reference QW is shown as a dotted line in Fig. 9. Its transition energy coincides approximately with the transition energy of the (001) MQW, which confirms the accuracy of the design and growth of the (110) QW after the characterization of the (001) MQW transition energy. The larger inhomogeneous width of the (110) QW transition (24 meV) compared to the (001) MQW transition (5 meV) is due to the narrow well width of the (110) QW compared to the (001) MQW combined with a higher interface roughness during growth on the (110) surface compared to the (001) surface.\(^3\) The inhomogeneous width of the TW transition is 20 meV. A calculation of the dependence of the TW and (110) QW transition energies on the (110) QW width gives about 40 (60) meV/nm, respectively. The effective interface roughness of the (110) QW can thus be estimated to 0.6 nm. The ratio between the two calculated dependencies corresponds to the ratio of the inhomogeneous widths of TW and (110) QW PL, if we neglect the small width of the (001) QW PL. The experimental ratio of 20/25 is in reasonable agreement with the calculated ratio 40/60.

To compare the experimental WCE with theory, we have calculated the WCE for the two structures, giving 39 (28) meV for sample A (B). The experimental WCE thus exceeds the calculated ones by about 25%. Such an increased WCE was attributed previously\(^18\) to an enhanced exciton binding energy of the TW states compared to the QW states. The exciton binding energies calculated by Chang, Chang, and Esaki\(^2\) for sample A (B) amount to about 20 (16) meV, which is 10 (7) meV more than the exciton binding energy in the corresponding (001) QW’s. This is less than the observed difference between the calculated and experimentally determined WCE’s. However, other mechanisms such as strain shifts or unequal overgrowth on well and barrier regions of the (001) MQW could also account for the discrepancy. Additionally, the k·p model used in the calculation could not be precise enough to determine the WCE to the accuracy of some meV, especially for thin overgrown QW’s. The observed WCE’s are the highest reported up to now, which confirms the successful optimization of the T-shaped structures for maximum WCE.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we calculated and optimized the confinement energies for electrons and holes at the T intersection of two QW’s. We have shown that, for an optimized design, e-h pair WCE’s of 40 meV are achievable. The valence-band WCE is found to be between 2 and 5 meV in the considered well-width range. The Coulomb interaction, which was neglected in the calculations, results in an enhanced confinement of the holes at the intersection. This is because the exciton binding energy is larger than the hole subband separation, and thus mixes different hole subbands. The successful optimization of the T-shaped structures is confirmed by the growth of an optimized structure, performing a confinement energy of 54 meV, which is significantly higher than previously reported.
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