Mode-Locked Semiconductor Lasers for Optical Communication Systems

Yvind, Kresten; Larsson, David; Oxenløwe, Leif Katsuo; Hvam, Jørn Märcher

Published in:

Proceedings of 2005 7th International Conference on Transparent Optical Networks

Link to article, DOI:

[10.1109/ICTON.2005.1506135](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICTON.2005.1506135)

Publication date:

2005

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Yvind, K., Larsson, D., Oxenløwe, L. K., & Hvam, J. M. (2005). Mode-Locked Semiconductor Lasers for Optical Communication Systems. In *Proceedings of 2005 7th International Conference on Transparent Optical Networks* (Vol. 2, pp. 209-212). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICTON.2005.1506135>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Mode-Locked Semiconductor Lasers for Optical Communication Systems

K. Yvind, D. Larsson, L. K. Oxenløwe, J. Mørk, and J. M. Hvam

Research Center COM, NanoDTU, Technical University of Denmark

Ørsted's Plads 345V, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby

Tel: (+45) 4525 6366, Fax: (+45) 45936581, e-mail: ky@com.dtu.dk

ABSTRACT

We present investigations on 10 and 40 GHz monolithic mode-locked lasers for applications in optical communications systems. New all-active lasers with one to three quantum wells have been designed, fabricated and characterized.

Keywords: mode-locked semiconductor lasers, epitaxial design, noise, optical communication systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

In order for ultra-high speed optical communication systems, using time division multiplexing and optical signal processing, to be employed in future transmission networks, compact and integratable semiconductor components need to be developed. The key component to provide the large bandwidth is the mode-locked laser. In the transmitter, the short-pulse (wide bandwidth) laser can be directly synchronized to a sinusoidal (narrowband) electrical clock, and it can then provide all the timing functions, such that the requirements on the electrical components, modulators etc. are strongly relaxed. Later in the network, a low-frequency clock can be extracted when the laser is synchronized to the bitstream using a suitable clock-recovery scheme, an example of which will be shown in the end of this paper. Early studies [1] indicated that all-active monolithic semiconductor lasers would contribute too much excess noise compared to e.g. external cavity lasers and this could limit their usefulness. However, in this paper we will show that even very simple semiconductor monolithic mode-locked lasers (MMLs) are able to deliver very good noise performance.

2. DEVICE DESIGN

The devices investigated are simple ridge waveguide single growth-step Fabry Perot lasers with two electrical contacts as shown in Fig. 1 (left). The design rules presented below will also be applicable to more complex lasers with DBR gratings and repetition rate tuning sections as needed for applications in real communication systems.

In the mode-locked laser, a pulse circulates in the optical cavity with a dynamic broadening in the gain section and a corresponding pulse shortening in the absorber. The main part of the broadening is due to gain saturation that also results in nonlinear chirp across the pulse [2]. One way of keeping the pulses short is by using a strong absorber to absorb the leading part of the pulse. This, however, introduces excess loss which reduces the efficiency of the laser and, more importantly, increases the noise and instabilities of the laser. A better approach is to design the gain section such that the pulse broadening is reduced and the requirement for reshaping is relaxed.

Figure 1. Left/Middle: Schematic of the device with a blowup of the active layers. Right: Calculated gain saturation energy versus required net gain in the device. The approximate required gain for HR coated lasers of different frequencies is indicated.

The work reported in this paper was supported by the Danish Technical Research Council through the SCOOP programme and the talent project 26-04-0060.

Table 1. Summary of operating conditions for selected results from 10 and 40 GHz lasers.
Output power includes 3 dB coupling loss.

Number of quantum wells	1	2	1	2	3	
Device / absorber length	4260 / 100	4260 / 55	1070 / 60	1070 / 55	1070 / 50	μm
Coating	HR	HR&AR	HR	HR	HR	
Gain current, absorber bias	50, -3.5	50, -3.0	191, -2.7	190, -3.8	240, -4.0	mA, V
Frequency, RF power	9.930, 24	9.973, 24	39.78, 26	39.58, 26	39.53, 26	GHz, dBm
Center wavelength	1531	1556	1531	1547	1562	nm
Pulsewidth (w/ added dispersion)	1.8 (0.55)	1.4 (0)	1.1 (0.65)	1.2 (0.65)	1.4 (0.65)	ps (ps/nm)
Time bandwidth product	0.42	0.58	0.38	0.51	0.61	(FWHM)
Fibercoupled output power	0.5	1.6	9.2	8.7	9.0	mW

The epitaxial structures of the active region of the lasers are shown in Fig. 1 (middle). Thick undoped layers are used to lower the waveguide loss and devices with 1, 2 and 3 quantum wells are fabricated. The absorber facet is high-reflection coated on all lasers to increase the intensity in absorber.

In Fig. 1 (right), a calculation of the gain saturation energy versus the required net gain is shown. The gain saturation energy is the pulse energy where the gain is reduced to zero. In practice, only a limited gain saturation will be tolerated since it results in both pulse broadening and chirp of the emitted pulses. For only a 10% reduction in gain, the maximum pulse energy should be 1/10 of the value in Fig. 1. For a laser the working point on the gain curve is fixed by the loss when the laser is operating, which means that the gain saturation energy is dependent on the number of wells (cmp. Fig. 1 right). For the 40 GHz lasers the required gain is close to the maximum available using a single well which is the optimum as seen in the strongly increasing gain saturation energy. We would therefore expect that the pulseshaping is more gentle for the 1 QW lasers which would translate into less chirp, a higher efficiency and less noise. The 10 GHz lasers are four times longer than the 40 GHz lasers and the required gain coefficient is therefore quite low as indicated in Fig 1. We would therefore expect a lower output power and smaller difference between the different epitaxial structures for these devices. More details on the influence of the epitaxial design can be found in [3, 4]

3. RESULTS FOR 10 GHz LASERS

The lasers are mounted with the absorber section bonded to a coplanar electrical waveguide, which allows modulation to be applied for the synchronization to an electrical clock. Operating conditions and pulse properties for typical performance close to the optimum operation point is shown in table 1. The pulse performance of the 10 GHz lasers is actually better for a high- and low (5%) reflection coated 2 QW laser (see Fig. 2 left) than for the 1 QW laser. This is because the difference between the gain saturation energies is not especially large so that the more efficient modulator in the 2 QW device gives shorter pulses. In Fig. 2 (right) the absolute phase noise is shown, measured with a photodiode/spectrum analyzer combination, detecting the pulse train directly at 10 GHz. At low offset frequencies the laser follows the electrical clock (synthesizer) completely as would be expected, while the laser noise is visible at offsets $> 1\text{ MHz}$ before the noise floor is reached at 200 – 300 MHz. The noise for the 2 QW device is higher than that for a 1 QW device due to the

Figure 2. Left: Autocorrelation of a 2 QW hybridly mode-locked laser with the optical spectrum inserted.
Right: Absolute phase noise measurement for 1 and 2 QW 10 GHz lasers.

Figure 3. Top left: Residual phase noise measurement setup. Right: Residual phase noise measurement result and integrated jitter from a variable lower limit to the Nyquist frequency. Lower left: Autocorrelation of low noise pulse from 1 QW device at “optimal” compression (0.9 ps/nm). Inset: optical spectrum showing excess spectral components on the high energy side.

higher spontaneous emission noise and stronger pulseshaping for the 2 QW device. If the gain current is increased, the jitter is lowered slightly, but the pulse is broadened and more chirped which is consistent with the low gain saturation energy of the gain medium at the low required gain.

For demultiplexing and optical signal processing it is the phase difference between the data signals and the recovered clock that is of importance. For these applications one would use a wide-bandwidth clock-recovery (CR) circuit to capture as much as possible of the slow jitter and the remaining high-frequency noise is what is important. This “uncorrelated” jitter should be less than 1/12 (1/14.2) of the bit period for a 10^{-9} (10^{-12}) bit error rate [5]. For the data in Fig 2, the integrated jitter in the range 1 MHz – 5 GHz is ~195 fs for the 1 QW device and ~300 fs for the 2 QW device but this includes a large contribution from the noise floor of the measurement.

4. RESULTS FOR 40 GHz LASERS

For the 40 GHz lasers the length of the device is only 1 mm and the required gain including the absorber loss is close to the maximum available for a 1-QW device as seen in Fig. 1 (right). This indicates that it will be possible to operate it at higher powers, which will ensure low jitter operation while keeping short pulses.

If absolute phase noise measurements are used to evaluate the noise of the 40 GHz lasers the effective noise floor of a 50 GHz electrical spectrum analyzer (~125 dBc (1 Hz) for our HP8565E) will mask the contribution from the laser completely [6]. Residual phase noise measurements (Fig. 3 top, left), where external mixing of the detected pulse train with the reference clock is used before the spectrum analyzer, is a way for solving the measurement problem [1, 7]. Since this measurement is relative to the synthesizer the contribution from the latter at long timescales will not appear because the laser tracks this part of the jitter as shown for the 10 GHz device. This is an advantage since the noise we are interested in for practical purposes is the difference between the clock and laser as noted earlier. More importantly, because the carrier has been removed in the external mixing we can amplify the noise bands and send them into the spectrum analyzer without saturating its mixer. We are therefore able to follow the fall-off of the side-band noise several decades as shown in Fig. 3 (top right). Also, when we evaluate the rms jitter we can now integrate all the way to the Nyquist frequency (20 GHz) and thus include the pulse to pulse jitter, which will introduce unavoidable penalties in the optical signal processing. As shown in Fig. 3 (lower right), a value of less than 60 fs for the 1 QW device is seen (integrated in the full measurement bandwidth) which is sufficient for Tbit/s transmission systems. The lack of intracavity filtering limits the spectral quality of the lasers as shown in the inset of Fig. 3 (lower left) and give rises to the shoulders