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Abstract: Error-free bi-directional transmission of 8×10 Gb/s signals over two inline SOAs is realized for the first time. It is demonstrated that SOAs can be used for inline amplifiers in bi-directional multi-wavelengths transmission systems at 10 Gb/s without any isolator.
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I. Introduction

The SOA is a promising candidate for cascaded optical fiber systems because of the coverage of the entire fiber transmission window and the possibilities for integration and low cost [1-7]. Bi-directional transmission can utilize efficiently the optical fiber transmission bandwidth and reduce the complexity and cost of optical transmission systems and networks. SOAs as optical switches or in-line amplifiers are very suitable for bi-directional transmission systems and networks because SOAs do not need any optical isolators as often used in case of EDFAs. We have demonstrated earlier that an SOA can be used for bi-directional 16×10 Gb/s WDM gating operation at 10 Gb/s by use of holding light injection. In this paper, we will demonstrate that it is possible to transmit 8×10 Gb/s WDM signals over two in-line SOAs without any isolator. The low input power dynamic range and high XGM induced in multi-channel gating due to gain saturation and XGM respectively [3], have been overcome with the introduction of gain control schemes such as laser gain clamping [4], polarization multiplexing technique (PMT) [5] and holding light injection [6,7]. Theoretical investigation [4] has shown that a four-channel system at 10Gb/s can not be cascaded over 3 SOAs by using small signal injection nor over 6 GC-SOAs by using laser gain clamping with passive and active DBR regions at 1 dB penalty, mainly due to extinction ratio (ER) degradation for the SOA gates and limited relaxation frequency for the GC-SOA gates. In order to overcome the limited relaxation frequency of GC-SOAs with laser gain control, the methods of holding light injection and PMT can be used. However, PMT involves a complex transmitter. Holding light injection has a simple configuration.

II. Experimental setup and results

Fig. 1 shows the measured small signal gain characteristics of two SOAs for an input signal power of -15 dBm. The gain peak wavelengths of SOAl and SOA2 are 1550 and 1544nm, respectively. The SOAl gain is reduced 2.2dB when the wavelength is changed from 1550 to 1560nm, while the SOA2 gain is reduced 6 dB within the same wavelength range; this shows that SOA2 has a rather un-flattened small signal gain. The measured saturation output powers of SOAl and SOA2 are 8.9 and 6.7dBm, respectively.

A. 4×10Gb/s bi-directional 44km SMF transmission with two in-line SOAs

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2. The outputs of four DFB lasers are multiplexed in an AWG. The operating wavelengths are λ1 =1555.72 nm (channel 1), λ2 =1557.33 nm (channel 2), λ3 =1558.96 nm (channel 3) and λ4 =1560.6 nm (channel 4). The amplified signals are modulated at 10 Gb/s with a PRBS of sequence length 231 − 1. The four-channel WDM signals are de-correlated by 25km standard SMF. The SOAs used in the experiment are both 1200 μm long and have less than 1 dB of polarization dependence and confinement factors.
of 0.6. The lengths of the SMF and DCF are 44 km and 6 km, respectively. The total loss of the fiber span is 12.3 dB and it is fully compensated at 1550 nm. The holding light is generated by an ECL at \( \lambda = 1539 \) nm. The power of the holding light into SOA2 is 6 dBm, and the powers of the signals at \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) into SOA1 are both -13.8 dBm. The powers of the signals at \( \lambda_3 \) and \( \lambda_4 \) into SOA2 are -16.7 dBm and -13.8 dBm, respectively. Because the gain of channel 3 is larger than that of channel 4, the input power of channel 3 is smaller than that of channel 4. One polarization controller near SOA1 is used to keep the interference between signal and reflected signal to a minimum.

First we use a tunable attenuator to replace the SMF and DCF between the SOAs. The loss of the attenuator is adjusted to 2.3 dB, which is the same as the loss of the fibers. The BER is measured and shown in Fig. 3. The penalties with respect to the back-to-back BER are 1-2 dB at a BER of \( 10^{-9} \). The different input powers of signals and gain of SOAs lead to different penalties.

With fiber transmission, we can see that the penalties with respect to the back-to-back BER are 3-4 dB. It means that another 2dB penalty are added when the signals are transmitted through the fibers. The added penalty is caused by the following two reasons: First, a negative chirp will be generated when the signals are transmitted through the SOAs and the negative chirp will cause some penalty in a fully dispersion compensated system. Second, Rayleigh Scattering and SBS caused by the strong CW holding light propagating in the fibers will degrade the system performance. In order to reduce the SBS effect, Ref. 2 has shown that we can modulate the holding light using a strong low frequency signal. It is important to emphasize that the BER measurements for both signals in the bi-directional transmission are performed simultaneously and very stable operation with no signs of error floor has been observed. Some eye diagrams are shown in Fig. 4. After the de-correlating SMF (back-to-back), a peaking eye diagram is obtained as shown in Fig. 4 (a). Because of the noise accumulation and inter-channel cross-talk fluctuation at "1"s can be seen in Fig. 4 (b) and (c).

For example, Fig. 5 shows the spectra at port A indicated in Fig. 2. Without SOAs means that the SOAs are taken away. It is clearly seen that the optical components of four-wave mixing in the SOA are very small, hence, their effect can be ignored. The total gain of the SOAs is approximately 21 dB. Because the isolation of the circulators is not perfect, considerable power at channel 3 and 4 can be seen in Port A, but these unwanted signals will not affect our measurements at channel 1 and 2 because they can be effectively filtered away by AWG3. We can see that the SNR of all channels in a 0.1nm optical bandwidth is larger than 30 dB after the signals have been transmitted through the fibers and SOAs.
B. 8×10Gb/s bi-directional transmission with two in-line SOAs

This experimental setup is almost the same as the one above. It is shown in Fig.6. Two sets of 4 WDM channels are obtained after the 3 dB optical coupler with the same set of wavelengths. One set is transmitted through the SOAs from SOA1 to SOA2, the other set from SOA2 to SOA1. The loss of the tunable attenuator between the SOAs is adjusted to be 6.3 dB. In order to further suppress the cross talk in the SOAs, we let the holding light wavelength move to the gain peak of the SOAs. The holding light wavelength is near 1546 nm.

First we investigate four channel uni-directional transmission. We measure the BER performance for signal transmission over only SOA1, the input power of the four channels is -12 dBm and the holding power is 4.4 dBm. The measured BER result is shown in Fig. 7. Almost the same BER performance is obtained for the four channels and the penalty is smaller than 1 dB. Then we measure the uni-directional transmission over two in-line SOAs. It means that the signals are transmitted from SOA2 to SOA1 and no signals are transmitted in the opposite direction. The input powers into SOA2 are -11.7, -11.7, -9.7 and -9.7 dBm for channel 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The holding light power is 4.4 dBm. The BER performance is measured and also shown in Fig. 8. It is clearly seen that channel 3 has the smallest penalty. It should be pointed out that we did not optimise the input powers of the signals, and we only let channel 3 and 4 have a relatively large input power because channel 3 and 4 have small gain. After uni-directional transmission through two SOAs, the smallest penalty for channel 4 is 2 dB and the largest penalty for channel 1 or 2 is 5 dB. The different penalties are caused by the facts that SOA2 has an un-flattened gain and the input signal powers are unequal.

Then we investigate bi-directional transmission. The BER performance for signal transmission from SOA2 to SOA1 is shown in Fig. 7 (a). The input powers of channel 1, 2, 3 and 4 into SOA2 are -12, -12, -9.9 and -9.7 dBm, respectively. The input powers of channel 1, 2, 3 and 4 into SOA1 are -19, -19, -16.9 and -16.2 dBm, respectively. The holding light is 8.4 dBm. The BER performance for signal transmission from SOA1 to SOA2 is shown in Fig. 7(b). The different penalties for all channels are caused by different gain of SOAs and unequal input powers. Error-free transmission is realized for all channels. The total gain of the SOAs is almost 8.2 dB. Because the total gain of the SOAs is not large, we have not measured BER performance with fiber transmission. If the saturation output power of the SOAs can be further increased it would be possible for us to demonstrate 8×10Gb/s bi-directional transmission over some fiber length. Ref. 4 has shown that a small confinement factor and short length are suitable for signal amplification, so, if such SOAs were available, better performance could be obtained. However, because of the large confinement factor and long cavity used here, we had to use a very large holding light power to suppress inter-channel cross-talk and that led to gain reduction of the SOAs.

III. Conclusion

We have demonstrated error-free 4×10Gb/s WDM bi-directional transmission over 44km SMF fiber combined with a suitable DCF and two in-line SOAs. We have also demonstrated error-free 8×10 Gb/s WDM bi-directional transmission with two inline SOAs. The results suggest very promising applications of bi-directional transmission in short or medium scale optical networks with simple configuration because no isolators are necessary.
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