An intercomparison of antenna measurements made at a spherical near-field range, a cylindrical near-field range and a compact range

Lemanczyk, J.; Larsen, Flemming Holm

Published in:
Antennas and Propagation Society International Symposium

Publication date:
1984

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Lemanczyk, J., & Larsen, F. H. (1984). An intercomparison of antenna measurements made at a spherical near-field range, a cylindrical near-field range and a compact range. In *Antennas and Propagation Society International Symposium* (Vol. Volume 22, pp. 686-689). IEEE.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

AN INTERCOMPARISON OF ANTENNA MEASUREMENTS MADE AT A SPHERICAL NEAR-FIELD RANGE, A CYLINDRICAL NEAR-FIELD RANGE AND A COMPACT RANGE.

J. Hau Lemanczyk, F. Holm Larsen

Electromagnetics Institute, The Technical University of Denmark

Introduction. It still can occur at presentations of far-field results from near-field antenna measurements that the question is raised how well they compare to real far-field measurements. Experience has shown that usually it is not possible to carry out far-field measurements sufficiently accurate to demonstrate the full accuracy of the near-field measurements. Instead one can measure for different values of the parameters in the near-field measurement configuration, e.g. the measurement distance, and observe how sensitive the far-field patterns are to such changes [1]. However, in order to obtain a more convincing comparison, the European Space Agency has arranged for measurements of a contoured beam antenna at three different near-field measurement systems in three different countries. The three measurement facilities are

1. The spherical near-field scanner at the Technical University of Denmark.
2. The cylindrical near-field scanner at MBB in Munich, Germany.
3. The compact range at Technical University, Eindhoven, The Netherlands.

The antenna was an off-set reflector antenna with a contoured beam produced by a linear array of four feed horns perpendicular to the off-set plane. Before the measurements were started, the feed support structure was enforced to prevent movement during the measurements. Also a mirror cube was attached to the antenna in order to define a coordinate system for the antenna and a reference direction for the definition of co- and cross- polarization in particular. An extensive series of measurements were carried out at the spherical range at TUD as described in a separate paper to this conference [1].

Comparison spherical-cylindrical. The comparison between far-field patterns from spherical [1] and cylindrical [2] measurements is shown in figure 1 for the two principal planes of the antenna. The cross-polar field has in both measurements been determined perpendicular to a side face on the mirror cube. Careful alignment of the near-field scanners, the probe polarization reference and the test antenna is essential for obtaining the indicated agreement.

Comparison spherical scanner - compact range. A similar comparison between the far-field patterns from the spherical near-field measurements and measurements carried out at a compact range consisting of two cylindrical reflectors [3,4] is shown in figure 2. Note that the angular scale has been changed relative to figure 1. Also the cross-polar patterns are changed relative to figure 1 because the polarization of the feed horn in the compact range was aligned

to produce a null close to $\theta = 0^\circ$ rather than perpendicular to a cube face. Since both amplitude and phase of the two field components are known from the spherical near-field measurements it is possible to rotate the polarization reference in the computer and by rotating 3.83° , the agreement in figure 2a is obtained. The same reference angle is used for the spherical measurements in the $\phi = 90^\circ$ cut, while the feed horn in the compact range has been aligned separately for this cut. The fact that the cross-polar values are not the same for $\theta = 0^\circ$ indicates some misalignment of the compact range. Table 1 gives some specific numbers for the comparison.

	TUD	MBB	THE
lobe $(\theta, \phi) = (5.1^\circ, 0^\circ)$	- 0.19	- 0.19	- 0.13
side lobe $(\theta, \phi) = (7.6^\circ, 90^\circ)$	-20.2	-20.6	-22.2
cross-polar max $\phi = 0^\circ$ plane	-20.8 -17.0	-21.5 -	- -17.2

Table 1. Comparison of specific values in dB relative to co-polar max.

References

- [1] J. Hau Lemanczyk, J.E. Hansen, F.H. Larsen, "Evaluation of the spherical near field range at the Technical University of Denmark". Paper submitted to the 1984 International IEEE/AP-S Symposium, Boston.
- [2] C.P. Fischer, "Cylindrical near field test facility for large satellite antennas". 13th European Microwave Conference, Nürnberg, West Germany, 1983.
- [3] V.J. Vokurka, "Compact antenna range", Ch. 9.3 in Satellite Communication Technology, Mittra, Imbriale and Maanders editors, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [4] M.H.M. Knoben, "Compact antenna range measurements on the MBB four horn offset antenna". Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, Report ET-3-83.

This work was carried out under work package WP2 of a rider to ESA Contract 4682/81/NL/NS(SC).

Figure 1a: Far fields in the $\phi = 0^\circ$ plane. Comparison of far fields obtained from spherical and cylindrical near field measurements.

Figure 1b: Far fields in the $\phi = 90^\circ$ plane.

Figure 2a: Far fields in the $\phi = 0^\circ$ plane. Comparison of far fields obtained on a spherical near field range and a compact range.

Figure 2b: Far fields in the $\phi = 90^\circ$ plane.