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Rolling deformation of bulk Cu_{60}Zr_{20}Ti_{20} metallic glass has been performed at cryogenic temperature. The specimens exhibit excellent ductility, and are rolled up to 97% reduction in thickness without fracture. Crystallization is suppressed during the deformation, however, phase separation is observed in the glassy matrix when the thickness reduction exceeds 89%. Once the deformation was carefully controlled so that the strain rate was in the range of 1.0×10^{-2}–1.0×10^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}. In order to suppress crystallization, a continuous liquid nitrogen stream was used to cool the specimen throughout the rolling operation, and the temperature of the specimen was measured to be about 150 K.

The microstructures of the specimens subjected to different degrees of deformation were examined by high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) with an accelerating voltage of 300 kV (JEOL JEM-3000F) and x-ray diffractometer (XRD) with monochromatic Cu Ka radiation. HRTEM specimens were prepared by low-energy ion milling at 2.5 kV and 5 mA with liquid nitrogen cooling. The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern was taken from an area about 0.1 \mu m in diameter. Local chemical composition was determined by energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis. Thermal analyses were performed in a Pyris Diamond differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) at 20 K/min under a flow of purified argon. The microhardness of the specimens was measured by a Leitz Durimet Vickers hardness tester, which consisted of a square-based pyramidal diamond indenter with a 136° angle between opposite faces of the indenter. The static load was 200 g and the dwell time of loading was 15 s. Twenty indentations were made on each specimen.

Thickness reduction as high as 97% was achieved in the rolling deformation. The specimen with such a deformation degree had no cracks, and remained ductile, as shown by the 180° bending without fracture. No crystalline phases were detected by XRD in all the as-cast and as-rolled Cu_{60}Zr_{20}Ti_{20} specimens. Figure 1 shows their XRD patterns. By fitting the broad diffraction peak located at 2\theta\approx41° using either of the Gauss and Lorentz line profiles, it is clear that the full width at half maximum (FWHM) increases by about 9% from the as-cast to the as-rolled specimen and then decreases by about 3% from \varepsilon=89% to \varepsilon=97% (Fig. 2).

Measurement of the microhardness H_{c} of the specimens shows that its variation with \varepsilon can be divided into two stages: A slow increase from the as-cast value 5.89 to 5.99...
GPa for \( \varepsilon = 89\% \) and a rapid increase up to 6.33 GPa from \( \varepsilon = 89\% \) to \( \varepsilon = 97\% \) (Fig. 2). In the present work, the ratio of the specimen thickness to the corresponding indentation depth at different \( \varepsilon \) is in the range of 13 to 423. It constantly satisfies the requirement that the ratio should be larger than 10,\(^\text{11} \), indicating that the microhardness measurement is reliable. To further confirm this argument, we rolled another three specimens with \( h_0 = 2 \) mm to different thickness reduction values 91\%, 96\%, and 97\%, respectively. Their microhardness values are also shown in Fig. 2. Indeed, there is no obvious difference between the two sets of data.

The crystallization of Cu\(_{60}\)Zr\(_{20}\)Ti\(_{20}\) BMG during annealing proceeds through two separate exothermic reactions.\(^\text{12,13} \) DSC measurements of the as-rolled specimens with different \( \varepsilon \) indicate that the areas of both exothermic peaks are almost unchanged compared to the as-cast specimen (not shown here). So, the possibility of crystallization in the as-rolled specimens can be excluded. This conclusion is also supported by the following TEM observation.

It is well known that the deformation of metallic glasses at high stresses and low temperatures is inhomogeneous.\(^\text{14} \) The deformation is virtually confined to the narrow regions near the shear bands.\(^\text{15} \) These regions, therefore, are more favorable for deformation-induced microstructural changes than the regions far away from the shear bands. Figure 3(a) shows the bright-field image of the as-rolled specimen with \( \varepsilon = 89\% \). Similar to the TEM images of other as-rolled specimens for \( \varepsilon < 89\% \), no strong contrast was observed except for the increase in the density of the shear bands. The inset in Fig. 3(a) is a SAED pattern taken from the area between two shear bands, and it consists of a broad diffraction halo and a faint larger one, which are typical for amorphous materials. As \( \varepsilon \) is larger than 89\%, some gray regions near the shear bands begin to appear in the microstructure and their number and size increase with \( \varepsilon \). The TEM image of the specimen deformed by \( \varepsilon = 97\% \) is shown in Fig. 3(b), where the sizes of the gray regions are in the range of 100–120 nm. The SAED patterns obtained from the gray region and the amorphous matrix are the insets in Fig. 3(b), where only diffraction halos are observed. Chemical compositions of the gray regions and of the amorphous matrix were estimated by EDX with a beam size of about 0.1 \( \mu \)m. The results show that the average composition in the gray regions is 64 at. % Cu, 17 at. % Ti, and 19 at. % Zr, while the amorphous matrix contains 57 at. % Cu, 22 at. % Ti, and 21 at. % Zr. Note that chemical composition of the gray regions is distinct from the stoichiometric ratio of the primary Cu\(_{51}\)Zr\(_{14}\) phase in the crystallization of Cu\(_{60}\)Zr\(_{20}\)Ti\(_{20}\) BMG.\(^\text{16} \)

It must be pointed out that the gray regions are uneven in structure at higher magnification. Such a transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of the specimen with \( \varepsilon = 97\% \) is shown in Fig. 3(c), where the microstructure consists of the brighter matrix and the darker substructures with an average size about 10–20 nm. Their chemical compositions were estimated by nanobeam EDX with a beam size of about 3 nm. The results show that the average composition in the darker substructures is 70 at. % Cu, 13 at. % Ti, and 17 at. % Zr, while the brighter matrix contains 54 at. % Cu, 21 at. % Ti, and 25 at. % Zr. Such a chemical inhomogeneity is also revealed by the dark-field image, as shown in Fig. 3(d), where the bright and dark speckles are clearly visible. The bright speckles in the dark-field image were found in the TEM observation corresponding to the darker substructure, i.e., they are rich in copper. Figures 3(e) and 3(f) show the HRTEM images of the selected areas in Fig. 3(c), respectively.
tively. No lattice fringes are observed in the darker substructure and the brighter matrix. Hence, it is clear that mechanically driven phase separation, rather than crystallization, occurs in the as-rolled specimens when $\varepsilon$ exceeds 89%.

When a metal is cold deformed, part of the mechanical energy is stored in the form of defects.\textsuperscript{17} For metallic glasses, the consequence is the increase of the free volume.\textsuperscript{14,18,19} Three processes determine the free volume content during deformation: Diffusion, annihilation, and generation.\textsuperscript{20} Considering that the diameter of the rollers in the experiment is evidently larger than the thickness of the as-rolled specimen, and the thickness reduction in each rolling pass is very small, the deformation of the specimen is thought to take place mainly under the compressive stress.\textsuperscript{21} In this case, the eventual free volume content in the as-rolled specimens is mainly under the compressive stress.\textsuperscript{21} In this case, the even-tual free volume content is reached, the generation rate of free volume during the deformation is always greater than the annihilation rate, and as a result the free volume content increases with $\varepsilon$.\textsuperscript{22} Accordingly, the FWHM of the amorphous peak increases with $\varepsilon$ due to the increased disorder of the metallic glass.\textsuperscript{23} At this stage, we do not know whether the saturated free volume content has been achieved in the as-rolled specimen with $\varepsilon=89\%$, since after then phase separation occurs and the FWHM of the amorphous peak decreases owing to other reasons.

If the short-range order did not change when the phase separation occurred, the broadening of the amorphous diffraction peaks in XRD and the haloes in SAED would be expected. But the fact is that the diffraction peaks and haloes become narrower as the phase separation develops. This can be ascribed to the enhancement of the short-range order in the phase-separation amorphous alloy. The reduction in the width of the diffraction peak was observed by Pekarskaya et al.\textsuperscript{24} in the splat-cooled Zr$_{52.5}$Ti$_{14}$Cu$_{17.5}$Ni$_{14.4}$Al$_{10}$ glass when the phase separation occurred during annealing. Comparing the insets of Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), it is known that the distinction in the diffraction haloes between the amorphous alloy without phase separation and the matrix of the phase-separated amorphous alloy is not obvious, while the width of the first diffraction halo from the gray phase-separated region decreases significantly. Therefore, the phase-separated regions exhibit more order in structure than the amorphous matrix although both maintain the amorphous state. It is the enhancement of the short-range order in the phase-separated regions that makes the FWHM decrease when $\varepsilon$ is larger than 89%.

Although no phase separation is observed in the as-rolled specimens by TEM for $\varepsilon \leq 89\%$, it is believed that the microinhomogeneity of chemical composition can be enhanced by the plastic deformation, which brings about the slight rise of the microhardness in the $\varepsilon$ range from 0 to 89%. When $\varepsilon$ exceeds 89%, phase separation develops rapidly, and the microhardness increases drastically. The strengthening mechanism induced by phase separation in Cu$_{46}$Zr$_{20}$T$_{30}$ BMG remains a puzzle at present. It may be correlated with: (i) The hardenness of the separated glassy phases and (ii) the volume fraction and size of the precipitated glassy phase. Further investigation is needed.

In summary, bulk Cu$_{46}$Zr$_{20}$T$_{30}$ metallic glass has been rolled up to $\varepsilon=97\%$ without cracks, and crystallization was suppressed by using liquid nitrogen cooling. Such a high degree of deformation without crystallization was seldom reached, and it is revealed that phase separation can be triggered by severe plastic deformation and the phase-separated regions have enhanced short-range order compared with the amorphous matrix. Furthermore, this mechanically driven phase separation can lead to a drastic increase of the microhardness of the metallic glass. Such a phenomenon clearly suggests that work hardening may occur in the plastic deformation of metallic glasses without crystallization.
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