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We develop a theory for nonplanar interaction between two identical type I spatial solitons propagating at opposite, but arbitrary transverse angles in quadratic nonlinear (or so-called $\chi^{(2)}$) bulk media. We predict quantitatively the outwards escape angle, below which the solitons turn around and collide, and above which they continue to move-away from each other. For in-plane interaction, the theory allows prediction of the outcome of a collision through the inwards escape angle, i.e., whether the solitons fuse or cross. We find an analytical expression determining the inwards escape angle using Gaussian approximations for the solitons. The theory is verified numerically.
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Stable self-guided laser beams or optical bright spatial solitons are of substantial interest in basic physics [1] and for technical applications, such as inducing fixed [2] and dynamically reconfigurable wave guides [3]. Several types of spatial solitons have been demonstrated experimentally, including one-dimensional (1D) Kerr solitons [4] and 1D and 2D solitons in saturable [5], photorefractive [6], and $\chi^{(2)}$ media [7]. Even incoherent solitons, excitable by a light bulb, have been demonstrated in photorefractive media [8]. All solitons exist when diffraction is balanced by the nonlinear self-focusing effect. In bulk Kerr media, the self-focusing effect dominates and leads to collapse of both coherent and incoherent 2D solitons [9], their existence requiring an effectively saturable nonlinearity.

An intriguing feature of solitons is their particlelike behavior during collision. In 1D, Kerr media collisions are fully elastic due to integrability of the 1D nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation [10]. In contrast, saturable, photorefractive, and $\chi^{(2)}$ media are described by nonintegrable equations and soliton collisions are therefore inelastic, displaying both fusion [Fig. 1(a)], crossing [Fig. 1(b)], repulsion, and annihilation, additional solitons may be generated in a fission-type process [11], and solitons may even spiral around each other [12]. All processes depend strongly on the relative phase and have been demonstrated experimentally (see [1] and references therein).

Complex wave-guide structures may be generated by soliton interaction, such as directional couplers [13], but their efficient implementation requires a detailed understanding of the nature of soliton collisions. Snyder and Sheppard predicted the outcome of collisions of 1D solitons in saturable media by comparing the collision angle with the critical angle for total internal reflection in an equivalent wave guide [14]. Except for this paper, most theories are based on the variational approach, which require the solitons to be far apart and breaks down at collision.

Here, we focus on $\chi^{(2)}$ materials [15], which are more general than the simpler cubic Kerr and saturable media in the sense that, dependent on the phase mismatch between the fundamental and second-harmonic (SH) waves, the nonlinearity may be both purely quadratic (close to phase matching) and effectively cubic (for a large phase mismatch). Spatial solitons in $\chi^{(2)}$ materials do not modify the refractive index, and consist of one (type-I) or two (type-II) fundamental fields resonantly coupled to a SH. The $\chi^{(2)}$ materials are of significant interest to photonics due to the strong and fast nonlinearities they can provide through cascading [16]. Furthermore, soliton-induced wave guides in photorefractives may have a strong $\chi^{(2)}$ nonlinearity, which may be used for second-harmonic generation (SHG) [17].

Fusion and crossing [Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)] of spatial $\chi^{(2)}$ solitons has been demonstrated numerically [18] and experimentally [19], and fission of 1D type-I solitons was demonstrated analytically and numerically in the large phase-mismatch limit approximately described by the NLS equation [20]. However, the $\chi^{(2)}$ system is more general and complex than the saturable NLS equation and so far, variational theories were only able to predict critical launch angles and relative phases separating regimes of collision and no collision [21].

Elegant nonplanar effective particle theories predicted the absence of spiraling type-I solitons, but still required weakly overlapping solitons [22]. In this paper, we extend the effective particle approach to arbitrary launch angles and present a theory that is able to correctly predict the outcome of collisions between 2D type-I solitons in $\chi^{(2)}$ media.

FIG. 1. (a),(b) Planar collision between two Gaussians, $P = 48.3$ and $\beta = 0$. (c),(d) Outwards-launched exact solitons, $P = 122.4$ and $\beta = 5$. The launch angles are $\alpha_e = 58^\circ$ (a), $\alpha_e = 62^\circ$ (b), $\alpha_s = 5.4^\circ$ (c), and $\alpha_s = 5.7^\circ$ (d).
We consider beam propagation under type-I SHG conditions in lossless bulk $\chi^{(2)}$ materials. Neglecting walk-off, the system of normalized dynamical equations for the slowly varying envelope of the fundamental wave, $E_1 = E_1(\vec{r})$, and its SH, $E_2 = E_2(\vec{r})$, are [23]

\begin{align}
    i\partial_t E_1 + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 E_1 + E_1^* E_2 &= 0, \quad (1a) \\
    i\partial_t E_2 + \frac{1}{4} \nabla^2 E_2 - \beta E_2 + E_1^2 &= 0. \quad (1b)
\end{align}

Here, $\vec{r} = (x, y, z)$, $z$ is the propagation variable, and $\nabla_2^2 = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$ accounts for diffraction in the transverse $\vec{r}_l = (x, y)$ plane. The normalized phase mismatch is $\beta = \mu_d(2k_1 - k_2)$, where $\mu_d$ is the diffraction length of the fundamental and $k_1$ and $k_2$ are the wave numbers of the fundamental and SH, respectively. The system (1) may be derived from the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = 2 \text{Im}(E_1 \partial_t E_1^*) + \text{Im}(E_2 \partial_t E_2^*) + \beta |E_2|^2 + |\nabla E_1|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \nabla^2 E_2^2 - \text{Re}(E_1^* E_2^2),$$

and conserves the power $P = \int |E_1|^2 + |E_2|^2 d\vec{r}_l$ and momentum $\vec{M} = \int \text{Im}[E_1^* \nabla E_1 + (1/2) E_2^2 \nabla E_2 - E_1 \nabla^2 E_2] d\vec{r}_l$, where we have defined $\int d\vec{r}_l = \int \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dxdy dz$.

The system (1) is known [24,25] to have a one-parameter family of radially symmetric bright 2D solitons of the form $E_1(\vec{r}) = V(r, \lambda) \exp(\lambda z)$ and $E_2(\vec{r}) = W(r, \lambda) \exp(2\lambda z)$ where $\lambda = \max(0; -\beta / 2)$ is the internal soliton parameter and $\lambda = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. We have found this family numerically, using a standard relaxation method, and approximately, using the variational approach [25] with Gaussians profiles $(V, W) = (V_g, W_g)$,

$$V_g = a_1 \exp(-r^2/b), \quad W_g = a_2 \exp(-r^2/b).$$

Here, $a_1 = a_2 \sqrt{2(\lambda - 1)}^{-1/2}$, $a_2 = (3/2)(\lambda + b^{-1})$, and $b = [1 + (12 \lambda^2 + 8 \lambda + \beta^2 + 4 \lambda(2 \lambda + \beta)] / 2 \lambda$. Because the system is Galilean invariant, we can apply a gauge transformation to find moving solitons. Thus, the general three parameter soliton family $(\vec{V}, \vec{W})$ is given by

\begin{align}
    \vec{V}(x - v_x z, y - v_y z; \lambda, v_x, v_y) &= V \left( r; \lambda - \frac{1}{2} v_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} v_y^2 \right) \\
    &\times \exp[-i(v_x x + v_y y)], \quad (4a) \\
    \vec{W}(x - v_x z, y - v_y z; \lambda, v_x, v_y) &= W \left( r; \lambda - \frac{1}{2} v_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} v_y^2 \right) \\
    &\times \exp[-2i(v_x x + v_y y)], \quad (4b)
\end{align}

where $(V, W)$ are either the exact soliton profiles $(V_g, W_g)$ found numerically or $(V_g, W_g)$ given by (3). $v_{x,y} = \tan(\alpha_{x,y})$ are the initial transverse velocities corresponding to the launch angles $\alpha_{x,y}$ with respect to the $z$ axis.

We substitute a field composed of two weakly overlapping solitons $(\vec{V}^{(i)}, \vec{W}^{(i)})$ into the Lagrangian density (2). We then follow the procedure outlined in [22] and allow the solitons to vary adiabatically through a slow variation of the soliton parameters with $Z = \epsilon z$ being the slow propagation variable. To first order in $\epsilon \ll 1$ the result is a set of dynamical equations governing the collective coordinates $x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}, \lambda^{(i)}$, and $\phi^{(i)}$, being the center positions along the $x$ and $y$ axis and the accumulated phase of soliton $i = 1, 2$, respectively. We can express the coordinates as $x^{(i)}(z) = \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} [\phi^{(i)}(Z')] dZ'$ + $x_0^{(i)}$, $y^{(i)}(z) = \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} [\phi^{(i)}(Z')] dZ' + y_0^{(i)}$, and $\phi^{(i)}(z) = \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} [\phi^{(i)}(Z')] dZ' + \phi_0^{(i)}$, where subscript 0 denotes initial values.

At this point, one traditionally simplifies the system by assuming the velocities to be small $(\dot{x}, \dot{y})^{(i)} \sim \epsilon, (\dot{x}^{(i)}, \dot{y}^{(i)}) \sim \epsilon$, i.e., the solitons propagate almost in parallel. However, we are interested in velocities that may be considerable, so instead, we assume symmetric interaction between in-phase solitons with initially identical profiles, $\lambda = \lambda^{(i)}$ and $P = P^{(i)}$, and equal but opposite velocities, $v_{x,y}^{(i)} = v_{x,y}^{(i)} = -v^{(2)}$. Without loss of generality, we set $x_0 = x_0^{(1)} = -x_0^{(2)} \gg 0$ and $y_0 = y_0^{(1)} = -y_0^{(2)} = 0$. Symmetry is conserved and the two sets of collective coordinates degenerate to one, $X = x^{(1)} = -x^{(2)}, Y = y^{(1)} = -y^{(2)}$. In cylindrical coordinates with $R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ we may then reduce the dynamical equations to the Euler-Lagrange equation of the effective Lagrangian

$$L(R, \dot{R}) = \frac{1}{2} P \dot{R}^2 - U_{\text{eff}}(R, \dot{R}),$$

for the single coordinate $R$. The effective potential

$$U_{\text{eff}}(R, \dot{R}) = \frac{C_0}{2R^2} P^2 + \frac{1}{2} U(R, \dot{R})$$

is composed of the classical centrifugal barrier, where $C_0 = (X Y - X Y)^2 = (x_0 v_x + y_0 v_y)^2$ is constant because of conservation of angular momentum, and of the integral interaction

$$U = - \int V^{(1)} [V^{(1)} W^{(2)} \cos(2\phi) + 2 W^{(1)} V^{(2)} \cos(\phi)] d\vec{r}_l,$$

where $\phi = 2\nu_x + 2\nu_y$. We note that, strictly speaking, $U$ is only a quasiclassical potential since it depends on the velocities (in contrast to the potential used in [22]).

We have now established a picture of an effective particle moving in a potential, $U_{\text{eff}}$, with the kinetic energy $E_{\text{kin}} = (1/2)P \dot{R}^2 \gg 0$. For small velocities, the potential (7) has the shape of a well, and hence, represents an attractive force. In the general case of nonplanar interaction $C_0 \neq 0$, the centrifugal barrier is always repulsive and goes to infinity at $R = 0$. This does not necessarily rule out fusion since also the velocities go to infinity because of conservation of $C_0$. The
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outwards escape angle, given by

\[
\alpha_c = \frac{2}{b} \left[ e^{-\frac{(4/3)b\nu_c^2}{2}} + e^{-\frac{(1/3)b\nu_c^2}} \right],
\]

which for \( \beta=0 \) simplifies to

\[
\nu_c = 0.23 \sqrt{P},
\]

in terms of the power. In the large phase mismatch cascad-
ing limit, where \( \beta \gg \lambda \) and the nonlinearity is effec-
tively cubic, Eq. (9) simplifies to

\[
\nu_c = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4} \left[ \frac{P}{\nu_c} - \beta \right]}.
\]

FIG. 2. Outwards escape angle in degrees (solid curve) for full

width at half maximum = 1 and \( x_0 = 1.5 \). Numerical ex-

periments where exact solitons fused (●) and where they escaped (○). The

dashed line shows the initial soliton power \( P \).

centrifugal barrier also creates a local minimum in the ef-

cective potential (still assuming small velocities), which sug-

gets that spiraling configurations may exist. In general,

however, we cannot expect our model to yield correct phys-

ical results in the vicinity of \( R = 0 \) since it violates the as-

sumption of weakly overlapping solitons. In fact, fusion has

been observed numerically, but stable spiraling configura-

tions have not been found [22].

Here, we shall not discuss the qualitatively different re-

gimes. Rather, we are interested in quantitative predictions of

escape velocities. For solitons launched with outwards

velocities, we will always be able to theoretically predict the

escape velocity. On the other hand, a consistent theory for

the determination of the inwards escape velocity only exists

for in-plane interaction, when the classical centrifugal barrier

vanishes, i.e., \( C_0 = 0 \).

We first determine the outwards escape angle. For sim-

plicity, we focus on in-plane interaction with \( x_0 = \nu_c = C_0 = \)

0, so \( R = |X| \) and \( \dot{R} = \nu_c \). In this case, the effective particle

either escapes the potential, \( E_{\text{tot}} = E_{\text{kin}} + E_{\text{pot}} > 0 \), or is

trapped by it, \( E_{\text{tot}} < 0 \), and the escape velocity \( \nu_e \) is given by

the relation

\[
\nu_e^2 P = U(x_0, \nu_e).
\]

Unfortunately, we are not able to express the interaction in-

tegral \( U(x_0, \nu_e) \) in terms of analytical functions and we can-

not use Gaussians, since the Gaussian tail asymptotic is dif-

ferent from that of the exact soliton. It is however trivial to

solve Eq. (8) numerically and in Fig. 2, we have plotted the

outwards escape angle, given by \( \alpha_e = \arctan(\nu_e) \), versus the

phase mismatch. The initial beam width and separation are

kept constant to ensure a weak overlap of the soliton tails at

all phase mismatches.

The simulations were performed with numerically found

exact solitons as initial conditions. They confirm the ac-

curacy of the escape angle predicted by Eq. (8). We found the

minimum of about 3° around \( \beta = -7 \) to be global. Note that

the angles are expected to be small, since the initial overlap,

and hence, the attractive force between the solitons is weak.

As an example of the dynamics, we show in Figs. 1(c) and

1(d) the outcome of the experiments with \( \beta = 5 \) from Fig. 2.

Only the fundamental waves are shown, the evolution of the

SH waves being qualitatively the same.

Now, considering solitons launched towards each other, we

first elaborate on the effective particle picture. If we as-

sume the solitons to be initially far apart this corresponds to

the effective particle experiencing essentially no potential.

Even a small launch angle should then result in a positive

total energy and enable the effective particle to cross the

bottom of the potential and escape towards infinity, corre-

sponding to soliton crossing. This is of course not the correct

physical picture, since our system is not integrable, and thus,

in reality, the collision is not elastic. There is transfer of

energy into internal soliton modes and shedding of energy as

radiation.

In a different picture, we assume that the soliton profiles
do not change before the point of collision. This seems rea-

sonable when comparing the characteristic length of slow

adiabatic change with the relatively short interaction distance

occurring for considerable velocities. In this case, we may

treat the interaction as if the solitons were launched on top of

each other \( (x_0 = 0) \) corresponding to the effective particle

being launched in the bottom of the potential, where it expe-
riences the maximum barrier. Then the relation determining

the escape velocity becomes \( \nu_e^2 P = 2U(0, \nu_e) \) rather than Eq.

(8). The interaction integral no longer depends on the

asymptotic tails but on the entire profiles, and hence, we

amay apply the Gaussian approximation (3). The general tran-

scendental equation for the inwards escape angle is then

given by

\[
\nu_e^2 = \frac{2}{b} \left[ \lambda \frac{b}{2} + 1 \right] \left[ e^{-\frac{1}{3}b\nu_e^2} + 2e^{-\frac{2}{3}b\nu_e^2} \right],
\]

FIG. 3. Inwards escape angle in degrees versus soliton power

calculated analytically with Gaussians (solid) and with exact soli-
tons (dashed) for \( \beta = 0 \) and \( x_0 = 5 \). Numerical experiments where

Gaussians crossed (○) and fused (●).
We remark that this approach is equivalent to finding the critical angle of total internal reflection for a wave guide [14]. However, since beam propagation in quadratic media does not induce changes in the refractive index, the method used in [14] is not applicable to this case.

In Fig. 3, we have summarized the results for exact phase matching, $\beta = 0$, and plotted the predicted inwards escape angle, $\alpha_c = \arctan(\nu_c)$, versus soliton power, both for $\nu_2$ given by Eq. (10) and for $\nu_c$ found with exact solitons. The curves are close and the simple square-root dependency on the power excellently predict the escape angle. In the experiments, we used Gaussians as initial conditions. These were launched with a distance of $2x_0 = 10$ between them, ensuring practically zero initial overlap. In Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), we show examples of experiments with $\beta = 0$ and a power of $P = 48.3$. We note that for the exact soliton initial conditions, we observed even better agreement than with Gaussians. Close to the escape angle, all the power is shed as radiation, and thus Eq. (10) serves as an accurate prediction of soliton annihilation [Fig. 4(b)].

We also investigated the cases of nonzero mismatches, focusing on $\beta = \pm 3$. In these regimes, there is a power threshold for soliton excitation and the collisions are of a much more complex nature than for perfect phase matching, where solitons exist at all powers. For relatively low powers not far above the threshold the collisions mostly resulted in destruction of the solitons [Fig. 4(a)]. The explanation of this phenomenon is that too much power is shed as radiation in the collision, and hence, the resulting beams diffract because they do not carry sufficient power to form solitons. For higher powers, the predicted escape angles were reasonably close to the observations.

In conclusion, we have developed a theoretical description that should hold for systems with all types of local nonlinearities. In particular, we have studied bulk $\chi^{(2)}$ media and determined analytical expressions for the escape angles when the centrifugal barrier vanishes. This happens in the two in-plane cases of outwards- and inwards-launched solitons. The simple expression for the inwards escape angle represents the first analytical prediction of the outcome of a soliton collision. We have verified the analytical expressions numerically using Gaussian approximations and observed excellent agreement.
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