Introduction to focus issue: Mixed mode oscillations: Experiment, computation, and analysis

Brøns, Morten; Kaper, T.J.; Rotstein, H.G.

Published in:
Chaos

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1063/1.2903177](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2903177)

Publication date:
2008

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Brøns, M., Kaper, T. J., & Rotstein, H. G. (2008). Introduction to focus issue: Mixed mode oscillations: Experiment, computation, and analysis. *Chaos*, 18(1), 015101. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2903177>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Introduction to Focus Issue: Mixed Mode Oscillations: Experiment, Computation, and Analysis

Morten Brøns,¹ Tasso J. Kaper,² and Horacio G. Rotstein³

¹*Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark*

²*Department of Mathematics and Statistics and Center for BioDynamics, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA*

³*Department of Mathematical Sciences and Center for Applied Mathematics and Statistics, New Jersey Institute of Technology, University Heights, Newark, New Jersey 07102, USA*

(Received 10 March 2008; accepted 10 March 2008; published online 27 March 2008)

Mixed mode oscillations (MMOs) occur when a dynamical system switches between fast and slow motion and small and large amplitude. MMOs appear in a variety of systems in nature, and may be simple or complex. This focus issue presents a series of articles on theoretical, numerical, and experimental aspects of MMOs. The applications cover physical, chemical, and biological systems. © 2008 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: [10.1063/1.2903177](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2903177)]

An oscillator is a dynamical system which goes through the same—or almost the same—states again and again. Oscillators occur everywhere in nature as rhythms and vibrations. Simple oscillators are based on a single mechanism, but in more complex systems different mechanisms are active during different phases of the oscillation. This can give rise to oscillations which shift between slow and fast motion and small and large amplitude. Such mixed mode oscillations (MMOs) are the subject of a substantial current research effort, and include experimental, computational, and theoretical approaches which shed light on important issues in physics, chemistry, and biology.

Oscillatory behavior occurs everywhere in nature. The harmonic oscillator is a fundamental mathematical structure that any science student meets. Real world oscillators do, however, rarely possess the uniformity of the harmonic oscillator but typically switch between slow and fast motion and small and large amplitudes, and, hence, display MMOs. Here we make a slightly narrower definition of MMOs. We primarily refer to MMOs as complex patterns that arise in dynamical systems, in which oscillations with different amplitudes are interspersed. These amplitude regimes differ roughly by an order of magnitude. In each regime, oscillations are created by a different mechanism and their amplitudes may have small variations. Additional mechanisms govern the transition among regimes. MMOs of this type are ubiquitous in nature, and have first been observed in chemical reactions more than 100 years ago,¹ with the Belousov–Zhabotinsky (BZ) reaction discovered in the 1970s being the most thoroughly studied example.^{2–5} MMOs are also found in surface chemical reactions,^{6–9} electrochemical systems,^{10–12} neural systems,^{13–16} calcium dynamics,^{17,18} electrocardiac dynamics,¹⁹ and laser dynamics²⁰ to name but a few fields.

The mathematical modeling of systems where MMOs occur result in nonlinear ordinary or partial differential equations. In such systems, several mechanisms which can produce MMOs have been identified: Slow passage through a

Hopf bifurcation,^{21–25} breakup of an invariant torus,²⁶ breakup (loss) of stability of a Shilnikov homoclinic orbit,^{27,10} and subcritical Hopf-homoclinic bifurcation.^{28,29}

MMOs may also occur through the canard phenomenon, first discovered in the van der Pol equation.^{30,31} Here a limit cycle born in a Hopf bifurcation experiences the transition from a small, almost harmonic cycle to a large relaxation oscillation in a narrow parameter interval. The intermediate limit cycles existing during the transition are the *canard cycles* which are characterized by following a slow manifold for a substantial time and distance on its unstable part. The canard phenomenon occurs in the parameter range of the system where it is a singular perturbation problem, and it has subsequently been identified in a number of other 2D singularly perturbed oscillators.^{5,12} If such a system is modified either by adding further variables or by noise MMOs may occur in larger regions as the dynamics switches between small amplitude oscillations (SAOs) and large amplitude oscillations (LAOs). Dynamics related to canards is a connecting theme for most of the papers in this Focus Issue.

THIS FOCUS ISSUE

In the last years, considerable progress has been made towards the understanding of MMO patterns. Several papers have been published and results have been presented in various ad hoc meetings. The purpose of this Focus Issue is to bring together researchers working in various disparate aspects related to the mechanisms of generation and control (dynamic, biological, chemical, physical, etc.) of MMOs. We hope this Focus Issue serves as a framework for both the exchange of ideas and cross-fertilization among the various apparently disparate fields that, although their objects of study and scientific terminology are different, they share mathematical models with common underlying dynamic structures.

Bakeš *et al.*³² present an experimental study of oscillations in a homogeneous chemical reaction. The pH varies significantly and allows a detailed examination of the dynamics of the system. As the flow rate is varied, the system

transitions from a periodic regime into a chaotic regime, via a series of bifurcations that involve MMOs in the pH .

Baba and Krisher³³ provide numerical results on pattern formation in a prototypical electrochemical model with global coupling, where the underlying homogeneous system displays MMOs. The distributed system exhibits a complex pattern of clusters with a dynamics related both to the underlying MMOs and the global coupling.

Higuera *et al.*³⁴ investigate computationally a single-mode expansion model for Faraday waves occurring on the surface of a fluid in an elliptical container. The model is a singular perturbation problem, where the small parameter is the ratio of the evolution time of the surface waves and the evolution time of the streaming flow. Periodic and nonperiodic MMOs are observed in the amplitudes of the surface waves, and they arise due to the slow drift through Hopf bifurcations.

Rubin and Wechselberger³⁵ investigate, analytically and numerically, the mechanism of the generation of MMOs in a modified 3D version of the classical Hodgkin–Huxley neuron model. The membrane potential and ionic current gating variables exhibit MMOs, with a varying pattern of oscillations consisting of a number of LAOs followed by a number of SAOs.

Krupa *et al.*³⁶ investigate analytically the mechanism of generation of MMOs in a two-compartmental model of the dopaminergic neuron in the mammalian brain stem. In this model, each compartment is a 2D oscillator describing the dynamics of the membrane potential and calcium concentration, and the two compartments are strongly electrically coupled. It is shown that a slowly varying canard structure is responsible for the observed MMOs.

Desroches *et al.*³⁷ developed a computational method to investigate the mechanism of generation of MMOs in a self-coupled FitzHugh–Nagumo (FHN) model. The FHN model has been used as a simplified two-dimensional model for the description of neural oscillations and of other phenomena in fast–slow systems. In their model, the self-coupling is provided by an extra synaptic variable whose evolution alternates between fast and slow modes. The new method enables one to represent the relevant manifolds with sufficient accuracy to find the different canard solutions and MMOs, and it can be used and extended for a variety of systems with MMOs.

The article by Guckenheimer³⁸ shows how chaotic dynamics and MMOs arise near folded nodes and folded saddle-nodes on slow manifolds. It focuses on the global return maps for trajectories passing near such equilibria on two-dimensional slow manifolds, showing how they may be approximated by 1D maps. These 1D maps have multiple discontinuities and turning points due to the twisting of solutions about the primary canard, and these features generate interesting chaotic dynamics. The general ideas are illustrated on a variant of the classical forced van der Pol equation, and this example is chosen so that the MMOs and chaos exist in large regions of parameter space and so that it is easy

to tune the system parameters to control the number of twists the trajectories make.

Bouse *et al.*³⁹ study general fast–slow systems possessing coexisting stable limit cycles. One branch of limit cycles represents SAOs, and the other represents LAOs. In the bifurcation diagram, where the norms of the solutions are plotted as functions of the bifurcation parameter, these branches are S-shaped, with three regimes: a central bistable regime with monostable regimes on either side. As the control parameter is varied, MMOs exhibiting alternation between SAOs and LAOs can arise. The authors present analytically verifiable criteria that enable one to detect whether or not this S-shaped bifurcation structure exists in a given problem.

A new control method is introduced by Durham and Moehlis in Ref. 40 to drive planar fast–slow systems to the regime of canard solutions near supercritical Hopf bifurcations. Within the context of these classical types of planar problems in which canards were first discovered, such as the FHN and the van der Pol equation, it is challenging to detect numerically the canards due to the narrowness of the parameter intervals in which they exist. The strategy underlying the new control scheme is inspired by the dynamics of MMOs, exploiting the differences between SAOs and LAOs on either side of the canard regime.

Noise can create MMOs, as is shown in the article by Muratov and Vanden-Eijnden.⁴¹ These authors study a fast–slow Morris–Lecar model in the vicinity of a supercritical Hopf bifurcation point. During the transition between excitable kinetics and relaxation oscillations, a variety of dynamically distinct behaviors are observed as the amplitude of Gaussian white noise is decreased in a manner depending on the small parameter measuring the separation of time scales in the deterministic system. These dynamics include MMO states, self-induced stochastic resonance, coherence resonance, bursting relaxation oscillations, and rare clusters of spikes. In addition, it is shown how these results generalize to other fast–slow systems.

Noise can also induce MMOs in systems of coupled oscillators, as is demonstrated numerically for a system of two coupled Morris–Lecar equations and analytically for a system of two coupled λ – ω systems, in the article by Yu *et al.*⁴² The MMOs arise in those regimes in which the deterministic system has coexisting stable oscillatory states, including when both equations exhibit LAOs, and localized states in which one oscillator exhibits LAOs and the other SAOs. The noise causes the system to randomly visit these different oscillatory states, and hence to exhibit MMOs. This analysis is also applied to analyze the impact of noise on the phase dynamics of moderate-size networks of 20 neuronal oscillators in the weak coupling regime.

When electrical activity in the brain is recorded experimentally, it is the result of synchronized oscillations in a network of many neurons. Single neurons typically fire at rates which are much lower than the frequencies found in the electric potential. Brunel and Hakim⁴³ review their numerical and theoretical work on models where a fast collective synchronized oscillation results from a network of neurons firing stochastically at a low rate.

As a simple example of a network of oscillators which share a common resource which controls the individual oscillator, Postnov *et al.*⁴⁴ consider a network of electric oscillators with a common power supply. Depending on the coupling strength, the system exhibits a range of different MMOs.

The article by Erchova and McGonigle,⁴⁵ presents an overview of transmembrane voltage dynamics in some paradigm neuronal systems, especially the entorhinal cortex. This overview should be useful for general neuroscientists to see what role mathematical modeling in general, and dynamical systems techniques in particular, can play in understanding rhythmic behavior. A number of possible neurophysiological interpretations are given for the roles of MMOs, including those with regular and irregular alternations between different states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by various agencies listed in the corresponding papers. H.G.R. was a member of the Department of Mathematics and Center for BioDynamics at Boston University at the beginning of this project. We are grateful to the anonymous referees for their work and their useful suggestions to improve the quality of papers in this Focus Issue. Finally, we are deeply grateful to Janis Bennett (Assistant Editor, Chaos) for her invaluable help in preparing this Focus Issue.

- ¹W. Ostwald, "Periodic phenomena in the disintegration of chrome in acids," *Z. Phys. Chem., Stoechiom. Verwandtschaftsl.* **35**, 33–76 (1900).
- ²R. A. Schmitz, K. R. Graziani, and J. L. Hudson, "Experimental evidence of chaotic states in Belousov-Zhabotinskii reaction," *J. Chem. Phys.* **67**, 3040–3044 (1977).
- ³J. Maselko and H. L. Swinney, "A Farey triangle in the Belousov-Zhabotinskii reaction," *Phys. Lett. A* **119**, 403–406 (1987).
- ⁴K. Showalter, R. M. Noyes, and K. Bar-Eli, "Modified Oregonator model exhibiting complicated limit-cycle behavior in a flow system," *J. Chem. Phys.* **69**, 2514–2524 (1978).
- ⁵M. Brøns and K. Bar-Eli, "Canard explosion and excitation in a model of the Belousov-Zhabotinsky reaction," *J. Phys. Chem.* **95**, 8706–8713 (1991).
- ⁶M. Bertram and A. S. Mikhailov, "Pattern formation in a surface chemical reaction with global delayed feedback," *Phys. Rev. E* **63**, 066102 (2001).
- ⁷M. Bertram, C. Beta, M. Pollmann, A. S. Mikhailov, H. H. Rotermund, and G. Ertl, "Pattern formation on the edge of chaos, Experiments with CO oxidation on a Pt(110) surface under global delayed feedback," *Phys. Rev. E* **67**, 036208 (2003).
- ⁸M. Bertram and A. S. Mikhailov, "Pattern formation on the edge of chaos: Mathematical modeling of co oxidation on a Pt(110) surface under global delayed feedback," *Phys. Rev. E* **67**, 036207 (2003).
- ⁹M. Kim, M. Bertram, M. Pollmann, A. von Oertzen, A. S. Mikhailov, H. H. Rotermund, and G. Ertl, "Controlling chemical turbulence by global delayed feedback: pattern formation in catalytic CO oxidation on Pt(110)," *Science* **292**, 1357–1360 (2001).
- ¹⁰M. T. M. Koper, "Bifurcations of mixed-mode oscillations in a three variable autonomous van der pol-duffing model with a cross-shaped phase diagram," *Physica D* **80**, 72–94 (1995).
- ¹¹F. Plenge, P. Rodin, E. Scholl, and K. Krischer, "Breathing current domains in globally coupled electrochemical systems: A comparison with a semiconductor model," *Phys. Rev. E* **64**, 056229 (2001).
- ¹²J. Moehlis, "Canards in a surface oxidation reaction," *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **12**, 319–345 (2002).
- ¹³J. Drover, J. Rubin, J. Su, and B. Ermentrout, "Analysis of a canard mechanism by which excitatory synaptic coupling can synchronize neurons at low firing frequencies," *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **65**, 69–92 (2004).
- ¹⁴V. A. Makarov, V. I. Nekorkin, and M. G. Velarde, "Spiking behaviour in a noise-driven system combining oscillatory and excitatory properties," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 3431–3434 (2001).
- ¹⁵A. A. Alonso and R. R. Llinás, "Subthreshold Na⁺-dependent theta like rhythmicity in stellate cells of entorhinal cortex layer II," *Nature (London)* **342**, 175–177 (1989).
- ¹⁶G. S. Medvedev and J. E. Cisternas, "Multimodal regimes in a compartmental model of the dopamine neuron," *Physica D* **194**, 333–356 (2004).
- ¹⁷U. Kummer, L. F. Olsen, C. J. Dixon, A. K. Green, E. Bornberg-Bauer, and G. Baier, "Switching from simple to complex oscillations in calcium-signalling," *Biophys. J.* **79**, 1188–1195 (2000).
- ¹⁸H. G. Rotstein and R. Kuske, "Localized and asynchronous patterns via canards in coupled calcium oscillators," *Physica D* **215**, 46–61 (2006).
- ¹⁹T. Krogh-Madsen, L. Glass, E. J. Doedel, and M. R. Guevara, "Apparent discontinuities in the phase-resetting response of cardiac pacemakers," *J. Theor. Biol.* **230**, 499–519 (2004).
- ²⁰F. Marino, G. Catalán, P. Sánchez, S. Balle, and O. Piro, "Thermo-optical 'canard orbits' and excitable limit cycles," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 073901 (2004).
- ²¹A. I. Neihstadt, "Persistence of stability loss for dynamical bifurcations I," *Diff. Eq.* **23**, 1385–1391 (1987).
- ²²A. I. Neihstadt, "Persistence of stability loss for dynamical bifurcations II," *Diff. Eq.* **24**, 171–176 (1988).
- ²³V. Booth, T. W. Carr, and T. Erneux, "Near-threshold bursting is delayed by a slow passage near a limit point," *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **57**, 1406–1420 (1997).
- ²⁴S. M. Baer, T. Erneux, and J. Rinzel, "The slow passage through a Hopf bifurcation: delay, memory effects, and resonance," *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **49**, 55–71 (1989).
- ²⁵L. Holden and T. Erneux, "Slow passage through a Hopf bifurcation: from oscillatory to steady state solutions," *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **53**, 1045–1058 (1993).
- ²⁶R. Larter and C. G. Steinmetz, "Chaos via mixed mode oscillations," *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **337**, 291–298 (1991).
- ²⁷A. Arneodo, F. Argoul, J. Elezgaray, and P. Richetti, "Homoclinic chaos in chemical systems," *Physica D* **62**, 134–168 (1993).
- ²⁸J. Guckenheimer, R. Harris-Warrick, J. Peck, and A. Willms, "Bifurcation, bursting and spike frequency adaptation," *J. Comput. Neurosci.* **4**, 257–277 (1997).
- ²⁹J. Guckenheimer and A. R. Willms, "Asymptotic analysis of subcritical Hopf-homoclinic bifurcation," *Physica D* **139**, 159–216 (2000).
- ³⁰E. Benoit, J. L. Callot, F. Diener, and M. Diener, "Chasse au canard," *Collect. Math.* **32**, 37–119 (1981).
- ³¹W. Eckhaus, "Relaxation oscillations including a standard chase on french ducks," in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983), Vol. 985, pp. 449–497.
- ³²D. Bakeš, L. Schreiberová, I. Schreiber, and M. J. B. Hauser, "Mixed-mode oscillations in a homogeneous pH-oscillatory chemical reaction system," *Chaos* **18**, 015102 (2008).
- ³³N. Baba and K. Krischer, "Mixed-mode oscillations and cluster patterns in an electrochemical relaxation oscillator under galvanostatic control," *Chaos* **18**, 015103 (2008).
- ³⁴M. Higuera, J. Porter, and E. Knobloch, "Faraday waves, streaming flow, and relaxation oscillations in nearly circular containers," *Chaos* **18**, 015104 (2008).
- ³⁵J. Rubin and M. Wechselberger, "The selection of mixed-mode oscillations in a Hodgkin-Huxley model with multiple time scales," *Chaos* **18**, 015105 (2008).
- ³⁶M. Krupa, N. Popović, N. Kopell, and H. G. Rotstein, "Mixed-mode oscillations in a three time-scale model for the dopaminergic neuron," *Chaos* **18**, 015106 (2008).
- ³⁷M. Desroches, B. Krauskopf, and H. M. Osinga, "Mixed-mode oscillations and slow manifolds in the self-coupled FitzHugh-Nagumo system," *Chaos* **18**, 015107 (2008).
- ³⁸J. Guckenheimer, "Return maps of folded nodes and folded saddle-nodes," *Chaos* **18**, 015108 (2008).
- ³⁹E. Bouse, A. Krasnosel'skii, A. Pokrovskii, and D. Rachinskii, "Nonlocal branches of cycles, bistability, and topologically persistent mixed mode oscillations," *Chaos* **18**, 015109 (2008).
- ⁴⁰J. Durham and J. Moehlis, "Feedback control of canards," *Chaos* **18**, 015110 (2008).
- ⁴¹C. B. Muratov and E. Vanden-Eijnden, "Noise-induced mixed-mode oscillations in a relaxation oscillator near the onset of a limit cycle," *Chaos* **18**, 015111 (2008).
- ⁴²N. Yu, R. Kuske, and Y. X. Li, "Stochastic phase dynamics and noise-

induced mixed-mode oscillations in coupled oscillators,” Chaos **18**, 015112 (2008).

⁴³N. Brunel and V. Hakim, “Sparsely synchronized neuronal oscillations,” Chaos **18**, 015113 (2008).

⁴⁴D. E. Postnov, O. V. Sosnovtseva, P. Scherbakov, and E. Mosekilde, “Mul-

timode dynamics in a network with resource mediated coupling,” Chaos **18**, 015114 (2008).

⁴⁵I. Erchova and D. J. McGonigle, “Rhythms of the brain: An examination of mixed mode oscillation approaches to the analysis of neurophysiological data,” Chaos **18**, 015115 (2008).