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Magnetic clusters in ilmenite-hematite solid solutions
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We report the use of high-field 57Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy to resolve the magnetic ordering of ilmenite-hematite [FeTiO3 − (1 − x)Fe2O3] solid solutions with x > 0.5. We find that nanometer-sized hematite clusters exist within an ilmenite-like matrix. Although both phases are antiferromagnetically ordered, the hematite clusters show ferrimagnetic behavior due to superexchange coupling with Fe2+ in ilmenite. For ilmenite-rich samples (x = 0.95), the clusters are isolated and superparamagnetic. For more hematite-rich samples with x = 0.80 and x = 0.70, the clusters interact to form a cluster glass.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The ilmenite–hematite [FeTiO3 − (1 − x)Fe2O3] solid solution series has attracted significant attention because of its complex magnetic and electronic properties. Both ilmenite (FeTiO3) and hematite (α-Fe2O3) are antiferromagnetic and insulating but intermediate compositions can be ferrimagnetic and semiconducting.1–15 The system is of significant interest as a source of natural remnant magnetism on the earth, the moon, and the planet Mars.8–11 It is also considered promising for spintronics applications.15–13 The semiconducting properties can be controlled as p or n type by varying the composition, and it has been demonstrated that for x = 0.6 the carrier spins are polarized at room temperature.13 A detailed understanding of the magnetic and Fe2+/Fe3+ charge ordering in ilmenite–hematite is therefore of great importance.

Ilmenite and hematite both have rhombohedral crystal structures. The complex magnetic properties of their solid solutions derive from incompatibilities between their different modes of cation and magnetic ordering. In ilmenite, Fe3+ A layers alternate with Ti4+ B layers along the rhombohedral [111] axis. Adjacent Fe2+ A layers have opposite magnetization directions parallel to [111], and the Néel temperature of ilmenite is around 55 K.2 In hematite, Fe3+ occupies both A and B layers with antiferromagnetic coupling between adjacent layers. Hence the antiferromagnetic modulation length of hematite is about half that of ilmenite. The Néel temperature of hematite is about 955 K.2 Above 263 K (the Morin transition temperature), or in samples with small grain size (<20 nm), or with (>1%) Ti4+ substitution,16 the sublattice magnetization directions of hematite are perpendicular to [111] with a small canting of the two sublattices. This results in weak ferromagnetism (canted antiferromagnetism) of ~0.4 A m/kg, with the net magnetization nearly perpendicular to the sublattice magnetization directions. In pure bulk hematite below 263 K the sublattice magnetization is along [111]. Owing to immiscibility of ilmenite and hematite below ~1000 K, exsolution of near end-member phases occurs upon slow cooling.8–11 Rapid cooling quenches metastable solid solutions.1–7

Previous measurements have revealed the overall magnetic properties of the ilmenite-hematite system (for phase diagrams see Refs. 6 and 7) but at the atomic scale, the magnetic ordering remains largely unresolved.7,9,15 For 0.5 ≤ x ≤ 1.0 magnetization measurements show that solid solutions are significantly magnetic, up to more than ~30 A m/kg for compositions of x ~0.6–0.7,1–3 due to Fe3+ (or Fe2+) ions substituting for Ti4+ in the B layers, resulting in a ferrimagnetic structure.2,4,17 Neutron diffraction has shown that for 0.5 ≤ x < 1.0 essentially all Ti4+ is in the B layers but the cation distribution within layers is inhomogeneous.5 Magnetization measurements suggest that small magnetic clusters exist, with long-range magnetic correlations beyond a percolation threshold of x ≤ 0.87.7,17 However, the details of Fe2+/Fe3+ charge-ordered cation distribution and their implications for magnetic ordering are yet to be clarified.

To determine the local structure and magnetic properties, we performed high-field 57Fe-Mössbauer studies of ilmenite-rich solid solutions with x = 0.95, x = 0.80, and x = 0.70. High-field Mössbauer studies can elucidate both cation distributions in ferrimagnets18 and superparamagnetic relaxation phenomena.19–21

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Samples with nominal compositions of x = 0.95, 0.80, and 0.70 were prepared by heating mixtures of Fe2O3 and TiO2 in Ag foils, sealed into silica tubes, at 900–920 °C followed by rapid cooling (for details see Refs. 7 and 22). The samples are referred to as Ilm95, Ilm80, and Ilm70. The x-ray diffraction analysis given in Ref. 7 shows that Ilm95 is a pure rhombohedral phase of ilmenite-hematite while Ilm70 is almost purely rhombohedral with a small impurity of an unidentified phase. Unpublished data of Ilm80 indicates a pure ilmenite-hematite sample. In Ref. 7, Ilm95 and Ilm70 compositions have been redetermined by use of x-ray diffraction and corresponding samples are listed as Ilm97.4 and Ilm71.0.

Mössbauer spectra were obtained using a conventional constant acceleration spectrometer with a source of 57Co in rhodium. Isoin shifts are given relative to α-Fe at 295 K. Spectra with and without a magnetic field of 6 T, applied parallel to the gamma-ray propagation direction, were ob-
tained using a liquid-helium cryostat with a superconducting coil.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows Mössbauer spectra of Ilm95, Ilm80, and Ilm70. Zero-field spectra obtained at 6 K [Figs. 1(a), 1(e), and 1(i)] show the presence of a magnetic (six-line) component (red fit) with a magnetic hyperfine field of 50.0 T in Ilm95, 51.0 T in Ilm80, and 51.2 T in Ilm70. In Ilm80 and Ilm70 the isomer shifts of this sextet are 0.53 mm s\(^{-1}\) and the quadrupole shifts are −0.11 mm s\(^{-1}\), which are very close to the values for Fe\(^{3+}\) in pure hematite with the sublattice magnetization perpendicular to [111].\(^{21}\) The hyperfine fields are slightly smaller than that of pure hematite (\(B_{hf} \sim 53\) T).\(^{20}\) This may be explained by the presence of Ti\(^{4+}\) in the hematite or by magnetic relaxation effects.\(^{20,21,23}\) It is reasonable to assume that these components in Figs. 1(a), 1(e), and 1(i) are all due to Fe\(^{3+}\) in hematite-like environments, and hence that their isomer shifts are nearly identical. By comparing the line positions of lines 1 and 6 of the hematite sextets in Figs. 1(a), 1(e), and 1(i) we can estimate the quadrupole shift in Ilm95 as \(\varepsilon = +0.2 \pm 0.02\) mm s\(^{-1}\). This shows that the sublattice magnetization of the hematite-like component in Ilm95 is actually parallel to [111] at low temperature,\(^{21}\) in agreement with neutron studies on a sample with \(x = 0.90.\(^{24}\)

The 6 T spectra [Figs. 1(b), 1(f), and 1(j)] were obtained at 6 K with the magnetic field applied parallel to the gamma-ray direction. Remarkably, the hematite sextets seen in the zero-field spectra have transformed into two sextets. This is surprising because application of large applied fields to antiferromagnetic hematite at temperatures below \(T_M\) results only in some line broadening in the Mössbauer spectra.\(^{25}\) Moreover, high-field Mössbauer studies\(^{20}\) of canted antiferromagnetic hematite nanoparticles have shown that the small net magnetic moment due to canting was aligned with the applied field, such that the sublattice magnetization directions become nearly perpendicular to the field, and the spectra therefore consist of a single sextet with relatively narrow lines and a magnetic splitting close to that of the zero-field spectra.\(^{20}\) Thus, the behavior of the hematite components in the high-field spectra in Figs. 1(b), 1(f), and 1(j) is clearly different from that of antiferromagnetic and canted antiferromagnetic hematite, and it indicates that the hematite in the three samples responds ferrimagnetically to an applied field. In Ilm95 the magnetic hyperfine fields of these sextets are 56.3 and 44.7 T, in Ilm80 they are 56.6 and 44.3 T, and in Ilm70, 56.8 and 44.6 T. Within uncertainty (\(\sim \pm 0.5\) T) these magnetic hyperfine fields correspond to the values in the zero-field spectra \(\pm 6\) T. Also, lines 2 and 5 of the zero-field spectra are much less intense in the 6 T spectra [Figs. 1(b), 1(f), and 1(j)]. This shows that the sublattice magnetizations of the hematite components are either nearly parallel or antiparallel to the applied field.

The hematite components with larger hyperfine fields in the 6 T spectra correspond to Fe\(^{3+}\) in the minority sublattices, i.e., the B layers in the model of Ishikawa \textit{et al.}\(^{26}\) because the magnetic hyperfine field of Fe\(^{3+}\) is antiparallel to the magnetic moment. If we assume that all Ti\(^{4+}\) is in the B layers, and that Fe\(^{3+}\) is equally distributed between A and B layers, we can write the chemical composition as (Fe\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{3+}\))\(_{A\text{-site}}\)(Ti\(^{4+}\), Fe\(^{3+}\))\(_{1-x/B\text{-site}}\)O\(_3\). Hence, the number of B

FIG. 1. (Color online) Mössbauer spectra of Ilm95, Ilm80, and Ilm70 at different temperatures and in zero (0 T) or 6 T applied field as indicated. Colored lines represent fits to data.
sites available for iron in Ilm95 corresponds to 2.5% of the total number of cations, i.e., 4.8% of the iron ions. The relative area of the Fe$^{3+}$ component with larger hyperfine field [Fig. 1(b)] is 4.5% ± 1.0%. Thus, within uncertainty, these data accord with a model in which all Ti$^{4+}$ is in B sites and all Fe in B sites is Fe$^{3+}$. The Fe$^{2+}$ component in the majority sublattice (A layers) has a relative area of 4.0% ± 1.0%, i.e., close to the expected 4.8%. A similar analysis of the 6 T spectrum of Ilm80 showed that $14^{\pm 3}$% and $12^{\pm 5}$% of the iron atoms are present as Fe$^{3+}$ in the B and A sites, respectively. The expected values are 16.6%. The Mössbauer spectrum of Ilm70 [Fig. 1(j)] shows that around 20% of the iron is Fe$^{2+}$ in B sites and around 20% is Fe$^{3+}$ in A sites; theoretical values are 23%. The uncertainties given above are estimated from variations in the parameters of fits with different constraints. Due to line overlaps, the estimates are likely to be on the low side of the actual values. In all three cases the Fe$^{3+}$ seems to be equally distributed between A and B layers. The above analyses of relative areas also indicate that, within uncertainty, measured and nominal compositions are equal.

The sextet component with relatively sharp lines at low velocities in the 6 K spectrum of Ilm95 [Fig. 1(a), blue-line fit] is typical of ilmenite. The zero-field 6 K spectra also contain some very broad components and in Ilm80 and Ilm70 they can be fitted with sextets [shown by turquoise lines in Figs. 1(e) and 1(i)] with broad lines and isomer shifts around 0.7–1.1 mm s$^{-1}$, and hyperfine fields up to about 25–42 T. Such values suggest that these components are (mainly) due to Fe$^{2+}$ but in a distribution of local environments that differ from that of pure ilmenite, in which the magnetic hyperfine field is only ∼5 T. The magnetic hyperfine field of Fe$^{2+}$ is very sensitive to the atomic environment because of the influence of the orbital magnetic moment on the hyperfine field. In pure ilmenite, the orbital, dipolar, and the Fermi contributions to the hyperfine field nearly cancel, and this explains the small value of the total hyperfine field. In the spectra of Ilm80 [Fig. 1(e)] and Ilm70 [Fig. 1(i)], these broad components with hyperfine fields up to 25–40 K account for the majority of the Fe$^{2+}$ in the samples. When a magnetic field of 6 T is applied at 6 K, the Fe$^{2+}$ components become better resolved, most distinctly seen for Ilm70. Due to the broad lines it is difficult to determine the exact line positions but assuming the positions of lines 1 and 6 to be as marked by arrows in Figs. 1(i) and 1(j), we find that the hyperfine fields decrease by approximately 6 T with applied field. This supports the interpretation that the Fe$^{2+}$ ions in Ilm80 and Ilm70 are in the A layers. Additionally, it shows that the Fe$^{2+}$ moments are aligned nearly parallel to the applied field.

The zero-field spectrum of Ilm95 at 60 K [Fig. 1(c)] only exhibits doublets, indicating the presence of paramagnetic ions or superparamagnetic clusters. However, in the 6 T spectrum at 60 K [Fig. 1(d)] there is a very broad component, indicating a distribution of hyperfine fields with values up to ∼50 T. For paramagnetic iron ions with magnetic moments on the order of a few Bohr magneton the induced magnetization at 60 K, in an applied field of 6 T, is small and cannot explain the appearance of the very broad component. However, superparamagnetic clusters with magnetic moments on the order of 100μB will be nearly magnetically saturated, and will therefore contribute magnetically split components with large hyperfine fields. Thus, the 60 K spectrum shows unambiguously that the sample contains superparamagnetic clusters, in agreement with magnetization measurements. Theoretical modeling lends support to cluster formation. The maximum hyperfine fields (∼50 T), estimated from the broad component in the 6 T spectrum, are larger than 90% of the saturation hyperfine field of hematite (∼53 T). Assuming that the magnetization of a superparamagnetic cluster in an applied magnetic field is approximately proportional to the Langevin function, we find that the largest clusters have magnetic moments of at least 150μB. From magnetization measurements, average cluster moments of 44μB and 228μB have been estimated for $x$ = 0.92 and $x$ = 0.88, respectively.

The zero-field spectrum of Ilm80 at 180 K [Fig. 1(g)] and the spectrum of Ilm70 at 295 K [Fig. 1(i)] are dominated by sextet components with very broad lines. These broad components have similarities to Mössbauer relaxation spectra and might be ascribed to superparamagnetic grains with relaxation times on the order of $10^{-9}$–$10^{-8}$ s. However, because of the inevitable particle size distribution in samples of noninteracting hematite nanoparticle, there is usually a wide distribution of superparamagnetic relaxation times and the spectra therefore consist of a superposition of sextets and doublets with narrow lines. In samples of hematite nanoparticles in close proximity, the exchange interactions between surface atoms may result in freezing of the magnetic moments of the particles in a superferromagnetic or super-spin glass. At finite temperatures the sublattice magnetization then fluctuates around a direction that is mainly defined by an interaction field. Variations in the strengths of interparticle interactions results in spectra with a distribution of hyperfine fields, and this gives rise to spectra with sextet components with broad asymmetric lines like those in Figs. 1(g), 1(k), and 1(l). Similar observations have been made in Mössbauer studies of interacting nanoparticles of ferrihydrite (Ref. 30) and 57Fe-doped NiO (Refs. 31 and 32).

Upon application of a 6 T magnetic field to Ilm80 at 180 K, the outer lines of the sextet become better resolved [see Fig. 1(b)], and the magnetic splitting increases from 40 to 51 T, i.e., much more than what one expects from the applied field alone. This shows that the magnetic fluctuations are suppressed. Thus the data for Ilm70 and Ilm80 favor an interpretation based on interacting superparamagnetic clusters, i.e., a cluster glass. The spectra of Ilm80 and Ilm70 at 180 and 295 K [Figs. 1(g), 1(h), 1(k), and 1(l)] are asymmetric, showing more absorption in the range 3–6 mm s$^{-1}$ than in the corresponding negative velocity range. This is due to contributions to the spectra from Fe$^{2+}$ that has a larger isomer shift than Fe$^{3+}$. The observation that the Fe$^{2+}$ in the ilmenite-like matrix shows a magnetically split spectrum at temperatures well above the Néel temperature of bulk ilmenite indicates that some of the Fe$^{2+}$ in ilmenite is not paramagnetic. It is likely that exchange interactions with Fe$^{3+}$ in the hematite clusters have increased the ordering temperature of thin layers of ilmenite surrounding the hematite. A similar effect has been seen in multilayer structures of Fe$^{2+}$/CoO in which the Néel temperature of CoO is enhanced due to the proximity of magnetic Fe$_2$O$_4$ layers (Ref. 33).
The results presented above support the cation distribution and magnetic properties model schematically illustrated in Fig. 2. In all samples, the Fe\(^{3+}\) ions are equally distributed on A and B layers and they couple antiferromagnetically to form \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) clusters in an ilmenite-like matrix. In Ilm95 [Fig. 2(a)] the clusters have preferred sublattice magnetization along [111]. It is likely that the magnetic anisotropy of the ilmenite matrix stabilizes the magnetization direction of the clusters below its Néel temperature. Given the exchange constants in Ref. 34, an Fe\(^{3+}\) ion that has an Fe\(^{2+}\) ion as a nearest neighbor in the adjacent B layer is likely to couple magnetically to that Fe\(^{3+}\) cluster. Exchange energy equals about \(-30\) K per Fe\(^{2+}\)-Fe\(^{3+}\) atom pair. For comparison, the antiferromagnetic coupling between Fe\(^{3+}\) pairs in hematite is about \(-50\) K, and the ferromagnetic in-layer coupling between Fe\(^{2+}\) pairs in ilmenite is \(-10\) K. As the surrounding Fe\(^{2+}\) ions are in the A layers, they line up with parallel moments, and this leads to a net magnetization for each cluster [see Fig. 2(a)]. The effect arises because the antiferromagnetic modulation length of ilmenite is twice that of hematite and because of the strong antiferromagnetic coupling between iron ions in adjacent A and B layers. We can estimate the cluster sizes. We find that for a cluster moment of \(150\mu B\), corresponding to \(~38\) nearest-neighbor Fe\(^{2+}\) ions surrounding an \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) cluster, that cluster will, depending on its shape, have a size (diameter) of \(~1\)–\(~2\) nm.

In Ilm80 and Ilm70, the \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) clusters interact and they have sublattice magnetization directions perpendicular to [111]. Magnetic order may be stabilized above 200 K by larger cluster sizes, exchange interactions between clusters (mediated by Fe\(^{3+}\) ions), and to lesser extent dipole interactions between clusters. In Fig. 2(b), the magnetic cluster structure for Ilm80 is illustrated schematically. Most Fe\(^{2+}\) ions in the sample are coupled to the clusters. The moments of Fe\(^{3+}\) ions that are nearest to a \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) cluster are aligned in parallel due to the exchange coupling with Fe\(^{3+}\) in the cluster. The magnetization of Fe\(^{2+}\) ions further away from the \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) clusters may be in a relaxed but frustrated state indicated in Fig. 2 by magnetic moments pointing at an oblique angle to [111]. If all Fe\(^{2+}\) spins are approximately parallel in an applied field, this leads to saturation moments of \(2.67\mu B\) and \(2.15\mu B\) per Fe ion in Ilm80 and Ilm70, respectively. This agrees with previous magnetic measurements: \(~2.8\mu B\) and \(~2.2\mu B\) per Fe for similar compositions.\(^3\)

**Fig. 2.** (Color online) Schematic representation of cluster formation in Ilm95 and Ilm80. (a) Fe\(^{3+}\) ions in Ilm95 form isolated antiferromagnetic clusters (red - dark gray in print - arrows represent magnetic spins of Fe\(^{3+}\) ions) and surrounding Fe\(^{2+}\) (blue - light gray in print - arrows) couple to the Fe\(^{3+}\) cluster leading to a net “ferromagnetic” moment. Mainly Fe\(^{3+}\) ions at the rim of a Fe\(^{3+}\) cluster contribute to the net moment. The large blue arrow to the right of the panel shows resulting magnetization. (b) Fe\(^{3+}\) ions in Ilm80 form antiferromagnetic clusters. Most surrounding Fe\(^{2+}\) ions couple magnetically to Fe\(^{3+}\) clusters. As spin frustration may exist, especially further away from the clusters, the ferromagnetic alignments of Fe\(^{3+}\) moments may not be complete in zero field. The large blue arrow below the panel indicates the net magnetization.
IV. CONCLUSIONS

Our work provides evidence for the presence of hematite clusters with net magnetic moments due to surrounding Fe$^{2+}$ in an ilmenite-like matrix. This is probably the clearest observation to date that antiferromagnetic clusters can behave perfectly ferrimagnetically because of exchange coupling to a normally antiferromagnetic matrix. Simultaneously, it shows how superparamagnetism and cluster-glass behavior can be established in ilmenite-hematite solid solutions. In comparison with the preliminary cluster description originally suggested by Ishikawa$^{17}$ based on magnetic measurements of quenched hematite-ilmenite solid solutions, and supported by subsequent work,$^{6,7,24}$ our results yield an atomic-scale explanation of the macroscopic magnetic properties observed in this system.
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