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Hydrodynamic loads

Simplest: Linear wave kinematics and Morison equation

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \rho C_D D |U| U + \rho C_M A \frac{dU}{dt}$$

Better: Fully nonlinear wave kinematics and Morison equation

Advanced: CFD and coupled CFD
Wave loads on offshore wind turbines
ForskEL. DTU Wind, DHI, DTU MEK. 2010-2013.

**Task A:**
Boundary conditions for phase resolving wave models

**Task B:**
CFD computation of monopile loads

**Task C:**
Aero-elastic response to fully nonlinear waves

**Task D:**
Physical validation test
Forces from a fully nonlinear potential flow solver

Allan Engsig-Karup, Harry Bingham and Ole Lindberg

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \eta &= -\nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi} + \tilde{\omega}(1 + \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \eta), \\
\partial_t \tilde{\phi} &= -g \eta - \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla \tilde{\phi} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\omega}^2 (1 + \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \eta) \right)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\nabla^2 \phi + \partial_{zz} \phi = 0
\]

\[
\partial_z \phi + \nabla h \cdot \nabla \phi = 0
\]
Response in bottom of tower

Fully nonlinear waves versus linear waves

\[ H_s = 9.4 \text{ m}, \quad T_p = 14.2 \text{ s}, \quad W = 5 \text{ m/s} \]
The OC4 jacket

Jacket and reference turbine modelled in Hawc2. Fully nonlinear wave loads.

Storm sea state. Turbine standstill.

Severe ringing/impulsive excitation.

Torben Juul Larsen
Taesong Kim
Larsen et al Europ. Offsh. Wind 2011
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The OpenFOAM® CFD solver

Open source CFD toolbox
Vast attention during last 3 years

This study: interFoam solver
  3D incompressible Navier-Stokes
  two phases (water and air)
  VOF treatment of free surface

Waves2foam wave generation toolbox has been developed and validated
(Niels Gjøl Jacobsen
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What is ringing?

Excitation of natural frequency by higher-harmonic forcing from nonlinear waves

Fig. 8. Resonant build-up of vibrations in model tests [3, Fig. 3.3]. Bending moment of the Draugen GBS (lower). $(K_{sw}, K_{sw}) = (0.22, 0.13)$. Wave elevation (upper). Reproduced with kind permission by Shell.
Detailed calculation of forces from steep regular waves

Bo Terp Paulsen
Third-harmonic force compared to FNV theory

Terp Paulsen et al
IWWFB 2012
Coupling of OpenFOAM and OceanWave3D

Compute outer flow field with potential flow wave model
Compute inner field with wave-structure interaction with CFD-VOF model

Terp Paulsen et al (2012)
Coupling of OpenFOAM and OceanWave3D

- Irregular waves: JONSWAP\(T_p = 12s, H_s = 8m\)
- Large domain $\Rightarrow$ Impossible to resolve with CFD alone!
- Rather trivial test case as it serves as validation

Terp Paulsen et al (2012)
Coupling of OpenFOAM and OceanWave3D

- 3 hours times series of 2D irregular waves computed in hours with OceanWave3D
- Selected event analysed with OpenFOAM (~1 day)

Terp Paulsen et al (2012)
Coupling of OpenFOAM and OceanWave3D

- Small “warmup” period for the CFD-computations: No initialization of pressure and pseudo air velocities
- Morison forces and CFD-computations agrees for small wave heights
- Discrepancies after passage of main event is attributed to diffraction effects

Terp Paulsen et al (2012)
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New tests at DHI with a rigid and a flexible structure
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Wave loads Task D
Physical validation test

PVC pipe
Scale 1:80
Two masses → right
natural frequencies (1,2)
Experimental setup

top-view of wave gauges

side-view
Results and brief analysis for flexible pile

Irregular JONSWAP waves, unidirectional

- $h = 20\text{m}$
- $T_p = 14\text{s}$
- $H_s = 11\text{m}$

Free surface elevation 0.15m from pile

Measured inline force on pile

Acceleration of top point

Displacement of top point
Results and brief analysis
'continuous' forcing of 1st natural mode from wave-nonlinearity

Impulsive load from breaking/near breaking wave
Which waves give the largest accelerations?

Acceleration in top accelerometer [m/s²]

Bredmose et al (OMAE 2013)
Which waves give the largest accelerations?

Bredmose et al (OMAE 2013)
Numerical reproduction of experiments

Linear wave detection

Nonlinear wave transformation

\[ \partial_t \eta = -\nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \phi + \ddot{w}(1 + \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \eta), \]

\[ \partial_t \ddot{\phi} = -g \eta - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \ddot{\phi} \cdot \nabla \ddot{\phi} - \ddot{w}^2 (1 + \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \eta)) \]

\[ \nabla^2 \phi + \partial_{zz} \phi = 0 \]

\[ \partial_z \phi + \nabla h \cdot \nabla \phi = 0. \]
Wave transformation
Response, $h=40.8$ m
Response, $h=20.8$ m
Wave loads on offshore wind turbines
ForskEL. DTU Wind, DHI, DTU MEK. 2010-2013.

**Task D:**
Physical validation test
Experiments with flexible monopile
Impulsive response
Numerical reproduction

**Task C:**
Aero-elastic response to fully nonlinear waves
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Boundary conditions for phase resolving wave models
Higher-harmonic loads (ringing loads)
Coupling of pot flow model and CFD
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Numerical reproduction
Experiments with flexible monopile
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Structural excitation from nonlinear waves
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