Effects of Feed Composition and Feed Impurities in the Catalytic Conversion of Syngas to Higher Alcohols over Alkali-Promoted Cobalt–Molybdenum Sulfide

Christensen, Jakob Munkholt; Jensen, Peter Arendt; Jensen, Anker Degn

Published in:
Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1021/ie200235e](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie200235e)

Publication date:
2011

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Christensen, J. M., Jensen, P. A., & Jensen, A. D. (2011). Effects of Feed Composition and Feed Impurities in the Catalytic Conversion of Syngas to Higher Alcohols over Alkali-Promoted Cobalt–Molybdenum Sulfide. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 50(13), 7949-7963. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie200235e>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Effects of Feed Composition and Feed Impurities in the Catalytic Conversion of Syngas to Higher Alcohols over Alkali-Promoted Cobalt–Molybdenum Sulfide

Jakob M. Christensen, Peter A. Jensen, and Anker D. Jensen*

Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Søtofts Plads, Building 229, 2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

ABSTRACT: Alkali-promoted cobalt–molybdenum sulfide is a potential catalyst for the conversion of syngas into higher alcohols. This work is an investigation of how the feed composition influences the behavior of the sulfide catalyst. In a sulfur-free syngas the production of higher alcohols is observed to be optimal with an equimolar mixture of CO and H₂ in the feed, while the methanol production benefits from an increasing hydrogen content in the feed. The influence of NH₃ and H₂O in the syngas feed has also been investigated. Ammonia (741 ppmv) in the feed is observed to cause a general and largely reversible deactivation of the catalyst. Operation with elevated water levels in the syngas feed (4.7–13.4 mol %) is observed to cause a deactivation of the catalyst, and it is especially the chain growth that is affected.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gasification of biomass, coal, and carbon containing waste fractions to form syngas followed by a catalytic conversion of the syngas into alcohols is a possible route to synthetic fuels or fuel additives. Due to their high octane numbers alcohols are interesting as gasoline additives/replacements.^{1–3} A mixture of methanol or ethanol with higher alcohols has a better gasoline miscibility than pure methanol or ethanol, because the higher alcohols act as cosolvents and stabilize the mixture.^{2,4,5} Compared to pure methanol, a mixture containing methanol and higher alcohols can therefore more easily be introduced into the existing fuel infrastructure. Such a mixture of methanol and higher alcohols can be formed directly from syngas over various catalytic systems. Among these systems are alkali/Cu,^{1,6–8} Co/Cu,^{9–11} Rh,^{12,13} alkali/ZnO/Cr₂O₃,^{14–17} and alkali/MoS₂.^{18–20} Important reactions that occur over the alcohol synthesis catalysts are methanol synthesis (R1), higher alcohol synthesis (R2), alkane synthesis (R3), and the water gas shift reaction (R4):

The catalytic system, which is studied in this work, is potassium promoted cobalt–molybdenum sulfide on a carbon support. For syngas conversion it has previously been determined that addition of alkali salts to MoS₂ shifts the selectivity from hydrocarbons to alcohols, and that addition of Co to alkali/MoS₂ catalysts shifts the product distribution toward the desirable higher alcohols.¹⁹ Alkali promoted cobalt–molybdenum sulfide has previously been shown to yield a good alcohol selectivity—even at a fairly high CO conversion.^{19,21,22} An argument that has also often been

made in favor of the sulfide catalyst is that the sulfide in comparison to other potential catalysts is more tolerant toward sulfur species in the syngas.^{19,23–26} It has been suggested that this sulfur tolerance may enable the user to employ a less thorough and therefore less costly removal of sulfur from the syngas.²⁷ While much attention has been paid to the sulfur resistance of the sulfide catalyst, less work has been carried out to determine how the syngas composition will influence the catalytic activity and how feed impurities other than sulfur species will affect the properties of the sulfide catalyst. Such investigations could help to clarify to what extent cleaning and conditioning of the syngas is needed for the sulfide catalyst.

The topic of this work is how the composition of the syngas feed influences the catalytic properties. It is investigated what bearing the water content in the syngas has on the catalytic properties, how an NH₃ impurity in the syngas affects the activity of the sulfide, and how the H₂/CO ratio in a sulfur-free syngas influences the catalytic properties.

Table 1 summarizes several reported syngas compositions for various gasification processes. Table 1 illustrates that the gasifier type and the feedstock have a significant impact upon the syngas composition. It is therefore only possible to provide a general approximation of the typical H₂/CO ratio in the raw syngas. Biomass gasification generally results in an H₂/CO ratio on the order of 1,^{30,31} while coal gasification often results in a lower H₂/CO ratio.³² As seen for the Lurgi moving bed gasifier in Table 1, there are, however, exceptions to these guidelines. Given the wide variation in the H₂/CO ratios for the gasification processes illustrated in Table 1, it could be important to establish how the H₂/CO ratio influences the activity of the catalyst.

Received: February 3, 2011

Accepted: May 26, 2011

Revised: April 21, 2011

Published: May 26, 2011

Table 1. Reported Wet Syngas Compositions for Various Gasification Processes

gasifier design type	Lurgi	Texaco	Shell	Shell ^d	IGT
	moving bed	entrained flow	entrained flow	entrained flow	fluidized bed
fuel	coal	coal	coal	biomass	biomass
oxidant	O ₂	O ₂	O ₂	O ₂	O ₂
gasification agent	H ₂ O	H ₂ O	H ₂ O	H ₂ O	H ₂ O
exit temperature [°C]	540 ^b	1315 ^b	1427	1085	982
pressure [bar]				24.3	34
H ₂ O [vol %]	50	17	2.01	18.4	31.8
H ₂ [vol %]	21	29.6	26.8	30.7	20.8
CO [vol %]	8	41	63.1	39.0	15.0
CO ₂ [vol %]	15	10	1.5	11.8	23.9
CH ₄ [vol %]	4.2	0.3	0.0	0.1	8.2
C ₂₊ ^c [vol %]	0.5	0	0	0	0.3
H ₂ S + COS [vol %]	0.7	1.1	1.4		
NH ₃ [vol %]	0.4	0.2			
N ₂ [vol %]	0.2	0.8	4.08		0.4
H ₂ /CO [mol/mol]	2.78	0.72	0.49	0.79	1.39
reference	28	28	29	30	31

^a This syngas composition is calculated through an assumption of chemical equilibrium. ^b These temperatures are reported as operating temperatures.

^c The fraction of C₂₊ species in the syngas.

The data in Table 1 illustrate that coal gasification can yield a syngas with significant levels of sulfur-containing compounds. For biomass gasification the sulfur content in the syngas is typically markedly lower than that for coal gasification. For gasification of wood and straw the total content of sulfur species of the synthesis gas is typically below 200 ppmv, although some crops can yield slightly higher sulfur contents.^{33–35} Hydrogen sulfide is the dominant sulfur species in the syngas. For high temperature gasification processes all the sulfur is essentially present as H₂S or COS, and here 93–96% of the sulfur is under typical conditions present as H₂S.³³ For a raw syngas derived from coal gasification in a Lurgi moving bed gasifier, Supp³⁶ also reports that 95 mol % of the sulfur is present in the form of H₂S. Hydrogen sulfide is therefore also used as the representative sulfur compound in the present investigations. The sulfide catalyst is able to operate both with and without sulfur in the syngas.^{19,37,38} While the presence of a sulfur source like H₂S in the syngas will not necessarily quell the activity of the catalyst, the presence of H₂S can nevertheless have a pronounced impact upon the catalytic properties. The presence of H₂S in the syngas shifts the selectivity from alcohols to hydrocarbons by promoting the hydrocarbon production rate,³⁷ and the presence of H₂S in the syngas can lead to the incorporation of significant amounts of sulfur species into the alcohol product.³⁷ The sulfur species incorporated into the alcohol product are primarily the thiols corresponding to the formed alcohols.³⁷ For supported K₂CO₃/Co/MoS₂/C catalysts operated in a sulfur-free or sulfur-poor syngas, it has been observed that there is a gradual decline in the fraction of higher alcohols in the alcohol product.^{37,38} This decline in the fraction of higher alcohols continues for at least the first 30–40 h that the catalyst is on stream, although the rate of the decline gradually slows down. At higher H₂S levels in the syngas feed the product distribution is stabilized, and the production rates of the higher alcohols are generally improved.³⁷ We have previously, with a catalyst and conditions similar to those presently employed, observed that the H₂S level necessary to stabilize the product distribution was found in the 57–103 ppmv

range.³⁷ For unsupported cobalt–molybdenum sulfide catalysts it has also been observed that the alcohol distribution changes in a sulfur-free syngas, since the methanol production gradually increases over the first 50–100 h and then stabilizes.³⁹ Because of the negative effects of H₂S in the syngas, i.e., the incorporation of sulfur species into the alcohol product, the focus in the current investigations is the use of a sulfur-free syngas. In some cases the studies are, however, augmented with investigations carried out in the presence of H₂S. In these cases the H₂S content employed is higher than the 103 ppmv that previously has been observed to stabilize the product distribution.

The ammonia content in the raw syngas from the gasifier will depend upon the feedstock, the process conditions, and the type of gasifier employed in the gasification process. It is therefore only possible to provide some general levels for the nitrogen compounds in the syngas. Coal gasification typically results in a syngas with 10³–10⁴ ppmv NH₃ and a few hundred parts per million by volume HCN.^{28,40} Wood gasification generally results in lower nitrogen levels in the syngas—often 100–1000 ppmv NH₃ and 10–100 ppmv HCN.^{40,41} Some nitrogen-rich crops (e.g., alfalfa) can, however, yield higher ammonia contents in the syngas—even values in the 1–2 vol % range.^{34,35} It would be interesting to determine how these levels of NH₃ could influence the activity of the sulfide catalyst.

Table 1 also illustrates that the raw syngas from the gasifier contains considerable amounts of water and CO₂. Water and CO₂ are also formed as byproducts in the synthesis reactions. The sulfided alcohol synthesis catalyst has a significant activity in the water gas shift reaction,²⁵ and water and CO₂ are therefore largely parallel products in the alcohol synthesis reactor. In this work the effect that the water content in the syngas feed has upon the catalytic properties is evaluated, and the observed effect of water is compared to previous investigations of the role of CO₂ in syngas.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

This work has been performed using a K₂CO₃/Co/MoS₂/C catalyst (13.60 wt % Mo, 2.71 wt % Co, 9.0 wt % K; BET area

Figure 1. Simplified diagram illustrating the experimental setup. BFM, bubble flow meter; GC, gas chromatograph; L-MFC, mass flow controller for liquids; MF(P)C, mass flow (pressure) controller; OWV, one-way valve; P, manometer. Heat tracing tubing is indicated by sinusoidal curves. In a few of the presented experiments the gas line used to supply N_2 to the reactor was instead used to supply 6115 ppmv NH_3 in H_2 to the reactor.

526 m^2/g ; $d_{p,avg} = 1.4$ mm) with Daihope carbon as the support. The catalyst has been provided by Haldor Topsøe A/S. Previous results obtained with this catalyst have been reported elsewhere.^{37,42} Prior to use the catalyst has been sulfided in a flow of 2 mol % H_2S in H_2 . In the sulfidation the reactor was purged with nitrogen, and the temperature was increased to 100 °C. The catalyst was then at atmospheric pressure exposed to an 80 NmL/min (with NmL relating to 273.15 K and 1 atm) flow of 2 mol % H_2S in H_2 , and the temperature was increased at a rate of 3 °C/min to the reaction temperature of 325 °C. The sulfidation was maintained at the final temperature for approximately 15 h. At that point no further sulfur uptake was observed. No characterization studies were performed on fresh or spent catalyst samples.

CO hydrogenation experiments were conducted in a high pressure flow reactor setup. Figure 1 shows a simplified diagram of the experimental setup. Detailed descriptions of the experimental setup and the experimental procedure have been provided elsewhere.^{37,42,43} A bed of catalyst particles (7–9 g, ~ 12.6 cm^3) was placed in a quartz tube contained inside a stainless steel pressure shell. As the inside of the quartz tube is pressurized, nitrogen is dosed to the surrounding pressure shell to ensure an equal pressure on both sides of the quartz tube wall. This arrangement should enable the investigation of high pressure reactions with little influence from catalytic activity of the reactor wall. The reactor temperature is monitored by a K-type thermo element, which is located in a stainless steel thermo pocket inside the pressure shell. The thermo pocket for part of its extent touches the outside of the quartz tube. The reported temperature for a given experiment is the average of the temperature along the

catalyst bed, and the temperature along the bed is generally within 1 °C of the average value. The pressure shell is located horizontally inside an Entech tube oven, which provides the relevant reaction temperature. Gases ($\geq 99.999\%$ H_2 , $\geq 99.97\%$ CO , $\geq 99.97\%$ N_2 , 2 mol % H_2S in H_2 , and 6115 ppmv NH_3 in H_2) are supplied from pressurized cylinders via Brooks 5850S mass flow controllers.

Normally liquid components are supplied to the reactor via a special liquid feeding system. An HPLC pump (Knauer K-120, 0–10 mL/min), which draws the liquid from a feed reservoir, pressurizes the liquid. The pressure in the feeding section is controlled by a back-pressure valve (Tescom 26-1764-24-154) that directs part of the liquid flow back into the feed reservoir. The liquid flow to the reactor is controlled by a Brooks Flomega 5881 mass flow controller (0–15 g of H_2O/h). The controlled liquid flow is evaporated in a custom-made evaporator. In the evaporator the liquid/vapor flows through a 4.45 m steel tube (1/8 in.), which is coiled around a hollow copper rod (outer diameter 20 mm). The heating for the evaporation is supplied by a heating cartridge (500 W) that fits exactly into the hollow copper rod. After the evaporator the vaporized component is mixed with the incoming gaseous feed. The tubing between the evaporator and the reactor entrance is wrapped in heat tracing tapes to avoid condensation of liquid in the tubes. The temperatures of the evaporator and of the heat tracing cables are regulated by Eurotherm controllers and monitored by type-K thermo elements. The water co-fed with syngas is ultrapure, distilled water (resistivity 18.2 $M\Omega \cdot cm$) that has been prepared using an Elgastat Maxima Analytical water purification system.

Product characterization downstream from the reactor has been conducted using a GC-FID/TCD detection system. The oxygenates characterized in these experiments are methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol, and 1-butanol as well as isobutyl alcohol, dimethyl ether, and ethyl acetate. Characterized hydrocarbons are methane, ethane, ethene, and propane. For gaseous compounds the GC peak areas have been related to concentrations by calibration against certified gas mixtures ($\pm 2\%$ from AGA A/S). For normally liquid compounds the calibrations have been made against gas mixtures prepared by injection of a known quantity of the liquid component into a known volume of nitrogen in a Tedlar bag and allowing the liquid to evaporate. The product characterization relied on online GC analyses. No experiments were performed with condensation and analysis of the liquid alcohol product. We have, as mentioned in the Introduction, previously observed³⁷ that the use of a sulfide catalyst leads to incorporation of sulfur species (thiols, dimethyl sulfide, and in some cases even thiophenes) into the condensed alcohol product. In the present experiments with addition of NH_3 to the syngas there is the added possibility that nitrogen compounds (for example, amines) are incorporated into the alcohol product, but this has not been investigated in the present work.

The downstream tubing is heated by means of heat tracing tapes to avoid condensation of the reaction products. The gas flow rate is measured downstream from the reactor with a soap film, bubble flow meter operating at ambient temperature and pressure.

The measured volumetric flow rate and the measured concentration of component i can be used to determine the molar flow rate of component i , which is denoted F_i . The reported CO conversion (X_{CO}) is calculated from the molar flow rates of CO into and out of the reactor:

$$X_{\text{CO}} = \frac{F_{\text{CO}}^{\text{in}} - F_{\text{CO}}^{\text{out}}}{F_{\text{CO}}^{\text{in}}} \quad (1)$$

In these experiments the mass balances for C, O, and H are fulfilled to within 5 mol % and typically better than this.

In certain cases calculations have been made on the methanol synthesis equilibrium at the end of the reactor. To account for nonideality in the gas phase at the high reaction pressure, the fugacity coefficients of the involved components have been determined using the Soave–Redlich–Kwong (SRK) equation of state.^{44,45} The evaluation of the fugacity coefficients is based upon a random mixing approximation with all binary interaction parameters assumed to be 0.⁴⁶ In this calculation the H_2O content in the gas is estimated through an assumption of equilibrium for the water gas shift reaction, but this assumption is not found to have a large impact on the calculated set of fugacity coefficients. The SRK equation of state is used, since it previously has been reported to provide the best description of the methanol synthesis equilibrium at conditions similar to those presently employed.⁴⁷ The critical properties and acentric factors used in the calculations are presented in Table 2.

If the standard state is chosen to be an ideal gas at 1 bar, the fugacity-based equilibrium constant for a reaction like the methanol synthesis (R1) can be expressed in the following way:⁴⁶

$$\frac{f_{\text{MeOH}}}{f_{\text{H}_2}^2 f_{\text{CO}}} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{\text{R1},T}^\circ}{RT}\right) = K_f \Leftrightarrow \frac{f_{\text{MeOH}}}{K_f f_{\text{H}_2}^2 f_{\text{CO}}} = 1 \quad (2)$$

Here f_i is the fugacity of component i , which can be expressed in terms of the total pressure, P , the molar fraction of component

Table 2. Critical Properties (P_C , T_C) and Acentric Factors (ω) Used in This Work

component	T_C [K]	P_C [bar]	ω	ref
N_2	126.21	33.9	0.038	48, 49
H_2	32.97	12.93	−0.22	49, 50
CO	132.91	34.99	0.049	49, 50
CO_2	304.14	73.75	0.225	49, 50
CH_4	190.56	45.99	0.012	48, 49
C_2H_4	282.34	50.41	0.085	49, 50
C_2H_6	305.32	48.72	0.098	49, 50
C_3H_8	369.83	42.48	0.152	49–51
H_2O	647.14	220.6	0.344	49, 51, 52
H_2S	373.2	89.4	0.1	49, 51
MeOH	512.5	80.84	0.572	49, 52
EtOH	514.0	61.37	0.635	49, 52
1-PrOH	536.8	51.69	0.625	49, 52
1-BuOH	563.0	44.14	0.59	49, 52
iso-BuOH	547.8	42.95	0.589	46, 49

i , y_i , and the fugacity coefficient of component i , φ_i :

$$f_i = \varphi_i y_i P \quad (3)$$

The fugacity coefficients are calculated on the basis of the measured effluent gas composition and the known temperature and pressure. When the partial pressure of methanol has not reached its equilibrium value, one will have $f_{\text{MeOH}}/(K_f f_{\text{H}_2}^2 f_{\text{CO}}) < 1$, and the quantity $f_{\text{MeOH}}/(K_f f_{\text{H}_2}^2 f_{\text{CO}})$ can thus be used to provide a measure of the degree of equilibration for the methanol synthesis in a given experiment.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Role of the H_2/CO Ratio. The first part of the present investigations concerns the influence of the H_2/CO ratio in the feed, when the sulfide catalyst is operated in a sulfur-free syngas. In the Introduction it is described how the fraction of higher alcohols decreases over time during operation in a sulfur-free syngas. The variations in the H_2/CO ratio are therefore not commenced until the catalyst has been given an initiation period of 48 h at the conditions provided in the caption of Figure 2. Figure 2 shows the production rates of alcohols and hydrocarbons in an $\text{H}_2/\text{CO} = 0.96$ mol/mol feed during various stages of the experiment.

Figure 2 shows that the total alcohol production stabilizes, but this covers the fact that the methanol production gradually increases at the expense of the higher alcohols. The results in Figure 2 also indicate that although the changes in the production rates of alcohols and hydrocarbons have slowed down considerably during the initiation period, there still seems to be a slight time dependency of the activity during the period with variations of the H_2/CO ratio (48–93 h on stream). If the data points in Figure 2 are extrapolated by power-law or exponential functions, those functions indicate that the total production rate of C_2 – C_4 alcohols may vary by up to 3% from the average value during the period of 48–93 h on stream. The total production rate of C_1 – C_3 hydrocarbons may on the other hand vary by up to 6% compared to the average value during the period of 48–93 h on stream. The time dependency of the activity may thus for some components introduce a minor uncertainty (3–6%) in the

Figure 2. Production rates of various product classes as functions of the time on stream during the initiation and the variations in the H_2/CO ratio. The productivities obtained at a molar H_2/CO ratio of 0.96 during both of these periods are shown. The objective of this figure is to provide an indication of the degree of deactivation occurring during the variations in the H_2/CO ratio. The experimental conditions are $P = 100$ bar, $T = 326^\circ\text{C}$, gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) = 2600 h^{-1} , 49.0 vol % H_2 , and 51.0 vol % CO .

evaluation of the effect of the feed composition. Contrary to the case for higher alcohols and hydrocarbons, there are no indications of discernible variations in the methanol production rate during the variations in the H_2/CO ratio.

Figure 3 shows the space-time yields of methanol, higher alcohols, and $\text{C}_1\text{--C}_3$ hydrocarbons as functions of the H_2/CO ratio in the syngas feed. Figure 3 illustrates that the methanol production increases with an increasing hydrogen content in the feed, while the production of higher alcohol is optimal with an equimolar mixture of CO and H_2 . An equimolar mixture of CO and H_2 also constitutes the stoichiometric feed for the synthesis of ethanol, the dominant higher alcohol, if it is assumed that all water coproduced in the ethanol synthesis is shifted into CO_2 in the water gas shift reaction ($\text{R2} + \text{R4}$). The production of hydrocarbons generally follows the production of higher alcohols, but at higher H_2/CO ratios the production of hydrocarbons clearly approaches the production of higher alcohols. It is interesting to observe how the production rates of hydrocarbons and higher alcohols follow the same general tendency, while the production of methanol exhibits a completely different response to variations in the H_2/CO ratio. It is also interesting to observe that the dominant effect of an increased feed hydrogen content is an increased hydrogenation of CO to form methanol. It thus appears that the catalyst favors $\text{C}\text{--}\text{O}$ bond retention. It might have been expected that an increased hydrogen content in the syngas feed especially would favor the hydrocarbon production, but at the presently employed conditions this is not the case.

The present observations agree quite well with previously reported results from the literature. Park et al.⁵³ studied a $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3/\text{MoS}_2$ catalyst, and they also observed that the methanol production increased with an increasing feed hydrogen content, while the production of higher alcohols peaked around a molar H_2/CO ratio of 1. In a related investigation Youchang et al.⁵⁴ also observed that an increase in the partial pressure of H_2 at a fixed partial pressure of CO primarily enhanced the methanol selectivity. Furthermore, it is in the patent literature^{55–57} typically recommended that the sulfide catalyst is operated with a molar

Figure 3. Production rates of methanol, higher alcohols, and hydrocarbons as functions of the H_2/CO ratio in the syngas feed. The experimental conditions are $P = 100$ bar, $T = 326 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, GHSV = $2600 \pm 25\text{ h}^{-1}$, and +48 h on stream.

H_2/CO ratio of around 1 in the feed. In an H_2S -containing syngas we³⁷ have previously determined experimental rate equations for the production of various alcohols and hydrocarbons from syngas, and on the basis of these rate equations one would expect that an increased H_2/CO ratio especially would benefit the production of methane. For an H_2S -containing feed Zhang et al.⁵⁸ also observed that an increasing H_2/CO ratio boosted the methane selectivity. The properties of the active phase, which stabilizes in the presence of H_2S , may be so that hydrogenation without retention of the $\text{C}\text{--}\text{O}$ bond becomes a more favorable pathway.

Previous investigations suggest that chain growth for the sulfide catalyst occurs by addition of CO to an alkyl group to form an acyl species that can be hydrogenated into the corresponding alcohol.^{20,59} Alternatively, chain growth can take place by alcohol coupling reactions, which presumably occur via an aldol condensation pathway.⁴² For the higher alcohols it is most likely that it is the shared need for CO addition and hydrogenation reactions that creates an optimum in the productivity around a molar H_2/CO ratio of 1. It is also quite likely that an increased chance of hydrogenation of the alkyl intermediates into hydrocarbons is the reason why the production rate of hydrocarbons approaches the production rate of higher alcohols at higher H_2/CO ratios. Methanol may couple with ethanol or higher alcohols via an aldol condensation pathway, but it is quite likely that aldol condensation type couplings, which would involve aldehyde intermediates, become increasingly disfavored by an increase in the partial pressure of H_2 , which serves to displace the alcohol/aldehyde equilibria toward the alcohols.

That the methanol production increases so significantly with an increasing H_2/CO ratio has the implication that the overall alcohol selectivity also increases with an increasing H_2/CO ratio in the syngas feed. This is illustrated in Figure 4, which shows the CO conversion, the CO_2 -free alcohol selectivity, and the CO_2 selectivity as functions of the H_2/CO ratio in the feed.

From Figure 4 it can be seen that the CO conversion increases markedly when the H_2/CO ratio is increased. This is related to the strong increase in the methanol production rate, but it should also be kept in mind that the feed becomes increasingly CO deficient at the higher H_2/CO ratios. Figure 4 also shows that the CO_2 selectivity decreases with increasing hydrogen content in the feed. This is due to the fact that the water gas shift reaction (R4)

is displaced toward the left with increasing hydrogen content in the gas, and due to the fact that the dominant reaction in a hydrogen-rich syngas becomes the direct hydrogenation of CO into methanol (R1), which does not result in a coproduction of $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{CO}_2$.

The effects of the feed composition upon the CO conversion and the product selectivities are also consistent with previous investigations. The results of Park et al.⁵³ with a $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3/\text{MoS}_2$ catalyst also indicated a declining CO_2 selectivity and a weakly increasing alcohol selectivity with an increasing H_2/CO ratio, but Park et al.⁵³ did not observe as strong an increase in the CO conversion with increasing H_2/CO ratio as seen in the present experiments.

Table 3 illustrates the CO_2 -free product selectivities for selected H_2/CO ratios. The results clearly show how methanol is the product that benefits from an increasing hydrogen content in the feed.

An evaluation of the equilibrium for the overall methanol synthesis reaction (R1) suggests that the beginning stagnation, which is seen in the methanol production at the highest H_2/CO ratios in Figure 3, is due to the fact that the methanol synthesis here draws near its equilibrium boundary. With thermodynamic data from Barin⁶⁰ one obtains a value of $K_{f,599.15\text{ K}} = 1.19 \times 10^{-4} \text{ bar}^{-2}$ for the equilibrium constant at the reaction temperature of 326 °C. Figure 5 shows the quantity $f_{\text{MeOH}}/(K_{f,\text{H}_2}^2 f_{\text{CO}})$ at the reactor exit depicted as a function of the H_2/CO ratio in the feed. As described in section 2, the quantity $f_{\text{MeOH}}/(K_{f,\text{H}_2}^2 f_{\text{CO}})$ is a measure of the degree of equilibration for the methanol synthesis reaction. The results in Figure 5 illustrate that the methanol synthesis at higher H_2/CO ratios comes quite near the equilibrium

boundary ($f_{\text{MeOH}}/(K_{f,\text{H}_2}^2 f_{\text{CO}}) = 1$). There is a significant scattering in the literature concerning the exact location of the methanol synthesis equilibrium, and it is possible to find equilibrium constants both above and below the value used in the present case.^{47,61–64} There is thus some uncertainty regarding the exact degree of equilibration for the methanol synthesis, but in light of the results in Figure 5 it does seem most likely that the beginning stagnation of the methanol synthesis rate at the highest H_2/CO ratios in Figure 3 can be ascribed to the growing importance of the reverse reaction of R1. As described in section 2, the fugacity coefficients have been determined by means of the SRK equation of state. Generally the compressibility factor and all the individual fugacity coefficients are within 5% of unity in all the experiments seen in Figure 5. The deviations from ideality are thus not large, but the accumulated deviation in products of fugacities can have a more significant impact on the equilibrium. For the experiments presented in Figure 5 one quite consistently has $\varphi_{\text{MeOH}}/(\varphi_{\text{H}_2}^2 \varphi_{\text{CO}}) = 0.890 \pm 0.006$, and at the high reaction pressure the deviations from ideality thus have a significant impact upon the location of the methanol synthesis equilibrium.

The equilibrium for the synthesis of the higher alcohols should generally be more favorable than the methanol synthesis equilibrium,^{65–67} and the synthesis of higher alcohols is therefore less likely to be equilibrium controlled. An evaluation of the equilibrium for the synthesis of higher alcohols would necessitate an evaluation of the water gas shift equilibrium. Such an evaluation has not been attempted, since the water content in the product stream has not been determined in the present investigations.

3.2. Role of $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{CO}_2$ in Syngas. As illustrated in Table 1, the raw syngas from the gasifier may contain significant amounts of

Figure 4. CO conversion, CO_2 selectivity, and CO_2 -free alcohol selectivity as functions of the H_2/CO ratio in the feed. The experimental conditions are given in the caption of Figure 3.

Figure 5. The quantity $f_{\text{MeOH}}/(K_{f,\text{H}_2}^2 f_{\text{CO}})$ at the reactor exit depicted as a function of the H_2/CO ratio in the feed. The experimental conditions are given in the caption of Figure 3.

Table 3. CO_2 -Free Product Selectivities for Various H_2/CO Ratios in the Feed^a

H_2/CO [mol/mol]	CO_2 -free CO conv ^b [mol %]	CO_2 -free, carbon-based selectivity [mol %]								
		CH_4	C_2H_4	C_2H_6	C_3H_8	MeOH	EtOH	1-PrOH	1-BuOH	iso-BuOH
0.11 ^c	1.3	13.9	3.3	8.6	5.7	9.4	25.2	12.9	5.3	4.8
0.96	13.2	19.5	1.1	3.1	2.4	35.6	17.6	12.5	2.1	5.7
5.83	44.8	15.2	0.2	1.1	0.8	66.4	7.6	5.9	0.4	2.4

^a The experimental conditions are given in the caption of Figure 3. ^b CO conversion into products other than CO_2 . The total CO conversion can be seen in Figure 4. ^c For the lowest H_2/CO ratio it is an element of uncertainty that the GC spectra show signs of uncharacterized products—presumably compounds like higher alcohols/hydrocarbons, aldehydes, and esters. An ethyl acetate selectivity of 6.3 mol % is observed.

Figure 6. Production rates of methanol, C₂–C₄ alcohols, and C₁–C₃ hydrocarbons as functions of the time on stream with progressively increasing addition of H₂O to the syngas feed. The water content in the syngas feed in mole percent is noted for each segment of the experiment. The experimental conditions are $P = 100$ bar, $T = 326$ °C, and GHSV = 2765 ± 80 h⁻¹. Excluding H₂O, the feed contains 48.9 vol % H₂, 51.1 vol % CO, and no H₂S.

water and CO₂. It is therefore also important to clarify how these components may influence the properties of the sulfide catalyst and the synthesis process. Water and CO₂ are as noted in the Introduction largely parallel products in the synthesis reactor, because the water gas shift reaction (R4) proceeds rapidly over the alcohol synthesis catalyst. Gang et al.²⁵ also used a K/Co/MoS₂/C catalyst and observed that the water gas shift reaction generally is very close to equilibrium at typical alcohol synthesis conditions. Since the water gas shift reaction appears to be relatively rapid compared to the synthesis reactions, it is thus difficult to distinguish between the effects of H₂O and CO₂.

In these investigations water is co-fed along with the syngas sent to the reactor. Because the increased water content in the syngas feed could result in some degree of oxidation of the sulfide, and because such an oxidation could depend upon the sulfur level in the syngas, the investigations are conducted both with and without 222 ppmv H₂S in the syngas. These investigations are performed with an approximately equimolar mixture of CO and H₂, since such a mixture as discussed in section 3.1 provides the optimal production of higher alcohols.

Figure 6 shows the production rates of alcohols and hydrocarbons as functions of the time on stream in a sulfur-free syngas with a progressively increasing addition of water to the syngas feed (H₂/CO = 0.96 mol/mol). Figure 6 illustrates that the addition of water to the syngas feed causes a decline in the production of hydrocarbons and especially in the production of the higher alcohols. Figure 6 also illustrates that the methanol production benefits from a modest water content in the feed (4.7–9.4 mol %). This may be related to a reduced consumption of methanol as a precursor for the production of higher alcohols. Another factor that may contribute to the increased methanol production is that the shift of the H₂O co-fed with the syngas raises the hydrogen content in the gas from the original ratio of H₂/CO = 0.96 mol/mol. From section 3.1 it is known that the methanol production in the region of an equimolar H₂/CO mixture benefits strongly from an increased hydrogen content in the syngas. From Figure 3 it can be seen that the production of higher alcohols has a relatively broad optimum in the region of a molar H₂/CO ratio around 1, but the increasing H₂/CO ratio

Figure 7. CO₂-free alcohol selectivity, CO₂ selectivity, and CO conversion as functions of the time on stream with progressively increasing addition of H₂O to the S-free syngas feed. The water content in the syngas feed in mole percent is noted for each segment of the experiment. The experimental conditions are given in the caption of Figure 6.

should also provide a minor contribution to the decline in the production of higher alcohols.

Additionally, the available evidence for Cu-based methanol synthesis catalysts suggests that CO₂ is the dominant source of carbon in methanol formed over such catalysts.^{68–71} A minor addition of H₂O to H₂/CO also enhances the methanol production over Cu/ZnO, in the same way as it is seen in Figure 6 for the sulfide catalyst.³² If the sulfide catalyst also has a favorable reaction pathway from CO₂ to methanol, then the increased CO₂ level arising from the shift of co-fed water could boost the methanol production rate.

It might look as though the catalyst at the highest water level (12.0 mol %) undergoes an irreversible change. In the final period with a water-free feed in Figure 6, the production rates of both methanol and C₂–C₄ alcohols have decreased by 24% compared to the activity before exposure to the higher water contents (9.4–12.0 mol %). With the declining production of hydrocarbons and higher alcohols and the increasing production of methanol, the overall alcohol selectivity actually increases with the addition of water to the syngas feed. This is illustrated in Figure 7, which shows the CO₂-free alcohol selectivity, the CO₂ selectivity, and the CO conversion during the experiment presented in Figure 6.

With thermodynamic data from Barin,⁶⁰ the equilibrium constant for the water gas shift reaction at 326 °C is $K_{WGS} = 27.9$. At equilibrium the dominant part of the added water will therefore be shifted into CO₂. Figure 7 illustrates that the CO conversion, despite the decreasing production of the synthesis products, increases significantly when water is added to the feed. The increase in the CO conversion corresponds relatively well to an essentially complete shift of the added water. From Figure 7 it can also be seen how the contribution from the shift reaction causes a marked increase in the CO₂ selectivity. Even with the lowest feed water level (4.7 mol %) the CO₂ selectivity has increased to 57 mol %, and with 12.0 mol % water in the syngas feed the CO₂ selectivity has increased to 84 mol %.

Table 4 summarizes the individual product selectivities and the CO conversion into products other than CO₂ for various H₂O levels in the feed. The results in Table 4 emphasize that especially the production of higher species is hampered by water.

Table 4. CO₂-Free Product Selectivities for Various Water Levels in the H₂S-Free Feed^a

H ₂ O in feed ^b [vol %]	CO ₂ -free CO conv ^c [mol %]	CO ₂ -free, carbon-based selectivity [mol %]								
		CH ₄	C ₂ H ₄	C ₂ H ₆	C ₃ H ₈	MeOH	EtOH	1-PrOH	1-BuOH	iso-BuOH
0	10.3	20.0	1.1	3.0	2.2	34.7	19.3	11.5	2.6	5.0
4.7	7.8	16.9	0.7	1.3	1.1	50.1	15.6	8.8	1.2	4.1
9.4	5.9	13.3	0.6	0.7	0.7	62.8	12.6	6.0	0.7	2.4
12.0	4.9	13.5	1.2	0.9	0.9	63.2	12.0	5.6	0.8	1.9

^a The experimental conditions are given in the caption of Figure 6. ^b H₂O fraction in the feed. The value for H₂O-free syngas corresponds to the value after 40–47 h on stream. ^c CO conversion into products other than CO₂. The total CO conversion can be seen in Figure 7.

Figure 8. Production rates of methanol, C₂–C₄ alcohols, and C₁–C₃ hydrocarbons as functions of the time on stream with progressively increasing addition of H₂O to the H₂S-containing syngas feed. The water content in the syngas feed in mole percent is noted for each segment of the experiment. The experimental conditions are $P = 100$ bar, $T = 326$ °C, and $GHSV = 2795 \pm 90$ h⁻¹. Excluding H₂O, the feed contains 49.1 vol % H₂, 50.9 vol % CO, and 222 ppmv H₂S. Before the last stage of the experiment, the catalyst is resulfided for 11 h at 325 °C and atmospheric pressure in an 80 NmL/min flow of 2 mol % H₂S in H₂.

With 222 ppmv H₂S in the syngas the qualitative effects of the water addition are largely similar to the effects in an H₂S-free syngas. As the presence of H₂S boosts the production rates of hydrocarbons and higher alcohols and lowers the production rate of methanol, the starting point is however quite different in the H₂S-containing syngas. Figure 8 illustrates the production rates of alcohols and hydrocarbons as functions of the time on stream with a progressively increasing addition of water to the H₂S-containing syngas feed (H₂/CO = 0.96 mol/mol).

Figure 8 again illustrates how the production rates of hydrocarbons and higher alcohols are progressively lowered by the increasing co-feeding of water. The exposure to 4.7 mol % H₂O appears to cause a permanent loss of activity for the production of hydrocarbons and higher alcohols. The exposure of the catalyst to 9.9 and 13.4 mol % H₂O lowers the production of hydrocarbons/higher alcohols further, but the removal of water from the feed restores part of the activity. With 222 ppmv H₂S in the syngas the methanol production rate benefits from the co-feeding of H₂O in the entire 0–13.4 mol % range. This is contrary to the situation with a sulfur-free syngas, where exposure to 12.0 mol % H₂O causes a permanent loss of methanol synthesis activity. This difference may be related to the fact that the methanol production rate is significantly lower in the H₂S-containing syngas. Figure 8 also shows that 11 h of resulfidation at 325 °C

Figure 9. CO₂-free alcohol selectivity, CO₂ selectivity, and CO conversion as functions of the time on stream with progressively increasing addition of H₂O to the H₂S-containing syngas feed. The water content in the syngas feed in mole percent is noted for each segment of the experiment. The experimental conditions are given in the caption of Figure 8.

and atmospheric pressure does not alter the properties of the catalyst, which has been exposed to syngas containing 0–13.4 mol % H₂O. The fact that a resulfidation is without influence on the catalytic properties is an argument against a partial oxidation of the sulfide as the reason for the loss of activity. If a partial oxidation of the catalyst occurs, it certainly leaves the catalyst in a state that is not resulfided with the normal sulfiding procedure. With 222 ppmv H₂S in the syngas, where higher alcohols and hydrocarbons are the dominant products, the co-feeding of water along with the syngas is virtually without an effect upon the alcohol selectivity. This is illustrated in Figure 9, which shows the CO₂-free alcohol selectivity, the CO₂ selectivity, and the CO conversion during the experiment with H₂O co-feeding in an H₂S-containing atmosphere. Figure 9 illustrates how the alcohol selectivity is unaltered through the H₂O co-feeding experiment, although the selectivity increases slightly when water is finally removed from the feed stream. Furthermore, Figure 9 shows that the CO conversion also increases in an H₂S-containing syngas when H₂O is added to the feed. The increase in the CO conversion corresponds reasonably well to an essentially full shift of the co-fed water, and Figure 9 also shows how the CO₂ selectivity increases substantially when water is added to the syngas feed. For the part of the experiment with 13.4 vol % H₂O in the feed, the increase in the CO conversion is actually observed to be slightly higher than would be expected—even for a full shift of the co-fed water. This must be ascribed to experimental uncertainty, which is difficult to avoid when such a high fraction of a normally liquid component is co-fed along with the gaseous feed.

Table 5. CO₂-Free Product Selectivities for Various Water Levels with 222 ppmv H₂S in the Feed^a

H ₂ O in feed ^b [vol %]	CO ₂ -free CO conv ^c [mol %]	CO ₂ -free, carbon-based selectivity [mol %]								
		CH ₄	C ₂ H ₄	C ₂ H ₆	C ₃ H ₈	MeOH	EtOH	1-PrOH	1-BuOH	iso-BuOH
0	12.5	28.3	1.1	9.3	4.4	9.3	25.2	12.0	3.2	3.5
4.7	9.2	29.6	1.0	8.6	4.2	11.0	25.5	10.6	3.0	3.1
9.9	8.3	31.0	0.9	7.1	4.0	14.8	24.8	9.3	2.3	2.7
13.4	7.8	33.3	0.8	6.9	3.8	16.4	23.9	8.6	2.2	1.6

^a The experimental conditions are given in the caption of Figure 8. ^b H₂O fraction in the feed. The value for H₂O-free syngas corresponds to the value after 23–31 h on stream. ^c CO conversion into products other than CO₂. The total CO conversion can be seen in Figure 9.

Table 6. Effect of Feed CO₂ Level on the Production Rates of Various Reaction Products^{32,73 a}

CO ₂ fraction in feed [vol %]	CO conv [mol %]	GHSV [h ⁻¹]	product space time yield [g/(kg of catalyst · h)]					
			MeOH	EtOH	1-PrOH	CH ₄	C ₂ H ₆	H ₂ O
0.0	24.5	2000	166.9	70.4	22.9	64.2	19.9	7.1
6.7	22.5	1600	145.7	40.6	10.4	58.8	7.1	12.8
15.0	18.9	1900	144.0	33.5	7.2	42.1	0.0	17.1
30.3	12.0	1800	81.5	25.1	5.9	25.1	4.3	21.8

^a The experimental conditions are K₂CO₃/MoS₂/C (5/19/76 wt %), *T* = 305 °C, 101 bar, and H₂/CO = 1.

Table 7. Effect of Feed CO₂ Level on the Selectivities of Various Products^{32,73 a}

CO ₂ fraction in feed [vol %]	CO ₂ -free CO conv [mol %]	GHSV [h ⁻¹]	CO ₂ -free, carbon-based selectivity [mol %]				
			MeOH	EtOH	1-PrOH	CH ₄	C ₂ H ₆
0.0	12.2	2000	35.4	20.7	7.8	27.2	9.0
6.7	13.2	1600	41.4	16.1	4.7	33.4	4.3
15.0	10.1	1900	50.3	16.3	4.0	29.4	0.0
30.3	6.2	1800	44.0	18.9	5.1	27.1	4.9

^a The experimental conditions are given in Table 6.

Table 5 summarizes the individual product selectivities and the conversion into products other than CO₂ for various H₂O levels in the feed. The results in Table 5 also illustrate how water lowers the synthesis activity and shifts the product toward the C₁ species methane and methanol.

Due to the parallel nature of water and CO₂ in the synthesis reactor, it is highly relevant to compare the effects of water in the feed to the effects of CO₂ in the feed. The presence of CO₂ in the syngas feed is generally reported to have a detrimental effect upon the alcohol synthesis over MoS₂-based catalysts. In the patent literature^{21,72} it is recommended that the partial pressure of carbon dioxide is kept below 1 bar at the reactor entry. Herman³² has reported results from experiments made by the British Coal Research Establishment,⁷³ where the CO₂ level in the syngas passed over a K₂CO₃/MoS₂/C catalyst has been varied. These results are summarized in Tables 6 and 7, which show the production rates and carbon-based selectivities for various reaction products with different CO₂ levels in the syngas feed.^{32,73} The results in Tables 6 and 7 illustrate that in terms of the alcohol/hydrocarbon synthesis there are strong similarities between the reported effect of CO₂ and the presently observed effect of H₂O. The presence of CO₂ lowers the production rates for alcohols and hydrocarbons and especially the production of the higher species. This shifts the selectivity toward the C₁ products methane and methanol. It is the same effect that is

observed with H₂O in the syngas feed. The methanol production is only modestly affected by CO₂ levels in the 0–15 mol % range, but at a high feed CO₂ level of 30.3 mol % the production of methanol is significantly diminished. This behavior bears some qualitative resemblance to the behavior seen in Figure 6, where the methanol production is enhanced at lower H₂O levels in the feed (0–9.4 mol %), but significantly diminished at a higher water level (12.0 mol %). Since CO₂ is the product favored by the water gas shift equilibrium, the presence of CO₂ in the feed does not give rise to an increased CO conversion due to the shift reaction, as seen when H₂O is added to the feed. When CO₂ is added to the feed, there is thus only a lowering of the conversion due to the poisoning of the synthesis reactions. Also, Gang et al.²⁵ have reported that the selectivity to higher alcohols is lowered when CO₂ is added to the feed.

Figures 6–9 indicate that the deactivation of the synthesis reactions, which is caused by water, is partially irreversible. Unfortunately, no spectroscopic or compositional analyses were obtained for the present catalysts exposed to elevated water concentrations. It is therefore not possible to establish if changes in the structure and composition of the catalytically active phase are part of the reason for the reduced synthesis activity seen in the presence of elevated water levels. The partly irreversible nature of the deactivation does, however, indicate that such changes in the active phase do occur. One type of alteration in the active phase

that might be envisioned is a partial oxidation of the sulfide. MoS_2 has a sandwich structure with a layer of Mo atoms between two sheets of sulfur atoms. MoS_2 can potentially be terminated by two low Miller index planes, namely the $\text{Mo}(10\bar{1}0)$ edge and the $\text{S}(\bar{1}010)$ edge.^{74,75} Badawi et al.⁷⁶ investigated the effect of water upon the structure of MoS_2 by means of density functional theory, and these authors⁷⁶ observed that especially the S-edge of the sulfide is susceptible to replacement of sulfur with oxygen, if the $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{H}_2\text{S}$ ratio is of sufficient magnitude. Furthermore, the investigations of Karolewski and Cavell⁷⁷ on $\text{Cs}/\text{MoS}_2(0001)$ suggested that the alkali metal promoted sulfide could be more susceptible to oxidation by H_2O than the bare sulfide. Laurent and Delmon⁷⁸ studied the effect of water upon an $\text{Ni}/\text{MoS}_2/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalyst employed for hydrodeoxygenation reactions. These authors⁷⁸ observed no signs of oxidation of the MoS_2 phase, but they⁷⁸ did observe a partial oxidation of nickel. As one possible option, Laurent and Delmon⁷⁸ suggested that the oxidation of Ni could be related to the formation of an inactive layer of nickel sulfate species that blocked the sulfide and lowered the catalytic activity.

Without H_2S in the syngas it appears to be especially the highest employed water level (12.0 mol %) that causes a rather general, permanent deactivation with only minor changes in selectivity and alcohol distribution. Judging from the relatively general effect, it might be simple sintering that lowers the activity. It is possible that the elevated water levels could accelerate the sintering of the system. With 222 ppmv H_2S in the syngas the permanent deactivation caused by the elevated water levels is also rather general in its effect, and this could perhaps also point to a general sintering. In the presence of H_2S the deactivation of the higher alcohol production is slightly more significant than the deactivation of the methanol synthesis. This could be related to an effect upon the cobalt chain-growth promoter, but since a resulfidation of the catalyst is without any significant effect, the deactivation is not necessarily related to a partial oxidation.

While the permanent deactivation, which remains after H_2O has been removed from the feed, is a relatively general effect, the presence of elevated $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{CO}_2$ levels in the feed primarily causes a diminished production of the higher species. There are several factors that should be contributing to this negative influence of $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{CO}_2$ upon the chain growth. It is described in section 3.1 how one of the available routes to chain growth is the coupling of alcohols, which appears to occur via an aldol condensation pathway. It is well-established that base catalyzed aldol condensation reactions are poisoned by water or CO_2 ,^{79–81} and this poisoning effect could very well be a significant part of the explanation for the declining production of higher alcohols. For the other possible route to chain growth, namely the CO addition reactions, the presence of cobalt appears to be very important. Calafat and Laine^{82,83} have observed that addition of Co to carbon-supported MoS_2 greatly benefits the addition of CO to methanol to form C_2 oxygenates. If Co due to the increased water levels becomes partly oxidized, in the same way as H_2O may oxidize Ni added to MoS_2 , then this oxidation could also mean an alteration of the activity for the CO addition reactions that contribute to the chain growth. Additionally, Chen et al.⁸⁴ used density functional theory to study the formation of ethanol by addition of CO to methanol over MoS_2 , and their⁸⁴ investigations might suggest that the initial step is a C–O bond breakage in methanol through an elementary reaction of the type given in eq R5:

Figure 10. Production rates of methanol, $\text{C}_2\text{--C}_4$ alcohols, and $\text{C}_1\text{--C}_3$ hydrocarbons as functions of the time on stream in an H_2S -free syngas. After 27.7 h on stream 741 ppmv NH_3 is added to the syngas feed, and the NH_3 addition is maintained for 10 h. The experimental conditions are $T = 326^\circ\text{C}$, $P = 100$ bar, and $\text{GHSV} = 5525\text{ h}^{-1}$. Feed: 49 ± 1 vol % H_2 and 51 ± 1 vol % CO .

It is quite likely that the rate of such an elementary reaction would be adversely affected by an elevated presence of water on the catalyst surface. There are thus several kinetic and structural effects that could hamper the chain growth in the presence of $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{CO}_2$.

Gang et al.²⁵ have previously also mentioned the adverse effect of elevated water levels upon the equilibrium for the synthesis of higher species (R2 and R3) as a possible reason for the declining production of higher alcohols with $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{CO}_2$ in the feed.

In light of the preceding discussion it seems fairly reasonable that the synthesis activity, and especially the production of higher species, is adversely effected by the presence of $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{CO}_2$ in the syngas feed.

When larger amounts of water are added to the syngas, it is also likely that the synthesis reactions in general are hampered by a significant consumption of CO in the water gas shift reaction, which removes available carbon monoxide from the surface of the catalyst. As illustrated by the CO_2 selectivity in Figures 7 and 9, the water gas shift reaction becomes very dominant at higher water levels.

3.3. Role of NH_3 . As mentioned in the Introduction, ammonia is a potential impurity in syngas derived from gasification. Presently the impact that 730–745 ppmv NH_3 has upon the catalytic activity is evaluated. The typical ammonia level in syngas has been discussed in the Introduction, and on the basis of that discussion the presently employed NH_3 level should correspond to an intermediate level for wood gasification, while the investigated NH_3 level is slightly low compared to the concentration typically found in syngas derived from coal gasification.

The $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3/\text{Co}/\text{MoS}_2/\text{C}$ catalyst is allowed to stabilize for 27.7 h in a sulfur-free syngas, and ammonia is then added to the syngas to a content of 741 ppmv. Figure 10 illustrates the developments in the production rates of alcohols and hydrocarbons as functions of the time on stream for this experiment. Figure 10 illustrates that the addition of 741 ppmv NH_3 to the feed severely poisons the catalyst. This NH_3 level causes a 41% reduction in activity (the total alcohol production rate drops from 0.194 to $0.116\text{ g (g of catalyst)}^{-1}\text{ h}^{-1}$). The relative loss of activity is the same for both methanol and higher alcohols. Figure 10 also shows that the poisoning is largely reversible. Once the ammonia is

Figure 11. CO conversion and CO₂-free alcohol selectivity as functions of the time on stream in a sulfur-free syngas. After 27.7 h on stream 741 ppmv NH₃ is added to the syngas feed, and the NH₃ addition is maintained for 10 h. The experimental conditions are given in the caption of Figure 10.

removed from the feed, the catalyst regains a significant portion of its original activity. The original production rates for especially the higher alcohols are not completely restored, but judging from the trend in the activity prior to the ammonia addition there might also be an inherent decline in the production of higher alcohols (see Figure 2), and the reduced alcohol production after the exposure to ammonia may therefore be not only an effect of NH₃, but also an effect of time. Although it is somewhat difficult to see with the scaling of Figure 10, the relative decline in the production rate upon exposure to ammonia is the same for both alcohols and hydrocarbons. The selectivity is thus essentially unaffected by the presence of ammonia. This is illustrated in Figure 11, which shows the developments in the CO conversion and the CO₂-free alcohol selectivity as functions of the time on stream. Figure 11 illustrates the deactivation of the catalyst when ammonia is present in the syngas, but in addition to this Figure 11 shows how the alcohol selectivity is unaffected by the presence of ammonia.

A reversible poisoning is also the result when the freshly sulfided catalyst prior to the catalytic test at 325 °C and 1 atm is exposed to an 80 NmL/min flow of 6115 ppmv NH₃ in H₂ for 90 min. Figure 12 shows a comparison between the alcohol production rates for the ammonia treated catalyst and for an untreated sulfide.

Figure 12 illustrates that the catalyst which has been pretreated with NH₃ in H₂ initially suffers from a reduced activity compared to the catalyst without ammonia treatment, but the NH₃-treated catalyst soon reaches the level of the untreated catalyst, and from around 5 h on stream the properties of the two catalysts are virtually indistinguishable. This result again points to an essentially reversible deactivation caused by ammonia.

The effect of ammonia has also been evaluated with 144 ppmv H₂S in the syngas. Figure 13 shows the production rates of alcohols and hydrocarbons as functions of the time on stream during an ammonia-poisoning experiment in the presence of H₂S. Figure 13 illustrates that ammonia also in the presence of H₂S causes a pronounced deactivation of the catalyst. The total alcohol production decreases by 19% (the total alcohol production rate drops from 0.135 to 0.110 g (g of catalyst)⁻¹ h⁻¹), and the production of ethanol and higher alcohols decreases by 23%. The relative decline in the hydrocarbon production rate is 15%, which

Figure 12. Production rates of methanol and C₂–C₄ alcohols over the K₂CO₃/Co/MoS₂/C catalyst as a function of the time on stream. The open symbols are for a freshly sulfided catalyst. The filled symbols are for a freshly sulfided catalyst that at 325 °C and 1 atm has been exposed to 6115 ppmv NH₃ in H₂ for 90 min prior to the catalytic test. The experimental conditions are $T = 326 \pm 1$ °C, $P = 100$ bar, and GHSV = 5525 h⁻¹. Feed: 49 ± 1 vol % H₂ and 51 ± 1 vol % CO.

Figure 13. Production rates of methanol, C₂–C₄ alcohols and C₁–C₃ hydrocarbons as functions of the time on stream with 144 ppmv H₂S in the syngas. After 3.9 h 733 ppmv NH₃ is added to the syngas feed, and the NH₃ addition is maintained for 2.3 h. The experimental conditions are $T = 327$ °C, $P = 100$ bar, and GHSV = 5350 h⁻¹. Feed: 49 ± 1 vol % H₂, 51 ± 1 vol % CO, and 144 ppmv H₂S.

is similar to the drop in the total alcohol production rate. The investigations in a sulfur-containing atmosphere also indicate a largely reversible effect of ammonia. Figure 13 indicates that 2 h after the NH₃ exposure has been discontinued the production rates of alcohols and hydrocarbons fall on the trajectory found by extrapolation of the data points obtained before the NH₃ exposure. It should be pointed out that the exposure to ammonia is shorter in the experiment conducted with H₂S in the syngas than in the experiment without H₂S, but it appears that the deactivation caused by ammonia is less severe in the presence of H₂S. As previously discussed, the presence of H₂S in the syngas modifies the activity of the catalyst, and it is also possible that the presence of hydrogen sulfide modulates the effect that ammonia has upon the catalyst. With H₂S in the syngas the selectivity is also largely unaffected by the ammonia poisoning. This is illustrated in Figure 14, which shows the CO conversion and the CO₂-free alcohol selectivity as functions of the time on

Figure 14. CO conversion and CO₂-free alcohol selectivity as functions of the time on stream with 144 ppmv H₂S in the syngas. After 3.9 h 733 ppmv NH₃ is added to the syngas feed, and the NH₃ addition is maintained for 2.3 h. The experimental conditions are given in the caption of Figure 13.

stream during the NH₃-poisoning experiment in an H₂S-containing syngas. Figure 14 illustrates that there is only a slight reduction in the alcohol selectivity when the catalyst is exposed to ammonia.

LaVopa and Satterfield⁸⁵ studied the poisoning effect of basic nitrogen compounds on the hydrotreating activity of an Ni/MoS₂/Al₂O₃ catalyst. These authors⁸⁵ observed that the fractional loss of activity, θ , could be determined with the same expression as the one derived from a Langmuir isotherm describing the fractional surface coverage of the basic inhibitor. In this way the fractional loss of activity can be described in terms of the partial pressure of ammonia, p_{NH_3} , and the ammonia adsorption equilibrium constant, K_{NH_3} :

$$\theta = \frac{p_{\text{NH}_3} K_{\text{NH}_3}}{1 + p_{\text{NH}_3} K_{\text{NH}_3}} \quad (4)$$

For ammonia LaVopa and Satterfield⁸⁵ determined a value of $K_{\text{NH}_3} = 0.048 \text{ kPa}^{-1}$ for the adsorption equilibrium constant. With the presently employed partial pressure of ammonia, this would correspond to a fractional activity loss of 26%. For the experiment with 144 ppmv H₂S in the syngas the predicted decline in the activity corresponds relatively well to the observed loss of activity (23% for C₂–C₄ alcohols, 19% for C₁–C₄ alcohols, and 15% for C₁–C₃ hydrocarbons). This may be related to the fact that the poisoning experiments by LaVopa and Satterfield⁸⁵ also were conducted in the presence of hydrogen sulfide. The present results could certainly suggest that the presence of H₂S in the syngas influences the catalyst response to ammonia. The 41% activity loss observed in the absence of H₂S would correspond to an ammonia adsorption coefficient of $K_{\text{NH}_3} = 0.094 \text{ kPa}^{-1}$, but it would be imprudent to rely on a coefficient determined with only one data point. It is difficult to know if the fractional decline in the catalytic activity actually does correspond to the surface coverage of ammonia, although the fact that the loss of activity can be expressed in the same way as for a Langmuir isotherm does support this notion. If this is the case, the poisoning effect of ammonia on the alcohol synthesis catalyst can be explained by a general and largely reversible site blocking.

The observed deactivation is presumably not limited to ammonia. It is quite likely that other basic nitrogen compounds would have

a qualitatively similar effect upon the catalyst, although the poisoning by other nitrogen compounds may not be as reversible, as seen for NH₃. For thiophene hydrosulfurization, LaVopa and Satterfield⁸⁵ observed that the poisoning effect of numerous basic nitrogen compounds (including ammonia) correlated well with the gas phase proton affinities of the nitrogen compounds. In line with this observation, it has for pyridine been observed^{86,87} that the adsorption on MoS₂ is related to strongly bound pyridinium ions formed in a protonation of pyridine. It is very likely that the poisoning effect of ammonia in the same way stems from adsorbed ammonium ions formed by a protonation of ammonia. In hydrotreating reactions it has been reported⁸⁷ that it is especially the hydrogenation pathway which is poisoned by basic nitrogen compounds. Such a poisoning with pyridine has even been actively used to selectively diminish olefin hydrogenation during hydrosulfurization reactions.⁸⁸ In alcohol synthesis the ammonia poisoning appears to have only a very limited bearing on the selectivity, and the inhibition of the hydrogenation pathway does not appear to favor the production of either alcohols or hydrocarbons. It is possible that poisoning with another base such as pyridine could affect the selectivity, but the NH₃ poisoning does not favor a specific product through a selective poisoning. This may be related to the fact that the alcohol formation and the hydrocarbon formation both require hydrogenation reactions.

The present work has focused on how NH₃ affects the catalytic activity. Another potentially problematic issue related to operation in ammonia-containing syngas is, however, that nitrogen species (for example, amines) could be incorporated into the liquid alcohol product. Such an incorporation of nitrogen compounds might be problematic for the use of the alcohol product. Since no experiments were made with condensation and analysis of the liquid alcohol product, it is not possible to say whether and to what extent such an incorporation of nitrogen species occurs.

4. CONCLUSION

In this work it has been investigated how the feed composition and impurities in the feed influence the catalytic conversion of syngas into higher alcohols over a K₂CO₃/Co/MoS₂/C catalyst. This includes what bearing the water content in the syngas has on the catalytic properties and how NH₃, a potential impurity in the syngas, affects the activity of the sulfide. It has also been investigated how the H₂/CO ratio in a sulfur-free syngas influences the catalytic properties.

In a sulfur-free syngas the production rate of C₂–C₄ alcohols is at the presently employed conditions (100 bar, 326 °C, 2600 h^{−1}) observed to be optimal with an equimolar mixture of CO and H₂, while the production rate of methanol increases with an increasing H₂/CO ratio. The production of C₁–C₃ hydrocarbons largely follows the production of higher alcohols. The increasing methanol production leads to a gradual increase in the overall alcohol selectivity with an increasing hydrogen content in the feed. At the highest H₂/CO ratios investigated (H₂/CO = 3–6 mol/mol), the methanol production rate does begin to stagnate. This stagnation is most likely related to the fact that the methanol synthesis here draws near its equilibrium boundary.

Both with and without H₂S in the syngas it is observed that addition of water to the syngas feed lowers the production rates of both hydrocarbons and higher alcohols. The presence of H₂O

especially hampers the production of higher species, and this shifts the selectivity toward the C₁ products methanol and methane. The synthesis activity declines with H₂O added to the feed, but the CO conversion is actually increased by the co-feeding of water, since the H₂O in the feed undergoes an essentially complete shift into H₂/CO₂.

Ammonia causes a general and largely reversible poisoning of the catalyst. In a sulfur-free syngas it is at the presently employed conditions (326 °C, 100 bar, 5525 h⁻¹, 49 vol % H₂, 51 vol % CO) observed that addition of 741 ppmv NH₃ to the feed causes a 41% reduction in the production rates of both alcohols and hydrocarbons. Both with and without H₂S in the syngas, it is observed that the original behavior largely is restored once ammonia is removed from the feed. Since the presence of NH₃ essentially causes the same relative drop in the production rates of both alcohols and hydrocarbons, the selectivity is largely unaffected by the NH₃ poisoning.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: aj@kt.dtu.dk.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The present work is financed by The Technical University of Denmark, Haldor Topsøe A/S, and the Danish Research Council for Technology and Production under Project No. 274-07-0445. We thank Haldor Topsøe A/S for providing the catalyst used in the experiments.

REFERENCES

- (1) Herman, R. G. Advances in catalytic synthesis and utilization of higher alcohols. *Catal. Today* **2000**, *55*, 233–245.
- (2) Keller, J. L. Alcohols as motor fuel?. *Hydrocarbon Process.* **1979**, *58*, 127–138.
- (3) Wender, I. Reactions of synthesis gas. *Fuel Process. Technol.* **1996**, *48*, 189–297.
- (4) Osten, D. W.; Sell, N. J. Methanol-gasoline: Blending agents to prevent phase separation. *Fuel* **1983**, *62*, 268–270.
- (5) Green, G. J.; Yan, T. Y. Water tolerance of gasoline-methanol blends. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1990**, *29*, 1630–1635.
- (6) Smith, K. J.; Anderson, R. B. The higher alcohol synthesis over promoted Cu/ZnO catalysts. *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* **1983**, *61*, 40–45.
- (7) Nunan, J. G.; Bogdan, C. E.; Klier, K.; Smith, K. J.; Young, C.-W.; Herman, R. G. Higher alcohol and oxygenate synthesis over cesium-doped Cu/ZnO catalysts. *J. Catal.* **1989**, *116*, 195–221.
- (8) Nunan, J. G.; Bogdan, C. E.; Klier, K.; Smith, K. J.; Young, C.-W.; Herman, R. G. Methanol and C₂ oxygenate synthesis over cesium doped Cu/ZnO and Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalysts: A study of selectivity and ¹³C incorporation patterns. *J. Catal.* **1988**, *113*, 410–433.
- (9) Courty, P.; Durand, D.; Freund, E.; Sugier, A. C₁-C₆ alcohols from synthesis gas on copper-cobalt catalysts. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1982**, *17*, 241–254.
- (10) Courty, P.; Chaumette, P.; Rimbault, C.; Travers, P. Production of methanol-higher alcohol mixtures from natural gas via syngas. *Oil Gas Sci. Technol.* **1990**, *45*, 561–578.
- (11) Dalmon, J. A.; Chaumette, P.; Mirodatos, C. Higher alcohols synthesis on cobalt based model catalysts. *Catal. Today* **1992**, *15*, 101–127.
- (12) Bhasin, M. M.; Bartley, W. J.; Ellgen, P. C.; Wilson, T. P. Synthesis gas conversion over supported rhodium and rhodium-iron catalysts. *J. Catal.* **1978**, *54*, 120–128.
- (13) Ichikawa, M. Catalysis by supported metal crystallites from carbonyl clusters. II. Catalytic ethanol synthesis from CO and H₂ under atmospheric pressure over supported rhodium crystallites prepared from Rh carbonyl clusters deposited on TiO₂, ZrO₂, and La₂O₃. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1978**, *51*, 2273–2277.
- (14) Tronconi, E.; Liotti, L.; Forzatti, P.; Pasquon, I. Higher alcohol synthesis over alkali metal-promoted high-temperature methanol catalysts. *Appl. Catal.* **1989**, *47*, 317–333.
- (15) Tronconi, E.; Liotti, L.; Groppi, G.; Forzatti, P.; Pasquon, I. Mechanistic kinetic treatment of the chain growth process in higher alcohol synthesis over a Cs-promoted Zn-Cr-O catalyst. *J. Catal.* **1992**, *135*, 99–114.
- (16) Fattore, V.; Notari, B.; Paggini, A.; Lagana, V. (Snamprogetti S. p.A.). U.S. Patent 4,513,100, 1985.
- (17) Forzatti, P.; Tronconi, E.; Pasquon, I. Higher alcohol synthesis. *Catal. Rev.* **1991**, *33*, 109–168.
- (18) Quaderer, G. J. Mixed Alcohols From Synthesis Gas. 78th AIChE Spring National Meeting, New Orleans, LA, USA; 1986; Paper 25a.
- (19) Murchison, C. B.; Conway, M. M.; Stevens, R. R.; Quaderer, G. J. Mixed alcohols from syngas over moly catalysts. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Congress of Catalysis*; Phillips, M. J., Ternan, M., Eds.; Chemical Institute of Canada: Ottawa, 1988; pp 626–633.
- (20) Santiesteban, J. G.; Bogdan, C. E.; Herman, R. G.; Klier, K. Mechanism of C₁-C₄ alcohol synthesis over Alkali/MoS₂ and Alkali/Co/MoS₂ Catalysts. In *Proceedings of the 9th international congress of catalysis*; Phillips, M. J., Ternan, M., Eds.; Chemical Institute of Canada: Ottawa, 1988; pp 561–568.
- (21) Stevens, R. R.; Conway, M. M. (Dow Chemical Co.). U.S. Patent 4,831,060, 1989.
- (22) Dianis, W. P. Characterization of metal sulfide Fischer–Tropsch catalysts by temperature programmed desorption. *Appl. Catal.* **1987**, *30*, 99–121.
- (23) Liu, Z.; Li, X.; Close, M. R.; Kugler, E. L.; Petersen, J. L.; Dadyburjor, D. B. Screening of alkali-promoted vapor-phase-synthesized molybdenum sulfide catalysts for the production of alcohols from synthesis gas. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1997**, *36*, 3085–3093.
- (24) Bian, G.; Fan, L.; Fu, Y.; Fujimoto, K. Mixed alcohol synthesis from syngas on sulfided K-Mo-based catalysts: Influence of support acidity. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1998**, *37*, 1736–1743.
- (25) Gang, L.; Chengfang, Z.; Yanqing, C.; Zhibin, Z.; Yianhui, N.; Linjun, C.; Fong, Y. Synthesis of mixed alcohols from CO₂ contained syngas on supported molybdenum sulfide catalysts. *Appl. Catal., A* **1997**, *150*, 243–252.
- (26) Qi, H.; Li, D.; Yang, C.; Ma, Y.; Li, W.; Sun, Y.; Zhong, B. Nickel and manganese co-modified K/MoS₂ catalyst: High performance for higher alcohols synthesis from CO hydrogenation. *Catal. Commun.* **2003**, *4*, 339–342.
- (27) Gunturu, A. K.; Kugler, E. L.; Cropley, J. B.; Dadyburjor, D. B. A kinetic model for the synthesis of high-molecular-weight alcohols over a sulfided Co-K-Mo/C catalyst. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1998**, *37*, 2107–2115.
- (28) Spencer, D. F.; Gluckman, M. J.; Alpert, S. B. Coal gasification for electric power generation. *Science* **1982**, *215*, 1571–1576.
- (29) Larson, E. D.; Tingjin, R. Synthetic fuel production by indirect coal liquefaction. *Energy Sustainable Dev.* **2003**, *7*, 79–102.
- (30) Williams, R. H.; Larson, E. D.; Katofsky, R. E.; Chen, J. Methanol and hydrogen from biomass for transportation. *Energy Sustainable Dev.* **1995**, *1*, 18–34.
- (31) Tijmensen, M. J. A.; Faaij, A. P. C.; Hamelinck, C. N.; van Hardeveld, M. R. M. Exploration of the possibilities for production of Fischer–Tropsch liquids and power via biomass gasification. *Biomass Bioenergy* **2002**, *23*, 129–152.
- (32) Herman, R. G. Classical and non-classical routes for alcohol synthesis. *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* **1991**, *64*, 265–349.
- (33) Higman, C.; van der Burgt, M. *Gasification*; Gulf Professional Publishing: USA, 2003.
- (34) Salo, K.; Horvath, A.; Patel, J. Pressurized Gasification of Biomass. Presented at the International Gas Turbine & Aeroengine Congress & Exhibition, Stockholm, Sweden, 1998.

- (35) Torres, W.; Pansare, S.; Goodwin, J. G., Jr. Hot gas removal of tars, ammonia, and hydrogen sulfide from biomass gasification gas. *Catal. Rev.—Sci. Eng.* **2007**, *49*, 407–456.
- (36) Supp, E. *How To Produce Methanol from Coal*; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1990.
- (37) Christensen, J. M.; Mortensen, P. M.; Trane, R.; Jensen, P. A.; Jensen, A. D. Effects of H₂S and process conditions in the synthesis of mixed alcohols from syngas over alkali promoted cobalt-molybdenum sulfide. *Appl. Catal., A* **2009**, *366*, 29–43.
- (38) Li, X.; Feng, L.; Liu, Z.; Zhong, B.; Dadyburjor, D. B.; Kugler, E. L. Higher alcohols from synthesis gas using carbon-supported doped molybdenum based catalysts. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1998**, *37*, 3858–3863.
- (39) Bogdan, C. E.; Nunan, J. G.; Santiesteban, J. G.; Herman, R. G.; Klier, K. Deactivation studies of the Cs/Cu/ZnO and Alkali/MoS₂ alcohol synthesis catalysts. *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* **1988**, *38*, 745–760.
- (40) Leppälähti, J.; Koljonen, T. Nitrogen evolution from coal, peat and wood during gasification: Literature review. *Fuel Process. Technol.* **1995**, *43*, 1–45.
- (41) Leppälähti, J. Formation and behaviour of nitrogen compounds in an IGCC process. *Bioresour. Technol.* **1993**, *46*, 65–70.
- (42) Christensen, J. M.; Jensen, P. A.; Schiødt, N. C.; Jensen, A. D. Coupling of alcohols over alkali-promoted cobalt-molybdenum sulfide. *ChemCatChem* **2010**, *2*, 523–526.
- (43) Rasmussen, C. L.; Hansen, J.; Marshall, P.; Glarborg, P. Experimental measurements and kinetic modeling of CO/H₂/O₂/NO_x conversion at high pressure. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **2008**, *40*, 454–480.
- (44) Soave, G. Equilibrium constants from a modified Redlich-Kwong equation of state. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **1972**, *27*, 1197–1203.
- (45) Redlich, O.; Kwong, J. N. S. On the thermodynamics of solutions. V. An equation of state. Fugacities of gaseous solutions. *Chem. Rev.* **1949**, *44*, 233–244.
- (46) Elliot, J. R.; Lira, C. T. *Introductory Chemical Engineering Thermodynamics*; Prentice Hall: New Jersey, 1998.
- (47) Graaf, G. H.; Sijtsma, P. J. J. M.; Stamhuis, E. J.; Joosten, G. E. H. Chemical equilibria in methanol synthesis. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **1986**, *41*, 2883–2890.
- (48) Smith, J. M.; Van Ness, H. C.; Abbott, M. M. *Introduction to Chemical Engineering Thermodynamics*; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1996.
- (49) *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*; Lide, D. R., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1997.
- (50) Reid, R. C.; Prausnitz, J. M.; Sherwood, T. K. *Properties of Gases and Liquids*; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1977.
- (51) Chueh, P. L.; Prausnitz, J. M. Vapor-liquid equilibria at high pressures. Vapor-phase fugacity coefficients in nonpolar and quantum-gas mixtures. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.* **1967**, *6*, 492–498.
- (52) Tsionopoulos, C. An empirical correlation of second virial coefficients. *AIChE J.* **1974**, *20*, 263–272.
- (53) Park, T. Y.; Nam, I.-S.; Kim, Y. G. Kinetic analysis of mixed alcohol synthesis from syngas over K/MoS₂ catalyst. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1997**, *36*, 5246–5257.
- (54) Youchang, X.; Naasz, B. M.; Somorjai, G. A. Alcohol synthesis from CO and H₂ over molybdenum sulfide. The effect of pressure and promotion by potassium carbonate. *Appl. Catal.* **1986**, *27*, 233–241.
- (55) Bolton, L. V.; Gracey, B. P. (BP Chemicals Ltd.). WO Patent 2007/138300A1, 2007.
- (56) Stevens, R. R. (Dow Chemical Co.). U.S. Patent 4,752,623, 1988.
- (57) Quaderer, G. J.; Cochran, G. A. (Dow Chemical Co.). U.S. Patent 4,749,724, 1988.
- (58) Zhang, J.; Wang, Y.; Chang, L. Sulfide catalyst for methanol synthesis, its reactivity and characterization. I. Catalyst preparation and catalytic properties. *Appl. Catal., A* **1995**, *126*, L205–L218.
- (59) Klier, K.; Herman, R. G.; Nunan, J. G.; Smith, K. J.; Bogdan, C. E.; Young, C.-W.; Santiesteban, J. G. Mechanism of methanol and higher oxygenate synthesis. *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* **1988**, *36*, 109–125.
- (60) Barin, I.; Platzki, G. *Thermochemical Data of Pure Substances*; VCH Verlag: Weinheim, Germany, 1995.
- (61) Ewell, R. H. Calculation of chemical equilibrium at high pressures. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1940**, *32*, 147–153.
- (62) Thomas, W. J.; Portalski, S. Thermodynamics in methanol synthesis. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1958**, *50*, 967–970.
- (63) von Wettberg, E. F., Jr.; Dodge, B. F. The methanol equilibrium. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1930**, *22*, 1040–1046.
- (64) Newton, R. H.; Dodge, B. F. The Equilibrium between Carbon Monoxide, Hydrogen, Formaldehyde and Methanol. II. The Reaction $\text{CO} + 2\text{H}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{CH}_3\text{OH}$. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1934**, *56*, 1287–1291.
- (65) Subramani, V.; Gangwal, S. K. A review of recent literature to search for an efficient catalytic process for the conversion of syngas to ethanol. *Energy Fuels* **2008**, *22*, 814–839.
- (66) Mawson, S.; McCutchen, S.; Lim, P. K.; Roberts, G. W. Thermodynamics of higher alcohol synthesis. *Energy Fuels* **1993**, *7*, 257–267.
- (67) Qin, Z.; Liu, J.; Wang, J. Solvent effects on higher alcohol synthesis under supercritical conditions: A thermodynamic consideration. *Fuel Process. Technol.* **2004**, *85*, 1175–1192.
- (68) Chinchin, G. C.; Denny, P. J.; Parker, D. G.; Short, G. D.; Spencer, M. S.; Waugh, K. C.; Whan, D. A. The activity of Cu-ZnO-Al₂O₃ methanol synthesis catalysts. *Prepr. Pap.—Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Fuel Chem.* **1984**, *29*, 178–188.
- (69) Chinchin, G. C.; Denny, P. J.; Parker, D. G.; Spencer, M. S.; Whan, D. A. Mechanism of methanol synthesis from CO₂/CO/H₂ mixtures over Copper/Zinc Oxide/Alumina catalysts: Use of ¹⁴C-labelled reactants. *Appl. Catal.* **1987**, *30*, 333–338.
- (70) Kagan, Y. B.; Liberov, L. G.; Slivinskii, E. V.; Loktev, S. M.; Lin, G. I.; Rozovskii, A. Y.; Bashkurov, A. N. Mechanism of methanol synthesis from carbon dioxide and hydrogen. *Dokl. Chem. (Engl. Transl.)* **1975**, *221*, 254–256.
- (71) Kagan, Y. B.; Rozovskii, A. Y.; Liberov, L. G.; Slivinskii, E. V.; Lin, G. I.; Loktev, S. M.; Bashkurov, A. N. Study of the mechanism of the synthesis of methanol from carbon monoxide and hydrogen using the radioactive carbon isotope ¹⁴C. *Dokl. Chem. (Engl. Transl.)* **1975**, *224*, 598–601.
- (72) Quaderer, G. J.; Cochran, G. A. (Dow Chemical Co.). Eur. Patent 0,119,609, 1984.
- (73) British Coal Research Establishment. *Synthesis of Chemical Feedstocks and Intermediates*; Final Report ECSC No. 7220-EC/824; 1987.
- (74) Lauritsen, J. V.; Kibsgaard, J.; Helveg, S.; Topsøe, H.; Clausen, B. S.; Lægsgaard, E.; Besenbacher, F. Size-dependent structure of MoS₂ nanocrystals. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2007**, *2*, 53–58.
- (75) Lauritsen, J. V.; Bollinger, M. V.; Lægsgaard, E.; Jacobsen, K. W.; Nørskov, J. K.; Clausen, B. S.; Topsøe, H.; Besenbacher, F. Atomic scale insight into structure and morphology changes of MoS₂ nanoclusters in hydrotreating catalysts. *J. Catal.* **2004**, *221*, 510–522.
- (76) Badawi, M.; Cristol, S.; Paul, J. F.; Payen, E. DFT study of furan adsorption over stable molybdenum sulfide catalyst under HDO conditions. *C. R. Chim.* **2009**, *12*, 754–761.
- (77) Karolewski, M. A.; Cavell, R. G. SIMS study of Cs/MoS₂(0001) II. Chemisorption of O₂, H₂O, HCOOH, CO₂ and CS₂. *Surf. Sci.* **1989**, *219*, 261–276.
- (78) Laurent, E.; Delmon, B. Influence of water in the deactivation of a sulfided NiMo/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst during hydrodeoxygenation. *J. Catal.* **1994**, *146*, 281–291.
- (79) Machamer, H. Über die Guerbetische Reaktion und ihre technische Bedeutung. *Angew. Chem.* **1952**, *64*, 213–220.
- (80) Hilmen, A. M.; Xu, M.; Gines, M. J. L.; Iglesia, E. Synthesis of higher alcohols on copper catalysts supported on alkali-promoted basic oxides. *Appl. Catal., A: Gen.* **1998**, *169*, 355–372.
- (81) Burk, P. L.; Pruett, R. L.; Campo, K. S. The rhodium-promoted Guerbet reaction Part I. Higher alcohols from lower alcohols. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1985**, *33*, 1–14.
- (82) Calafat, A.; Laine, J. Factors affecting the carbonylation of methanol over sulfided CoMo/C catalysts at atmospheric pressure. *Catal. Lett.* **1994**, *28*, 69–77.

(83) Calafat, A.; Laine, J. High pressure reaction of methanol with CO or CO+H₂ catalyzed by sulfided CoMo/C. *Appl. Catal., A* **1995**, *133*, 67–79.

(84) Chen, Y. Y.; Zhao, X.; Wen, X. D.; Shi, X. R.; Dong, M.; Wang, J.; Jiao, H. Mechanistic aspect of ethanol synthesis from methanol under CO hydrogenation condition on MoS_x cluster model catalysts. *J. Mol. Catal. A* **2010**, *329*, 77–85.

(85) LaVopa, V.; Satterfield, C. N. Poisoning of thiophene hydrodesulfurization by nitrogen compounds. *J. Catal.* **1988**, *110*, 375–387.

(86) Temel, B.; Tuxen, A. K.; Kibsgaard, J.; Topsøe, N.-Y.; Hinnemann, B.; Knudsen, K. G.; Topsøe, H.; Lauritsen, J. V.; Besenbacher, F. Atomic-scale insight into the origin of pyridine inhibition of MoS₂-based hydrotreating catalysts. *J. Catal.* **2010**, *271*, 280–289.

(87) Logadóttir, Á.; Moses, P. G.; Hinnemann, B.; Topsøe, N.-Y.; Knudsen, K. G.; Topsøe, H.; Nørskov, J. K. A density functional study of inhibition of the HDS hydrogenation pathway by pyridine, benzene, and H₂S on MoS₂-based catalysts. *Catal. Today* **2006**, *111*, 44–51.

(88) Hatanaka, S. Hydrodesulfurization of selective catalytic cracked gasoline. *Catal. Surv. Asia* **2005**, *9*, 87–93.