Applied welfare economics with discrete choice models: implications of theory for empirical specification

Batley , Richard; Ibáñez Rivas, Juan Nicolás

Published in:
Choice Modelling

Link to article, DOI:
[10.4337/9781781007273.00012](https://doi.org/10.4337/9781781007273.00012)

Publication date:
2013

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Batley , R., & Ibáñez Rivas, J. N. (2013). Applied welfare economics with discrete choice models: implications of theory for empirical specification. In S. Hess, & A. Daly (Eds.), *Choice Modelling : The State-of-the-art and the State-of-practice* (pp. 144–171). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781781007273.00012>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

EDITED BY
STEPHANE HESS
ANDREW DALY

CHOICE MODELLING

The State of the Art and the State of Practice

Choice Modelling

The State of the Art and the State of Practice

Edited by

Stephane Hess

University of Leeds, UK

Andrew Daly

University of Leeds, UK and RAND Europe

Edward Elgar

Cheltenham, UK • Northampton, MA, USA

© Stephane Hess and Andrew Daly 2013

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical or photocopying, recording, or otherwise without the prior permission of the publisher.

Published by
Edward Elgar Publishing Limited
The Lypiatts
15 Lansdown Road
Cheltenham
Glos GL50 2JA
UK

Edward Elgar Publishing, Inc.
William Pratt House
9 Dewey Court
Northampton
Massachusetts 01060
USA

A catalogue record for this book
is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Control Number: 2012955227

This book is available electronically in the ElgarOnline.com
Economics Subject Collection, E-ISBN 978 1 78100 727 3

ISBN 978 1 78100 726 6

Typeset by Servis Filmsetting Ltd, Stockport, Cheshire
Printed and bound in Great Britain by T.J. International Ltd, Padstow

Contents

List of contributors *Introduction*

1. Modeling single
the app store
Jordan J. Louv
2. Forecasting be
Andrew Daly
3. Workshop repo
discrete-contin
Abdul Pinjari, J
4. Workshop repo
Andrew Daly, J
5. Workshop repo
behaviour: stat
Benedict G.C. J
Harmen Oppew
6. Workshop repo
good models so
apace?
Rob Sheldon, M
7. Applied welfar
implications of
Richard Batley
8. A comprehens
rapid transit in
Annesha Enam
9. On the percept
using digital in
Paula Iglesias,
10. How urban en
choice and late
Lissy La Paix,
Andrés Monzó,

Contents

<i>List of contributors</i>	vii
<i>Introduction</i>	ix
1. Modeling single individuals: the journey from psych lab to the app store <i>Jordan J. Louviere</i>	1
2. Forecasting behaviour: with applications to transport <i>Andrew Daly</i>	48
3. Workshop report: recent advances on modeling multiple discrete-continuous choices <i>Abdul Pinjari, Chandra Bhat and David S. Bunch</i>	73
4. Workshop report: working with repeated choice data <i>Andrew Daly, Stephane Hess and Christine Eckert</i>	91
5. Workshop report: mental representations and discrete choice behaviour: state-of-the-art and avenues for future research <i>Benedict G.C. Dellaert, Theo Arentze, Caspar G. Chorus, Harmen Oppewal and Geert Wets</i>	107
6. Workshop report: good data is key to the development of good models so how is innovation in data collection keeping apace? <i>Rob Sheldon, Martin Dix, Terry Flynn and Paul Metcalfe</i>	125
7. Applied welfare economics with discrete choice models: implications of theory for empirical specification <i>Richard Batley and J. Nicolás Ibáñez</i>	144
8. A comprehensive model to capture the preference for mass rapid transit in Dhaka <i>Annesha Enam and Charisma F. Choudhury</i>	172
9. On the perception of safety in low income neighbourhoods: using digital images in a stated choice experiment <i>Paula Iglesias, Margarita Greene and Juan de Dios Ortúzar</i>	193
10. How urban environment affects travel behaviour: integrated choice and latent variable model for travel schedules <i>Lissy La Paix, Michel Bierlaire, Elisabetta Cherchi and Andrés Monzón</i>	211

11. Selecting a date: a matter of regret and compromises 229
Caspar G. Chorus and John M. Rose
12. Trivariate probit models of pre-purchase/purchase shopping
channel choice: clothing purchases in Northern California 243
Patricia L. Mokhtarian and Wei (Laura) Tang
13. Choice models of travel demand in practice: current trends,
problems and welcome research directions 274
Peter Vovsha

Index

303

Contributors

Theo Arentze Urt
Technology, Eindhoven

Richard Batley Insti

Chandra Bhat Dep
Engineering, The U

Michel Bierlaire Tr
École Polytechnique

David S. Bunch Gra
Davis

Elisabetta Cherchi I

Caspar G. Chorus S
Technology, Delft

Charisma F. Chou
Technology

Andrew Daly Insti
RAND Europe

Benedict G.C. De
Economics, Erasmu

Martin Dix MVA C

Christine Eckert M
Choice (CenSoC),

Annesha Enam Ban

Terry Flynn Centre
Technology, Sydne

Margarita Greene S
de Chile

Stephane Hess Inst

promises	229
urchase shopping hern California	243
ang	
e: current trends,	274
	303

Contributors

Theo Arentze Urban Planning Group, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven

Richard Batley Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds

Chandra Bhat Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, The University of Texas at Austin

Michel Bierlaire Transport and Mobility Laboratory (TRANSP-OR), École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)

David S. Bunch Graduate School of Management, University of California, Davis

Elisabetta Cherchi DTU Transport, Technical University of Denmark

Caspar G. Chorus Section of Transport and Logistics, Delft University of Technology, Delft

Charisma F. Choudhury Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology

Andrew Daly Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds and RAND Europe

Benedict G.C. Dellaert Marketing Section, Department of Business Economics, Erasmus School of Economics, Erasmus University Rotterdam

Martin Dix MVA Consultancy

Christine Eckert Marketing Department and Centre for the Study of Choice (CenSoC), The University of Technology, Sydney

Annesha Enam Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology

Terry Flynn Centre for the Study of Choice (CenSoC), The University of Technology, Sydney

Margarita Greene School of Architecture, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

Stephane Hess Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds

J. Nicolás Ibáñez DTU Transport, Danish Technical University

Paula Iglesias Department of Transport Engineering and Logistics,
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

Lissy La Paix Department of Civil Engineering, University of Twente

Jordan J. Louviere Centre for the Study of Choice (CenSoC), The
University of Technology, Sydney

Paul Metcalfe PJM Economics

Patricia L. Mokhtarian Department of Civil and Environmental
Engineering and Institute of Transportation Studies, University of
California, Davis

Andrés Monzón Transport Research Centre TRANSyT, Universidad
Politécnica de Madrid

Harmen Oppewal Department of Marketing, Faculty of Business and
Economics, Monash University, Melbourne

Juan de Dios Ortúzar Department of Transport Engineering and Logistics,
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

Abdul Pinjari Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering,
University of South Florida

John M. Rose Institute of Transport and Logistics Studies, The University
of Sydney

Rob Sheldon Accent

Wei (Laura) Tang Transportation Technology and Policy Graduate
Program, Institute of Transportation Studies, University of California,
Davis

Peter Vovsha Parsons Brinckerhoff

Geert Wets Transportation Research Institute, Hasselt University

Introduct

Choice modelling
economic activity
neighbourhoods
oping world. But
ferent sectors are
multi-sector conl
to present and co
to learn what is a
second Internati
Institute for Trar
Oulton Hall near

The conferenc
ers from across
a key technique
This included n
ral Internationa
Spring 2009. Th
the growing inte
research.

The diversity c
ics and practitio
fields, with a sim
at the conference
ing a number of
state of practice.
of the latest tecl
evaluating polic;

This edited v
at the conferenc
progress in the
keynote address
standing in the
of ideas the cor
form the follow

7. Applied welfare economics with discrete choice models: implications of theory for empirical specification

Richard Batley and J. Nicolás Ibáñez

1. INTRODUCTION

The apparatus of the Random Utility Model (RUM) first emerged in the early 1960s, with Marschak (1960) and Block and Marschak (1960) translating models originally developed for discriminant analysis in psychophysics (Thurstone, 1927) to the alternative domain of discrete choice analysis in economics. Whilst some researchers were quick to see its practical potential (e.g. McFadden, 1968, 1975), it was not until the late 1970s and early 1980s that RUM was equipped with a reasonably comprehensive theoretical rationale in terms of the economics of consumption. An important tenet of this rationale was the link between discrete choice and welfare, which established a basis for applying RUM to public policy analysis, and paved the way for the plethora of applications which have been witnessed over the last 30 years.

It will be helpful to clarify precisely what we mean by 'discrete choice', since Small and Rosen (1981) – which will be referred to as 'S&R' in the remainder of this chapter – suggest three alternative rationales, as follows. First, commodities may be available in continuous quantities but only a limited number of varieties. Second, goods may be supplied in discrete units of such magnitude that only a small number of those units are typically consumed (in this case, S&R cite the example of travel mode choice). Third, if the search for the optimal consumption bundle entails a choice between alternative corner solutions, then the problem is reduced to discrete units. S&R draw particular motivation from the first rationale, introducing a general model of demand comprising both continuous and discrete components. That is to say, an individual is represented as choosing a quantity of a continuous commodity conditional upon discrete choice.

Whilst not overlooking the significant contributions of McFadden

(1981) and Williams (1981) influential in establishing that RUM can be applied to welfare [their] paper is to demonstrate that welfare economics can be applied where choices are involved' (S&R, 1981). 'Throughout, the emphasis is on empirical work' (S&R, 1981) with which RUM has been shown to have stood the test of time; unchallenged and continuing to inform policy interventions.

That said, in the years since its publication the literature (e.g. Hau, 1981; 1990; Karlström, 1999; 2000) has identified properties of the consumer surplus concept. In particular, these contradictions have led to the admission of income effects of travel time. This will seek to contribute to the understanding of S&R, especially in the context of providing a rigorous guide to the application of RUM. Specifically, our chapter will

1. Section 2 will introduce the concept of demand, before articulating its function, and exposing its limitations.
2. Section 3 will consider the discrete and continuous components of individual-level and aggregate-level demand. This chapter will present the derivation of S&R's demand function.
3. Sections 4 and 5 will consider the derivation of demand with four different functional forms, namely 'adding up', 'log sum', 'restricted case of log sum', and 'log sum' demand, the chapter will present the specification, such that the demand function can be derived.
4. Finally, section 6 will consider the derivation of consumer surplus, and the requirements on the demand function for the 'log sum' method to be applicable. The fundamental properties of the log sum method are presented.

mics with : implications specification s Ibáñez

(RUM) first emerged in
ck and Marschak (1960)
riminant analysis in psy-
domain of discrete choice
rs were quick to see its
, it was not until the late
with a reasonably compre-
conomics of consumption.
k between discrete choice
ng RUM to public policy
f applications which have

mean by 'discrete choice',
referred to as 'S&R' in
alternative rationales, as
in continuous quantities
goods may be supplied in
all number of those units
ie example of travel mode
sumption bundle entails a
n the problem is reduced
ion from the first ration-
nprising both continuous
dividual is represented as
conditional upon discrete

tributions of McFadden

(1981) and Williams (1977), S&R's analysis has proved particularly influential in establishing a basis upon which discrete choice models can be applied to welfare economics. S&R state that 'The purpose of [their] paper is to demonstrate that the conventional methods of applied welfare economics can be generalised to handle cases in which discrete choices are involved' (S&R, 1981, p. 106). Furthermore, they remark that: 'Throughout, the emphasis is on providing rigorous guidelines for carrying out empirical work' (p. 106). It is notable that, despite the intensity with which RUM has been applied over the last 30 years, S&R's paper has stood the test of time; the key propositions of the paper remain largely unchallenged and continue to underpin the analysis of significant public policy interventions.

That said, in the years following its publication, a small but significant literature (e.g. Hau, 1985, 1987; Jara-Díaz and Farah, 1988; Jara-Díaz, 1990; Karlström, 1999; Karlström and Morey, 2004) has clarified the properties of the consumer surplus measure emanating from S&R's paper. In particular, these contributors have considered the extent to which S&R admits income effects of both price and income changes. The present paper will seek to contribute to the aforementioned literature by furthering understanding of S&R, especially in a manner that appeals to the aspiration to provide '... rigorous guidelines for carrying out empirical work'. More specifically, our chapter will offer four substantive contributions, as follows:

1. Section 2 will introduce S&R's problem of discrete-continuous demand, before articulating the concept of a probabilistic demand function, and exposing the assumptions underlying this concept.
2. Section 3 will consider the application of the Slutsky equation to the discrete and continuous components of demand, from both individual-level and aggregate perspectives. In this regard, the present chapter will present a definitive account of the assumptions underpinning S&R's derivation of the Slutsky equation.
3. Sections 4 and 5 will reconcile S&R's model of discrete-continuous demand with four fundamental properties of demand functions, namely 'adding up', 'negativity', 'homogeneity' and 'symmetry'. For a restricted case of S&R's model involving only the probabilistic demand, the chapter will identify particular requirements on model specification, such that the aforementioned properties hold.
4. Finally, section 6 will review the rationale followed by S&R in deriving consumer surplus from discrete choice models. It will be shown that the 'log sum' measure of consumer surplus implies very particular requirements on model specification, consistent with those supporting the fundamental properties of demand functions.

- McFadden, D. (1981), 'Econometric models of probabilistic choice', in C. Manski and D. McFadden (eds), *Structural Analysis of Discrete Data: With Econometric Applications*, Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Marschak, J. (1960), 'Binary choice constraints and random utility indicators', in J. Marschak (1974), *Economic Information, Decision and Prediction: Selected Essays*, vol. 1, Dordrecht: D. Reidel.
- Samuelson, P. (1942), 'Constancy of the marginal utility of income', in O. Lange, F. McIntyre and T.O. Yntema (eds), *Studies in Mathematical Economics and Econometrics in Memory of Henry Schultz*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Samuelson, P. (1950), 'The problem of integrability in utility theory', *Economica*, **17** (68), 355–385.
- Slutsky, E.E. (1915), 'Sulla teoria del bilancio del consumatore', *Giornale degli Economisti*, **51**, 1–26, reprinted as 'On the theory of the budget of the consumer', in J. Stigler and K.E. Boulding (eds), *A.E.A. Readings in Price Theory*, Chicago: Richard D. Irwin Inc.
- Small, K.A. and H.S. Rosen (1981), 'Applied welfare economics with discrete choice models', *Econometrica*, **49** (1), 105–130.
- Steenburgh, T.J. (2008), 'The invariant proportion of substitution property (IPS) of discrete-choice models', *Marketing Science*, **27** (2), 300–307.
- Swait, J. and M. Ben-Akiva (1987), 'Empirical test of a constrained choice discrete model: mode choice in Sao Paulo, Brazil', *Transportation Research Part B*, **21** (2), 103–115.
- Thurstone, L. (1927), 'A law of comparative judgement', *Psychological Review*, **34**, 273–286.
- Williams, H.C.W.L. (1977), 'On the formation of travel demand models and economic evaluation measures of user benefit', *Environment and Planning A*, **9** (3), 285–344.