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Abstract

To investigate the effect of sheep dung on soil carbon (C) sequestration, a 152 days incubation experiment was conducted with soils from two different Inner Mongolian grasslands, i.e. a Leymus chinensis dominated grassland representing the climax community (2.1% organic matter content) and a heavily degraded Artemisia frigida dominated community (1.3% organic matter content). Dung was collected from sheep either fed on L. chinensis (C$_3$ plant with $^{13}$C = $-26.8\%$; dung $^{13}$C = $-26.2\%$) or Cleistogenes squarrosa (C$_4$ plant with $^{13}$C = $-14.6\%$; dung $^{13}$C = $-15.7\%$). Fresh C$_3$ and C$_4$ sheep dung was mixed with the two grassland soils and incubated under controlled conditions for analysis of $^{13}$C-CO$_2$ emissions. Soil samples were taken at days 17, 43, 86, 127 and 152 after sheep dung addition to detect the $^{13}$C signal in soil and dung components. Analysis revealed that 16.9% and 16.6% of the sheep dung C had decomposed, of which 3.5% and 2.8% was sequestered in the soils of L. chinensis and A. frigida grasslands, respectively, while the remaining decomposed sheep dung was emitted as CO$_2$. The cumulative amounts of C respired from dung treated soils during 152 days were 7–8 times higher than in the un-amended controls. In both grassland soils, ca. 60% of the evolved CO$_2$ originated from the decomposing sheep dung and 40% from the native soil C. Priming effects of soil C decomposition were observed in both soils, i.e. 1.4 g and 1.6 g additional soil C kg$^{-1}$ dry soil had been emitted as CO$_2$ for the L. chinensis and A. frigida soils, respectively. Hence, the net C losses from L. chinensis and A. frigida soils were 0.6 g and 0.9 g C kg$^{-1}$ soil, which was 2.6% and 7.0% of the total C in L. chinensis and A. frigida grasslands soils, respectively. Our results suggest that grazing of degraded Inner Mongolian pastures may cause a net soil C loss due to the positive priming effect, thereby accelerating soil deterioration.

Introduction

The availability of soil organic carbon (C) for microbial decomposition is crucial for many processes within the C cycle, and assessment of soil dynamics is of great concern in terms of climate change and soil fertility [1]. Animal dung returned to soil can constitute important source of C, and maintain long-term soil fertility in grassland ecosystems [2–4]. However, dung application can also potentially increase soil respiration [5–8]. Studies have shown that the addition of easily degradable C to soil may stimulate microbial activity to such an extent that the turnover of soil organic matter (SOM) is accelerated temporarily, an effect that is frequently called the priming effect (PE) [3,9–12]. When a positive PE occurs, the addition of material such as animal slurry to soils may not result in a net C sequestration, but rather a net C loss [9]. The intensity, direction, and extent of PE depends on several parameters, including the amount and quality of added C, soil microbial activity and community structure [13–15], soil pH [16] and aggregate size [17].

Distinct signatures in $^{13}$C content between native soil C and ‘new’ introduced labile C compounds added in the form of animal slurry or manure enables quantification of the interaction in C turnover between different C pools [3,18–20]. By this means, researchers have shown that incorporated slurry-C was lost twice as fast as the native soil C in two soils with different C contents. Slurry incorporation induced a PE, which was most pronounced in the soil with the highest C content [18]. Following the application of slurries with different particle sizes to a grassland soil, significant increases of soil CO$_2$ effluxes (by 2–8 times) were observed in all slurry fractions and the highest was found in the smaller slurry particles [3]. In a study with additions of different substrate quality combinations and C-13 characteristics, Kuzyakov & Bol (2004) have identified three distinct C sources for soil CO$_2$ emissions and observed that addition of labile C (sugar) lead to changes in SOM...
Field sampling, dung collection and preparation

The soil was collected from two grassland sites. One site was characterized by *Leymus chinensis* vegetation (C₃ plant), which is a dominant species of the climax grassland community in the Inner Mongolian steppe [32]. Under moderate grazing, *L. chinensis* vegetation is replaced by *Cleistogenes squarrosa* (C₄ plant), which in turn is replaced finally by *Artemisia frigida* (C₃ plant) vegetation under heavy grazing [33–34]. About 87% of plants in this geographical region possess a C₃ photosynthetic pathway [33], and consequently the ¹³C isotopic signature in soil organic carbon reflects a C₃ dominated community (Table 1). Further details on the site conditions are given by Chen & Wang [32].

Soils were collected from 0–10 cm depth in the two grasslands. Five 10×10 m² plots situated 2 m apart were sampled randomly using a 6 cm diameter auger to achieve ca. 10 kg of soil from each plot. Soil was composited into one bulk sample, vegetation and coarse roots were removed by hand, and the soil was sieved to pass a 2 mm mesh and stored at <5°C under field moist conditions until it was used.

Sheep dung was collected from twenty Mongolian sheep (two-year old), housed in metabolic cages with the approval of the Chinese Experimental Animal Committee of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the owner of sheep. Dung collection did not influence sheep feeding but limited their activities freely in successive ten days by the metabolic cages. The sheep were divided randomly into two groups (ten for each group), and one group was fed on *L. chinensis*, while another group was fed on *C. squarrosa*. After 5 days trial to allow for equilibration of the ¹³C content in the digestive tract, dung was collected twice per day in plastic bags which were attached to the tails of the sheep. Dung samples were collected during the following five consecutive days.

The dung was kept frozen (−20°C) until used. The difference of the C₃ and C₄ sheep dung are given in Table 2. There was no significant different of C, N content and C/N ratios for C₃ and C₄ sheep dung.

Experimental setup

The incubation experiment was conducted over a 5-month period. Before the start of incubation, soil was mixed thoroughly and the soil moisture was adjusted with demineralized water to 40% of water-holding capacity (WHC). The sheep dung was thawed and homogenized by hand before being mixed into the soil. The incubation experiment included six treatments, i.e. the full combination of the two soils and three applications of sheep dung (C₃, C₄ and no addition). Dung was added as 60 g fresh weight portions mixed thoroughly with 1 kg of soil (air-dried equivalent). The soil-dung mixtures were transferred to 2 L Kilner jars in triplicate that were gently tapped on the lab bench to compress the soil. Two sets of jars were prepared, one for gas sampling and one for soil sampling. To minimize water losses from the soils, jars were covered with perforated Parafilm that was only removed 30 min before gas sampling events. The jars were incubated at 20±1°C in a controlled temperature cabinet throughout the 152 days of the experiment. Water content was held constant by regular watering to weight.

Headspace sampling for analysis of CO₂ flux and δ¹³C of CO₂

Samples for CO₂ efflux and δ¹³C isotopic analysis were collected 16 times on days 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 14, 19, 24, 41, 55, 71, 83, 100, 121, 137 and 152 after sheep dung amendment. The Kilner jars were sealed gas tight by lids equipped with a rubber septum to allow headspace gas to be sampled by syringe and
needle. At each gas sampling event, the headspace was sealed for 30 min and four 10-ml headspace samples were collected every 10 min. The sampling involved a three-step procedure. First, the headspace gas was mixed with a 20-ml sampling syringe several times. Second, a 10-ml gas sample was extracted from the headspace and 5 ml used to pressurize an evacuated 2-ml crimp-sealed vial for the $^{13}$C isotopic analysis of CO$_2$. The residual 5 ml gas sample was analyzed immediately for CO$_2$ concentration by gas chromatography using a HP 6890 GC equipped with a Chromosorb 101 column (30 m), He carrier gas and Thermal Conductivity Detection. Gas fluxes were calculated from the change in CO$_2$ concentration inside the Kilner jars over the 30 min enclosure period. The relationship between CO$_2$ concentrations vs. time was significantly linear ($R^2 = 0.93$). Flux rates were thus calculated from the slope of the linear regression lines and expressed as mg C kg$^{-1}$ soil (DM) day$^{-1}$.

The $^{13}$C of CO$_2$ stored in the 2-ml pressurized vials was determined within 1 week. We used a PreCon (Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany) trace gas preparation-concentration unit coupled in continuous flow mode to a Delta PLUS isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS, Thermo Scientific). As laboratory standard we used commercial CO$_2$ which had been calibrated against certified $^{13}$CO$_2$ standards (Messer Griesheim, Krefeld, Germany). Samples of the certified standards were also included in each batch of analysis. Results relating to $^{13}$C characteristics are reported as $\%$ vs. VPDB [35].

**Soil sampling and analyses**

Soil samples were collected from the jars at days 17, 43, 86, 127 and 152 after dung addition using a 2 cm diameter polyethylene pipe (15 cm long). The subsamples were mixed and the visible small sheep dung was sought out. The larger sheep dung particles were carefully removed by tweezers and the smaller fractions of sheep residues in the soil was absorbed by electrostatic effect, which produced a polyethylene bottle rubbing against a piece of fabric [36].

Soil samples were weighed in Ag-foil capsules, arranged on a microtiter plate, wetted with water to approximately field capacity, and placed in a desiccator containing a beaker with concentrated (12M) HCl. The carbonates are released as CO$_2$ by the acid treatment in 6 to 8 h. The soil samples are then dried at 60°C prior to isotope determination [37]. The soil was then finely ground by a ball mill, and a ca. 30 mg subsample was weighed into a tin combustion cup for determination of total carbon and $^{13}$C:$^{12}$C ratio following flash combustion on an elemental analyzer (EA 1110, CE Instruments, Milan, Italy) coupled in continuous flow mode to the IRMS.

### Calculations

In this study, we assumed that the C$_3$ and C$_4$ sheep dung materials go through the same transformation and transport processes, so we can differentiate the carbon source both in soil and CO$_2$ efflux based on the different isotope value.

We calculated the percentage of dung-derived C in relation to the total soil C according to equation (1):

$$D = \frac{\delta_{3S} - \delta_{1d}}{\delta_{1d} - \delta_{3d}} \times 100$$

where $\delta_{3S}$ and $\delta_{1d}$ are the $^{13}$C isotope values of soils amended with C$_4$ or C$_3$ dung at the time of sampling, and $\delta_{1d}$ and $\delta_{3d}$ are the $^{13}$C isotope values of the original C$_4$ and C$_3$ dung prior to amendment [18,38]. The difference in $^{13}$C between the C$_3$ and C$_4$ dung in our incubation was 10.5$\%$ (Table 2).

**Table 1.** Physical and chemical characteristics of the two grassland soils used in the incubation study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>L. chinensis soil</th>
<th>A. frigida soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dominant vegetation</td>
<td><strong>Leymus chinensis, Stipa grandis</strong></td>
<td><strong>Artemisia frigida, Cleistogenes squarrosa</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil type</td>
<td>Dark chestnut</td>
<td>Light chestnut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil texture</td>
<td>Silty loam</td>
<td>Sandy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil organic matter (SOM) (%)</td>
<td>2.1±0.06</td>
<td>1.3±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil total N content (g kg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.9±0.1</td>
<td>1.3±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil microbial biomass C (mg/kg)</td>
<td>390±13</td>
<td>251±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (water: soil = 2.5:1)</td>
<td>6.3±0.02</td>
<td>6.6±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{13}$ value (% vs VPDB)</td>
<td>−22.2±0.1</td>
<td>−22.4±0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers are mean ± SE of n=5 replicate bulk soil samples. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078578.t001

**Table 2.** Characteristics of sheep dung used in the incubation study. Dung was collected from sheep either fed on L. chinensis (C$_3$ dung) or C. squarrosa (C$_4$ dung).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dung type</th>
<th>Dry matter (% w/w)</th>
<th>Organic C (% of DM)</th>
<th>Total N (g)</th>
<th>C/N</th>
<th>Dry matter (Per 60 g fresh material)</th>
<th>Total C (g)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$ value (% vs VPDB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C$_3$ dung</td>
<td>86.1±0.4</td>
<td>43.9±0.3$^{a}$</td>
<td>1.4±0.08</td>
<td>31.3±0.1</td>
<td>51.7±0.4</td>
<td>22.7±0.15</td>
<td>−26.2±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_4$ dung</td>
<td>85.1±0.6</td>
<td>44.4±0.2</td>
<td>1.29±0.03</td>
<td>34.4±0.1</td>
<td>51.1±0.2</td>
<td>22.7±0.18</td>
<td>−15.7±0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{a}$Numbers are mean ± SE of n=3 replicates.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078578.t002
The fractions of dung-derived C incorporated in the soil at the sampling time in relation to the total dung C applied was calculated with equation (2):

$$p = \frac{D \times SDW \times SC \times 100}{DC}$$

where SDW is soil dry weight, SC is the content of soil organic carbon and DC is the amount of dung C added into soil at the beginning of the incubation.

The difference in δ13C values between the respired CO2 from the C4 and C3 dung treatments was used to quantify the proportion of dung versus soil-derived CO2-C respired from the soil. The dung-derived CO2 was calculated with equation (3):

$$R = \frac{\delta_{d4} AS - \delta_{1d} AS}{\delta_{a4} d - \delta_{a3} d} \times 100 \times CO2_{C4}$$

where δ4AS-δ3AS is the difference in δ13C values of CO2 emitted from C4 dung and C3 dung treatments, and CO2C4 is the flux of CO2 in the C4 dung treatment. The approach assumes that any fractionation in 13C vs. 12C during respiration of sheep dung C and soil C is similar for the C3 and C4 dung.

Statistical analyses

Repeated Measures Define Factors of General Linear Model (SPSS 13.0, SPSS Inc. Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used to assess the impacts of treatment, sampling day, soil type and their interactions on the δ13C values of soils and CO2 emission, the CO2 respired efflux, dung-derived carbon, soil-derived carbon and the primed carbon effect. The sampling day was treated as within-subject variable, and soil type and the treatment were used as a between-subject variable. For each observation of CO2 emission, cumulative CO2 emission, δ13C of CO2 emission, dung-derived carbon and soil-derived carbon, the significance of differences between treatments was assessed by two-way ANOVA and Least Significance Difference (LSD).

Results

Soil carbon derived from sheep dung

Independent of soil type, soil δ13C did not differ (p>0.05) between the control soil and the C4 dung amended soil throughout the 152 days period (Table 3). In the C4 dung soils, δ13C significantly exceeded the C3 and control treatments from day 127 onwards in the L. chinensis soil, and from day 43 onwards in the A. frigida soil. The temporal incorporation of C4 sheep dung increased soil δ13C values by 0.76‰ from day 17 to day 132 in the L. chinensis soil, and by 0.46‰ in the A. frigida soil (Table 3).

An increasing amount of dung-derived C appeared in the soil C fractions for both soil types, except for the L. chinensis soil at day 86. After 152 days of incubation, about 3.8% and 4.9% of total organic soil C was derived from the applied dung in the L. chinensis and A. frigida soils, respectively. This was equivalent to 3.5% and 2.8% of the total applied sheep dung C (Table 3).

Daily and cumulative CO2 fluxes

The addition of sheep dung to the soil significantly increased CO2 flux throughout the experiment in both soils when compared with the control (P<0.05; Fig. 1 A, B). There was no difference in CO2 emission between the C4 dung and C3 dung treatments for the two soils, except on days 24 and days 100 in the L. chinensis soil, where C4 dung amended soil emitted most CO2. The two control soils used in the study showed almost uniform CO2 emission patterns, maintaining a constant rate (average 3.2 mg C kg−1 soil day−1) except for the initial increase in CO2 flux on days 1–3 which probably resulted from the soil wetting event. A two-phase pattern of soil CO2 emission was found in the incubation study for both soils. The first phase was observed during 0–55 days after sheep dung amendment, during which CO2 fluxes decreased to 26% and 43% of the initial CO2 emission in L. chinensis and A. frigida soil, respectively. Then a second peak of CO2 occurred after 55 days, decreasing again after ca. 100 days (L. chinensis soil) and 71 days (A. frigida soil) (Fig. 1 A, B). There was no interactive effect between soil type and dung treatment during the incubation.

The cumulative CO2 losses from sheep dung amended soils were ca. 7–8 times higher than in the control soils after 152 days (P<0.01, Fig. 1 C, D). However, there was no difference in total CO2 emission between the C3 and C4 sheep dung treatments (P>0.05, Fig. 1 C, D).

Isotopic characteristics of emitted CO2

Slightly higher δ13C values of CO2 were found in all the soils included in the two control soils at the beginning of the experiment. These increased towards a peak value at day 6, and then decreased to a minimum asymptotic value in all treatments at day 14 (Fig. 2). Generally, there was no difference in 13CO2-CO2 between the C4 dung treatment and control, except at days 53 and 121 for A. frigida soil (P>0.05). However, the δ13C value of CO2 from the C4 dung treated soils was significantly higher than that in the control soil in most occasions (P<0.05). For the C4 dung, C3 dung, and control treatments, respectively, average δ13C of CO2 emissions during 152 days incubation were −14.6‰, −20.7‰, and −24.3‰ for L. chinensis soil, and −15.2‰, −20.3‰, and −24.0‰ for A. frigida soil (Fig. 2).

CO2 emission sources and primed CO2 emission in dung amended soil

The simple method of estimating the contribution of dung-derived C in respired CO2 is only valid when the respiration rates from the C3 and C4 dung treated soils are the same[38], as was the case in the current study. Two peaks of dung-derived C were observed in both soils (Fig. 3). As a proportion of the total CO2-C respired from the dung treated L. chinensis soil, during the first 24 days of the experiment, the dung-derived C increased from 11.5% (day 2) to 90.9% (day 24), and from the dung treated A. frigida soil increased from 17.9% (day 2) to 91.0% (day 24) (Fig. 3). The cumulative amount of CO2-C respired from the C4 dung treatment was 5.11 and 5.33 g C kg−1 for L. chinensis soil and A. frigida soil, respectively (Appendix S1). The amount of dung-derived C recovered in respiration was nearly 1.5 times that from soil-derived C in both soils, i.e. 59.7% and 58.9% of the evolved CO2 originated from the decomposing dung in the L. chinensis soil and A. frigida soil, respectively (Fig. 3). More CO2 emissions in C4 dung treated soil than control soil in this study was ascribed to the priming process. The occurrence of positive priming was observed in both soils, with a more pronounced priming effect in A. frigida soil than in L. chinensis soil (Fig. 4). Thus, compared with the control soils, an additional 1.34 g C (L. chinensis) and 1.55 g C (A. frigida) was emitted as CO2 after sheep dung was applied (Appendix S1 and Table 4).

Net carbon budget

Over the 152 days incubation period, 3.5% and 2.8% (i.e. 0.79 g C and 0.64 g C) of the amended sheep dung was recovered in the L. chinensis soil and A. frigida soil carbon fractions, respectively (Table 3 and Table 4), and 13.4% and 13.8% of the
amended sheep dung was emitted as CO₂ in the *L. chinensis* and *A. frigida* soil carbon fractions, respectively. A priming effect was found in both soils during the incubation period. Considering the apparent supply of dung C to the soil C component, in comparison with the primed CO₂ loss from dung treated soil, the net soil C loss was nearly two times higher from the low C *A. frigida* soil (0.91 g C kg⁻¹ soil) compared to the high C *L. chinensis* soil (0.55 g C kg⁻¹ soil). As a result, 2.6% and 7.0% of soil C was lost due to the application of sheep dung from the *L. chinensis* and *A. frigida* soils, respectively (Table 4).

### Table 3. The dynamics of δ¹³C (Mean ± SE) in control soils and in soil treated with C₃ and C₄ dung, and the percent of dung-derived C incorporated in the soil in relation to soil C (D) and applied dung C (P).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days after addition</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>δ¹³C (% vs VPDB) C₃ dung soil</th>
<th>C₄ dung soil</th>
<th>D (% of soil C)</th>
<th>P (% of applied dung C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L. chinensis dominated soil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>−22.3±0.1</td>
<td>−22.6±0.1</td>
<td>−22.5±0.02</td>
<td>1.4±0.1</td>
<td>1.3±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>−22.2±0.1</td>
<td>−22.2±0.1</td>
<td>−22.0±0.1</td>
<td>1.1±0.2</td>
<td>1.2±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>−22.1±0.1</td>
<td>−22.0±0.04</td>
<td>−22.0±0.1</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
<td>0.5±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>−22.0±0.1*</td>
<td>−22.1±0.1*</td>
<td>−21.8±0.1 b</td>
<td>3.4±0.2</td>
<td>3.2±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>−22.2±0.1*</td>
<td>−22.1±0.3 b</td>
<td>−21.7±0.3 b</td>
<td>3.8±0.4</td>
<td>3.5±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. frigida dominated soil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>−22.3±0.03</td>
<td>−22.2±0.1</td>
<td>−22.2±0.03</td>
<td>0.03±0.1</td>
<td>0.02±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>−22.5±0.1</td>
<td>−22.4±0.1</td>
<td>−22.3±0.04</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
<td>0.6±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>−22.5±1.5 a</td>
<td>−22.3±0.1*</td>
<td>−22.1±0.1 b</td>
<td>2.1±0.1</td>
<td>1.2±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>−22.4±0.1*</td>
<td>−22.3±0.1*</td>
<td>−21.9±0.2 b</td>
<td>4.0±0.3</td>
<td>2.3±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>−22.2±0.03 a</td>
<td>−22.3±0.01 b</td>
<td>−21.8±0.02 b</td>
<td>4.9±0.3</td>
<td>2.8±0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown for each sampling during the 152 days incubation. Different superscript letters represent statistical significance at *P* < 0.05 at the same sampling time among treatments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078578.t003

Amended sheep dung was emitted as CO₂ in the *L. chinensis* soil and *A. frigida* soil carbon fractions, respectively. A priming effect was found in both soils during the incubation period. Considering the apparent supply of dung C to the soil C component, in comparison with the primed CO₂ loss from dung treated soil, the net soil C loss was nearly two times higher from the low C *A. frigida* soil (0.91 g C kg⁻¹ soil) compared to the high C *L. chinensis* soil (0.55 g C kg⁻¹ soil). As a result, 2.6% and 7.0% of soil C was lost due to the application of sheep dung from the *L. chinensis* and *A. frigida* soils, respectively (Table 4).

### Figure 1. Temporal dynamics of CO₂ fluxes (mg C kg⁻¹ day⁻¹) (A and B), and cumulative CO₂-C loss (mg C kg⁻¹ day⁻¹) (C and D) during 152 days of incubation of *L. chinensis* and *A. frigida* soils amended with C₃ and C₄ dung. Values are the mean (n = 3) ± SE (bars).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078578.g001
Discussion

Dung-derived C in the soil

The δ13C signatures differed by 10.5‰ between the C4 and C3 sheep dung in our study, which makes it possible to differentiate the soil- and dung-derived C in bulk samples as well as in respired CO2 based on the 13C natural abundance characteristics. Distinct differences in δ13C signatures between C4 and C3 dung treated (or control) soils emerged 43 days after dung incorporation into L. chinensis dominated soil, and 86 days in A. frigida dominated soil, suggesting differentiated time lags in the apparent transformation of dung C to the soil C component. However, the limited temporal resolution of soil sampling impeded a detailed identification of the exact temporal dynamics. In contrast, low but significant CO2 emissions derived from dung C was observed initially in the incubations, which indicates that dung decomposition commenced immediately, but a transfer to the soil C component was not apparent until after several weeks of incubation.

Bol et al. [38] observed that after a 150 days field experiment, 12.6% of applied cattle dung C was retained in a grassland top soil C component. Another field experiment in a temperate grassland showed that a maximum of 60% of cow dung C was retained in the soil after 56 days, declining to around 20% after 372 days [39]. In our study, only 2.8–3.5% of sheep dung C appeared in the soil C component after 152 days of incubation at 20°C. Probably the relatively low dung water content (i.e. 14.5%) in our study caused constrained decomposition compared with other studies [e.g. 84% water content in the study by Bol et al. [38]]. Slurry generally decomposes faster than dung due to its liquid nature and missing of various dissolved compounds [40]. The characteristics of the dung, such as its C/N ratio, is also an important factor which affected the decomposition of excreta. The C/N ratios in our experiment (31.3 for C3 dung and 34.4 for C4 dung) were much higher than the 0.7–10.9 for Bertora et al. [2], and high C/N excreta might be prone to slow mineralization compared to low C/N excreta [2,41–42].

CO2 fluxes

An apparent two-phase pattern of CO2 emission was observed in the current study, which was attributed to the two-phase pattern of sheep dung decomposed in both soil.

During the whole period of the incubation (152 days), in both soils, ca 40% of the total CO2 was released from dung treated soil itself, while 60% released from the decomposed dung (Fig. 3). The two-stage decomposition patterns have also been observed in other studies on dung decomposition, and it is proposed that in the first stage CO2 emission is due to the decomposition of labile C from soil and easily degradable dung fractions, while in the second phase, the decomposers attack more recalcitrant material [3, 18, 37]. The first phase of decomposition, as indicated by the increased CO2 efflux, lasted for ca. 6 days, which is longer compared with the 24–48 h duration observed in other studies, suggesting that the labile fraction of sheep dung C is more

Figure 2. Temporal dynamics of δ13C (‰ vs VPDB) signatures in CO2 emitted during 152 days following sheep dung addition to L. chinensis and A. frigida soil (n = 3).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078578.g002
recalcitrant and less available compared to other excreta such as wet cattle dung [24,29].

Isotope characteristics of emitted CO2

The δ13C signal of emitted CO2 from both C4 incorporated soils were significantly higher than the C3 and the control soil in our experiment, which was likely due to the incorporation and the microbial turnover of polymeric biologic cell wall materials from C4 dung into C3 grassland soils of C4 dung C [3]. Similar phenomena have been observed in other studies within the first hours [25–26]. For the control soil, in general the δ13C of emitted CO2 is slightly higher than the δ13C of soil undergoing decomposition. For example, Fangueiro et al. [3] reported that the δ13C of CO2 emitted from untreated soil was on average 5.4% higher than the value for the SOM undergoing decomposition. Angers et al. [25] reported 5.0% higher δ13C of emitted CO2 than δ13C in the soil itself. At the same time, slightly higher δ13C of emitted CO2 in the C4 dung treated soil (average −15.7%) than the sheep dung itself (average −15.7%) was found in our incubation, the interaction effect of sheep dung and soil and the isotope

![Graph](image)

Figure 3. The relative contribution of dung-derived CO2-C and soil-derived CO2-C during 152 days incubation calculated from the δ13C signature of CO2 after sheep dung addition (n = 3).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078578.g003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Fates of soil and sheep dung carbon after 152 days incubation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovered in soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. chinensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. frigida</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aNet soil C loss was given by the value of sheep dung C sequestered in the soil during 152 days subtracted from the primed soil CO2.

bSoil C loss (%) is the percentage total CO2-C loss compared to soil total organic C content.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078578.t004
fractionation associated with the microbial turnover maybe was the possible reason.

Soil priming effects after sheep dung addition

A priming effect (PE) is defined as a short-term change in the turnover of soil organic matter caused by the addition of labile organic C to the soil [43]. Here, we determined the priming effect as the excess emissions of soil C derived CO2 in dung treated soil compared to control soils. Primed CO2 emissions were observed throughout the 152 days incubation in both grassland soils. Specifically, 1.3 g and 1.6 g of excess soil C kg\(^{-1}\) was emitted as CO2 when dung was added to the L. chinensis soil and A. frigida soil, respectively, corresponding to 6.2% and 11.9% of total soil C. Such a priming effect is in the upper range of primed C losses of 2.3%–8.9% observed in previous studies [44–45].

Priming of soil C decomposition is believed to occur during relatively short-term periods upon addition of labile substrates to soils, and always occurs only in the early stage of substrate addition after which it rapidly ceases [9,10,18,46]. The rapid decrease in the priming effect was likely caused by the depletion of easily decomposable substances added with more complex materials [23]. However, primed soil C decomposition was apparent in our study throughout the entire 152 days period, although with a quantitative variation during the experiment, which may be related to the high C/N ratio and slow decomposition rate characteristics of sheep dung. The priming effect depends not only on the decomposability of the various carbon pools in the environment, but also on the state of the microorganisms [47–48], and involves not only one mechanism but rather a succession of processes partly connected with succession of microbial communities and functions [13]. Further research is needed to test the fundamental processes and mechanisms involved in the priming effect of soil organic matter decomposition due to grazing in Inner Mongolian grasslands.

The loss of sheep dung C via CO2 was the same in the two grassland soils in our experiment, which contrasts with results by Bol et al. [18] who reported that more slurry-derived C was respired from a C-rich soil compared to a C-poor soil during 0–9 days after slurry incorporation. The authors of that study attributed this to the more pronounced enhancement of basal soil respiration in C-rich soil compared to the C-poor soil. Furthermore, after labile organic C addition, energy limitation in C-poor soil may have ceased, which subsequently facilitated more activation of soil microorganisms, and more enzymes were produced that were capable of SOM degradation [17,43].

Conclusion and implications for grassland management

The addition of C\(_1\) sheep dung to a C\(_3\) grassland soil enabled us to successfully trace the fate of dung-derived C in the soil and calculate the soil organic C budget for two soils from the Inner Mongolian steppe. Although sheep dung provided an additional organic carbon source for the grassland soils, a large part was emitted as CO2 to the atmosphere. After sheep dung addition, a positive priming effect of soil C decomposition was observed in both a high-C L. chinensis soil and a low-C A. frigida soil. Therefore, the balance of soil organic carbon storage was negative when sheep dung was mixed into the soil. This effect was more pronounced for the degraded community of A. frigida soils, from which more soil C was lost compared with the climax community of L. chinensis soils. This finding is contrary to the conventional conception of carbon storage in temperate grassland, which predicts that the livestock excreta applied to grassland soils could return essential nutrients for plant growth and increase fertility and SOM contents.

The results suggest that intensive grazing management in the temperate steppe should be avoided. In Inner Mongolian grasslands, the succession from L. chinensis dominated communities to A. frigida dominated communities will result in decreased plant productivity and soil carbon inputs because of the decreased litter and root nutrient return [34]. Bearing in mind that complex plant-soil interactions which exist under field conditions have not been considered in our study, and assuming that the present conclusion can be extrapolated to field conditions, a further acceleration of the decreasing soil C pool in degraded grasslands may occur. Under actual grazing conditions, sheep dung may be mixed merely within the very top grassland soils, suggesting that the current calculations based on well-mixed soil and dung may overestimate soil C losses. Future work is thus needed to examine whether the priming effect of sheep dung amendments observed in this study can be extended to field conditions.
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