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Local varieties of leafy kales (*Brassica oleracea* L.) are grown in home gardens in Calabria and Sicily for self-consumption, in the same area where the wild relative *Brassica rupestris* Raf. also grows. With the use of AFLP markers, comparisons were made of the genetic diversity and population structure of ten wild and 22 cultivated populations, as well as of a hybrid population and of four commercial cultivars of different *B. oleracea* crops. The level of genetic diversity was higher in leafy kales than in wild populations and this diversity was mainly distributed within populations. Wild populations remained distinct from cultivated material. Additionally, most wild populations were distinctively isolated from each other. On the other hand, it was not possible to molecularly distinguish even geographically distant leafy kale populations from each other or from different *B. oleracea* crops. It was possible to detect inter-crossing between leafy kales and *B. rupestris*. Findings from this study illustrate the existing level of genetic diversity in the *B. oleracea* gene pool. Individual populations (either wild or leafy kales) with higher levels of genetic diversity have been identified and suggestions are given for an informed conservation strategy. Domestication hypotheses are also discussed.

Lorenzo Maggioni, Biodiversity International, Via dei Tre Denari 472/a, Maccarese (Fiumicino), Roma, Italy. Email: l.maggioni@cgiar.org

The brassica vegetables (*Brassica oleracea* L.) are a dietary staple food in many parts of the world. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) estimates that world commercial production of cabbages, cauliflowers, broccoli and other brassicas in 2011 was over 89 million tonnes from some 3.5 million hectares (FAOSTAT 2013). Wild relatives of *B. oleracea* vegetables, carrying the same C genome (*n* = 9) as the cultivated crops, grow on the western Atlantic and Mediterranean seaboard cliffs of Europe. These include several species belonging to Section *Brassica* of the *Brassica* Genus. They are able to intercross with *B. oleracea* crops with various degrees of fertility and therefore belong to their primary or secondary gene pools and are, as such, of great importance for breeding purposes (Bothmer et al. 1995). As a recent example, a nutritionally enhanced broccoli variety, with high glucoraphanin content, was launched in the UK market in 2011, derived from a cross with a wild Sicilian *Brassica villosa* accession collected in 1984 (BBSRC 2011; Mithen 2014).

Understanding the level and structure of the *in situ* genetic diversity of kales and their wild relatives can help identify germplasm that can be used for breeding purposes and for genetic conservation. These studies can also contribute to a better understanding of crop domestication patterns (Song et al. 1990; Maggioni et al. 2010).

Our study focused on a few agro-ecosystems in Calabria and Sicily (south Italy), where leafy kale (*Brassica oleracea* L. convar. *acephala* (DC.) Alef. var. *viridis* L., nomenclature according to Mansfeld’s Encyclopedia) (Hanelt and IPK 2001) is grown for self-consumption in home gardens, sometimes very near to populations of the wild relative *B. rupestris* Raf., which is endemic to Calabria and Sicily. For the first time, molecular analysis has been applied to C genome wild brassica populations and to cultivated kale growing in the same area, based on samples collected at the same time.

Various types of molecular markers (isozymes, RAPDs, microsatellites and AFLPs) have been used in studies aimed at determining the levels of genetic diversity and the population structure of wild (*n* = 9) *Brassica* species (Hurtrez-Boussès 1996, Lanner-Herrera et al. 1996, Lázaro and Aguínagalde 1998a, 1998b, Raybould et al. 1999, Geraci et al. 2004, Watson-Jones et al. 2006, Edih et al. 2007). As a general trend, levels of genetic diversity within populations have been found to be variable, while
high values of genetic differentiation among populations were consistently found, indicating a tendency to isolation of each population, subject to limited gene flow.

The genetic diversity within *B. oleracea* crops appears to be generally lower than within wild taxa, as exemplified in analyses by Mei et al. (2010) on seven *B. oleracea* crops and by Hintum et al. (2007) on genebank accessions of white cabbages. Allender et al. (2007) found a wealth of diversity revealed by chloroplast SSRs among the Mediterranean wild species, contrasting with an apparent absence of chloroplast diversity in *B. oleracea* crops and contemporary UK natural populations of *B. oleracea*.

Our aim was to compare the genetic diversity and population structure of collected cultivated and wild material as a whole, of Calabrian versus Sicilian populations and of the various populations collected within each local ecosystem. We also wished to investigate whether it is possible to use molecular means to distinguish wild from cultivated populations and to distinguish the leafy kales grown in different home gardens in this part of southern Italy from each other. Additionally, the investigations carried out in this study aimed to provide insights into the process of domestication of *B. oleracea*. There is currently no conclusive evidence regarding the progenitor species and the location of its initial domestication (Zohary et al. 2012). Assuming that leafy kale was the earliest cultivated *B. oleracea* that resulted from the domestication of a wild ancestor, it seemed relevant to compare wild populations (*B. rupestris* in our case) growing almost side by side with home gardened leafy kales.

With the use of AFLP markers we were able to detect a higher level of genetic diversity in leafy kales than in wild populations. This diversity was mainly distributed within populations. We were also able to distinguish wild from cultivated populations, but were not able to distinguish even geographically distant leafy kale populations from each other. It was possible to detect inter-crossing between leafy kales and *B. rupestris*, as reported by Maggioni et al. (2013). Findings from this study were helpful in exploring the existing level of genetic diversity in the *B. oleracea* gene pool and providing information for conservation strategies and breeding purposes. Finally, we made some considerations regarding the likelihood that domestication of *B. oleracea* took place in a Mediterranean scenario, similar to those that we have investigated here.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Seed samples of leafy kales were collected in 2006 and 2007 from six home gardens and four wild populations of *Brassica rupestris* in Calabria and from 16 home gardens and four wild populations in Sicily. Additionally, a putative hybrid population between *B. rupestris* and leafy kale was collected in Sicily whose hybrid nature was subsequently confirmed (Maggioni et al. 2013). Each population was given a name derived from the collecting location or an associated trait. In the case of the leafy kales, we often used the family name of the local farmer. Each population corresponds to the material collected from a given home garden. In the case of wild samples, we attributed to a given population all samples growing within an identifiable geographic context (i.e. east or west of a village, top or bottom of a hill). The selected boundaries therefore have a certain degree of approximation and it is possible that some of the populations that we have kept distinct for the analysis are actually able to intercross and thus part of the same effective population. Seeds were collected keeping the offspring of each individual mother plant separate, and they received an accession number and were deposited for long-term conservation at the University of Catania (Table 1). In Calabria, collecting from the wild and from home gardens took place in the surroundings of the villages of Stilo, Pazzano and Bivongi (Reggio Calabria province). Sicilian populations were collected in the surroundings of Caltavuturo, Sclafani Bagni and Resuttano (Palermo province) (Fig. 1, 2, 3). Three commercial cultivars of cauliflower and one of Calabrese broccoli were added to this study. Of these commercial ones, an unknown cultivar grown by Mr Romano in Caltavuturo was directly obtained from the farmer. The ‘Ramoso calabrese tardivo’ broccoli and the ‘Romanesco natalino’ and ‘Violetto di Sicilia natalino’ cauliflowers, which we bought in local shops as seed packets, are cultivars with a long tradition in Italy, obtained from selections of local populations.

Within each population, we analyzed the DNA extracted from seed harvested from as many mother plants as possible. However, the number of mother plants available, or at least providing fertile seeds, was very variable. Therefore, the populations analyzed consisted of germinated seeds derived from as few as one, up to as many as 28 mother plants. In two cases (Dubolino and Gallo) the samples received from farmers were seed bulks, with no knowledge of the number of mother plants. For each population, we attempted to analyze at least 30 individuals, but in some cases, due to low germination, it was only possible to analyze few plants (as few as five). A total of 970 individuals were analyzed with AFLP markers (Table 2).

**DNA extraction**

Seeds were germinated in soil trays under greenhouse conditions, and leaf material was harvested at the 4–6 leaf stage. DNA was extracted from the leaves using the method described by Doyle and Doyle (1987) with the following modifications:

The leaf samples were powdered in a mixermill (Merck Retsch mm 300) with a steel bead. After transferring the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Populations/accessions</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Collecting site</th>
<th>Accession numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kales Calabria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Latassa</td>
<td>Lat</td>
<td>home garden of Mr Raffaele Latassa, Bivongi</td>
<td>UNICT: 3781; 3768; 3779; 3785; 3774; 3767; 3762; 3784; 3783; 3782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pistininzi</td>
<td>Pis</td>
<td>home garden of Mr Cosimo Pistininzi, Stilo</td>
<td>UNICT 3758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Taverniti</td>
<td>Tav</td>
<td>home garden of Mr Nicola Taverniti, Bivongi</td>
<td>UNICT: 3736; 3737; 3739; 3740; 3766; 3773; 3771; 3791; 3792; 3777; 3788; 3760; 3790; 3856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Gallo</td>
<td>Gal</td>
<td>home garden of Mr Salvatore Gallo, Tizzano</td>
<td>UNICT 4013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Arparano</td>
<td>Arp</td>
<td>home garden of Mr Arparano, Stilo</td>
<td>UNICT: 3801; 3805; 3809; 3812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Drago</td>
<td>Dra</td>
<td>home garden of Mr Giuseppe Drago, Pazzano</td>
<td>UNICT 3850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wild Calabria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Pazzano Stella</td>
<td>Paz-St</td>
<td>rocks along the road at the base of Monte Stella</td>
<td>UNICT: 3765; 3770; 3772; 3780; 3789; 3794; 3807; 3810; 3813; 3815; 3816; 3817; 3819; 3821; 3849; 3853; 3854; 3855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pazzano West</td>
<td>Paz-W</td>
<td>Pazzano, trail going uphill from the fountain, West side of town</td>
<td>UNICT 4014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pazzano East</td>
<td>Paz-E</td>
<td>road from Pazzano, climbing up above the main square, East Side of town</td>
<td>UNICT: 3802; 3803; 3804; 3808; 3811; 3814; 3818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Stilo</td>
<td>Sti</td>
<td>Monte Consolino, around Norman castle and slopes and Stilo, along the road and surrounding of ‘Cattolica’</td>
<td>UNICT: 3775; 3776; 3778; 3787; 3793; 3795; 3796; 3797; 3799; 38004006; 4007; 4008; 4009; 4012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kales Sicily</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. De Baudo's neighbour</td>
<td>DBn</td>
<td>home garden of Mr De Baudo’s neighbour, Caltavuturo</td>
<td>UNICT: 3958; 3959; 3961; 3962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Romano</td>
<td>Rom</td>
<td>home garden of Mr Giuseppe Romano, Caltavuturo</td>
<td>UNICT: 3714; 3721; 3724; 3726; 3730; 3732; 3733; 3734; 3741; 3742; 3743; 3744; 3745; 3746; 3747; 3831; 3852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Trompello</td>
<td>Tro</td>
<td>home garden of Mr Trompello, Resuttano</td>
<td>UNICT: 4001; 4002; 4003; 4004; 4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Like macrocarpa</td>
<td>Lik</td>
<td>fenced garden along the road, near Caltavuturo</td>
<td>UNICT 3992; 3993; 3994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Vacante</td>
<td>Vac</td>
<td>home garden above Via Ricossa, near the house of Mr Vacante, Caltavuturo</td>
<td>UNICT 3846; 3978; 3979; 3981; 3982; 3983; 3984; 3985; 3986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Tudia</td>
<td>Tud</td>
<td>in front of Borgo Tudia, Resuttano</td>
<td>UNICT 3822; 3996; 3998; 3999; 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Siragusa</td>
<td>Sir</td>
<td>home garden of Mr Siragusa, Caltavuturo</td>
<td>UNICT: 3963; 3965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. 500 m</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Caltavuturo, 500 m from roundabout/electricity tower</td>
<td>UNICT 3678; 3968; 3969; 3970; 3971; 3972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. N-E side</td>
<td>NEs</td>
<td>Caltavuturo, 1 km NE, NE side of the mountain</td>
<td>UNICT: 3686; 3692; 3690; 3966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. De Baudo</td>
<td>DBa</td>
<td>home garden of Mr De Baudo, 2 km from the fountain in center of Caltavuturo, direction W</td>
<td>UNICT: 3684; 3688; 3694; 3696; 3703; 3704; 3830; 3831; 3841; 3851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Second garden</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Caltavuturo, 400 m from roundabout, eastward (second garden)</td>
<td>UNICT: 3702; 3973; 3974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. D’Anna</td>
<td>Dan</td>
<td>Resuttano, outside the village, road to Tudia, D’Anna’s land</td>
<td>UNICT 3676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Fascist fountain</td>
<td>Fas</td>
<td>garden by the fascist fountain, along the road Caltavuturo-Sclafani Bagni</td>
<td>UNICT3995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Under rock</td>
<td>Und</td>
<td>below the rock, Caltavuturo</td>
<td>UNICT: 3975; 3976; 3977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Dubolino</td>
<td>Dub</td>
<td>Sclafani Bagni, Dubolino home garden</td>
<td>UNICT 3824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Curly</td>
<td>Cur</td>
<td>curly kale garden, Caltavuturo</td>
<td>UNICT 3967</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 1. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Populations/accessions</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Collecting site</th>
<th>Accession numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild Sicily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Vacante slope</td>
<td>VaS</td>
<td>Caltavuturo, slope above Via Ricossa and near castle, NE-facing side of mountain</td>
<td>UNICT: 3681; 3685; 3705; 3707; 3859; 3860; 3862; 3863; 3865; 3869; 3874; 3838; 3839; 3847; 3848; 3857; 3858; 3861; 3864; 3866; 3870; 3872; 3873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Above Romano</td>
<td>Abo</td>
<td>rock above home garden Romano, Caltavuturo, Palermo</td>
<td>UNICT: 3715; 3716; 3717; 3719; 3720; 3722; 3723; 3725; 3727; 3728; 3729; 3735; 3748; 3749; 3750; 3751; 3752; 3753; 3754; 3756; 3757; 3759; 3761; 3763; 3764; 3769; 3786; 3844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sclafani</td>
<td>ScI</td>
<td>Sclafani Bagni, inside the castle</td>
<td>UNICT: 3677; 3683; 3689; 3863; 3693; 3695; 3697; 3699; 3700; 3701; 3712; 3713; 3825; 3829; 3834; 3835; 3836; 3842; 3845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Between Caltavuturo and Sclafani</td>
<td>Btw</td>
<td>cliffs between Caltavuturo and Sclafani</td>
<td>UNICT: 3679; 3698; 3706; 3711; 3833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrids Sicily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Hybrid Caltavuturo</td>
<td>Hyb</td>
<td>slope above Via Ricossa, 5 m up the hill, Caltavuturo</td>
<td>UNICT: 3987; 3988; 3989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DNA containing phase (approx. 450 μl) to clean tubes, 5 μl RNase (10 mg ml⁻¹) was added. Samples were shaken and centrifuged briefly before being incubated at 37°C for 30 min. DNA was precipitated with cold isopropanol (1:1), gently mixed and placed at −20°C for at least a couple of hours. Samples were centrifuged for 20 min at 4°C (3700 rpm). The supernatants were removed, and the pellets were washed in 70% ethanol followed by centrifugation for 20 minutes at 4°C (3700 rpm). Discarding the supernatants, the pellets were left to dry at room temperature. Pellets were resuspended in 50 μl 1×TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA). The amounts of DNA were determined using spectrophotometer analysis (PowerWave X, <http://biotek.com/>).

Fig. 1. Collecting sites in Calabria and Sicily.
**AFLP reactions**

The template preparation and AFLP reactions were done according to Vos et al. (1995) with the following modifications:

The genomic DNA (200 ng) was incubated with 1.2 U EcoRI and 3.1 U MseI in 25 μl 5× RL buffer (10 mM Tris-HAc pH 7.5, 10 mM MgAc, 50 mM KAc, 5 mM DTT), 50 ng μl⁻¹ BSA for 2 h at 37°C. The adaptors (sequence as described by Vos et al. 1995) were prepared by adding equimolar amounts of both strands, after which they were heated to 96°C for 5 min and left to cool to room temperature. Then 5 μl of the ligation solution containing 2.5 pMol EcoRI-adaptors, 25 pMol MseI-adaptors, 0.5 U T4DNA-ligase, 1 mM ATP, 0.2 μg μl⁻¹ BSA in 5× RL buffer was added to each digested sample and the incubation was continued for 16 h. The reaction mixture...
Table 2. Analyzed populations and genetic diversity data. (NI = number of individuals analyzed, NMP = number of mother plants). SE = standard error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Populations/accessions</th>
<th>NI</th>
<th>NMP</th>
<th>Mean Nei’s genetic diversity (H)</th>
<th>Private bands (within groups)</th>
<th>% polymorphic loci</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kales Calabria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latassa</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pistininzzi</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taverniti</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallo</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>mixture</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arparano</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drago</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>155</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild Calabria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pazzano Stella</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pazzano West</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stilo</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pazzano East</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kales Sicily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Baudo’s neighbour</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romano</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trompello</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Like macrocarpa</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacante</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tudia</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siragusu</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 m</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-E side</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Baudo</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second garden</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D’Anna</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fascist fountain</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under rock</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubolinio</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>mixture</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curly</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>426</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild Sicily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacante slope</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above Romano</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sclafani</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between Caltavuturo and Sclafani</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrids Sicily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid Caltavuturo</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial cultivars</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cauliflower ‘Romanesco natalino’ - Sgaravatti</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>mixture</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cauliflower ‘Violetto di Sicilia natalino’ - Fuscello</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>mixture</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown caulifower from Romano garden</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>mixture</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calabrese broccoli ‘Ramoso calabrese tardivo’ - Fuscello</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>mixture</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total wild</td>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total kales</td>
<td>581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hybrids</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total collected material</td>
<td>859</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total commercial cultivars</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand total</td>
<td>970</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 76% for Kales Calabria
Mean: 82% for Wild Calabria
Mean: 70% for Wild Sicily
Mean: 65% for Hybrids Sicily
Mean: 70% for Total wild
was then diluted 1:10 with 0.1×TE (10 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1 mM EDTA). Preamplification with 45 ng of primers (EcoRI + C/Msel + A) having a single selective nucleotide was carried out with 2 μl template-DNA, 0.6 U Taq polymerase, 5× GoTaq buffer (Promega), 2 mM MgCl₂ and 0.2 mM dNTP. The PCR conditions were as follows: an initial step of 72°C for 2 min, followed by 20 cycles of: 94°C for 1 s, 56°C for 30 s, 72°C for 2 min. The reaction products were diluted 20-fold with 0.1×TE buffer and 5 μl were used as template for the selective amplification step. Two primer pairs with three selective nucleotides were used (EcoRI + CAG/Msel + AGG and EcoRI + CAC/Msel + AAC). The EcoRI primers were labeled with fluorescent near-infrared dyes (IRD 700 or IRD 800). For the selective amplification solution, 37.5 ng of the EcoRI primers and 45 ng of the Msel primers were used. Otherwise, it was identical to the preamplification solution. The PCR conditions were as follows: 94°C for 2 min and 65°C for 2 min. Then eight cycles of 94°C for 1s, 64°C for 30s (–1°C per cycle), 72°C for 2 min, and finally 23 cycles of 94°C for 1s, 56°C for 30s, 72°C for 2 min. The amplified DNA samples were mixed with 98% formamide loading buffer, heated to 94°C for 5 minutes and cooled on ice. They were loaded and run on 6% polyacrylamide gels on a LI-COR DNA sequencer (model Long Reader 4200). Two standard samples and size standards (50–700 bp Sizing Standard, Licor) were included on all gels to ensure the quality of the individual gel runs and reproducibility of the AFLP markers.

Data analysis

Only clear and reproducible bands were manually scored as either present (1) or absent (0) and recorded in a binary data matrix. The number of individuals analyzed per population is listed in Table 2. Diversity analysis was performed comparing populations or groups of populations in different combinations. The groups were: kales from Calabria, kales from Sicily, wild populations from Calabria, wild populations from Sicily, kale and wild populations from Calabria, kale and wild populations from Sicily, kale and wild populations from Calabria and Sicily, hybrid population, commercial cultivars.

As an indicator of genetic diversity, we measured Nei’s gene diversity (H = 2 × p × q) (NEI 1973), where p is the frequency of bands that are present at each studied locus in the offspring group and q = 1 – p. We have therefore used p as a proxy for allele frequency in all the following analysis. The Shannon index (I) was also measured, but it is not reported here since the relation between Nei and Shannon was rather constant, on average I = 1.5H (standard deviation = 0.03), and therefore I could be derived from H with a very close approximation. Nei’s gene diversity was averaged over loci and individuals for each population to calculate the mean genetic diversity per population (H). It was averaged over loci for all individuals of a group of populations to calculate the total genetic diversity of the group (Hₑ). It was also averaged over loci and populations to calculate the mean genetic diversity of a population group (Hₐ). Student’s t-test was performed to determine the level of significance of the differences obtained. Identification of the presence of private alleles was obtained through comparison of populations in various combinations. A distance matrix was generated from Nei’s genetic distance (NEI 1972) between pairs of populations and between pairs of individuals. Based on this distance matrix, a principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was used to visualize the differences between populations or between individuals. Total genetic variation was partitioned by an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) into three relative variance components, between regions, between populations and within populations, here presented as ‘variance’ percentages. The significance was tested using 1000 permutations. All tests were computed in GenAlEx 6.41 (PEAKALL and SMOUSE 2006), calibrated for binary diploid data.

RESULTS

A total of 81 polymorphic markers were scored, with sizes ranging between 50 bp and 700 bp. The mean values of genetic diversity per population (H) are reported in Table 2, together with percentages of polymorphic loci. Mean (Hₑ) and Total (Hₐ) genetic diversity of population groups are given in Table 3, with populations grouped by type and by geographic area. The number of private bands of each population analyzed within its respective population group is given in Table 2. Table 4 compares the means of Nei’s genetic diversity of groups of populations or accessions, based on AFLPs, from existing literature. AMOVA values are reported in Table 5.

Genetic diversity

The total genetic diversity (Hₑ) contained in the collected material (811 kale and wild individuals, excluding the hybrids) was 0.386. The average genetic diversity (Hₑ) over 30 populations was 0.242, compared to 0.253 over the 22 kale and to 0.211 over the eight wild populations.

The total diversity of kales (Hₑ = 0.374) resulted significantly higher than that of wild individuals (Hₑ = 0.314) at P > 0.01 level. At the same level of probability, also the average diversity of 22 kale populations (Hₑ = 0.253) resulted significantly higher than that of eight wild populations (Hₑ = 0.211).

The total diversity of four commercial cultivars (Hₑ = 0.339, SE = 0.016) was significantly lower than in the kales (Hₑ = 0.374) at P > 0.01 level, but not significantly
Table 3. Mean Nei’s genetic diversity of population groups (H_A) (NP = number of populations) and total Nei’s genetic diversity of population groups (H_T) (NI = number of individuals). SE = standard error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographic area</th>
<th>Population group</th>
<th>H_A</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>H_T</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>NI</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>H_T</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>NI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calabria</td>
<td>Kales</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sicily</td>
<td>Kales</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calabria and Sicily</td>
<td>Kales</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average diversity of the commercial cultivars (H_A = 0.276, SE = 0.010) was however not significantly different from the average kale populations diversity (H_A = 0.253), but for both commercial cultivars and kale populations, the average diversity was significantly higher than the average of the wild populations (H_A = 0.211) at P > 0.01 level.

Comparing Calabria and Sicily, we noted that the average diversity of the Calabrian populations (both kale and wild) was higher than in the Sicilian populations, with a P > 0.05 level. In terms of total diversity, the same was true for wild material (Calabrian H_T = 0.324 versus Sicilian H_T = 0.279), but no significant difference was recorded regarding the kale diversity.

The total diversity of the hybrid population (H_T = 0.316, SE = 0.018) was in the same range of the diversity of wild material.

The search for private bands revealed that no band was exclusively present in the wild material that was not also present among the kales. Similarly, no private bands were recorded for Calabria or Sicily. However, Sicilian kales contained one private band that was not present in any of the Calabrian kales. This band had molecular weight 693 (700 marker), and was present in three Sicilian kale populations. The most diverse population, the Sicilian ‘De Baudo’s neighbour’ contained all the bands that were present in all Sicilian and Calabrian kales and wild populations together. Conversely, Calabrian wild populations showed five private bands that were not present in any Sicilian wild population. These five bands were all present in the Calabrian ‘Pazzano Stella’ population. They were however also present in both Sicilian and Calabrian kales (and may actually have been transferred from these into the wild Calabrian populations, as discussed in Maggioni et al. 2013). The two populations ‘Pazzano Stella’ and ‘Pazzano West’ contained all the polymorphic bands detected in the B. rupestris samples analyzed in this study.

Table 4. Mean Nei’s genetic diversity of groups of accessions or populations, based on AFLPs, from published studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species/crop</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>No. of accessions or populations</th>
<th>Markers</th>
<th>H_A</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White cabbages</td>
<td>the Netherlands</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>AFLP</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Hintum et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White cabbages</td>
<td>Worldwide</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>AFLP</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Hintum et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leafy kales</td>
<td>Europe wide</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>AFLP</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Christensen et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brassica rupestris</td>
<td>Calabria and Sicily</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>AFLP</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>this paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leafy kales</td>
<td>Calabria and Sicily</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>AFLP</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>this paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild Brassica oleracea</td>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>AFLP</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>Watson-Jones et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial broccoli and cauliflower</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AFLP</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>this paper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. **Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA).** *(NP = number of populations, NI = number of individuals)*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Populations/accessions</th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>NI</th>
<th>PhiPT</th>
<th>Variance within populations (%)</th>
<th>Variance between populations (%)</th>
<th>Variance between regions (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kales Calabria</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kales Sicily</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All kales</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild Calabria</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild Sicily</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All wild</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Sicilian populations (without hybrids)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Calabrian populations</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All populations (with hybrids)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial cultivars</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All kales vs All wild</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Sicilian vs All Calabrian</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kales, wild and commercial cultivars</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kales vs Commercial cultivars</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This was also confirmed by the AMOVA (Table 5), indicating a very small (3%) variance among regions. Variance within populations was higher (60%) than variance between populations (37%).

When all samples were analyzed as if they belonged to three populations (wild, kales and commercial cultivars), the PCoA confirmed a distinct separation between wild and cultivated crops (Fig. 5). Some areas of overlap might indicate gene flow events (discussed in MAGGIONI et al. 2013). The AMOVA indicated a high variance within populations (78%).

It might be noted that commercial cultivars plotted together with the kales. Variance among the three groups was low (22%) and it decreased to 11% when the cultivars were compared to the kales (Table 5).

PCoA at the level of single individuals showed a clear geographic separation between both the wild populations collected in Sicily (Fig. 6) and those collected in Calabria.

---

**Fig. 4.** PCoA of all kale and wild populations. Symbols represent the average position of individuals of each population. Cumulated percentage of variation explained by the first 2 axes = 68%. For number of individuals in each population see Table 2.
Fig. 5. PCoA of kales and wild populations, plus four cultivar accessions. Symbols represent single individuals. Cumulated percentage of variation explained by the first 2 axes = 60%. Number of individuals in each population: cultivars = 111; kales = 581; wild = 230.

(Fig. 7). In Sicily, the two populations collected in the Caltavuturo area were well separated from the population collected in Selafani and the population collected between Caltavuturo and Selafani. However, variance within populations was higher than variance among populations (56% versus 44%).

In Calabria, a couple of populations (‘Pazzano East’ and ‘Pazzano West’) among those collected in the surroundings of the villages of Stilo and Pazzano remained partly distinct from the others. It was not too surprising in this case that many individuals belonging to three populations overlapped on the plot (Stilo, Pazzano East and Pazzano Stella), since they were located along a geographic continuum, within potential crossing distance. Variation within populations (58%) was similar to the value recorded for the Sicilian wild populations.

In the case of kales, PCoA did not show clear separation of the different populations. This was confirmed by a low variance among populations (29% in Sicily and 17% in Calabria). Only the populations ‘Curly’ and ‘D’Anna’ in Sicily plotted distinctively apart from the rest of the kales. It was possible to analyze only few individuals of ‘Curly’ and ‘D’Anna’ (five and eight, respectively), which may be one reason for their difference from the rest of the kales. An overall look at the variance among populations in the table of the AMOVA results (Table 5) clearly showed that the kale populations had consistently lower values, indicating that they were much less structured than the wild populations and the commercial cultivars. It was also evident that Calabrian and Sicilian material could not be distinguished from each other (low variance among regions) and also that kales and commercial cultivars could not be distinguished with our set of markers.

DISCUSSION

Genetic diversity

Comparisons among genetic diversity data should be made cautiously when measured by different laboratories with different molecular markers and primers, different number of individuals per population and across different species, which might have different levels of outcrossing rate. Nei’s gene diversity has been calculated for different Brassica wild species on the basis of isozyme (LANNÉR-HERRERA et al. 1996, LÁZARO and AGUINAGALDE 1998a, GERACI et al. 2004) and SSR (EDH et al. 2007). Results obtained with co-dominant markers are not directly comparable with our dominant markers data. However, similarly to our data, they showed rather variable H values both within and among species. Even though the above-mentioned limitations were still valid, we could more reasonably compare our genetic diversity values with previous findings obtained with AFLP on similar material: WATSON-JONES et al. (2006) analyzed eight populations (20–27 samples each) of wild B. oleracea from the cliffs of Britain. They measured an average Nei’s gene diversity per population ranging from 0.18 and 0.33 ($H_A = 0.26$). Our data were in the same range, with
average H per population ranging between 0.12–0.26 (H\textsubscript{a} = 0.21) for eight wild populations, between 0.10–0.36 (H\textsubscript{a} = 0.25) for twenty-two kale populations and between 0.22–0.33 (H\textsubscript{a} = 0.28) for four commercial cultivars. It seemed that the diversity of the British wild samples was more in line with the level of diversity of our cultivated Italian kales, while B. rupestris in our case showed a lower level of diversity. Christensen et al. (2011) analyzed seventeen B. oleracea populations, twelve of which were leafy kale landraces from all over Europe, two cultivars and three wild samples. Average Nei’s genetic diversity per accession was ranging between 0.11 and 0.27 (total diversity H\textsubscript{T} = 0.32 and H\textsubscript{a} = 0.20 over twelve kale accessions). Again, our values were in the same range. However, the average value of the Calabrian kales had the same value (H = 0.27) of the most diverse accession analyzed by Christensen et al. The total diversity of both Calabrian (H\textsubscript{T} = 0.36) and Sicilian (H\textsubscript{T} = 0.37) kales (respectively six and sixteen accessions) was higher than the total diversity of seventeen European accessions. This may be an indication, all limitations considered, that the kales collected by us in south Italy represent an important value in terms of genetic diversity. Since other B. oleracea varieties (broccoli, cauliflower and Savoy cabbage) are also grown in the same area in South Italy, including both local self-reproduced populations and modern cultivars, these might have contributed to increased diversity through exchange of genes with the leafy kales.

Considering the average Nei’s genetic diversity (H\textsubscript{a} = 0.15) of a collection of nine accessions representing world-wide white cabbage diversity in the Dutch genebank (Hintum et al. 2007), our data showed higher diversity in B. rupestris, in leafy kale and in the commercial cultivars.

Table 4 summarizes the Nei’s genetic diversity data derived from AFLPs and obtained from the published studies. The trend emerging from these data showed that white cabbages had lower diversity, which might be due to selection. Leafy kales had rather variable values and B. rupestris, which is an endemic wild species with relatively narrow distribution, showed a genetic diversity which was close to the lower edge of the diversity of leafy kales. The British wild B. oleracea populations showed a diversity which was close to the upper tail of the leafy kales’ diversity. Other B. oleracea crop types available as small packets in the Italian market remained in the same range of high values of genetic diversity.

Our results indicated that cultivated kales in south Italy hold higher levels of total and average diversity compared to B. rupestris. This is not surprising if it is kept in mind that farmers often grow different types of B. oleracea crops together and their saved seed has a high chance of being the result of inter-crosses by material of very different nature, including wild populations. The lower level of diversity shown by the wild populations can also be understood, if we consider that these populations are often confined to limited areas and therefore may likely be subject to genetic drift effects. The sharper structure of the wild populations is also in line with these considerations.

The relatively high level of diversity of the commercial cultivars was not expected and we cannot fully explain this result, since these materials presumably have gone through a selection process which should reduce their variability. One possible explanation is that these cultivars were only subject to a mild selection process. Moreover, we should consider that the level of variation that is measured by the AFLP is largely neutral and therefore some functional traits, such as those that determine the different types of brassica crops, are possibly not detected by a relatively low number of markers as we have used.

The absence of private bands in the wild samples, compared to leafy kales, is also contrasting with the clear qualitative difference between cultivated and wild material, as shown by the pattern of Fig. 4. We should therefore accept, as indicated above, that the pattern revealed by AFLPs here gives a general indication of the level of diversity, without the possibility to capture all the morphological, biochemical or other functional differences.

A possible explanation of the very different levels of diversity recorded for the kale populations (variation interval of H was much wider in the kale than in the wild samples) is that each farmer behaves independently regarding the choice of brassica crop type that is planted each year, as well as regarding the procurement of seeds or plantlets. Therefore, the range of variability that is available as pollen at any location at the time of flowering can be very high and unpredictable. The close distance among several home gardens might also enhance the potential gene flow.

Population structure

According to the literature, the population structure of wild Section Brassica populations (2n = 18) showed high variation within populations, as well as a significant degree of gene differentiation among populations. Specifically, using isozymes, Lanner-Herrera et al. (1996) detected a high intra-population variation in most populations, regardless of their size, with a G\textsubscript{st} value of 0.37 over eighteen populations of B. oleracea from Spain, France and UK. High diversity within and significant differentiation among UK populations was also confirmed by Raybould et al. (1999) with microsatellites and by Watson-Jones et al. (2006) with AFLPs (average F\textsubscript{st} = 0.234 and 0.226, respectively).
With the use of allozymes, Hurtrez-Boussès (1996) found a deficiency of heterozygotes and high $G_a$ values (0.11) in seven B. insularis populations in Corsica, indicating their isolation. Seven populations of B. cretica in Crete were analyzed with nuclear SSRs and showed an exceptional degree of gene differentiation with an overall $F_{ST} = 0.63$ (Esh et al. 2007). In the attempt to understand the reciprocal relationships of ten wild species from the Mediterranean and Atlantic coast, Lázaro and Aguínagalde (1998a) used isozymes to detect an average $G_a = 0.33$. A similar study by Geraci et al. (2004) with isozymes on five Sicilian species obtained high $G_a$ values between 0.30–0.38.

In our study, which was analyzing for the first time wild and cultivated populations growing in close proximity, the population structures, as revealed by the PCoA and AMOVA analysis, indicated that wild B. rupestris and leafy kale B. oleracea could be distinguished by AFLPs, since the two species were generally well separated, with a few exceptional individuals that we might assume to be the result of intercrossing between species in areas of overlapping geographic distribution.

On the other hand, germplasm collected in Sicily (whether wild or leafy kale) could not be molecularly distinguished from germplasm collected in Calabria. Wild populations collected in isolated locations showed a distinct molecular pattern, since they separated in distinct clusters, both in Calabria and in Sicily. On the other hand, kales grown in different home gardens could not be molecularly distinguished from each other. Indeed, no cultivar names for leafy kales, that were generically named ‘cauli’ (i.e. coles) were used by the local farmers that we met throughout Calabria and Sicily. Even though we noticed some differences in leaf shapes, leaf color and plant habit, these were not so outstanding as to characterize any given local variety. Therefore, the AFLP pattern was not unexpected in this case, but confirms the absence of a genetic structure for the leafy kales investigated. Morphological differences might be explained if few genes (not evidenced by the AFLP pattern) were involved in determining morphological characters mentioned above. Some of these characters, such as fruit length, are also thought to be highly dependent on environmental effects (Snoegerup et al. 1990). The inability of our set of markers to distinguish different types of B. oleracea from each other should also not be too disconcerting, considering that we used only two primers and a limited number of markers. For example, distinction of broccoli from cauliflower was not fully realized by Tonguç and Griffiths (2004) even using 13 SSRs. Also Louarn et al. (2007), using 11 SSRs, did not obtain a fully resolved clustering of various brassica types, since for example broccoli cultivars clustered together with some Brussel sprout and white cabbage cultivars. A full separation of all cultivar groups tested (cabbage, broccoli, kohlraub, cauliflower, kale and kai-lan was obtained by Izzah et al. (2003) only by using a very high number of markers (69 SSRs providing 359 alleles). AFLPs are known to reveal a high degree of polymorphism dispersed over the genome, unsurpassed by most other marker systems, therefore they are often indicated as very suitable for estimating and monitoring genetic diversity, including for conservation purposes (Mariette et al. 2002; Varshney et al. 2007). The interesting pattern shown by our study might, therefore, not have been revealed by many other marker systems, which disclose less polymorphism. It will however be important not to rely solely on the diversity pattern shown by the AFLPs to take conservation strategy decisions, especially if using limited numbers of markers.

The domestication issue

Regarding the issue of the domestication of B. oleracea, Snoegerup (1980) hypothesized a probable primary origin of the ‘stem kales’ (i.e. the kales of var. acephala, used mainly for their edible foliage) from forms of the rupestris–incana complex, since they have in common a strong dominating main stem in combination with hairs at least on the seedling. Our data indicated a clear molecular distinction between B. rupestris and both the cultivated local kales and other B. oleracea crop types. The genetic diversity of the Calabrian and Sicilian kales is larger than that shown by B. rupestris, therefore we tend to believe that B. rupestris is not the most likely candidate as a progenitor of the B. oleracea crops. Although we cannot rule out the possibility of genetic erosion of B. rupestris since domestication, our conclusion is in line with the findings from Mei et al. (2010) that B. rupestris and other Sicilian species showed such a high comparable genetic distance from B. oleracea (based on AFLPs and SSR), that there is a low possibility that current B. oleracea crops originated in Sicily. Even though the locations that we studied in South Italy may not correspond to the original sites of domestication of B. oleracea, we wish to highlight that the agro-ecosystems under study represented a model scenario for the conditions that could have led to domestication of leafy kales in antiquity, albeit in a different location and starting from a different wild species. In fact in our model study we saw that the wild plants grew around or inside the villages where farmers tended their home gardens. The wild species is still consumed by humans in special occasions. Some people like their pungent taste, although generally the ‘sweeter’ taste of the domesticated leafy kale is preferred. This trait has possibly been the first criterion of selection towards domestication. The observed agro-ecological and ethno-botanical elements that might have had an influence at the time of domestication were the following: 1) occasional use of the wild relative for
human consumption; 2) co-existence of cultivated (domesticated) and wild plants in close proximity; 3) conscious selection made by farmers that eliminate ‘off type’ plants bearing wild traits from their fields (Maggioni et al. unpubl.); 4) ongoing intercrossing between wild and cultivated plants with possible bi-directional introgression (Maggioni et al. 2013). We have consistently registered the above elements both in Calabria and in Sicily and we assume that the same scenario can be found in several other locations in the Mediterranean area and that it can be representative of the original steps leading to domestication of B. oleracea. Indeed, it is known that other Mediterranean wild relative species are still used for human consumption, such as B. cretica in Greece (Snogerup et al. 1990), B. incana in Sicily (Branca and Iapichino 1997) and B. insularis in Sardinia (Mascia pers. comm.).

Conclusion

The present study indicated that the surveyed agro-ecosystems were effectively conserving a large variability in situ and on-farm. In particular, the total genetic diversity was higher in leafy kales grown in home gardens than in wild B. rupestris growing nearby. Sicilian material did not differentiate from Calabrian material, wild populations showed a genetic structure that enabled to differentiate them from each other, while home gardens’ kales could not be distinguished one from another.

Currently there is no risk of replacement of the local varieties with commercial varieties, since the leafy kale crop is only grown from self-produced seed (no commercial cultivars are locally available for this type of Brassica). It can be assumed that this crop will continue to be grown as long as family-owned small properties with kale leaves continue to exist. However, as a safety measure for the future, ex situ conservation is a recommended complementary action. Considering that variation within populations was always higher than among populations, it should be sufficient to preserve samples from a few home gardens to ensure that most of the variability is maintained. Our data allowed us to identify those specific home gardens that hosted the highest genetic diversity. Further studies should be carried out to analyze the genetic basis of the morphological differences. In the case of wild populations, it has been shown that isolated populations had a distinct pattern, but this could be assumed to be derived from genetic drift. Therefore, collection of several distinct populations will not add too much in terms of total variability, but each population with a distinct pattern should be collected if we wished to preserve differentiation among populations. An additional reason to carefully collect the different wild populations for long-term conservation is their sometimes threatened status. In fact one of us (Maggioni), went back to monitor the Calabrian populations in September 2014 and he found a severe reduction of the ‘Pazzano Stella’ population due to a recent fire, while the ‘Stilo’ population had been reduced by ‘cleaning’ of the slopes around the Byzantine church.

Considering that scenarios similar to the agro-ecosystems described here are not known for the Atlantic locations of wild B. oleracea, we think that this point adds some weight to the hypothesis of a Mediterranean domestication of the B. oleracea crops, as we have indicated in a previous paper (Maggioni et al. 2010) on the basis of linguistic and literary considerations. We also note that recent chloroplast phylogeny studies (Arias et al. 2014) support a Mediterranean origin for ancestors of B. oleracea and B. rapa, as well as indicating that B. incana, B. cretica and B. montana are phylogenetically closer to B. oleracea than B. rupestris is (Arias and Pires 2012). We agree with these authors that further population sampling and molecular analysis will be necessary to assess the diversity and track down the origin of the B. oleracea crops.
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