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In Brief
A systematic classification of genomic variants in cancer reveals the many ways in which signaling networks can be perturbed, including rewiring and the creation or destruction of phosphorylation sites.
SUMMARY

Cancer cells acquire pathological phenotypes through accumulation of mutations that perturb signaling networks. However, global analysis of these events is currently limited. Here, we identify six types of network-attacking mutations (NAMs), including changes in kinase and SH2 modulation, network rewiring, and the genesis and extinction of phosphorylation sites. We developed a computational platform (ReKINect) to identify NAMs and systematically interpreted the exomes and quantitative (phospho-)proteomes of five ovarian cancer cell lines and the global cancer genome repository. We identified and experimentally validated several NAMs, including PKCγ M501I and PKD1 D665N, which encode specificity switches analogous to the appearance of kinases de novo within the kinome. We discover mutant molecular logic gates, a drift toward phospho-threonine signaling, weakening of phosphorylation motifs, and kinase-inactivating hotspots in cancer. Our method pinpoints functional NAMs, scales with the complexity of cancer genomes and cell signaling, and may enhance our capability to therapeutically target tumor-specific networks.

INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of the first oncogene, Src (Stehelin et al., 1976), and tumor suppressor, Rb (Friend et al., 1986), more than three decades ago, our understanding of some of the specific genetic aberrations supporting cancer progression has steadily risen. Recent advances in next-generation sequencing technologies have led to the identification of large numbers of somatic cancer mutations through whole genome and exome sequencing of tumors. Given how complex it is to assess the relevance of this enormous repertoire of reported somatic cancer mutations (currently running in excess of 1 million variants) (Forbes et al., 2011), the discovery of new somatic mutations has vastly outpaced our ability to unravel their functional roles (Figure S1A).

Despite the fact that alterations to the physiological cellular responses to environmental cues are fundamental hallmarks of cancer cells (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2000) and that cellular responses to input cues are driven by signaling networks, a comprehensive understanding of how mutations perturb these networks is still missing. In fact, new conceptual paradigms and computational strategies allowing better assessment of the intrinsic complexities of cancer cells, such as the integration of cancer genomic and proteomic data, have been recently pinpointed as key requirements in the field of cancer research (Weinberg, 2014; Yaffe, 2013). Specifically, new approaches for decoding mutations that perturb signaling networks (or as we term them, “network-attacking” mutations [NAMs]) (Creixell et al., 2012a) and the mechanisms by which they may statically or dynamically alter these networks will be fundamental in closing this gap (Figure S1B) (Yaffe, 2013). Here, we describe and validate such a conceptual and computational framework capable of identifying, classifying and unraveling the impact of numerous predicted NAMs.

RESULTS

Classifying Mutations Affecting Signaling Networks

In order to evaluate whether cancer mutations perturb signaling networks, we initially developed a classification system with concrete types of NAMs. We divide NAMs into three fundamental classes.

The first and relatively well-described type of NAM is one that disrupts signaling network dynamics by constitutively activating or inactivating a protein kinase, thereby maintaining the...
information flow either “on” or “off” uninterrupted over time. Examples of such “on” mutations are those substitutions that mimic activation loop phosphorylations, whereas examples of “off” mutations include those that alter catalytically essential residues of kinases, or residues in SH2 domains that are critical for phospho-tyrosine binding. Since the timely activation and termination of signals is critical for the proper cellular homeostasis as well as phenotypic responses to environmental stimuli, such mutations lead to aberrant information processing (Figure 1A).

A second, largely undescribed type of NAMs are those mutations that shift the signaling network structure by “rewiring” upstream or downstream interactions (of the mutated protein or node). Upstream rewiring can be caused by mutations in a kinase substrate that disrupt the linear motif around a phosphorylation site, thus causing a new upstream kinase to phosphorylate the mutant substrate. Downstream rewiring, in contrast, can be caused by drifts in the peptide specificity upon mutation of the determinants of specificity (DoS) in kinase (or SH2) domains (Creixell et al., 2015 [this issue of Cell]) (Figure 1A).

Finally, we hypothesized that a third type of NAMs could exist where mutations would generate or destroy phosphorylation sites, effectively generating new molecular logic gates in cancer cells (Figure 1A).

Node inactivation and node activation would fall within the categories of what is traditionally referred to as loss-of-function and gain-of-function hypermorphic mutations, while the other mutations would fit best within a gain-of-function neomorphic classification.

The ReKINect Methodology
With the aim of systematically identifying NAMs in phosphorylation-based signaling networks, we developed a computational approach, ReKINect, capable of predicting these defined functional mutations (Figures 1A and 1B; http://ReKINect.science). We began by assembling comprehensive sequence and positional information covering all known 538 kinase domains, 111 SH2 domains, and 149,838 phosphorylation sites in the human proteome (refer to the Experimental Procedures for further information). This information facilitated the mapping of NAMs onto these domains and the modeling of the likely functional effect of mutations (Figure 1B). Mutations in established or predicted functional residues (essential residues on the different domains, determinants of specificity identified in our accompanying paper [Creixell et al., 2015] as well as phosphorylation sites) would then be predicted to lead to the dysregulation of network dynamics, network rewiring, and gain or loss of phosphorylation sites (Figure 1B).

Below, we provide an overview, further details, and experimental evidence using a wide range of techniques (including genome-specific global phospho-proteomics, peptide specificity, or phenotypic data) for the different predictions generated by the ReKINect algorithm and explore the impact on signaling networks of the NAMs we identify.

Quantifying NAMs in Cancer Repositories and Cell Lines
Having defined the different NAMs, we next intended to assess their existence and abundance in cancer. We thus collected a set of 678,050 unique missense somatic cancer variants from COSMIC (version 67) (Forbes et al., 2011) and deployed ReKINect on this set to predict a large number of instances across the NAM classes (Figure 2).

In order to experimentally investigate NAMs, we performed a global integrative analysis by combining exome next-generation sequencing (NGS) and quantitative mass spectrometry (MS)-based phospho-proteomics on a set of five ovarian cancer cell lines (ES2, OVAS, OVISE, TOV-21, and KOC-7C; Figures S1 and S2; Experimental Procedures) and conducted genome-specific proteomics analyses (Experimental Procedures). By following a Spike-in SILAC-based labeling strategy (Geiger et al., 2011) (Figures S1 and S2; Experimental Procedures), we could identify and accurately quantify on average more than 6,000 unique phosphorylation sites across over 2,000 proteins in each of the five cell lines. Furthermore, NGS identified close to 9,000 unique missense variants per cell line (including SNPs and germline mutations as well as somatic mutations) that were subsequently interpreted by ReKINect (Figure 2).

As shown in Figure 2 (and Data S1–S6) ReKINect could identify functional mutations covering each class of NAM included in our model as well as enrichments in these functional mutations (Figure S1). In addition, we computed the frequency at which different protein domains are affected by cancer mutations in the global repository of somatic cancer mutations as a means to provide general estimations of the likelihood of finding perturbations in different modular protein domains in cancer (Figure S1).

Given our currently limited knowledge about the different processes that can lead to the different NAMs (e.g., phospho-mimicking mutations are the only case currently covered by ReKINect that result in kinase activation) the number of functional mutations presented in Figure 2 is most likely a significant understimation. Nevertheless, in the following sections we provide further details and evidence supporting the existence of these predicted NAMs in cancer signaling networks.

Genesis and Extinction of Phosphorylation Sites and Circuitry
Having collected both exome sequencing and proteomic data on the same set of cancer cell lines, we were able to address the question of whether mutations could create new phosphorylation sites or destroy existing ones, thereby generating new cancer-associated molecular logic gates within a cancer cell signaling circuitry. To identify such events, we specifically inquired the global sequencing data for the appearance of phosphorylatable residues resulting from mutations, some of which could be experimentally verified to be bona fide sites by mass spectrometry. Strikingly, this approach uncovered several examples of mutations that lead to the genesis of new phosphorylatable sites, which become recognized and phosphorylated by kinases (Figures 3A and S2). Among the proteins harboring these neomorphic phosphorylation sites were TANC1 and HSF1 (Figure 3A). While little is known about TANC1, HSF1 is a heat-shock protein previously reported to be associated with carcinogenesis and poor prognosis, as well as supporting malignancy in a variety of cancers (Dai et al., 2007). Thus, further investigations of this new phosphorylation site on HSF1 and its
Figure 1. Network-Attacking Mutations

(A) Six distinct types of network-attacking mutations (NAMs) can be defined based on perturbations of signaling network dynamics, network structure, and dysregulation of phosphorylation sites. Cancer mutations could generate or destroy molecular logic gates, for example by creating new, or by removing existing, phosphorylation sites. Alternatively, mutant proteins could become activated by new upstream proteins (incoming edges) or start perturbing new downstream substrates (outgoing edges). Finally mutations could turn signaling proteins (e.g., protein kinases) constitutively "on" or "off." The effect of these NAMs on the cue-signal-output flow of information is illustrated for each comparing the wild-type (WT) and mutant (Mut) cases.

(B) After mapping mutations at the genomic and proteomic level, every NAM class defined in (A) is modeled on the different protein domains and motifs currently included in ReKINect following a distinct procedure: mutations on the essential residues of the kinase and SH2 domains are classified as node inactivating. Acidic mutations mimicking the phosphorylated/active state of kinases are classified as node activating. Mutations perturbing phosphorylation motifs and causing changes in the upstream kinase phosphorylating the target protein are classified as upstream rewiring. On the other hand, mutations in residues that determine specificity of the kinase or SH2 domains (Creixell et al., 2015) perturb domain specificity and are classified as downstream rewiring. Finally, our genome-specific MS experiments enable the identification of mutations generating phosphorylatable residues or the extinction of phosphorylation sites by mutating away from phosphorylatable residues.

See also Supplemental Experimental Procedures.
predicted cell-cycle-dependent upstream kinase, CDK2, may lead to new insights on the role of this heat-shock protein in cancer (Figure 3A).

In order to discover NAMs destroying phosphorylation sites, we combined our exome sequencing data with those from the quantitative mass-spectrometry analysis of the phospho-proteomes of the five ovarian cancer cell lines. This enabled us to perform genome-specific searches of the mass-spectra, in order to identify direct proteomic evidence of the destruction of phosphorylation sites (Figure 3B) by identifying the mutated but unmodifiable peptides. This approach enabled us to identify 380 variants in our five cell lines and 6902 in the global repository of cancer mutations destroying phosphorylation sites (Experimental Procedures and Figure 2).

Two such events from the cell lines illustrated in Figure 3B, are RAB11FIP1 (T281M) and TNKS1BP1 (S1533G). Whereas the role of RAB11FIP1 in cancer is not as clear, Tankyrase-1-binding protein (TNKS1BP1) binds Tankyrase, which in turn, associates with TRF1 protein at the telomeres. This complex is not only tightly regulated during cell-cycle progression but critically it regulates telomere length by binding on the double-stranded TTAGGG repeat of telomeres. This, together with the fact that Aurora Kinase B (AurKB), a key cell-cycle mitotic kinase (Alexander et al., 2011), is predicted by NetworKIN (Linding et al., 2007) to phosphorylate the wild-type form of TNKS1BP1, suggests a potential role in cell-cycle and telomere length dysregulation for this mutant variant.

In order to provide further characterization and assess the phenotypic impact of mutations resulting in genesis and destruction of phosphorylation respectively, we performed siRNA-based knockdown experiments of both TANC1 and RAB11FIP1 across the five cell lines. While knockdown effect could certainly be attributable to many other factors besides these specific mutations, surprisingly, as shown in Figure S3 and detailed in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures, we indeed observed phenotypic effects supporting...
Figure 3. NAMs Leading to Genesis and Extinction of Phosphorylation Sites

(A) Two examples of network-attack mutations generating new phosphorylation sites on HSF1 and TANC1, as evidenced by exome sequencing data and MS spectra matching the phosphorylated mutation.

(B) Two examples of network-attack mutations causing the extinction of known phosphorylation sites on RAB11FIP1 and TNKS1BP1, supported by exome-sequencing data and MS spectra matching the unphosphorylatable mutated residue.

See also Figures S2 and S3.
the most parsimonious expectations arising from ReKINect’s predictions.

Above, we aimed to provide the most accurate and evident instances of NAMs that generate and destroy phosphorylation sites and achieved this through integration of exome-sequencing and MS experimental data following stringent selection criteria (Experimental Procedures). Thus, we speculate that many more NAMs leading to the genesis and extinction of molecular logic gates will undoubtedly exists.

**Kinase Downstream Rewiring**

Next, in order to explore if cancer mutations can hit residues that determine kinase specificity (determinants of specificity [DoS]) and thereby impose downstream rewiring, we included the results from the KINspect algorithm, described in the accompanying article (Creixell et al., 2015), in the ReKINect platform. Sourcing from the global repository of cancer-associated somatic mutations we could predict a large set of putative NAMs leading to downstream rewiring (Experimental Procedures; Table S1).

Following a prioritization procedure described in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures, we compiled a ranked list of cancer somatic mutations with the highest potential to cause downstream rewiring (Table S1). The list includes 1,871 unique missense mutations predicted to alter determinants of specificity by hitting the kinase domain residues most likely to play significant roles in specificity (specificity score higher than 0.9). Even with maximum stringency filters and focusing on the single kinase position most likely to drive specificity (highest specificity score of 1.0, previously reported by the literature as a determinant of specificity [Brinkworth et al., 2003] and in direct physical contact with the substrate with a distance of <3 Å), we identified 42 unique missense mutations on this specific position covering all branches of the human kinome tree (Table S1).

As detailed in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures, identifying the cases more suitable to experimental validation narrowed our candidates down to mutations on three positions in direct contact with the substrate and high KINspect score (Creixell et al., 2015) leading to the cloning, expression, and purification of these mutant kinases as well as their wild-type variants (Figures 4A–4E). First, we purified the two PKCγ mutants, D484G and M501I, predicted to perturb the determinants of specificity in alignment positions 651 and 995, respectively (Figures 4A and 4B). Since the determinant of specificity perturbed by the mutant variant D484G was located four residues downstream of the conserved HRD motif on the kinase domain, we named this determinant as HRD+4 (Figure 4A). Given this spatial location and proximity to the P–2 position of the substrate peptide, we predicted this first mutant would affect P–2 specificity. In contrast, the mutant variant M501I was found immediately downstream of the conserved DFG motif within the kinase activation loop (DFG+1), a residue for which there is recent evidence for its role driving serine-threonine specificity at the phosphorylation site (P0 i.e., central S/T/Y residue) position (Chen et al., 2014). As shown in Figures 4B and S4, experimental determination of the peptide specificity of both variants by positional scanning peptide library (PSPL) (Hutti et al., 2004) corroborated the specificity drift of both these mutants. In the case of the variant PKCγ D484G, our results uncovered a loss of Arg preference in position P–2 of the substrate peptide for the mutant variant (Figures 4B and S4). As predicted in the case of the variant M501I, PSPL results demonstrated a change in phosphoacceptor residue preference from Ser to Thr (Figure 5B). This specificity “switch” was further confirmed by performing phosphorylation assays on both the wild-type and mutant variants using a pair of matched peptide substrates of identical sequence save for having Ser or Thr in the P0 position (Figure 4C). As seen with PSPL analysis, WT PKCγ preferred Ser over Thr, while the M501I mutant by contrast phosphorylated the Thr peptide most efficiently. Given that PKCγ is a critical regulator of migration in development (Kramer et al., 2002), that it has been linked to metastasis (Yang et al., 2014), and that its overexpression in epithelial cells triggers a malignant phenotype and tumorigenic behavior in vivo (Mazzoni et al., 2003), we speculate that these specificity drifts ReKINect has predicted could provide tumorigenic, invasive, and metastatic capabilities to cancer cells. While these PKCγ mutants were identified in lung cancer samples (Kan et al., 2010) wild-type PKCγ is typically expressed only in the brain (Sundram et al., 2011). Interestingly, PKCγ was overexpressed in the tumor bearing the M501I mutation (Figure 4D) to levels substantially higher than in tumors where this genomic region had been amplified (as reported by cBioPortal [Gao et al., 2013]). A recent report highlighted loss-of-function mutations on PKC kinases.
Figure 5. NAMs Causing Upstream Rewiring

(A) Upstream rewiring mutations will cause a new kinase (from K1 to K2) to phosphorylate the mutant protein (S, red). By plotting the probability of both kinases to phosphorylate the wild-type and mutant variants of the protein, we can visualize, quantify, and compare different upstream rewiring mutations.

(B) The rewiring power and the rewiring angle can be computed by considering the necessary trajectory that the mutation causes (from the “origin” right-bottom triangle to the “destination” left-top triangle). The rewiring power is equivalent to the magnitude of the vector and measures the rewiring capacity of the mutation. The rewiring angle is the angle of the vector from the diagonal and distinguishes whether the rewiring effect is mainly driven by kinase resignation (i.e., a loss of phosphorylating ability of the wild-type kinase, angle >45°), depicted in blue, or by kinase take-over (i.e., an increase of phosphorylation ability of a new kinase, angle <45°), depicted in green. The three examples illustrate how three different mutations (A–C) can lead to different outcomes, such as the same rewiring power but different main driving force (A and B) or the same driving force but different magnitude (B and C).

(C) Illustration of the two main driving processes that cause upstream rewiring, namely the reduced ability of the original kinase to phosphorylate the new mutant substrate variant (resignation) and the increased ability of a second kinase to phosphorylate the mutant substrate protein (take-over).

(D) Representation of all the upstream rewiring mutations identified in the global repository of somatic mutations at different distances relative to the phosphorylation site (from five residues before a phosphorylation site, P−5, to five residues after a phosphorylation site, P+5). Rewiring events mainly driven by resignation are shown in blue and those mainly driven by take-over are shown in green.

(legend continued on next page)
(Antal et al., 2015), including PKCγ. By having altered substrate specificity, the two PKCγ mutants characterized here are likely to both lose the ability to phosphorylate some endogenous substrates while gaining the capacity to phosphorylate new de novo substrates.

Next, we purified the mutant variant of PKD1 predicted to perturb the determinant of specificity in alignment position 494 (Figures 4A and 4B) that we named αD1, given its location on the first residue of α helix D of the kinase domain. As with the PKCγ mutants, the PSPL experiments validated the specificity drift in PKD1 D665N (Figures 4B and S4). Specifically, this mutation causes loss of an essential feature of the WT kinase phosphorylation signature, namely selectivity for Arg at the P3 position. An Arg residue is found at the P3 position in critical targets of PKD1, including CREB, SSH1L, HDACs 5 and 7, HPK1, MARK2, and HSP27. This variant is therefore expected to perturb signaling downstream of PKD1, a kinase with roles in the development and metastatic progression of several cancers including prostate, breast, gastrointestinal, pancreatic, and skin cancers (Sundram et al., 2011). Having made this prediction for a mutation originating from a prostate cancer sample (Lindberg et al., 2013), potential deregulation between PKD1 and its substrate HSP27 is particularly notable, as its phosphorylation is closely related to androgen receptor function in prostate cancer (Hassan et al., 2009; Sundram et al., 2011). In addition to breaking these interactions in the signaling network, because the D665N mutation renders PKD1 a less specific kinase, we anticipate that the mutation will generate many new connections through phosphorylation of non-native substrates, some of which may contribute to the malignant phenotype.

We next assessed the magnitude of the specificity switches caused by these cancer mutations, by comparing the wild-type to mutant drift in specificity to the specificity differences observed between wild-type human kinases across the kinome. As shown in Figures 4E and S4, two out of the three downstream rewiring mutations cause a specificity drift of a magnitude comparable to the specificity difference that exists between different wild-type human kinases. Effectively, this implies that a single cancer mutation can lead to a specificity switch that is analogous to a new kinase appearing in the genome.

With these validated examples at hand, we set out to further investigate whether other cancer mutations could cause similar dramatic specificity drifts and switches in other human kinases. By analyzing predictions from ReKINect based on cancer mutations identified to hit validated DoS residues (Table S1), in many cases with amino acid substitutions analogous to the ones we experimentally tested above, we could indeed identify additional cancer mutations that with high likelihood cause downstream rewiring. In the case of the HRD+4 site, 41 additional cancer mutations were identified substituting this site to multiple other residues (Table S1).

Moreover, in addition to the PKCγ M501I mutant, we could identify 29 other cancer mutations hitting the DFG+1 site, eight of which with analogous substitutions of large hydrophobic residues with β-branched aliphatic residues (Haspin L669I, DDR1 M793I, ITK M503V, TRKA M671T, IRAK3 M314I/M341V/M341T, and BRAF L597V), the type of substitution that most likely leads to a specificity switch from a preference for phosphorylating Ser to Thr (Figure S4; Table S1). In contrast, no mutant was found that would perturb specificity in the opposite direction (from Thr to Ser phosphorylation preference; Figure S4). Thus, it appears there is a general trend toward increased phosphoThr-driven signaling in cancer.

Of these 29 mutants, the identification of a likely mechanism of action for BRAF L597V is of critical relevance as it is not only a germline mutation in Noonan syndrome and cardio-facio-cutaneous syndrome, but also plays a significant role in the development of cancer when acting in epistatic synergy with Ras G12V (Andreadi et al., 2012; Davies et al., 2002). While the molecular mechanisms of this epistatic interaction could potentially be linked to changes in BRAF dimerization, our results suggest that Ras G12V could ensure the hyperactivity of this signaling network, whereas BRAF L597V rewires it by a drift in BRAF’s kinase specificity. Such a scenario is reminiscent of previous interactions between different mutations promoting cancer development in a synergistic manner (Creixell et al., 2012a; Wu et al., 2010). Finally, we could identify 40 cancer mutations in addition to the PKD1 D665N mutation perturbing the αD1 site, eight of which containing the same amino acid substitution D to N (PKCb D427N, TSSK1 D97N, TTBK1 D116N, CDK11b D507N, CDK8 D103N, PFTAIRE1 D198N, PDGFRα D681N, and STYK1 D201N) and thereby constituting high-confidence downstream rewiring mutants (Figure S4; Table S1).

Altogether, these results represent the discovery of three new downstream rewiring mutations on three distinct determinants of specificity (HRD+4, DFG+1, and αD1) and show that single-point NAMs can drive downstream rewiring of a magnitude that is analogous to a new kinase suddenly appearing within the human kinome. They also suggest that the prioritized collection of mutations we provide is likely to contain even more cancer mutations causing rewiring (16 of which being clear high-confidence candidates, Figure S4).

**Upstream Kinase Rewiring**

Complementary to the downstream rewiring NAMs, we next investigated whether mutations could also cause upstream rewiring (i.e., when a substrate is, due to the impact of a mutation, being phosphorylated by different upstream kinases) by perturbing phosphorylation motifs on the substrate (Figure 1). By analyzing mutations that fall within 5 flanking residues of known phosphorylation sites (see Experimental Procedures) with the NetPhorest (Miller et al., 2008) and NetworKIN (Linding et al., 2007) algorithms on the wild-type and mutant variants of the
same protein, we could predict the likely upstream rewiring effects of mutations on substrates. As detailed in Figure 5 (see also Figure S5 and Tables S2 and S3), for a given predicted rewiring event (i.e., where the upstream kinase predicted for the wild-type and mutant variants of the substrate is non-identical) we defined two variables termed “rewiring power” and “rewiring angle” based on the predicted probability for the most likely upstream kinase in the wild-type and mutant substrate variants (Figures 5A and 5B).

The rewiring power measures the magnitude of the rewiring event, by accounting for the loss of phosphorylation potential of the old upstream kinase as well as the gain in phosphorylation potential of the new upstream kinase. The number of rewiring events and their rewiring power showed a non-uniform distribution where, generally, mutations closer to a phosphorylation site have a higher chance of causing upstream rewiring and the rewiring event itself will be of higher magnitude (rewiring power) (Figures 5D–5F). This global trend is observed for all positions except for the position just before the phosphorylation site, P−1, where mutations are less likely to lead to rewiring events and will most often be of lower magnitude. In fact, such distribution with the singularity of P−1 resembles the positional distribution of information content of kinase substrate specificity (Figure S5), underlining a fundamental link between the criticality of a given position for substrate recognition by upstream kinases and the disruptive potential of cancer somatic mutations hitting those positions. In other words, positions critical to and in direct close contact with the phosphorylating kinase (e.g., P+1, P+2, P−2, or P−3, as opposed to P−1 that makes very few contacts with the kinase) (Brinkworth et al., 2003) are far more likely to harbor strongly rewiring mutations.

The repertoire of potential upstream rewiring events allowed us to address the central question of whether rewiring is most often driven by an increased phosphorylation propensity for the mutant substrate variant by a new kinase (which we term “kinase take-over”) or, inversely, if caused by a reduced propensity for the original kinase upon mutation (which we term “kinase resignation”) (Figure 5C). The rewiring angle does, in effect, measure which of the two forces is stronger, with rewiring events mainly driven by kinase take-over leading to a rewiring angle <45° from the diagonal in Figure 5B, while rewiring events mainly driven by kinase resignation would be associated with rewiring angles >45°. As shown in Figure 5G, our results based on the median rewiring angle as well as the ratio of take-over/resignation events measured at different positions relative to the phosphorylation site show that, regardless of the position, upstream rewiring events are predominantly driven by kinase resignation forces. Illustrative examples of this can be found in Tables S2 and S3, where many of the most strongly rewiring events are caused by cancer mutations disrupting, for instance, proline residues in P+1 positions of CDK substrates. For example, a mutation juxtaposed to a phosphorylation site on position 721 of damage-specific DNA binding protein 1 (DBB1 P721Q) is predicted to cause an upstream shift from CDK1 to ATM and a similar mutation on CCP110 (CCP110 P171L) leads to a predicted upstream rewiring from CDK1 to PLK1 (Table S3). Finally, mutations on ORC1 (P312S), CDC23 (P583T), and NUMA1 (P113H) illustrate how disruption of pleiotropic recognition motifs, such as the one for CDK1 kinase, can lead to upstream rewiring events.

Overall, these results suggest that cancer mutations may rewire upstream signaling typically by worsening an optimal substrate site for a given upstream kinase and not by generating a more optimal substrate better matching another upstream kinase. Considering the fact that it has taken millions of years to evolve exquisitely fine-tuned motifs around phosphorylation sites that would confer signaling specificity and fidelity (Tan et al., 2009; Zarrinpar et al., 2003), it is not so surprising that cancer mutations most often perturb this finely evolved system by generating weaker phosphorylation motifs.

**Constitutive Activation and Inactivation of Kinases**

As a final group of NAMs on protein kinases, we also analyzed the presence of mutations that would lead to the constitutive activation and inactivation of protein kinases (Figure 1). Starting from the former case, we used the so-called phospho-mimicking effect of acidic mutations in close proximity to (just before, P−1, or after, P+1) activating phosphorylation sites on the activation segments of kinase domains (Davies et al., 2002) to identify in silico missense mutations that can result in a constitutively active kinase.

Taking the well-characterized case of BRAF V600E, a phospho-mimicking activating mutation, as a positive test case, we confirmed that ReKINect could identify this mutation in one of the ovarian cell lines (ES2) and predict it as kinase activation. We subsequently experimentally confirmed the hyper-phosphorylated state of the BRAF substrate, MEK by immuno-blot in the ES2 line (Figures 6A and 6B).

In addition to this well-known case, ReKINect predicted 23 other instances of potential constitutively activating kinase mutations (Table S4). Although some of these mutations fall nearby or on phosphorylation sites that have not yet been shown to regulate enzymatic activity, for a considerable fraction of them there is substantial evidence they could lead to kinase activation (Table S4). One exciting example of a predicted phospho-mimicking mutation was identified on the hematopoietic progenitor kinase 1 (HPK1), namely the mutant variant HPK1 A164D. Alanine 164 is immediately adjacent to the activating phosphorylation site T165 on the activation segment of HPK1, and mutation to Asp is predicted to confer constitutive activation of HPK1 and the likely engagement of its downstream JNK and NF-κB signaling (Arnold et al., 2005). Thus, the ReKINect predictions suggest a role for cellular stress response and potentially hematopoietic involvement in lung cancer, the cancer type in which this mutation was identified.

To model kinase inactivating mutations, we hypothesized that mutations that alter catalytically essential residues (e.g., residues mediating ATP binding, Mg2+ coordination or phosphotransfer, as defined in Zeqiraj and van Aalten, 2010 and Table S5) could lead to kinase inactivation. The high number of instances identified by ReKINect and detailed in Table S6 (427 unique kinase inactivation events) suggests that a large number of kinases become inactivated during cancer development. While it has previously been shown that inactivating mutations on kinases could lead to Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (Mehenni et al., 1998) or to pseudo-kinases throughout natural
evolution (Zeqiraj and van Aalten, 2010), our results indicate that kinase inactivation may hitherto have been largely under-appreciated in the interpretation of cancer genomes.

A closer inspection of these predicted inactivating mutations reveals a bias toward specific critical residues. In particular the first and third residues of the DFG motif (i.e., the glutamate and glycine, respectively) that defines the start of the activation segment, harbors approximately one-third of all inactivating mutations (Figures 6C and 6D). While mutations in other essential residues are likely to equally lead to kinase inactivation (see Table S5 for further information on the kinase catalytically essential residues included as part of ReKINect), our results suggest a significant preference for these two residues being mutated in the context of kinase inactivating mutations in cancer ($\chi^2$ test, $p = 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). Thus, these two positions of the DFG motif are predicted to constitute structural and

**Figure 6. Constitutive Activation and Inactivation of Kinases by NAMs**

(A) ReKINect identified ES2 cells as containing the constitutively activating BRAF V600E mutation.

(B) An immunoblot and associated quantification, illustrating the phosphorylation of BRAF substrate MEK in the mutant cell line ES2 (in red) compared to the wild-type cell lines (in black), using total MEK and β-tubulin for normalization.

(C) ReKINect identified several cancer mutations in catalytically essential residues of kinase domains.

(D) A quantification of all mutations from the global repository of cancer somatic mutations predicted to inactivate kinases and the catalytically essential positions they hit. Mutations leading to kinase domain catalytic inactivation are enriched ($\chi^2$ test, $p = 1.69 \times 10^{-15}$) in cancer somatic mutations (with particular preference for the aspartate, D, and glycine, G, in the DFG motif).

A closer inspection of these predicted inactivating mutations reveals a bias toward specific critical residues. In particular the first and third residues of the DFG motif (i.e., the glutamate and glycine, respectively) that defines the start of the activation segment, harbors approximately one-third of all inactivating mutations (Figures 6C and 6D). While mutations in other essential residues are likely to equally lead to kinase inactivation (see Table S5 for further information on the kinase catalytically essential residues included as part of ReKINect), our results suggest a significant preference for these two residues being mutated in the context of kinase inactivating mutations in cancer ($\chi^2$ test, $p = 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). Thus, these two positions of the DFG motif are predicted to constitute structural and
biochemical hotspots for NAMs leading to inactivation of protein kinases in cancer.

Overall, these results suggest that ReKINect is capable of predicting NAMs that constitutively activate or inactivate protein kinases and that, in addition to BRAF V600E, numerous other similar mutations are likely to exist that directly affect the catalytic activity of kinases in cancer signaling.

Functional Mutations in SH2 Domains and Global Phenotypic Impact of NAMs

The SH2 domain is of seminal importance to signaling fidelity, cellular organization, and function across Metazoan species and is often part of protein kinases and perturbed in human disease (Bibbins et al., 1993; Manning et al., 2002; Marengere et al., 1994; Pawson et al., 2001). Thus, we reasoned that the inclusion of the SH2 domain as part of ReKINect would enable us to make integrated predictions of higher accuracy and relevance from a signaling perspective. SH2 domains possess an essential Arg residue found within a highly conserved sequence motif (FLVRES) that makes direct contact with phosphoTyr residues in its binding partners (Bibbins et al., 1993). By incorporating this critical residue for the phospho-tyrosine binding function of SH2 domains into ReKINect, we could predict 20 distinct instances (including mutations on ABL, SYK, and GRB10) where cancer mutations disrupt a critical functional residue, thus impairing the ability of the mutant SH2 domains to bind their substrates (Table S7).

As with the mutations causing kinase downstream rewiring, by mapping cancer mutations onto the determinants of specificity of the SH2 domain identified by our algorithm KINspect (Creixell et al., 2015), ReKINect predicted 93 NAMs causing SH2 downstream rewiring (Table S9) by changing positions within the domain that show a high likelihood of playing a critical role in substrate specificity (specificity score higher than 0.9). The comparably lower number of inactivating and downstream rewiring mutations in SH2 domains compared with kinase domains, is attributable at least in part to the smaller number and size of SH2 domains in comparison with kinase domains (Figure S1).

Finally, to systematically explore the potential functional or phenotypic impact of the NAMs described above, we performed RNAi knockdown of kinase and SH2 domain containing proteins across the ovarian cancer cell lines. The effect of these perturbations on nuclear number was then determined using a global yet selective and sensitive approach, would suggest that many more such events could exist in cancer.

Evidence for NAMs and Signaling Trends in Cancer

Through integration of low and high-throughput computational and experimental technologies, we have discovered the existence of the NAMs described in Figure 1. Having analyzed the data generated here and in global genome sequencing efforts, we conclude that there is ample evidence supporting the hypothesis that all the different types of NAMs described do indeed occur in cancer.

In addition, our results also uncovered a variety of interesting signaling trends resulting from cancer mutations: first, our results demonstrate the existence of new molecular logic gates in cancer. The genesis of new phosphorylation sites by mutations as uncovered here illustrate how cancer cells can acquire novel and prominent signaling flows and altered information processing that may result in new phenotypic states to be reached.

We identified and experimentally confirmed three striking examples of cancer mutations directly leading to a catalytic specificity drift, PKD1 D665N, PKCγ D484N, and M501I. Downstream rewiring had until now been the most elusive type of NAMs, as reflected by the fact that only a single instance of this type of mutation, where a kinase is altered in specificity through mutation, RET M918T, had been reported in the literature (Borrello et al., 1995; Santoro et al., 1995; Songyang et al., 1995). The discovery of these three new NAMs, using a global yet selective and sensitive approach, would suggest that many more such events could exist in cancer.

Supporting this, we could pinpoint 16 additional cancer mutations that, given that they harbor identical amino acid substitutions to the ones we tested, are most likely to also encode downstream rewiring events. Next, when studying NAMs that would lead to downstream rewiring on a position that was recently confirmed to drive peptide specificity on the phospho-acceptor of phosphorylation sites (Chen et al., 2014), we could identify nine cancer mutations that are predicted to steer signaling toward phosphorylation of Thr, whereas no mutants were found in the opposite direction (Figure S4). Despite the fact that these numbers are not sufficiently high to enable robust statistics and that a large number of wild-type kinases originally encode Ser-directing residues in the DFG+1 position (thereby partially explaining the lack of Thr-to-Ser mutants), this bias suggests that specific cancers may harbor increased Thr-based signaling. Given that, due to its unique mutational and physico-chemical properties, serine has been identified as a mutational hub (Creixell et al., 2012b) and thereby a likely result of cancer...
mutations, we speculate that through such Ser-to-Thr signaling rewiring cancer cells might evolve less dependency on serine signaling.

Furthermore, if by affecting a large number of substrates, downstream rewiring NAMs are likely to have a broader impact at the network level, the fact that two of the downstream rewiring mutants described here lead to a less specific kinase and are thus likely to phosphorylate more substrates, highlights even further the potential impact of these NAMs. These results significantly extend our previous observations that less-specific kinases tend to be cancer mutation targets (Miller et al., 2008) and serve as a critical resource that we hope will start paving a new avenue on signaling specificity in cancer research.

Similar to the case of kinase downstream rewiring, relatively few mutations on SH2 domains had been reported to obliterate tyrosine-binding or shift their specificity (Marengere et al., 1994; Pawson et al., 2001), highlighting that this might also be a hitherto hidden and yet perhaps a fundamental signaling trend in cancer.

Finally, an over-representation of kinase-resignation upstream rewiring events suggests that cancer mutations most often lead to upstream rewiring by worsening existing optimal substrates rather than generating super-optimal new substrates for other upstream kinases. Given the amount of fine-tuning achieved over millions of years of evolution at the substrate level (Tan et al., 2009; Zarrinpar et al., 2003), it is perhaps to be expected that mutations in substrates will most often lead to poorer phosphorylation motifs.

Finally, our results suggest both the existence of previously unknown constitutively activating mutations in kinases as well as the presence of mutational hotspots on two specific positions leading to the inactivation of protein kinases, namely the Asp and Gly within the DFG motif at the beginning of the activation segment. It could be the aim of future studies to elucidate why these spots are preferred by cancer mutations when inactivating kinases.

**Non-Recurrent yet Functional NAMs**

While a large fraction of recurrent and/or conserved mutations can directly or indirectly be considered NAMs as they typically perturb signaling networks (as exemplified here with BRAF V600E) and they typically operate as functional driver mutations, in this study we have demonstrated that non-recurrent and non-conserved mutations also can be functional NAMs (Figure 7B). This may be most evident from the observation that downstream rewiring mutations can lead to a switch of specificity of a comparable magnitude to the specificity difference between two distinct kinases in the human kinome. Thus, despite the fact that previous studies of cancer mutations, including some on kinase domains, have disregarded non-recurrent variants as being non-functional passenger mutations (Greenman et al., 2007), our results suggest that many of these do indeed have a functional role. Still, pinning down the actual contribution of these less frequent yet functional mutations, or combinations thereof, and under which context they drive oncogenesis will require a concerted research effort by both the genomics and signaling communities. If we move from a perception of oncogenes and tumor-suppressors operating in isolation to drive oncogenesis, toward a new paradigm, where numerous mutations play a driving role under specific cellular contexts (e.g., when appearing in combination with other mutations) (Creixell et al., 2012a; Wu et al., 2010), it will be important to acknowledge that it is likely that several of these functional NAMs drive cancer in a concerted fashion.

As shown in Figure 7A, some of the NAMs we have identified here are likely to impose dramatic alterations in signaling networks, such as specificity switches that are analogous to introducing a new kinase and thus may play a driving role in oncogenesis.

The fact that there are multiple strategies in which the same signaling output can be achieved by distinct cancer mutations (as shown for instance by inactivating mutations in Figure 6D) and that we have identified overexpression of an instance of one of these functional NAMs, further supports the importance of such less frequent functional mutations (Figure 7B).

**Perspectives**

Our results suggest that signaling networks are both dynamically and structurally rewired in cancer cells to an extent far beyond what was previously anticipated. Such rewiring includes constitutive activation and inactivation of kinase and SH2 domains, upstream and downstream rewiring of phosphorylation-based signaling, and the extinction and genesis of phosphorylation sites. These findings will be critical for network medicine efforts where drug targets for complex diseases are defined at the network level and for the individual patient or tumor.

Here, we demonstrated six distinct NAMs as proof-of-principle and verified all the NAMs described in Figure 1A are present in cancer. Future expansions of the KINspect (Creixell et al., 2015) and ReKINect algorithms to include other protein domains, PTMs, and linear motifs, more complex genetic perturbations (such as copy-number variations or genomic re-arrangements leading to protein fusions) and the advancement of sequencing and MS technologies, will likely facilitate the discovery of many additional NAMs. Such advancements to link cancer genomic and proteomic data will become valuable resources for dealing with the intrinsic complexities of tumors (Weinberg, 2014; Yaffe, 2013).

In the last century, Schechter et al. (1984) and Ullrich et al. (1984) connected the discovery of the oncogene Her-2/neu to its hyperactivity in a fraction of breast cancers (Slamon et al., 1987) and the development of targeted therapies such as Trastuzumab (Carter et al., 1992). Others linked the discovery of the BCR-ABL fusion protein (Rowley, 1973) to CML leading to the development of Imatinib (Druker et al., 1996) and newer generation inhibitors. Similarly, we hope that ReKINect, and similar tools, can be utilized to close the cancer mutation interpretation gap. Boosting genomic interpretation capacity should ideally parallel the rate at which next generation technologies identify new mutations in order to help meet the bench-to-bedside challenge (Figure 7C), assist clinicians in making better treatment decisions for those patients carrying infrequent yet functional cancer mutations and facilitate the development of novel “magic bullets” (Strebhardt and Ullrich, 2008) and precision medicines (Creixell et al., 2012a).
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Building Comprehensive Sets of Sequences: Kinome, SH2ome, and Phosphorylation Sites

We built comprehensive sets of sequences covering all human kinase proteins (Manning et al., 2002), 120 SH2 domains (Liu et al., 2006), and a broad set of known human phosphorylation sites (Hornbeck et al., 2004). With these sets, we performed domain-centered sequence alignments using ClustalW and Omega (Sievers et al., 2011) followed by subsequent manual refinement. These alignments were then deployed by identifying functional residues on them and mapping these residues back to the wild-type version of the mutant sequences analyzed with ReKINect. Similarly, phosphorylation site peptides were matched to the wild-type variants of all mutations, so that the distance between each mutation and its closest phosphorylation sites could be determined.

Collecting a Global Repository of Somatic Cancer Mutations

We compiled a global set of publicly available somatic cancer mutations from COSMIC v67 (Forbes et al., 2011) and generated the FASTA files required by ReKINect containing both the wild-type and mutant versions of all coding missense variants, using purpose-made Python scripts and ENSEMBL’s VEP resource (Flicek et al., 2014).

Figure 7. Evidence and Model for Functional Mutations and Tumor-Specific Network Medicine

(A) The functional mutations found in this study are clear examples of single amino acid mutations that can severely perturb signaling networks.

(B) Our study shows how non-recurrent cancer mutations on non-conserved residues can be functionally important and that functional recurrent (orange) and non-recurrent (red) NAMs can converge at the signaling network level. We also identified a case where a functional mutation in a low-abundant protein is accompanied by its overexpression.

(C) The deployment of tools like ReKINect should enable the proposition of more refined signaling mechanisms underlying cellular cancer phenotypes and identification of driver and therapeutically relevant mutations.
Computing Minimum Distance to Substrate from PDB Files

Minimum distances to substrates were computed as described in the accompanying article (Creixell et al., 2015) and further detailed in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Protein Kinase Specificity Assays

Kinasen and mutants were expressed by transient transfection of encoding plasmids in HEK293T cells, purified by FLAG affinity purification, and PSPL

Further details about the maintenance of cell lines, preparation of sequencing, mass spectrometry, and RNAl screening samples and their computational analysis can similarly be found in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, five figures, eight tables, and six data files and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.08.056.
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