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Abstract—Current vector flow systems are limited in their detectable range of blood flow velocities. Previous work on phantoms has shown that the velocity range can be extended using synthetic aperture directional beamforming combined with an adaptive multi-lag approach. This paper presents a first in-vivo example with a high dynamic velocity range. Velocities with an order of magnitude apart are detected on the femoral artery of a 41 years old healthy individual. Three distinct heart cycles are captured during a 3 secs acquisition. The estimated vector velocities are compared against each other within the heart cycle. The relative standard deviation of the measured velocity magnitude between the three peak systoles was found to be 5.11% with a standard deviation on the detected angle of 1.06°. In the diastole, it was 1.46% and 6.18°, respectively. Results proves that the method is able to estimate flow in-vivo and provide quantitative results in a high dynamic velocity range. Providing velocity measurements during the whole cardiac cycle for both arteries and veins.

I. INTRODUCTION

Vector flow systems using ultrasound have become more appealing as they can accurately capture complex flow features presented in the vasculature compared to their 1-D counterpart. These newly available features have the potential of providing more insight into the progression of vascular diseases, and prove more useful for an accurate quantification of blood flow derived parameters (e.g. vorticity, pressure gradients).

Vector flow systems have been traditionally based on phase shift estimators [1]. These systems are limited in their detectable range of blood flow velocities due to the limited amount of data for velocity estimation. A choice between visualizing diastolic or systolic flow needs to be made by the physician. An adjustment of the pulse repetition frequency \( f_{prf} \) is frequently performed to avoid aliasing. Aliasing occurs when the frequency being sampled is greater than half the sampling rate, and this is a well known artefact in 1-D flow systems. However, in vector flow systems aliasing errors are more complex to detect than for 1-D estimators. For 2-D imaging, aliasing could be present in either or both of the velocity components. For example, in the particular case of cross-beam techniques, if only one receive signal is aliased a large and dominant lateral component is detected, as investigated in [2]. To mitigate these effects, several authors have proposed the use of higher number of emissions in combination with least-squares methods [3] and aliasing resistant algorithms [4].

Time shift estimators were introduced for ultrasound flow estimation in the mid 80s by Bonnefous[5], and it was shown to overcome the aliasing limit. Time shift estimators use the displacement between successive echo signals of the scatterers. The technique showed promising results, as it presented a better performance than phase shift techniques [6]. Additionally, time shift estimators perform better with short pulses, thus, no spatial resolution is sacrificed. However, the performance of the method severely deteriorates for larger beam-to-flow [7]. This was due to the rapid decorrelation of the blood signal, since the blood scatterers stayed inside the ultrasound beam for a shorter amount of time. To compensate for this the use of broader beams and parallel beamformers was suggested by Bonnefous [8], which generated a signal transverse to the ultrasound field. However, the approach solely worked for flow that was transverse to the ultrasound beam. Later, Jensen and Lacasa suggested using signals focused along the flow direction to solve the problem [9]. The approach, called directional beamforming, proved to be highly accurate in estimating flow velocities, and therefore was further investigated in [10], [11]. Jensen and Nikolov, furtherly improved the method by combining it with synthetic aperture techniques[12], which made the method more robust at detecting the correct angle with the proposed angle estimator [13]. Finally, the velocity range of the method was extended by Villagomez [14] using a multi-lag approach using the fact that synthetic aperture techniques provides continuous data. The method was validated on simulations and phantoms.

In this paper an in-vivo example of the proposed method is shown. The method is first described in Section II. The imaging sequence is described in Section II-C. A brief description of the algorithm is given in Section II-A. Finally, the results of an in-vivo acquisition on the femoral artery and vein of a 41 years old individual are presented in Section II-D.

II. METHODS

The directional velocity estimation is performed using the synthetic aperture approach developed by Jensen and Nikolov [15], and briefly described in Section II-A. Here diverging ultrasound waves are emitted using virtual sources, as described in Section II-C. The received multi-channel data are focused at any set of points within the interrogated region. The consecutive beamformed lines are correlated at multiple lags and an adaptive algorithm selects the correct lag, as proposed in [14] and briefly described in Section II-B.

A. Vector Flow Imaging

The blood flow is tracked by focusing along directional lines \( g(r', \theta_m) \) in the polar grid \( (r', \theta_m) \), centred at the estimation point \( \tilde{x} \), as shown in Fig 1. Vessel wall echo-canceling is performed before the velocity estimation in a similar fashion.
as described in [16]. The directional velocity estimation is performed as described by Jensen [10], by beamforming focused lines.

The focused lines $g(r', \theta_m)$ are correlated for lines acquired $T_{\text{eff}}$ seconds apart. The correlation is calculated along a discrete set of angles $\theta_m$, as follows,

$$R_{12}(l, \theta_m) = \sum_{k=-N_{\text{corr}}/2}^{N_{\text{corr}}/2} g^\prime(k, \theta_m) g^{\prime+T_{\text{eff}}}(k+l, \theta_m),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $l$ is the correlation lag and $N_{\text{corr}}$ is the discrete length of the directional signals.

A number of cross-correlations, $N_{\text{xc}}$ are averaged under the assumption that the scatterer’s motion can be considered quasi-static during successive acquisitions. The velocities are estimated by finding the maximum of the correlation function (1). For increased accuracy, a second-order polynomial is fitted to the cross-correlation around $l_{\text{max}}(\theta_m)$, and the position of the maximum correlation, $\hat{l}_{\text{max}}$, is found by the interpolation formula given in [7].

The directional velocity estimate along the direction $\theta_m$ is given by

$$V(\theta_m) = \frac{\hat{l}_{\text{max}}(\theta_m) \cdot d_r}{T_{\text{eff}}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $d_r$ is the spatial sampling interval.

B. Adaptive multi-lag

The correlation time $T_{\text{eff}}$ is selected adaptively from a set of discrete times multiple of the pulse repetition frequency $T_{\text{PRF}}$, where $L_{\text{PRF}}$ is a positive integer value.

An optimal $L_{\text{lag}}$ is selected to attain more accurate estimates. The criteria for selecting the optimal $L_{\text{lag}}$ is to lower the relative standard deviations. As the nature of the blood flow is pulsatile, the relative standard deviation cannot be estimated over the whole measurement period. Therefore, it is necessary to generate a piecewise linear approximation of the flow waveform by fitting a line to the velocity estimates into a small time window. The size of the time window segments is selected, so that the approximation resembles the flow waveform as close as possible. The value used in this work is 6 ms or 15 velocity estimates.

The optimal $L_{\text{lag}}$ is selected by minimizing the standard deviation of subtracting a piecewise linear approximation from the velocity estimate at each point as denoted by

$$L_{\text{lag}}(r, t_n) = \arg \min_{L_{\text{lag}}} \left[ \text{std} \{ \hat{v}(r, t_n, L_{\text{lag}}) - \hat{v}_{\text{pl}}(r, t_n, L_{\text{lag}}) \} \right],$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $\hat{v}(r, t_n, L_{\text{lag}})$ is the segment from the estimated velocity and $\hat{v}_{\text{pl}}(r, t_n, L_{\text{lag}})$ is the piecewise linear approximation at that segment.

Finally, the $L_{\text{lag}}(r, t_n)$ is smoothed using a median filter (3 [mm] x 20 [ms]) to reduce $L_{\text{lag}}$ jumps.

C. Measurement setup

A 128-element linear array probe connected to the experimental ultrasound scanner, SARUS [17], is used in the scanning. A duplex synthetic aperture (SA) sequence with virtual sources is emitted to acquire both B-mode and flow data sets. The emissions are interleaved, so that a B-mode emission is transmitted for every five flow emissions. An effective pulse repetition frequency is consequently $PRF_{\text{eff}} = PRF/(5+1)$.

The SA B-mode image consist of 128 individual emissions with virtual sources located behind the transducer using a 16 element sub-aperture. A 3-cycle sinusoidal pulse weighted by a 50% Tukey window is used as excitation waveform.

The flow sequence is implemented using a 64-element sub-aperture to increase the amount of emitted energy. The virtual sources are located behind the transducer as well. The transmitted wavefront is directed towards a region of interest (ROI), so the ROI is completely insonified in every emission (Fig. 2). A linear frequency modulated (FM) chirp tapered with a Tukey window is used as excitation wave. The excitation has a duration of 1.5 microseconds including the tapered region, with a frequency span from 3.5 MHz to 9.5 MHz. A 40% tapering is applied to reduce the effects of sidelobes. [18]. The transducer and acquisition parameters are listed in Table I.

The acoustic output of the sequence is measured for the two imaging modes using the scheme described in [19]. The measured intensities must satisfy limits regulated by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). These limits concern the mechanical index, $MI \leq 1.9$, the derated spatial-peak-temporal-average intensity, $I_{\text{spat}} \leq 720 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ [20]. The measured values are $MI = 0.83$ and $I_{\text{spat}} = 534 \text{ mW/cm}^2$, which are both below the FDA limits. The transducer surface temperature was also tested, where the transducer surface should not exceed an increase of 30°C and 6°C Celsius in air and in a simulated usage test, respectively. The maximum pulse repetition frequency (PRF) was adjusted to comply with these regulations, and was found to be 15 kHz for the current emission setup.
was recorded with the volunteer in standing position while a healthy volunteer with no history of vascular or cardiac disease (41 years old male) entered the study after informed consent. The scan sequence was acquired during 3 seconds on the femoral artery and vein simultaneously. The effective pulse repetition is 250 kHz yielding 7500 velocity estimates in total. Fig. 3 (a) shows a scan was carried out by an experienced radiologist. performing dorsal and plantar flexion to simulate walking. The femoral artery presented no disturbed flow during the heart cycles, but marked reversed flow was seen during the beginning of diastole at the edges of the vessel. The magnitude of the reverse flow did not surpassed 0.05 m/s. A valve is also observed to disrupt the flow in the vessel. The magnitude of the reverse flow did not surpassed 0.05 m/s. A valve is also observed to disrupt the flow in the vessel. However, this valve is not always visible in the B-mode image. In the diastole, it was 1.46% and 6.18°, respectively. The temporal rel. std. dev. during the whole cardiac cycle was 5.06% with an angle std.dev. of 8.06°.

### IV. Conclusion

It has been shown that with the SA directional beamforming method flow during the whole cardiac cycle is available. Complex flow patterns are observed and the achieved high frame rate achieved make it possible to distinguish transient events that in other cases would be impossible to detect. The method have shown here to be robust and reliable as low standard deviations are obtained for distinct heart cycles at a high range of velocities.

### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by grant 82-2012-4 from the Danish Advanced Technology Foundation and by BK Medical Aps.

### References


Fig. 3. In-vivo vector flow estimates of the femoral artery and vein of a healthy 41 years old male. Vector flow image of the flow during the systole is shown in (a). The three distinct systole peaks were aligned on the denoted line. The spatial repeatability of the measurement is plotted in (b) for both the systole and diastole. The temporal repeatability during the whole cardiac cycle in the denoted point is plotted in (c).


