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Abstract—In this work, the classical linear model of a permanent magnet synchronous motor (PMSM) is modified by adding $d$ and $q$-axes harmonic inductances so that the modified model can consider non-linearities present in an interior permanent magnet (IPM) motor. Further, a method has been presented to assess the effect of saturation and cross-saturation on constant torque curves of PMSM. Two IPM motors with two different rotor topologies and different specifications are designed to evaluate the effect of saturation on synchronous and harmonic inductances, and on operating points of the machines.

Index Terms—Interior permanent magnet machine, permanent magnet synchronous machine, harmonic inductances, saturation, field weakening.

I. INTRODUCTION

PERMANENT magnet synchronous machines (PMSM) are a popular choice in electric powertrain because of benefits such as high power density, high torque density and wide constant power operation [1]. The classical model of PMSM neglects non-linearities caused by the higher order airgap flux harmonics (greater than second) and the saturated magnetic flux path [2]. This was not an issue in earlier versions of PMSM drives as they were mostly using surface permanent magnet (SPM) machines. The large effective airgap of SPM machines limits the influence of armature reaction on machine parameters. The applications like drives for traction require extended constant power operation and interior permanent magnet (IPM) machines, which utilises reluctance torque along with magnet torque, are more suitable compared to SPM machines [3]–[6]. The IPM machines has narrow airgap and, therefore, the armature current influences amplitude of harmonic fluxes, the saturation level, core losses, and $dq$-axes inductances [7].

Several studies have been carried out to estimate the effect of saturation on parameters of IPM machines and to improve the accuracy of classical $dq$-axes model of PMSM [8]–[11]. In [12], the authors presented a comparison between the classical $dq$-axes model and the finite element (FE) model of an IPM machine. In their study, an error of less than 10% in core-loss at base speed has increased to more than 75% at four times the base speed. The authors proposed adding harmonic inductance to $dq$-axes model of IPM machine and this helps to model the increased core loss in field weakening mode. The authors used same inductances, which was obtained by dividing the sum of magnitudes of all higher order flux linkage harmonics with magnitude of phase current, to both $d$ and $q$ equivalent circuits. Even though, the model was closer to test results compared to the classical model, considerable deviations were observed. In this work, the model presented in [12] was modified by considering harmonic fluxes that are resolved into $d$-axis and $q$-axis to calculate harmonic inductances of $d$ and $q$ equivalent circuits. In addition, the effect of saturation on operating point of IPM machine when it is operating under maximum torque per ampere (MTPA) control has been studied. Two IPM machines with different rotor topologies, shown in Fig. 1, are designed to demonstrate the proposed concepts.

II. THE $dq$-AXES MODEL OF PMSM CONSIDERING HARMONIC INDUCTANCES

The flowchart shown in Fig. 2, describes the procedure for calculating the fundamental (or synchronous) and harmonic components of $dq$-axes inductances, considering saturation and cross-saturation. The $d$-axis and $q$-axis harmonic components of flux linkages, $\psi_{dn}$ and $\psi_{qn}$, can be obtained from the Fourier analysis of airgap flux density distribution as [13]

$$\psi_{dn} = B_{dn} \frac{DL_{stk}}{p} k_{wn} T_{ph} \quad \psi_{qn} = B_{qn} \frac{DL_{stk}}{p} k_{wn} T_{ph}$$  (1)
where $B_{dn}$ and $B_{qn}$ are values of real and imaginary parts of the $n^{th}$ harmonic component of flux density distribution under load, $D$ is the outer diameter of the airgap, $L_{stk}$ is the stack length of the machine, $p$ is the number of pole pairs of the machine, $k_{wn}$ is $n^{th}$ harmonic winding factor and $T_{ph}$ is the number of turns in series per phase. The $d$-axis and $q$-axis synchronous inductances are calculated as

$$L_d = \frac{\psi_{1Md} - \psi_{d1}}{I_d}; \quad L_q = \frac{\psi_{1Mq}}{I_q}$$ (2)

where $\psi_{1Md}$ is the fundamental component of the $d$-axis flux linkage due to the magnet flux alone. The effect of all higher order harmonics of flux linkage is represented by harmonic inductances, $L_{dh}$ and $L_{qh}$ and they can be calculated as

$$L_{dh} = \sum_{i=5,7,...}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_{d0i} - \psi_{di}}{I_d}; \quad L_{qh} = \sum_{i=5,7,...}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_{qi}}{I_q}$$ (3)

where $\psi_{d0i}$ is the $i^{th}$ harmonic flux linkage due to magnet flux alone. The modified $dq$-axis equivalent circuits of IPM machine considering harmonic inductances are shown in Fig. 3. In

$$V_{do} = -\omega L_q I_{qo} - \omega L_{qh} I_{qo}$$ (4)

$$V_{qo} = \omega \Psi_{1Mq} + \omega L_d I_{do} + \omega L_{dh} I_{do}$$ (5)

$$V_d = I_d R_c + V_{do}$$ (6)

$$V_q = I_q R_c + V_{qo}$$ (7)

### III. ESTIMATING THE EFFECT OF SATURATION AND CROSS-SATURATION ON CONSTANT TORQUE CURVES OF IPM MACHINE

The saturation of steel laminations changes values of $dq$-axes inductances, and causes considerable deviation between analytically predicted and measured performances of IPM machines [14]. Thus, the operating point of IPM machines can shift considerably with saturation, especially at higher load levels. A flowchart to estimate the shift in constant torque curves of an IPM machine in an $I_d-I_q$-plane is shown in Fig. 4. The method uses torque equation to identify synchronous inductances from a lookup table corresponding to a set of $I_d$, $I_q$ values. Torque expression itself is a function of $I_d$, $I_q$ and synchronous inductances and therefore, an iterative procedure is required.

![Fig. 3: Modified dq-axis equivalent circuit of IPM machine. (a) d-axis equivalent circuit. (b) q-axis equivalent circuit.](image)

![Fig. 4: Flowchart for estimating the effect of saturation and cross-saturation on constant torque curves of IPM machine (where $\epsilon$ is a predefined error limit).](image)
IV. CALCULATION OF MODIFIED dq-AXIS EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT PARAMETER FOR IPM MOTOR DESIGNS

An overview of the specification and dq-axes circuit parameters of the two motors designed using the commercial design program, MotorSolve™, are listed in the Table I. Airgap flux density distributions and its harmonics when a current of 283 A applied at an advancing angle 45° to the design 1 and a current of 194 A applied at an advancing angle 45° to the design 2 is shown in Fig. 5. Flux density plots of these machines for different \( I_d \) and \( I_q \) values are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. Harmonic inductances are calculated for harmonic orders starting from 5 to 21. The variation of \( d \) and \( q \) synchronous and harmonic inductances are shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9, and it is clear from these figures that harmonic inductance magnitudes are of the same order of synchronous inductances for the machines considered here. The value of synchronous inductances is decreasing as demagnetizing-\( I_d \) and \( I_q \) values are increased for two layer angled barrier rotor topology. The saturation levels of barriers (located in \( d \)-axis) are highly sensitive to both \( I_d \) and \( I_q \) as shown in Fig. 6, (b) and (c). As a result a widely spread plot of \( d \)-axis inductance variation is observed as shown in Fig. 8 (a). From, Fig. 6 (b) and Fig. 8 (b), it is clear that the effect of cross-saturation on \( L_q \) is less pronounced for angled barrier IPM motor design. For embedded rotor topology, the gap between magnets located in \( q \)-axis is the only section of \( q \)-axis flux path in rotor that is highly saturated and the saturation level of this area is depended more on \( I_q \) than \( I_d \), resulting in \( L_q \) and \( L_{qh} \) plots that are more or less constant with variations of \( I_q \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Design 1</th>
<th>Design 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotor topology</td>
<td>two layer angled</td>
<td>embedded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated power (kW)</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pole pairs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of slots</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated speed (rpm)</td>
<td>3275</td>
<td>3055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full load torque (Nm)</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full load RMS line voltage (V)</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full load RMS phase current (A)</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer diameter of stator (mm)</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack length of stator (mm)</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnet flux linkage (Wb-turns)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase resistance of winding (mΩ)</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d )-axis inductance at full load (mH)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q )-axis inductance at full load (mH)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core loss at full load (W)</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>3240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core loss resistance (Ω)</td>
<td>119.55</td>
<td>29.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6: Flux density (T) plots for design 1 for different \( I_d \) and \( I_q \) values. (a) \( I_d = -40 \) A, \( I_q = 40 \) A, (b) \( I_d = -280 \) A, \( I_q = 40 \) A, (c) \( I_d = -40 \) A, \( I_q = 280 \) A and (d) \( I_d = -280 \) A, \( I_q = 280 \) A

Fig. 7: Flux density (T) plots for design 2 for different \( I_d \) and \( I_q \) values. (a) \( I_d = -27.5 \) A, \( I_q = 27.5 \) A, (b) \( I_d = -220 \) A, \( I_q = 27.5 \) A, (c) \( I_d = -27.5 \) A, \( I_q = 220 \) A and (d) \( I_d = -220 \) A, \( I_q = 220 \) A

Fig. 5: Airgap flux density distributions and its harmonics when a current of 283 A applied at an advancing angle 45° to the design 1 and a current of 194 A applied at an advancing angle 45° to the design 2.
When the motor operates under MTPA control, the operating points will be the intersections of MTPA curve and constant torque curves. The MTPA curve for the proposed model under saturation is obtained by joining minimum current points of constant torque curves (corresponding to 50%, 75% and 100% of the rated torque, $T_r$) as shown in Fig. 10. The estimated full load torque of the design 1 shows an improvement of 4% and that of the design 2 shows an improvement of 7% when saturation of the flux path and harmonic inductances are accounted in the $dq$-axes model of the machine.

Fig. 9: The effect of saturation and cross-saturation on synchronous and harmonic inductances of 75 kW, 6-pole, embedded IPM machine. In this plot $I_d$ generates a demagnetizing field.

Fig. 10: The effect of saturation and space harmonics on MTPA control. (a) 125 kW, 4-pole, two layer angled barrier IPM machine. (b) 75 kW, 6-pole, embedded IPM machine.

V. Conclusion

IPM motors have higher content of airgap flux harmonics than surface magnet machines and their operation in the field weakening mode can cause the saturation of magnetic flux paths. This study proposed to add harmonic inductances to the classic $dq$-axes model of PMSM to accurately model the effect of the higher harmonic flux content of IPM motors. The analysis of two IPM machines concluded that the impact of saturation and cross-saturation on synchronous and harmonic inductances are highly influenced by the rotor topology of IPM.
machines. It has been found that discrepancy between models with and without higher order harmonic parameters can be as high as 7%.
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