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Abstract: The supersonic separator is a revolutionary device to remove the condensable components from gas mixtures. One of the key issues for this novel technology is the complex supersonic swirling flow that is not well understood. A swirling device composed of an ellipsoid and several helical blades is designed for an annular supersonic separator. The supersonic swirling separation flow of natural gas is calculated using the Reynolds Stress model. The results show that the viscous heating and strong swirling flow cause the adverse pressure in the annular channel, which negatively affects the separation performance. When the swirling flow passes through the annular nozzle, it will damage the expansion characteristics of the annular nozzle. The blade angles and numbers are both optimized by evaluating the swirling and expansion effects for the supersonic separation.

Key words: gas separation; supersonic; swirling flow; helical blade

1. Introduction
Natural gas usually contains a certain amount of water vapor when it is extracted from the underground. When the gas reaches the wellhead, the water vapor in the natural gas will condense into the liquid water as a result of the reduction in temperature, which causes a range of hazards to the pipeline for natural gas storage and transportation. For example, it may result in the formation of the hydrates that may block the pipelines. The liquid water may also react with the acid gas, namely, carbon dioxide or hydrogen sulfide, to aggravate the corrosion problem. Therefore, the gas dehydration is an important part in natural gas processing treatment.

At present, the conventional techniques for natural gas dehydration mainly include the refrigeration, adsorption [1], absorption [2, 3], membrane separation [4, 5]. The supersonic swirling separation is a technical innovation in the field of natural gas dehydration [6, 7]. In a supersonic separator, natural gas is accelerated to a supersonic velocity using the influence of a Laval nozzle, and correspondingly forms a low pressure and temperature condition, which results in the condensation of the water vapor and heavy hydrocarbon components. The swirling device generates a large centrifugal force that will eject the condensed droplets onto the walls, which will be discharged after they enter into the collection space. The dry gas resides at the center of the tube and flows out from the diffuser, in which the gas speed reduces to a subsonic as a result of the shock wave.

Jassim et al. [8, 9] studied the supersonic flow characteristics of natural gas in a Laval nozzle under high pressure using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations, focusing on the effect of the nozzle structure on natural gas dynamic parameters. The influence of the operating parameters on the high speed flow characteristics of natural gas through a Laval nozzle was mathematically investigated by Karimi and Abdi [10]. Malyshkina [11, 12] took account of a strong swirl in the
numerical simulation of a single gas field in a supersonic separator. Yang et al. [13, 14] performed detailed CFD simulations to study the pressure recovery characteristics and real gas effects based on a single gas flow without considering swirl. Vaziri & Shahsavand [15] optimized the axial, radial and circumferential components of the inlet velocity by evaluating the swirl strength and centrifugal acceleration with the assumption of a single gas flow in a supersonic separator. The results showed that the increasing pressure and temperature decreased the swirl number and centrifugal acceleration. Ma et al. [16] developed a two-fluid model to simulate the condensation flow in a converging-diverging nozzle based on the ideal gas assumption. The CFD model was validated with experimental data. The mathematical model was used to calculate the nucleation and condensation process in a supersonic separator with a strong swirl flow [17]. Numerical simulations have been conducted on the condensation processes of the water vapor in the supersonic conditions by Shooshtari and Shahsavand [18, 19]. The effect of the nozzle geometry on the condensing parameters was analyzed with one-dimensional assumption. Castier [20] also carried out some numerical simulations of natural gas flow within a Laval nozzle both in consideration of the single flow and the phase equilibrium. Haghighi et al [21] addressed the recent developments of the supersonic separation technique, and pointed out that the swirl generation device was an important part of the design of these separators and further research could potentially increase the knowledge base to improve the swirl generation effectiveness significantly.

One of the complex issues for the supersonic separation technology is to employ the centrifugal force to remove the condensed droplets from the gas-liquid mixtures. Therefore, it is necessary to further study the swirling characteristic of natural gas under supersonic flow conditions. In this paper, a swirling device is newly designed
and installed in the upstream of the converging part of a Laval nozzle. The swirling characteristics of the natural gas flow is analyzed in detail using CFD simulations, while the effect of the structure of the swirling device on the swirling flow is also discussed in the newly designed supersonic swirling separator.

2. Supersonic separators

For a supersonic separator, there are two different methods to design and install the swirling device. One is that the swirling device is designed as a delta wing and installed after the nozzle exit. The other is that a set of static guide blades is designed as the swirling device and located in the upstream of the nozzle entrance. For the first method, the swirling flow is generated in the supersonic velocity, which causes some complex shock waves and increases the flow resistance. Consequently, the second approach is employed here to design a new swirling device for our supersonic separator.

In this kind of supersonic separator, on one hand, the existence of the swirling flow may damage the expansion effect of the Laval nozzle. On the other hand, the swirling device also produces some resistance when natural gas flows through it. It means that we not only enable the swirling device to produce a large centrifugal field to separate the water and heavy hydrocarbons, but also need to control the swirling flow to prevent the damage of the expansion characteristics of the Laval nozzle. Depending on this requirement, the swirling device is designed as a set of helical blades located on the surface of an ellipsoid body, as shown in Fig. 1. The whole length of the designed supersonic separator is 1140.50 mm, involving the nozzle converging part of 149.00 mm, the diverging part of 306.60 mm, and the diffuser section of 384.90 mm. The diameters for the separator inlet and outlet are 80.00 mm and 40.00 mm, respectively. The diameters of the outer wall and inner body at the
nozzle throat are 20.00 mm and 13.96 mm, respectively. The diameter of the inner body at the nozzle outlet is 6.42 mm.

![Fig.1 Structure of a supersonic separator and swirling device](image)

3. Mathematical Model

3.1 Governing Equations

The governing equations describing the natural gas flow in a supersonic separator involve the continuity, momentum and energy equations, and their general forms can be described as:

Continuity equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0
\]  

Momentum equation:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} + \rho \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{\tau}
\]

where \( \rho \mathbf{g} \) and \( \mathbf{F} \) are the gravitational body force and external body forces. \( \mathbf{\tau} \) is the stress tensor and can be given by

\[
\mathbf{\tau} = \mu \left[ (\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T) - \frac{2}{3} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \mathbf{I}) \right]
\]

where \( \mathbf{I} \) is the unit tensor.

Energy equation:
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho E) + \nabla \cdot (\rho u E) = -p \nabla \cdot u - q + \nabla \cdot (u \overline{u})
\]  

(4)

where \( E \) is the total energy; \( q \) is the heat flux.

### 3.2 Turbulence model

It is particularly important to employ an appropriate turbulence model for the numerical calculation of fluid flow in a supersonic separator, in which the anisotropic effect is significant due to the turbulence flow in a high speed with a strong swirl. In the current turbulence models, one of the greatest advantages of the Reynolds Stress Model is that it can accurately calculate the anisotropic effect, such as the buoyancy effect, rotating effect, near-wall effect, etc. Therefore, we employ the Reynolds Stress Model [22] to model the complex swirling flow in a supersonic separator. The transport equations for the transport of the Reynolds stresses may be written as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \rho u_i u_j \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( \rho u_i u'_j u'_k \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( \rho u_i u'_j u'_k + p(\delta_{ij} u'_k + \delta_{ik} u'_j) \right) 
+ D_{L} + P_{ij} + G_{ij} + \phi_{ij} + e_{ij} + F_{ij} + S_r
\]

(5)

where \( D_{L}, P_{ij}, G_{ij}, \phi_{ij}, e_{ij}, F_{ij} \) and \( S_r \) present the molecular diffusion, stress production, buoyancy production, pressure strain, dissipation, system rotation, source term. Please refer to ref. [23] for a detailed description of the RSM model.

The turbulent kinetic energy, \( k \), and the turbulent dissipation rate, \( \varepsilon \), are solved by the following equations, respectively:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho k) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho k u_j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ (\mu + \frac{\mu_k}{\sigma_k}) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left( P_i + G_{ii} \right) - \rho \varepsilon (1 + 2M_i^2) + S_k
\]

(6)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \varepsilon) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho \varepsilon u_j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ (\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\varepsilon}) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right] + C_{\varepsilon 1} \frac{1}{2} \left( P_i + C_{\varepsilon 1} G_{ii} \right) \frac{\varepsilon}{k} - C_{\varepsilon 2} \rho \frac{e^2}{k} + S_\varepsilon
\]

(7)

where:
\[
\mu_i = \rho C_\mu \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}
\]  
(8)

where \( C_{\mu} = 1.44 \), \( C_{\varepsilon} = 1.92 \), \( \sigma_\varepsilon = 0.82 \), \( \sigma_\varepsilon = 1.0 \).

3.3 Numerical schemes

The detailed methods for our numerical simulation are listed in Table 1, including the discretization schemes for governing equation, pressure-velocity coupling method, boundary conditions, etc.

Table 1 Numerical methods for the CFD modeling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discretization schemes for governing equation</td>
<td>Finite Volume Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure-velocity coupling</td>
<td>SIMPLE algorithm [24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence model</td>
<td>Reynolds Stress Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boundary conditions</td>
<td>Inlet: Pressure inlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outlet: Pressure outlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solid wall: Adiabatic and No slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near-wall</td>
<td>Non-equilibrium wall functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convergence condition</td>
<td>Energy equation: below (10^{-6})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Residual value)</td>
<td>Other equations: below (10^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total mass error in the inlet/outlet mass flow rates: below (10^{-4}).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Results and discussion

4.1 Validation and verification of numerical method

In this paper, the numerical simulation is carried out with our experimental separator
[25] by using the above mentioned numerical methods. The comparison between the numerical and experimental results is shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that the predicted results are less than the experimental values under conditions of the strong swirling flows. For example, the mass flow rate error reaches 3.6%, when the swirl strength, $S$, is about 0.906, which is defined as the ratio between the tangential, $v_t$, and critical velocity, $a_c$, at the nozzle throat, $S = v_t / a_c$. The difference is caused by the neglect of the wall friction in the numerical calculations, which exaggerates the effect of swirling flow on the mass flow rate. In general, the numerical results agree well with the experimental data, demonstrating that the CFD modeling can accurately predict the strong swirling flow in a supersonic separator.

![Fig.2 Effect of swirl strength on mass flow rates in a supersonic separator](image)

The grid quality and density can greatly affect the accuracy and reliability of the numerical calculations. In our simulation cases, the swirling device is meshed with unstructured grid for its complex geometry, while the structured grids are generated for all other parts. The grid dependence analysis is performed to test the effect of the grid density on the numerical results. Three grid numbers are adopted for the sensitivity analysis including the coarse (282 643), medium (638 357), and fine (1 436 168) grids. The flow conditions for this simulation include the inlet gas pressure, flow
rate and temperature, which are 4 MPa, 1 000 000 Nm\(^3\)/d, and 303.15 K, respectively. The Mach numbers in the diverging part of the annular nozzle are depicted in Fig. 3. The gas Mach number at the nozzle throat are shown in Table 2. The Mach number with the coarse grid significantly deviates from the other two cases. The relative error between the medium and fine grids is less than 3%. Therefore, the quantity of grid is about 600,000 for our numerical calculation in consideration of the computing accuracy and efficiency.

![Mach number graph](image)

**Fig.3 Gas Mach number in the diverging part of the annular nozzle**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell numbers</th>
<th>Mass flow rate (kg/s)</th>
<th>Mach number at nozzle throat</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>282 643</td>
<td>7.8446</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>8.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>638 357</td>
<td>7.8210</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 436 168</td>
<td>7.7993</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Mach number at nozzle throat with different cell numbers**

4.2 Supersonic swirling flow in an annular nozzle

In a supersonic separator, natural gas is accelerated to a supersonic speed when it flows through the diverging part of a Laval nozzle, leading to the low pressure and
temperature condition. It causes that the water vapor and heavy hydrocarbons condense into liquid droplets, which can be separated under the influence of the centrifugal forces. For this reason, it is particularly necessary to investigate the swirling characteristics of natural gas flow in the diverging part of a Laval nozzle.

Figs. 4 and 5 describe the axial and tangential velocity of natural gas flow at the throat, middle and outlet cross-section of an annular Laval nozzle. We can see that the gas axial velocity distributes uniformly in the central area of the annular channel, while significant velocity gradients are observed in the near-wall region. The axial velocity is approximately 500 m/s near the outer wall at the nozzle outlet. Consequently, the condensed droplets can be carried to the liquid collection space and be separated from the liquid outlet.

The tangential velocity presents an uneven distribution along the radial direction at three representative cross-sections. But the maximum tangential velocity does not appear in the central area of the annular flow channel. On the contrary, the maximum value appears in the region closer to the inner wall surface due to the strong swirls, approximately 20% of the cross-section radius of the annular flow channel. For example, the maximum tangential velocity is about 150 m/s at the annular nozzle outlet. Correspondingly, the maximum centrifugal acceleration reaches 4 500 000 m/s², which is estimated by \( a = \frac{v_t^2}{r} \), where \( a \) is the centrifugal acceleration, \( v_t \) is tangential velocity, \( r \) is radius.

The gas static pressure and temperature at three respective cross-sections are shown in Figs. 6 and 7. It can be seen that the static pressure reaches a maximum at the outer wall surface and the minimum at the inner surface on account of the existence of the strong swirls. It indicates that there is a significant radial pressure gradient in the cyclone separation process. The gas static temperature is sufficiently
low in the central area of the annular channel, about -70 °C at the nozzle outlet, which creates a suitable condition for the condensation of water and heavy hydrocarbon components.

Fig. 4 Gas axial velocity at three representative cross-sections

Fig. 5 Gas tangential velocity at representative cross-sections
4.3 Effect of blade angles

The blade angle is defined as the acute angle between the outlet angle of the helical blade and the axial direction. From the design perspective of a swirling device, the blade angle is a key factor to generate a centrifugal force. The influence of different blade angles on the swirling flow in the annular Laval nozzle is studied numerically considering angles of $10.2^\circ$, $19.7^\circ$, $30.0^\circ$, $40.4^\circ$, $50.1^\circ$, $60.0^\circ$, $70.4^\circ$ and $79.8^\circ$, respectively.

Fig. 8 depicts the gas static temperature and tangential velocity at the designed
annular nozzle outlet under various blade angles. The tangential velocity significantly increases with the increase of the blade angles. It indicates that a strong swirling flow can be generated in a large blade angle to separate the condensed droplets from gas liquid mixtures. But meanwhile, the increasing blade angle also results in the rise of the gas static temperature, which means that the low pressure and temperature condition is weakened as a result of the swirling flow. That is, the swirling characteristic and expansion effect are mutually exclusive. For instance, when the blade angle is less than 40°, the maximum tangential velocity is only about 100 m/s, indicating a poor swirling effect, although the gas static temperature reaches -80 °C at this condition. Likewise, the minimum static temperature increases to -30 °C, showing a weak expansion effect, although the maximum tangential velocity reaches 270 m/s, if the blade angle is up to 79.8°. Therefore, we need to balance the swirling characteristic and expansion effect in the design of a supersonic separator, in which the swirling device is installed in the entrance of a Laval nozzle. Correspondingly, the blade angle of 45-70° is recommended for the design of a swirling device.

Fig. 8 Effect of blade angles on gas swirling characteristics

4.4 Effect of blade numbers

Fig. 9 shows the gas static pressure and tangential velocity at the annular nozzle
outlet with different blade numbers. The tangential velocity increases from 105 m/s to 200 m/s with the increase of the blade number, $n_v$, with a corresponding centrifugal acceleration of $2000000 \text{ m/s}^2$ and $7600000 \text{ m/s}^2$, meaning that the swirling flow is enhanced. Simultaneously, the gas static temperature increases from $-87 \text{ °C}$ to $-62 \text{ °C}$, reducing the expansion effect of the annular nozzle. However, the impact of the blade numbers on the swirling characteristic and expansion effect gradually declines, when it is more than 4 blades. For example, the tangential velocity increases from 165 m/s to 200 m/s, and the gas static temperature rises from $-70 \text{ °C}$ to $-62 \text{ °C}$, while the blade numbers increases from 4 to 12. Hence, the 4-8 helical blades can be used for a swirling device to balance the swirling characteristic and expansion effect in a supersonic separator.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 9** Effect of blade numbers on gas swirling characteristics

5. Conclusions

The ellipsoid and helical blades are newly designed as a swirling device, which is installed in the entrance of an annular nozzle for a supersonic separator. This kind of swirling device not only generates a strong swirling motion, but also reduces the flow resistance. The mathematical model is developed to allow detailed studies of the swirling characteristic of the natural gas flow through the annular channel at a
supersonic speed. We find that the gas velocity, static pressure and temperature
distributes non-uniformly in the radial direction as a result of the viscous heating and
strong swirling flow. The maximum tangential velocity is closer to the inner wall
surface, and the maximum centrifugal acceleration can reach $4,300,000 \text{ m/s}^2$, which
provides a sufficient centrifugal field to remove the condensed droplets from gas
liquid mixtures. If 4-8 blades with the swirling angle of 40-70° are used in the
swirling device, the strong swirling flow and low temperature can be obtained in the
newly designed supersonic separator.
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Nomenclature

$a$ centrifugal acceleration
$\dot{a}_c$ critical velocity
$C_{\varepsilon 1}$ constant
$C_{\varepsilon 2}$ constant
$C_{\varepsilon 3}$ constant
$D_{L, ij}$ molecular diffusion
$E$ total energy
$\mathbf{F}$ external body forces
$F_{ij}$ production by system rotation
\[ g \quad \text{acceleration of gravity} \]
\[ G_{ij} \quad \text{buoyancy production} \]
\[ \bar{I} \quad \text{unit tensor} \]
\[ k \quad \text{turbulent kinetic energy} \]
\[ M_t \quad \text{turbulent Mach number} \]
\[ p \quad \text{pressure} \]
\[ P_{ij} \quad \text{stress production} \]
\[ q \quad \text{heat flux} \]
\[ r \quad \text{radius} \]
\[ S \quad \text{swirl strength} \]
\[ S_h \quad \text{source term} \]
\[ S_r \quad \text{source term} \]
\[ S_e \quad \text{source term} \]
\[ t \quad \text{time} \]
\[ u \quad \text{velocity} \]
\[ v_t \quad \text{tangential velocity} \]
\[ \delta_{ij} \quad \text{Kronecker delta} \]
\[ \varepsilon \quad \text{turbulent dissipation rate} \]
\[ \varepsilon_{ij} \quad \text{turbulent dissipation} \]
\[ \mu \quad \text{gas viscosity} \]
\[ \mu_t \quad \text{turbulent viscosity} \]
\[ \rho \quad \text{gas density} \]
\[ \sigma_k \quad \text{constant} \]
\[ \sigma_{\varepsilon} \quad \text{constant} \]
\[ \bar{\tau} \quad \text{stress tensor} \]
\( \phi_{ij} \) pressure strain
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