Planck intermediate results: XLIII. Spectral energy distribution of dust in clusters of galaxies

Adam, R.; Ade, P. A R; Aghanim, N.; Ashdown, M.; Aumont, J.; Baccigalupi, C.; Banday, A. J.; Barreiro, R. B.; Bartolo, N.; Battaner, E.

Total number of authors: 181

Published in: Astronomy and Astrophysics

Link to article, DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201628522

Publication date: 2016

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):
XXXIII. Spectral energy distribution of dust in clusters of galaxies

Planck Collaboration: R. Adam73, P. A. R. Ade87, N. Aghanim58, M. Ashdown77, J. Aumont58, C. Baccigalupi85, A. Banday96, 12
(Affiliations can be found after the references)

Received 15 March 2016 / Accepted 1 June 2016

ABSTRACT

Although infrared (IR) overall dust emission from clusters of galaxies has been statistically detected using data from the Infrared Astronomical Satellite (IRAS), it has not been possible to sample the spectral energy distribution (SED) of this emission over its peak, and thus to break the degeneracy between dust temperature and mass. By complementing the IRAS spectral coverage with Planck satellite data from 100 to 857 GHz, we provide new constraints on the IR spectrum of thermal dust emission in clusters of galaxies. We achieve this by using a stacking approach on the Planck cluster sample. This procedure averages out fluctuations from the IR sky, allowing us to reach a significant demonstration of the faint cluster contribution. We also use the large frequency range probed by Planck, together with component-separation techniques, to remove the contamination from both cosmic microwave background anisotropies and the thermal Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect (tSZ) signal, which dominate at $\nu \lesssim 353$ GHz. Starting from the frequency range 100 to 500 GHz, we confirm the presence of dust in clusters of galaxies at low and intermediate redshifts, yielding an SED with a shape similar to that of the Milky Way. Planck’s resolution does not allow us to investigate the detailed spatial distribution of this emission (e.g. whether it comes from intergalactic dust or simply the dust content of the cluster galaxies), but the radial distribution of the emission appears to follow that of the stacked SZ signal, and thus the extent of the clusters. The recovered SED allows us to constrain the mass responsible for the signal and its temperature.
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1. Introduction

In clusters of galaxies, the bulk of the baryonic mass is a hot (roughly $10^7$–$10^8$ K), ionized, diffuse gas, mostly emitting at X-ray wavelengths (e.g. Sarazin 1986). However, since the very beginning, X-ray spectroscopy has shown the presence of heavy elements within the intracluster medium (ICM), presumably owing to stripping of interstellar matter from galaxies, dusty winds from intracluster stars, and AGN interaction with the ICM (e.g. Sarazin 1988). But the ICM is a hostile environment for dust grains. The strong thermal sputtering that dust grains undergo at cluster cores implies lifetimes ranging from $10^7$ to $10^8$ yr (Dwek & Arendt 1992), depending on the gas density and grain size. Thus, with only the most recently injected material surviving, the cluster dust content is significantly lower than the typical interstellar values. Nevertheless, despite these lower values, dust can have a non-negligible role in the cooling/heating of the intracluster gas (Dwek et al. 1990; Popescu et al. 2000; Montier & Giard 2004; Weingartner et al. 2006) and in influencing the formation and evolution of clusters and their overall properties (i.e. cluster scaling relations, da Silva et al. 2009).

In order to study the effects of cluster environment on the evolution of the member galaxies, several studies have been conducted on the dust component of galaxies that are in clusters (e.g.
and investigate the extension and nature of the corresponding IR signal. Thanks to the complementary spectral coverage of the two satellites, we are able to sample the IR emission over its peak in frequency. With a maximum wavelength of 100 µm, IRAS can only explore the warm dust component, while it is the cold dust that represents the bulk of the overall dust mass.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the data used for this study. We then detail in Sect. 3 the stacking approach, before discussing the results in Sect. 4. We summarize and conclude in Sect. 5.

2. Data sets

2.1. Planck data

2.1.1. Frequency maps

The Planck High Frequency Instrument (HFI) enables us to explore the complementary side of the IR spectrum (100–857 GHz), compared with previous studies based on IRAS data (100–12 µm). This paper is based on the full (29 month) Planck-HFI mission, corresponding to about five complete sky surveys (Planck Collaboration I 2016). We use maps from the six HFI frequency channels (convolved to a common resolution of 10′), pixelized using the HEALPix scheme (Górski et al. 2005) at \( N_{\text{s}} = 2048 \) at full resolution.

2.1.2. Contamination maps

While we expect dust emission to be the strongest signal at both 545 and 857 GHz, at \( \nu \leq 217 \) GHz the intensity maps will be dominated by cosmic microwave background (CMB) temperature anisotropies. Furthermore, since we want to examine known cluster positions on the sky, we must also deal with the signal from the thermal Sunyaev-Zeldovich (tSZ) effect (Sunyaev & Zeldovich 1972, 1980). This latter signal is produced by the inverse Compton interaction of CMB photons with the hot electrons of the ICM. The tSZ contribution will be the dominant signal at 100 GHz and 143 GHz (where it shows up as a lower CMB temperature), negligible at 217 GHz (since this is close to the SZ null), and also significant at 353 GHz (where it shows up as a higher CMB temperature). Separating the tSZ contribution from thermal dust emission at \( \nu \leq 353 \) GHz is a difficult task, which can only be achieved if the spectrum of the sources is sufficiently well sampled in the frequency domain. This is the case for the Planck satellite, whose wide frequency range allows us to reconstruct full-sky maps of both the CMB and tSZ effect (y-map, Planck Collaboration XXI 2014; Planck Collaboration XXII 2016) using adapted component-separation techniques.

These maps have been produced using MILCA (the Modified Internal Linear Combination Algorithm, Hurier et al. 2013) with 10′ resolution. The method is based on the well known internal linear combination (ILC) approach that searches for the linear combination of the input maps, which minimizes the variance of the final reconstructed signal, under the constraint of offering unit gain to the component of interest, whose spectral behaviour is known. The quality of the MILCA y-map reconstruction has been tested in several ways in the past, comparing different flux reconstruction methods, on data and simulations (e.g. Planck Collaboration Int. V 2013; Hurier et al. 2013; Planck Collaboration XXII 2016).
The reconstructed maps have been used to remove the tSZ signal and the CMB anisotropies, which dominate the stacked maps at frequencies lower than 353 GHz. The tSZ map also has the advantage of probing the extension of the clusters, providing a means to check that the IR signal belongs to the cluster.

Compared to the publicly released CMB map (specifically SMICA, available through the Planck Legacy Archive\(^2\)), the reconstruction obtained with NILCA, imposing conditions to preserve the CMB and remove the SZ effect, allows us to obtain a more robust extraction of the CMB signal at each cluster position. The SMICA CMB map is, however, more reliable at large angular scales and has been used to test the robustness of the CMB reconstruction at scales of 1°. The SMICA map has allowed us to validate the NILCA map in the region around each cluster position (0:5).

2.1.3. Cluster sample: the Planck Catalogue of SZ Sources

In order to stack at known cluster positions in rather clean sky regions (e.g. with low Galactic dust contamination), we consider the clusters listed in the Planck Catalogue of SZ sources (Planck Collaboration XXIX 2014). For such high significance SZ-detected clusters (\(S/N > 4.5\)) belonging to Planck SZ catalogues, the robustness of the tSZ flux reconstruction has been already investigated and tested (Planck Collaboration XXI 2014; Planck Collaboration XXII 2016). We expect to be able to subtract the tSZ signal with high accuracy (<10%), which is necessary in order to reconstruct the spectral energy distribution (SED) of the IR emission from clusters at \(\nu \leq 353\) GHz.

The SZ catalogue constructed from the total intensity data taken during the first 15.5 months of Planck observations (Planck Collaboration XXIX 2014, PSZ1 hereafter) contains 1227 clusters and cluster candidates. We limit our analysis to the 913 objects (Planck Collaboration XXIX 2014, PSZ1 hereafter) containing the final cluster sample. This includes extraction methods have been used to reconstruct CO maps from Planck data (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014). Since the CO emission can be an important foreground for the purpose of the present work, we choose to use a quite strict CO mask. This mask is based on the released CO \(J = 1 \rightarrow 0\) Planck map (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014) and it has been obtained by applying a 3 \(K_{\odot}\) km s\(^{-1}\) cut on the CO map (where the \(K_{\odot}\) unit comes from intensity scaled to temperature using the Rayleigh-Jeans approximation). We conservatively exclude from the stacking procedure all the fields in which we find flagged pixels, according to the CO mask, at cluster-centric distances \(\leq 1°\). This leads to the exclusion of 55 extra clusters, living us with 1046 remaining clusters.

3. Stacking analysis

3.1. Exclusion of CO contaminated regions

The 100, 217, and 353-GHz Planck channels can be significantly contaminated by the signal due to the emission of CO rotational transition lines at 115, 230, and 345 GHz, respectively (Planck Collaboration IX 2014). Component separation methods have been used to reconstruct CO maps from Planck data (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014). Since the CO emission can be an important foreground for the purpose of the present work, we choose to use a quite strict CO mask. This mask is based on the released CO \(J = 1 \rightarrow 0\) Planck map (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014) and it has been obtained by applying a 3 \(K_{\odot}\) km s\(^{-1}\) cut on the CO map (where the \(K_{\odot}\) unit comes from intensity scaled to temperature using the Rayleigh-Jeans approximation). We conservatively exclude from the stacking procedure all the fields in which we find flagged pixels, according to the CO mask, at cluster-centric distances \(\leq 1°\). This leads to the exclusion of 55 extra clusters, living us with 1046 remaining clusters.

3.1. Point source mask

Before proceeding to stack the cluster-centred fields, we check that they are characterized by comparable background contributions. As a first step we verify the presence of known point sources, using the masks provided by the Planck Collaboration for the six frequencies considered here, as well as the IRAS point source catalogue (Helou & Walker 1988). We exclude all fields in which point sources are found at cluster-centric distances \(\leq 5°\), even if this is the case only for a single channel. For point sources at larger distances from the nominal cluster position we set the corresponding pixel values to the mean for the pixels within the 0°5 \(\leq r \leq 1°\) region of the 2° \(\times 2°\) patch, at each wavelength. We also check that all the selected cluster fields have a variance in the 0°5 \(\leq r \leq 1°\) region that is \(\leq 5\) times that of the whole sample. These additional cuts lead to a reduced sample of 645 clusters, with only two clusters lying at Galactic latitudes lower than 10°. We have also tested the more conservative choice of excluding
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\(^2\) http://pla.esac.esa.int
\(^3\) http://www.ias.fr/IRIS/IrisDownload.html
all the fields in which known point sources are found at ≤10′ from the centre. This substantially reduces the sample size (to 504 clusters), while giving no significant difference in the recovered signal, as will be discussed later.

3.2. Stacking of the selected fields

We give the same weight to all the cluster fields, since having a particular cluster dominate the average signal is not useful for the purposes of examining the properties of the whole population. The choice of using a constant patch size is motivated by the fact that the differences in cluster angular sizes are negligible when dealing with a 10′ resolution map. Even if a few tens of very low-σ clusters (say z < 0.1) are present within the final sample, the average typical size of the sample clusters (θ_{500} = 7′4 ± 5′3, with a median of 5′7) allows us to integrate the total flux in the stacked maps within a fixed radius, which we choose to be 15′. The suitability of this choice is verified by looking at the integrated signal as a function of aperture radius.

In Fig. 1 we show the stacked 2′ × 2′ patches, for the Planck-HFI frequencies. Since no foreground or offset removal has been performed, the dust signature is not easily apparent, but instead we can see the negative tSZ signal dominating at ν ≤ 143 GHz and CMB anisotropies at 217 GHz, with the dust contribution becoming stronger at ν ≥ 353 GHz. We also stack the CMB and tSZ maps (Sect. 2.1.2), i.e. \( \Sigma M_{\text{CMB}}^i \) and \( \Sigma M_{\text{tSZ}}^i \), summing over all the selected clusters \( i \). These two quantities are then subtracted from the raw frequency maps (\( \Sigma M_i \) shown in Fig. 1), specifically calculating \( M_i' = (\Sigma M_i - \Sigma M_{\text{CMB}} - \Sigma f_{\text{tSZ}} M_{\text{tSZ}}) / N \), where \( f_{\text{tSZ}} \) is the conversion factor from the tSZ Compton parameter \( y \) to MJy sr\(^{-1}\) for each frequency \( \nu \). Following Giard et al. (2008), we then perform a background-subtraction procedure by fitting a 3rd-order polynomial surface to the map region for which the cluster-centric distance is above 10′. Finally we also subtract the average signal found for pixels with a distance from the centre that lies between 0.5 and 1′, which is used to compute the zero level of the map.

The cleaned and stacked maps are shown in Fig. 2. The same results are obtained if foregrounds and offsets are subtracted cluster by cluster or if we directly stack the cleaned cluster-centred patches. Although we already have hints of a signal at the centre of 143 GHz map, the detection of a significant central positive peak starts at 217 GHz, and is very clearly observed at \( \nu \geq 353 \text{ GHz} \). Between 100 and 217 GHz the signal is expected to be fainter, according to the typical SED of thermal dust emission. The black contours in Fig. 2 allow comparison with the distribution of the cluster hot gas, representing where the stacked \( y \)-map has a value that is 0.5, 0.1, and 0 times its maximum. As expected, the recovered IR emission follows the distribution of the main cluster baryonic component, and thus the extent of the clusters. The average intensity profiles as a function of radius are also provided in Fig. A.1.

Since IR dust emission cannot be detected for individual clusters, average values have been obtained, and the associated uncertainties determined using a bootstrap approach. This consists of constructing and stacking many (300) cluster samples obtained by randomly replacing sources from the original sample, so that each of them contains the same number of clusters as the initial one. The statistical properties of the population being stacked can be then determined by looking at the mean and standard deviation of the flux found in the stacked maps corresponding to each of the resampled cluster lists. We checked that the average values obtained with this resampling technique are equal to what we obtained directly on the original stacked map (without any resampling). This is important, since it indicates that we are indeed stacking a homogeneous population of objects, and that the detected signal is not due to only a small number of a clusters. The mean values recovered are also consistent with the expectations described in Montier & Giard (2005), given the redshift distribution of the sample considered here.

In Table 1 we report, for each frequency: the average fluxes, \( F \), found when integrating out to 15′ from the centre; the standard deviation found using the bootstrap resampling, \( \Delta F_{\text{std}} \); and an estimate of the uncertainty on the flux at each frequency, \( \Delta F \). The flux uncertainties, \( \Delta F \), have been obtained as the standard
deviation of the fluxes found at random positions in a $2^\circ \times 2^\circ$ region, located further than $15'$ from the centre, both using the cluster-centred stacked maps and the "depointed" stacked maps (discussed in Sect. 3.3).

### 3.3. Robustness tests

In order to test the robustness of our results, we have performed various checks, following an approach similar to that of Montier & Giard (2005). Figure 3 shows the $2^\circ \times 2^\circ$ depointed maps that we obtain at 857 GHz when we repeat the same stacking procedure by changing the cluster Galactic longitudes and/or latitudes by $\pm 1^\circ$. This has been done for all the frequency channels and shows that the detection is not an artefact of the adopted stacking scheme. The mean of the fluxes obtained at the centre of the depointed regions is consistent with zero within the uncertainties (i.e. $\Delta F$).

The approach adopted to derive the uncertainty on the flux $\Delta F$ has also been applied to a random sample of positions on the sky, whose Galactic latitude distribution follows that of the real clusters in our sample. The derived uncertainties, $\Delta F_{\text{ran}}$, are listed in Table 1. As for the depointed regions, the mean fluxes obtained at the centre of the random patches are consistent with zero, within the given uncertainties. The values obtained for $\Delta F_{\text{ran}}$ are systematically higher than $\Delta F$. This was to be expected, since Planck blind tSZ detections are more likely in regions that are cleaner of dust contamination. For different cuts in Galactic latitude, moving away from the Galactic plane, $\Delta F_{\text{ran}}$
decreases. This might indicate that some residual contamination due to Galactic dust emission is present. Indeed, in Fig. 2 we can see a correlation between frequencies for the residual fluctuations in the region surrounding the clusters. Such a correlation between frequencies could be introduced by the process of subtracting the contamination maps (CMB and tSZ), since these are built from the same Planck-HFI maps. However the CMB anisotropies and the tSZ signal are both negligible at $\nu \geq 545 \, \text{GHz}$. The uncertainty $\Delta F_{\text{ran}}$ is of the order of $\Delta F_b$ at $\nu$ frequencies for which we have a significant detection; hence this contribution does not dominate the signal and we can consider it to be accounted for in the error budget. For this reason, we do not impose any extra selection cut in Galactic latitude in order to maximize the sample size.

As a further cross-check, we have tested the robustness of the results by alternatively adding and subtracting the patches centered at the cluster positions. This approach shows that none of the individual patches dominates the final average signal, in agreement with the results of the bootstrap resampling procedure.

4. SED of the cluster IR emission

4.1. SED model

Using the cleaned stacked maps obtained in Sect. 3, we derive the IR fluxes for each of the frequencies considered. In Fig. 4 we show the average SED of galaxy clusters from 60 $\mu$m to 3 mm (as listed in Table 1).

The SED shown in Fig. 4 behaves like Galactic dust, confirming the hypothesis of thermal dust emission. It can be well represented by modified blackbody emission (the black curve in Fig. 4), with spectral index $\beta$. This accounts for the fact that the IR emission from clusters is not a perfect blackbody, but has a power-law dust emissivity $\kappa_\nu = \kappa_0 (\nu/\nu_0)^{\beta}$, i.e.

$$I_\nu = A_0 \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_0}\right)^{\beta} B_\nu(T_{\text{d0}}),$$

(1)

where $\beta$ is the emissivity index, $B_\nu$ is the Planck function, $T_{\text{d0}}$ the dust temperature, and $A_0$ an overall amplitude. The combination of Planck and IRAS spectral coverage allows us to sample the SED of the average IR cluster across its emission peak. The reconstructed SED can be used to constrain the dust temperature and also the amplitude $A_0$, which is directly related to the dust mass. Following the prescription of Hildebrand (1983), the dust mass can be in fact estimated from the observed flux densities and the modified blackbody temperature as

$$M_d = \frac{S_\nu D^2(1+z)K}{\kappa_\nu B_\nu(T_{\text{d0}})},$$

(2)

with the “K-correction” being

$$K = \left(\frac{\nu_{\text{obs}}}{\nu_{\text{em}}}ight)^{3+\beta} \frac{e^{h\nu_{\text{em}}/(kT_{\text{d0}})} - 1}{e^{h\nu_{\text{obs}}/(kT_{\text{d0}})} - 1} - 1,$$

(3)

which allows translation to monochromatic rest-frame flux densities, $S_\nu$. Here $\nu_{\text{obs}}$ represents the observed frequency and $\nu_{\text{em}}$ the rest-frame frequency, with $\nu_{\text{obs}} = \nu_{\text{em}}/(1+z)$, and $D$ is the radial comoving distance. The amplitude parameter $A_0$ then provides an estimate of the overall dust mass:

$$M_d = \frac{A_0}{\kappa_{\nu_0}} \Omega D^2(1+z)K,$$

(4)

provided we know $\kappa_{\nu_0}$, the dust opacity at a given frequency $\nu_0$, with $\Omega$ being the solid angle. Here we adopt the value $\kappa_{500} = 0.0383 \, \text{m}^2 \, \text{kg}^{-1}$ (Draine 2003).

This model has the advantage of being accurate enough to adequately fit the data, while providing a simple interpretation of the observations, with a small number of parameters. Even though studies of star-forming galaxies have demonstrated the inadequacy of a single temperature model for detailed, high signal-to-noise SED shapes (e.g. Dale & Helou 2002; Wiklind 2003), the cold dust component ($\lambda \geq 100 \, \mu$m) tends to be well represented using a single effective temperature modified blackbody (Draine & Li 2007; Casey 2012; Clemens et al. 2013). Figure 4 shows that a further component would indeed permit us to also fit the 60 $\mu$m point (the rightmost point in Fig. 4). This excess at high frequency could be caused by smaller grains that are not in thermal equilibrium with the radiation field; they are
Table 2. For the different cluster samples considered here: average redshifts (z), characteristic radii (θ_{500}) and total masses at a radius for which the mean cluster density is 500 and 200 times the critical density of the Universe (M_{500}^{tot} and M_{200}^{tot}).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>z ≤ 0.25 (307)</th>
<th>z &gt; 0.25 (254)</th>
<th>M_{500}^{tot} ≤ 5.5 × 10^{14} M_☉ (320)</th>
<th>M_{tot} &gt; 5.5 × 10^{14} M_☉ (241)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.129 ± 0.063</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.6 ± 6.3</td>
<td>4.68 ± 0.38</td>
<td>9.1 ± 6.4</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>7.5 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.3 ± 5.1</td>
<td>19.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.14</td>
<td>3.48 ± 0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The best-fit temperature and dust mass are also provided, exploring different choices for the emissivity index β for the full sample of 645 clusters.

stochastically heated and therefore their emission is not a simple modified blackbody (Compègne et al. 2011; Jones et al. 2013). However, this additional contribution would be sub-dominant at λ ≥ 100 μm and so would not significantly change the derived temperature and mass of the cold dust, which corresponds to the bulk of the dust mass in galaxies (Cortese et al. 2012; Davies et al. 2012; Santini et al. 2014). We choose then to adopt a single-component approach and exclude the 60 μm from the data used for the fit. Not doing this would bias the estimate of the temperature and mass of the cold dust.

In Planck Collaboration Int. XXII (2015) the Planck-HFI intensity and polarization maps were used to estimate the spectral index β of the Galactic dust emission. On the basis of nominal mission data, they found that, at ν < 353 GHz, the dust emission can be well represented by a modified blackbody spectrum with β = 1.51 ± 0.06. At higher frequencies (100 μm–353 GHz) β = 1.65 was assumed. In the following we have adopted a single spectral index over the whole spectral range, and β = 1.5 will be our baseline value. In some other studies an emissivity index β = 2 has been used instead, for example in the analysis of Davies et al. (2012), which focused on Herschel data (at 100–500μm) to explore the IR properties of cluster galaxies (specifically 78 galaxies in the Virgo cluster).

4.2. Dust temperature and mass

By representing the recovered SEDs with a single-temperature modified blackbody dust model and fixing β, we can constrain the SED and estimate the average dust temperature. This is for the observer’s frame, while the temperature in the rest-frame of the cluster will be given by T_θ = T_0 (1 + z).

We use a χ^2 minimization approach and account for colour corrections when comparing the measured SED to the modelled one. In Table 2 (upper line) we report the best-fit values that we obtain for T_0 and M_{dust} when considering the sample of 645 clusters, as well as the two redshift and mass sub-samples.

The associated uncertainties are derived from the statistical ones obtained through the χ^2 minimization in the fitting procedure (with ΔF_ν being the error on the flux at each frequency). The corresponding uncertainties on the dust mass estimates have been derived using random realizations of the model, letting T_0 and A_0 vary within the associated uncertainties and accounting for the correlation between the two. The best-fit models (β = 1.5) is represented in Figs. 4 by the black solid line. For the whole sample of 645 objects, we assume that the mean redshift is the mean of the known redshifts (z = 0.26 ± 0.17). We then obtain T_θ = (24.2 ± 3.0 ± 2.8) K, where the additional systematic uncertainty is due to the redshift dispersion of our sample (see the second column of Table 2), and an average dust mass of (1.08 ± 0.32) × 10^{10} M_☉.

The recovered dust temperatures are in agreement with those observed for the dust content in various field galaxy samples (e.g. Dunne et al. 2011; Clemens et al. 2013; Symeonidis et al. 2013) and with the values expected for the cold dust component in cluster galaxies, e.g. the Virgo sample explored by Davies et al. (2012) and di Serego Alighieri et al. (2013). Our dust mass estimates are similar to those obtained with different approaches by Muller et al. (2008; M_d = 8 × 10^9 M_☉) for a sample with a comparable redshift distribution and Gutiérrez & López-Corredoira (2014; M_d < 8 × 10^9 M_☉) for a relatively low-mass cluster sample.

4.2.1. Additional sources of uncertainties and residual contamination

The spectral index β is known to vary with environment. Shown by Planck to be equal to 1.50 for the diffuse ISM in the Solar neighbourhood, β is known to be higher in molecular clouds (Planck Collaboration XI 2014). This reflects variations in the composition and structure of dust, something which is clearly seen in laboratory measurements (e.g. Jones et al. 2013). The impact of the adopted emissivity index on our results is explored by varying its value between 1.3 and 2.2. For the total sample of 645 clusters, we have explored how this affects T_0 and M_{dust}, and we summarize the results in Table 2. When we compare the
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4 We report M_{dust} rather than A_0, the overall amplitude to which it is proportional, see Sect. 4.2.
dust temperature obtained with the same choice of \( \beta \), i.e. \( \beta = 2 \), the dust temperatures that we find are similar to those obtained by Davies et al. (2012) for the galaxies of the Virgo cluster. The range explored shows that when reducing \( \beta \) the inferred \( T_D \) increases, and vice versa, as expected given the degeneracy between these two parameters (e.g. Sajina et al. 2006; Désert et al. 2008; Kelly et al. 2012; Planck Collaboration Int. XIV 2014). However, the differences are not significant within the associated uncertainties, reflecting the fact that our data are not good enough to simultaneously constrain the temperature, the amplitude, and also the spectral index. Different values of \( \beta \) can affect the dust mass estimates by up to 20\%, which is however negligible with respect to the existing uncertainty on the dust opacity \( \kappa_\nu \).

Figure 4 shows that the 143 and 353 GHz intensities are slightly lower and higher, respectively, with respect to the best-fit model. This might indicate a residual tSZ contamination, since the tSZ signal is negative at 143 GHz and positive at 353 GHz. The SZ amplitude that we subtract has been estimated under the non-relativistic hypothesis, and this could result in a slight underestimation of the cluster Comptonization parameter \( y \). Although the relativistic correction is expected to have a small impact on the inferred Compton parameter, we should note that for cluster temperatures of a few Kelvin the relativistic correction boosts the tSZ flux by 85\% by a factor of several tens of a percent. Given the amplitude of the SZ contribution at this frequency with respect to the dust emission, this contribution remains negligible. On the other hand, the cluster IR component we are considering here is not included in none of the simulations used to test the MILCA algorithm and might bias somehow the reconstruction of the tSZ amplitude. Then we have verified that the residual tSZ contamination is negligible by fitting, a posteriori, the dust SED as a linear combination of the modified blackbody and a tSZ contribution. For the two components we find an amplitude consistent with 1 and 0, respectively.

The normalization of the dust opacity represents the major source of uncertainty in deriving dust masses from observed IR fluxes (Fanciullo et al. 2015). The Draine (2003) value of \( \kappa_\nu \) was derived from a dust model (including assumptions about chemical composition, distribution of grain size, etc.) that shows good agreement with available data. However, using a different approach, James et al. (2002) found \( \kappa_\nu = (0.07 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-20} \) kg m\(^{-2}\) cm\(^{-2}\) GHz, nearly a factor of 2 higher. The latter value uses a calibration that has been obtained with a sample of galaxies for which the IR fluxes, gas masses, and metallicities are all available, and adopts the assumption that the fraction of metals bound up in dust is constant for all the galaxies in the sample. Planck Collaboration Int. XXIX (2016) has shown that the opacity of the Draine (2003) model needs to be increased by a factor of 1.8 to fit the Planck data, as well as extinction measurements, and this moves the normalization towards the value suggested by James et al. (2002).

4.2.2. Possible evolution with mass and redshift

As a first attempt to investigate how clusters of different mass and at different redshift contribute to the overall average signal, we have divided our sample into two different sub-samples, according to their redshifts and their masses. An increased IR emission with redshift was in fact seen in Planck Collaboration XXIII (2016; for \( z < 0.15 \) versus \( z > 0.15 \)), in which a similar stacking was performed on Planck data to investigate the cross-correlation between the tSZ effect and the CIB fluctuations. This is expected since in more distant (younger) clusters there will be more gas-rich active (i.e. star-forming) spirals. Conversely, nearby clusters mainly contain elliptical galaxies, with a lower star-formation rate and little dust. But we also expect the overall IR signal to be higher for higher mass objects. We expect dust emission to be proportional to the total cluster mass because they should both be tightly correlated with the number of galaxies (Giard et al. 2008; da Silva et al. 2009).

We have considered clusters above and below \( z = 0.25 \) (with 254 and 307 sources, respectively) and above and below \( M_{\text{tot}} = 5.5 \times 10^{14} M_\odot \) (241 and 320 sources, respectively).\(^5\)

The left panel in Fig. 5 provides the \( z - M_{\text{tot}} \) distribution of the 561 Planck clusters with known redshift used in this paper. This figure shows that the two partitions do not trace exactly the same populations, but they are also not completely independent. The lower redshift bin strongly overlaps with lower mass systems and vice versa. The middle and right panels show the measured SED for the low (orange) and high (blue) redshift and mass sub-samples, respectively.

For the low-redshift and low-mass sub-samples we have no detection at \( \nu < 353 \) GHz (Fig. 5, middle panel). The SEDs for the two redshift sub-samples are consistent with each other (within \( \Delta F_\nu \)), even though fluxes are systematically higher at

\(^5\) \( M_{\text{tot}} \) is the total mass contained within a radius (\( \theta_{500} \)) at which the mean cluster density is 500 times the critical density of the Universe.
higher \( z \). For the high- and low-mass sub-samples, the difference between the two SEDs becomes more important, with higher fluxes when \( M_{200}^d > 5.5 \times 10^{14} M_\odot \) (Fig. 5, right panel). A similar behaviour for the sub-samples in \( M_{200}^d \) and \( z \) is not surprising, given the correlation between the two (Fig. 5, left panel).

In Table 2 we list the best fit parameters obtained for the four sub-samples. We observe a slight increase of dust temperature with mass and redshift, but no significant evolution within the uncertainties. On the other side, for the low- and high-mass sub-samples we find significantly different values of the overall dust mass, implying that the dust mass is responsible for the difference between the two curves in the middle panel of Fig. 5 and that the evolution we observe, also in \( z \), is mainly due to the correlation between \( M_d \) and \( M_{\text{tot}} \).

### 4.3. Dust-to-gas mass ratio

We now estimate the ratio of dust mass to gas mass, \( Z_d \), directly from the observed IR cluster signal. To do so we need an estimate of the cluster gas mass. The IR fluxes used in the previous section to estimate \( M_d \) were obtained by integrating the signal out to 15′ from the cluster centres, without any rescaling of the maps with respect to each cluster’s characteristic radius. In the PSZ1, the mass information is provided at \( \theta_{200} \), and for our sample this size is significantly smaller than 15′ for almost all clusters (see Table 2). However, we can take advantage of the self-similarity of cluster profiles, from which it follows that the ratio between radii corresponding to different overdensities is nearly constant over the cluster population. Therefore we will use \( \theta_{500} \) and assume that \( \theta_{500} = 1.4 \times \theta_{200} \) (Ettori & Balestra 2009) to obtain the corresponding enclosed total mass \( M_{\text{tot}}^{500} = (4\pi\rho_c\theta_{500}^3)/3 \). Here, \( \theta_{500} \) is obtained from the \( M_{\text{tot}}^{200} \) values listed in the PSZ1. The cluster total mass also provides an estimate of the gas mass, \( M_{\text{gas}}^{500} = 0.1 M_{\text{tot}}^{500} \) (e.g. Pratt et al. 2009; Comis et al. 2011; Planck Collaboration Int. V 2013; Sembolini et al. 2013). Assuming that \( M_d \) and \( M_{\text{tot}} \) correspond to comparable cluster regions, we find that \( Z_d = (1.93 \pm 0.92) \times 10^{-4} \) for the full sample. For the low- and high-redshift sub-samples we find (0.79 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-4} and (3.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}, respectively, while for the low- and high-mass sub-samples we obtain (0.51 \pm 0.37) \times 10^{-4} and (4.6 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}, respectively. We note that the uncertainties quoted here do not account for the fact that the gas fraction might vary from cluster to cluster and, as a function of radius/mass and redshift.

These dust-to-mass ratios are derived from the overall IR flux, which is the sum of the contribution due to the cluster galaxies and a possible further contribution coming from the ICM dust component. Therefore they represent an upper limit for the dust fraction that is contained within the IGM/ICM. These values are consistent with the upper limit (5 \times 10^{-4}) derived by Giard et al. (2008) from the \( L_{IR}/L_X \) ratio and a model for the ICM dust emission (Montier & Giard 2004).

### 5. Conclusions

We have adopted a stacking approach in order to recover for the first time, the average SED of the IR emission towards galaxy clusters. Considering the Catalogue of Planck SZ Clusters, we have used the Planck-HFI maps (from 100 to 857 GHz) and the IRAS maps (IRIS data at 100 and 60 \( \mu m \)) in order to sample the SED of the cluster dust emission on both sides of the expected emissivity peak.

For a sample of 645 clusters selected from the PSZ1 catalogue, we find significant detection of dust emission from 353 to 857 GHz, and at 100 \( \mu m \) and 60 \( \mu m \), at the cluster positions, after cleaning for foreground contributions. By co-adding maps extracted at random positions on the sky, we have verified that the residual Galactic emission is accounted for in the uncertainty budget. For the IRAS frequencies, we find average central intensities that are in agreement with the values found by Montier & Giard (2005). The measured SED is consistent with dust IR emission following a modified blackbody distribution.

These results have allowed us to constrain, directly from its IR emission, both the average overall dust temperature and the dust mass in clusters. From the average cluster SED of a total sample of 645 clusters, we infer an average dust temperature of \( T_d = (24.2 \pm 3.0) \) K, in agreement with what is observed for galaxy thermal dust emission and an average dust mass of \( M_d = (1.25 \pm 0.37) \times 10^{10} M_\odot \). By dividing our initial sample into two bins, according to either their mass or redshift, we find that the IR emission is larger for the higher mass (or higher redshift) clusters. This difference is mainly due to the positive correlation between dust mass and cluster total mass, the resulting IR emission being proportional to the latter. Our sample is not ideal for taking the next step and constraining the mass and redshift evolution of the IR emission of the cluster dust component because it is not complete and we do not dispose of a well-characterized selection function for it. Furthermore, the redshift and mass bins, although they do not trace exactly the same cluster population, are not independent either. With a larger sample, and a wider distribution in mass and redshift, the separate mass and redshift dependance could be studied much more thoroughly, for example by correlating the weak signals from individual clusters with \( M_{\text{tot}} \) and \( z \). This approach would allow us to better account for different distances, masses, and selection effects.

Using the total mass estimates for each cluster, we also derive the average cluster dust-to-gas mass ratio \( Z_d = (1.93 \pm 0.92) \times 10^{-4} \). This leads to an upper limit on the dust fraction within the ICM that is consistent with previous results. Most of the IR signal detected in the maps stacked at cluster positions was expected to be due to the contribution of the member galaxies (e.g. Roncarelli et al. 2010). And the recovered temperature, typical of values found in the discs of galaxies, is in agreement with this. However, if we also take into account the additional uncertainties on the dust mass estimates coming from the spectral index and the dust opacity (up to 20% and 50%, respectively), our results cannot exclude a dust fraction that, according to Montier & Giard 2004, would imply that the IR ICM dust emission is an important factor in the cooling of the intra-cluster gas.
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Appendix A: Additional figure

Fig. A.1. Radial profiles obtained from the background- and foreground-cleaned stacked maps, for the sample of 645 clusters. The units here are MJy sr$^{-1}$. The black points correspond to the values obtained as the average of the pixels contained within each region considered and associated uncertainties have been obtained using bootstrap resampling. We find no significant detection at 100 and 143 GHz, while the detection starts to become strong at $\nu \geq 353$ GHz, consistent with what we saw in Fig. 2.