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Abstract—As research and development of renewable and clean energy based systems is advancing rapidly, the nonlinear source emulator (NSE) is becoming very essential for testing of maximum power point trackers or downstream converters. Renewable and clean energy sources play important roles in both terrestrial and nonterrestrial applications. However, most existing NSEs have only been concerned with simulating energy sources in terrestrial applications, which may not be fast enough for testing of nonterrestrial applications. In this paper, a high-bandwidth NSE is developed that is able to simulate the behaviors of a typical nonlinear source under different critical conditions that can happen during their operations. The proposed 200-W NSE, which consists of a fourth-order output filter buck converter and a novel nonlinear small-signal reference generator, can quickly react not only to an instantaneous change in the input source but also to a load step between nominal and open circuit. Moreover, all of these operation modes have a very fast settling time of only 10 μs, which is hundreds of times faster than that of existing works. This attribute allows for higher speed and a more efficient maximum power point tracking algorithm. The proposed NSE, therefore, offers a superior dynamic performance among devices of the same kind.

Index Terms—Current–voltage characteristics, dc–dc power converters, energy resources, nonlinear circuits, renewable energy sources.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background

The world is rapidly changing from using fossil based energy, which is facing exhaustion, to the use of renewable and clean energy sources, such as wind, sun, fuel cell energy, and battery power. In the testing and development phase of these energy sources, the use of nonlinear source emulators (NSEs) offers many advantages over the use of their real counterparts. First, NSEs are compact and do not require as large of a testing space as nonlinear sources such as wind turbines or PV panels. Second, the cost of a test system using NSEs is usually less than that of actual nonlinear sources [1]. Another example is that of nonrechargeable power batteries, which would have to be disposed after each test; hence, testing would be expensive and extra care must be taken to not harm the environment [2], [3]. On the contrary, a battery emulator constructed from a power electronics converter can be reused for a long time and is not only cost effective but also friendly to the environment [4]–[8]. Finally, an NSE offers flexible and reproducible test conditions through their programmable set points. On the other hand, testing conditions of a real nonlinear source such as a PV or wind energy source are very difficult to be reproduced because of their dependence on weather, season, coverage, and time of the day of testing. With the many possible advantages that it can offer, the NSE has become an important and beneficial element in testing of power conditioning units. As a result, research on the development of NSEs has received great attention [2]–[13].

A power conditioning unit that connects with a nonlinear source can take many different forms, which can be seen in Fig. 1. It can be a maximum power point (MPP) tracker that tracks the MPP of the nonlinear source. It can also be an impedance such as an ohmic load, an inductive load, or a capacitive load. In addition, a power conditioning unit can also be a power battery that stores energy from the nonlinear source. It can also be a system that regulates average output voltage by applying a frequent load step between nominal load and short circuit, or a load step between nominal load and open circuit. In voltage regulation by means of load stepping, the system is pulse width modulated and switching with high frequency (20 kHz or more [14]). The two different types of load step will be presented in more detail later in the next section.

The static voltage–current relationship of a fuel cell system can be represented by a high-order polynomial as in [11], [12]

\[
V = f(I) = \alpha_3 I^3 + \alpha_2 I^2 + \alpha_1 I + \alpha_0
\]

where \(V\) is the fuel cell terminal voltage, \(I\) is the fuel cell output current, and \(\alpha_0 - \alpha_3\) are the coefficients of the static \(V-I\) curve; they change according to the change of hydrogen concentration. Fig. 2 shows an example of the static \(V-I\) curve characteristics of a fuel cell system at different hydrogen concentration levels. With each hydrogen concentration level of a specific fuel cell system, there exists a set of four coefficients \(\alpha_0 - \alpha_3\) derived by
means of curve fitting that can describe the system steady-state $V-I$ curve.

There has not been any satisfactory equivalent electrical circuit developed that can closely describe the $V-I$ relationship of a hydrogen fuel cell system because of its high nonlinearity. This is one of the reasons why nonlinear $V-I$ reference curves in existing fuel cell emulators have always been implemented by digital control circuits such as digital signal processor and field-programmable gate array (FPGA), in order to take advantage of their mathematical processing power [9]–[13].

Batteries are used extensively in industry such as in electric vehicle and hybrid electric vehicle applications [2], [3], [7]. A circuit model of a battery is shown in Fig. 3. The dashed-line box models a battery, in which $V_{oc}$ and $R_i$ are the open-circuit voltage and internal resistance, respectively. The rest of the circuit models an output with a filter capacitance $C_l$ [2], [3]. The available voltage at the output of the battery is simply

$$V_b = V_{oc} - IR_i.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

During the discharge of the battery, $V_{oc}$ decreases while $R_i$ increases; both of them are dependent on the state of the battery and its internal temperature.

In the modeling of a PV panel, the five-parameter model [15], [16] is widely used to describe its electrical characteristic. The model is shown in Fig. 4. It is also called the single-diode model [15]–[17]. The current is related to the terminal voltage $V_{PV}$ and the short-circuit current $I_{SC}$ by

$$I_{PV} = I_{SC} - I_o(e^{(V_{PV}+I_{PV}R_S)/V_T}) - 1 - \frac{V_{PV} + I_{PV}R_S}{R_P}. \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

In this model, $R_P$ is the parallel resistor that models the loss due to manufacturing defects. $R_S$ is the series resistor that models the loss due to mainly three causes: the movement of current through the emitter and base of the PV cell, the contact resistance between the metal contact and the silicon, and the resistance of the top and rear metal contacts [18]. $I_o$ is the dark saturation current of the diode, which is the diode leakage current density in the absence of light. $V_T$ is the thermal voltage, which is equal to approximately 25.85 mV at a temperature of 300 K. Parameter $n$ is the ideality factor—a constant between 1 and 2 that depends on the manufacturing of the PV cell and may slightly vary with the operating point of it. For a given PV cell, the short-circuit current $I_{SC}$ is mainly determined by the intensity of the incident solar radiation, and the open-circuit voltage $V_{OC}$ is mainly determined by the cell temperature.

B. Dynamic Performance of Nonlinear Sources

The hydrogen concentration changes in fuel cell have quite a large time constant. According to [11] and [12], this time constant is 10 s. In other words, it will take approximately 10 s for the voltage and current of a fuel cell to reach its steady state under a change of hydrogen concentration performed by the fuel cell control system.

Furthermore, fuel cell systems have also quite a slow dynamic with regard to load changes [13]. Also according to [11] and [12], the output impedance of a typical fuel cell system has a dominant pole at 20 Hz, which makes the output to react in the range of 50 ms or longer.

In short, fuel cell systems are rather slow systems. As a result, most of the challenges with developing a fuel cell emulator will lie on the digital control unit that calculates the nonlinear reference. On the other hand, from a control point of view, the power converter unit of a fuel cell emulator, which generates power, will not be much of a challenge due to its slow dynamic. It can be easily constructed by programmable off-the-shelf power supplies, such as the work in [11]–[13].

Among the aforementioned nonlinear sources, a PV system is the one that has the most critical demand for transient response. It is, therefore, the focus of this paper to develop a fast response NSE that will be able to emulate the most speed-demanding system among the known nonlinear sources. Since it can emulate the fastest system, it will be capable of simulating any other types of nonlinear source after proper adjustments.

The five-parameter model is sufficient as long as the dynamic performance of a PV panel is not of critical concern. This is the case with existing PV emulators that are designed for
terrestrial applications, where most of the time the main focus is paid to the tracking of the MPP. In fact, the MPP changes with a rather slow speed. According to the survey conducted in [19], existing MPP tracking techniques in the literature have a moderate convergence speed of tens of milliseconds. That implies a requirement for the transient speed of the real PV panel or the PV emulator of approximately 10–100 Hz. In fact, a nonlinear source can experience a frequent load step in some specific operation mode. This is where the dynamic response of a nonlinear source is exceptionally important. This is also where the model in Fig. 4 should take into account the PV panel’s intrinsic source capacitance that is shown in dotted lines.

In practice, a real PV panel physically contains an intrinsic source capacitance due to the diffusion capacitance of each PV cell [20]. This capacitance is in parallel with the diode and the parallel resistor. The value of this capacitor varies with operating points of the PV panel. For example, existing work such as [20] reported that the value range of the source capacitance of a 312-W, 400 × 8 cm² silicon PV panel is from approximately 4 nF at the point close to the short circuit, to 6.7 μF at the open circuit. The data reported in [20] are plotted in Figs. 5 and 6.

The presence of the source capacitance plays a critical role in the determination of a PV panel’s dynamic response. It makes the output current and voltage of a real PV panel under a load step to undergo a transient time in the range of tens of microseconds to settle down [14]. It is the time for the current source $I_{SC}$ to charge the source capacitor $C_S$ until the new operating voltage is reached. This can be verified by the following estimation. Supposing the converter is experiencing a load step, the operating point is moving from the short circuit to a resistive load that corresponds to the operating point of $(V_o, I_o) = (160 \text{ V}, 0.982 \text{ A})$, where the short-circuit current is 1.07 A. Thus, $R = V_o/I_o = 163 \Omega$. From Fig. 6, the source capacitance is $C_S = 107 \text{ nF}$. Considering a current source of $I_{SC} = 1.07 \text{ A}$ constantly supplies a load that consists of a source capacitance $C_S$ of 107 nF in parallel with a resistive load $R$ of 163 Ω, the output voltage as a function of time is

$$v_o(t) = I_{SC}R(1 - e^{-t/RC_S}).$$

(4)

The transient time for this PV system to move from short circuit $(0, I_{SC})$ to $(V_o, I_o)$ is approximated by

$$\Delta t = -RCS\ln\left(1 - \frac{V_o}{I_{SC}R}\right) = 4.37 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s} = 43.7 \text{ μs}.$$  

(5)

By the same approximation method, it will take approximately 1.1 μs for this PV system to complete the transition from the short circuit to the operating point $(V_2, I_2) = (60 \text{ V}, 1.062 \text{ A})$. In summary, it is proven that it will take the PV panel only microseconds to tens of microseconds to finish a transient. Notice that this dynamic is thousands of times faster than the dynamic of a typical fuel cell system, which is stated above to be in the range of tens of milliseconds to a few seconds.

Moreover, much more extreme conditions are usually met in nonterrestrial applications (such as PV panels attached to sky explorers) than those in terrestrial applications. First, a PV panel can experience a step change of input source or, i.e., irradiation, during the period when sunlight is obscured by the Earth or the Moon. This step change of input source can happen right at the time when the sky explorer either enters or leaves the shadow. Second, specific system operation modes, namely the frequent load step between nominal and short circuit [14], [21]–[28] and the load step between nominal and open circuit, are usually adopted in nonterrestrial applications. These modes demand for much faster dynamic responses than those in terrestrial applications. The circuit configuration of these two operation modes (charging modes) is illustrated in Figs. 7 and 8. In these figures, $C_S$ is the source capacitance, $S$ is a switch, and $D_1$ is a blocking diode to prevent the load or battery to be shorted when $S$ is turned ON. A battery is the essential source of energy for sky explorers during night time when sunlight is absent. In the load
step between nominal and short circuit, the circuit is switching between the short-circuit point to the operating point determined by the load applied. In the load step between nominal and open circuit, namely the series switching regulation, a load step is performed between the open-circuit condition and the nominal load. The switching frequency of switch $S$ in these tests is, for example, typically 20 kHz as discussed in [14], but it can be increased to hundreds of kilohertz. Under these operation modes, the dynamic behavior of the PV panel is significantly important. An ideal PV panel without any capacitance will react immediately without any delay; the transition from one operating point to the other is instantaneous. With the effect of the source capacitor, a practical PV panel under these conditions will react within tens of microseconds, which has been proven in (5).

Because test conditions in terrestrial applications are less extreme compared to those in nonterrestrial applications, a PV emulator designed for terrestrial applications might not be fast enough for testing of nonterrestrial applications. Let us review the performance of existing PV emulators in the next section.

C. Dynamic Performance of Existing PV Emulators

Researchers have proposed different NSEs. They can be classified into the three following categories. The first category is voltage-controlled approach. This is where the power circuit is a voltage-controlled amplifier. The output current is sensed and fed to a reference generator. The reference generator produces a reference output-voltage signal for the power circuit to amplify [1], [29]–[37].

The second category is current-controlled approach, where the power circuit is a current-controlled amplifier. The output voltage is sensed and fed to a reference generator. The reference generator produces a reference output-current signal for the power circuit to amplify [38], [39].

The third category is when both a current-controlled and a voltage-controlled power circuit are used [40]. In [40], a model-based NSE was developed. The authors used two separate power sources: a controllable linear voltage regulator and a controllable linear current regulator. The voltage regulator is active when the operating points are along the MPP to the open-circuit point of the current–voltage ($I-V$) curve. In a complementary fashion, the current regulator is active when the operating points are along the MPP to the short-circuit point. For hot-swapping operation (i.e., continuous transition from using one active power source to the other) when the operating points are close to the MPP, the two power sources are connected with two parallel diodes in order to block the reverse current that may flow from one power source to the other.

In all these three categories, the reference generator can be realized by either an analog or digital circuit. An analog circuit can be based on diode or transistor p-n junction [1], [30], [31], or real photodiode illuminated by external light source [29], [32], [33]. A digital circuit can have a core of either a lookup table containing information of $I-V$ curve or a mathematical program that calculates and interpolates the output reference based on its inputs [40]–[42].

Table I summarizes the characteristics of the existing NSEs in terms of the measured dynamic performance. None of them qualifies for nonterrestrial applications. First, all of the important tests namely the load step between nominal and short-circuit test, the load step between nominal and open-circuit test, and the step change of the input source such as wind, fuel, or irradiation are missing. Most importantly, the existing NSEs are not sufficiently fast to closely resemble real PV arrays. As mentioned in Section I-B, a worst settling time of tens of microseconds is expected under a load step. However, as Table I has shown, the fastest among the existing NSEs needs 3.2 ms [39] to settle, which is a factor of several hundred times slower than the desired tens-of-microsecond response. It can be said that there is an enormous gap from the dynamic performance of existing PV emulators to that of real PV panels under extreme conditions.

D. Open Challenges

Despite the abundant availability of different existing NSEs, none of them qualifies for nonterrestrial applications. First, all of the important tests namely the load step between nominal and short-circuit test, the load step between nominal and open-circuit test, and the step change of the input source such as wind, fuel, or irradiation are missing. Most importantly, the existing NSEs are not sufficiently fast to closely resemble real PV arrays. As mentioned in Section I-B, a worst settling time of tens of microseconds is expected under a load step. However, as Table I has shown, the fastest among the existing NSEs needs 3.2 ms [39] to settle, which is a factor of several hundred times slower than the desired tens-of-microsecond response. It can be said that there is an enormous gap from the dynamic performance of existing PV emulators to that of real PV panels under extreme conditions.

E. Scope of This Paper

This paper seeks to address the aforementioned discrepancies in the research about NSEs. The design goal is to achieve a very high dynamic performance NSE that best resembles real PV panels under extreme test conditions. The transient response goal is 10 $\mu$s for the load switching operations as well as for the step change of input source. The proposed system, which consists of a nonisolated synchronous buck converter with a fourth-order output filter and an analog PV array small-signal generator (SSG) circuit, is investigated both theoretically and experimentally. The power rating of the proposed prototype is 200 W. The maximum output power can be changed by adjusting the parallel resistor and the short-circuit current reference in the SSG. The experimental results show the proposed NSE can achieve a 10-$\mu$s transient response with different test conditions. Moreover, the known prior works are at least 320 times slower, such as ([39], 3.2 ms), ([38], 3.6 ms), ([35], [36], 6 ms), ([37], 8 ms), and ([40], 100 ms). Compared to those works, the proposed converter is much faster as well as closer in resembling a real PV panel’s electrical characteristic. It also offers a big advantage in the MPP tracking performance because MPP tracking algorithms would be possibly made faster without compromis-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
<th>Step change of load settling time</th>
<th>Load step nom. to open</th>
<th>Load step nom. to short</th>
<th>Step change of input source</th>
<th>Power circuit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koran 2010 [38]</td>
<td>3.8 ms ([38, Fig. 17])</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>buck with LCLC filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim 2013 [40]</td>
<td>100 ms ([40, Fig. 15])</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>linear voltage and current regulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang 2013 [35]</td>
<td>6 ms ([35, Fig. 12])</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LCLC resonant dc–dc converter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koran 2014 [39]</td>
<td>3.2 ms ([39, Fig. 15])</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ac–dc three phase rectifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadelovits 2014 [37]</td>
<td>8 ms ([37, Fig. 15])</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>commercial power supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang 2014 [36]</td>
<td>6 ms ([36, Fig. 14])</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LCLC resonant dc–dc converter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1], [29]–[34]</td>
<td>Not found</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>linear power stage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I
SPECIFICATIONS AND CHARACTERISTICS OF EXISTING PV EMULATORS

The structure of this paper is as follows. Section I is dedicated to the introduction. Following that, Section II will discuss the proposed NSE, its control approach, and circuitry. Section III will present the experimental results. Section IV will be a summary and conclusion of the work.

II. PROPOSED NSE

A. Proposed System Schematic

The proposed system configuration is shown in Fig. 9. The system consists of a voltage-controlled ultrafast tracking converter (VCTC) and a PV array SSG. The proposed system falls into the first category mentioned in Section I-C. In the proposed NSE, the output of the VCTC represents the output of the emulated PV array. The output current is sensed and fed to the SSG. Inside the SSG, the short-circuit current and the open-circuit voltage can be adjusted. The SSG generates the voltage reference for the ultrafast tracking converter. In this figure, \( k_E \) is the dc gain of the VCTC.

In relation to the system configuration shown in Fig. 9, the detailed schematic of the proposed NSE is shown in Fig. 10. In this circuit, the steady-state operation and the gradient of the current–voltage (I–V) curve is determined by three parameters. The short-circuit current is determined by the value of \( I_{SC} \); in this prototype, \( I_{SC} \) is converted to a voltage signal, \( V_{ref} \), with (1 V/1 A) conversion. Therefore, \( I_{SC} \) can be externally programmed by applying a voltage signal \( V_{ref} \) corresponding to it. The parallel resistor, which determines the gradient (or the slope) of the I–V curve around the constant current region, is represented by \( R_P \). The open-circuit voltage is controlled by \( k_E \), \( k_V \), and \( R_I \). Changing one among these three variables will change the open-circuit voltage of the proposed NSE.

The output current \( I_o \) is sensed by a precision current sensed resistor of 0.1 Ω in series with the output load \( R_L \). The voltage drop across the sensed resistor is scaled by a factor of 10 to attain a conversion of 1 V/1 A. The output voltage \( V_o \) and the voltage across capacitor \( V_C \) are taken directly to the controller consisting of operational amplifier OA1 and their feedback impedances without any buffering or prescaling circuits.

The SSG in the bottom of Fig. 10 consists of summation circuits, a voltage to current (V2I) converter, and a diode circuit. The V2I consists of p-n-p transistors and operational amplifier OA2. \( V_{CC} \) is the 12 V control supply for all the operational and differential amplifiers. The negative control supply, \( -V_{CC} = -12 \text{ V} \), is applied to the cathode of diode \( D \) in order to ensure that the V2I converter can operate properly. As can be seen from the five-parameter model shown in Fig. 4, the current flows through the diode is equal to the difference between the short-circuit current \( I_{SC} \) and the output current \( I_o \). Using the proposed SSG circuit shown in Fig. 10, the voltage signal that is the difference between \( I_{SC} \) and \( I_o \) (in volt) is converted to current \( I_D \) (in amperes) by

\[
I_D = \frac{V_{SC} - V_I}{R_I} \text{ (A)},
\]

(6)

Thus, the voltage-drop across diode \( D \) and \( R_P \) will be the signal-level NSE output voltage. A single differential amplifier
circuit takes the voltage across \( D \) by a summation function denoted by \( \text{Sum2} \) and scales it with a positive gain \( k_V \). Notice the polarity of the summation function \( \text{Sum2} \) in Fig. 10. The resulting voltage, \( -V_{\text{ref}} \), will be the inverted reference voltage for the VCTC.

The reason for the reference voltage fed to OA1 to be its inverted signal is because it is connected to the inverting input of OA1, which will be then inverted in polarity once again at OA1’s output. At the same time, the output voltage \( V_o \) and the voltage across the first output filter capacitor \( V_C_1 \) are fed directly to the inverting input of OA1 through their feedback impedance consisting of \((R_d_2, C_d_2)\) and \((R_d_1, C_d_1)\), respectively. Doing so, the original reference voltage signal will appear at the output of OA1, while the feedback signals will appear with their inverted polarity. The conditioning gains for the feedback signals are primarily determined by the ratios of feedback resistors to \( R_f \), which are \((R_d_2/R_f)\) for \( V_o \) and \((R_d_1/R_f)\) for \( V_C_1 \). All of these can be explained further in the modeling of the control system that will be presented later in the next section.

It must be noted that the output voltage of a nonlinear source such as a battery source, a PV panel, or a fuel cell system is usually ripple-free; therefore, the output of a high-performance NSE should produce a ripple that is as low as possible. For this reason, a fourth-order output filter is utilized at the output of the tracking converter instead of a second-order output filter because of its possible higher attenuation, given that the same switching frequency is used. The output filter transfer function, which is from the pulse power signal, \( V_{\text{pwm}} \), to the output voltage \( V_o \) is approximated at high frequency by

\[
G_{\text{FLT}}(s) = \frac{V_o(s)}{V_{\text{pwm}}(s)} \approx \frac{1}{s^4L_1L_2C_1C_2} = \frac{\omega_1^2\omega_2^2}{s^4}
\]

where \( \omega_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_1C_1}} \) and \( \omega_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_2C_2}} \) are the natural frequency of each filter stage.

At the switching frequency \( \omega_{sw} \) (rad/s), the magnitude of the output filter is

\[
|G_{\text{FLT}}(j\omega_{sw})| \approx \frac{\omega_1^2\omega_2^2}{\omega_{sw}^4}.
\]

With the filter value provided in Table II and with a switching frequency of 1 MHz, from (8), the fundamental harmonic at the output of the tracking converter will have a magnitude of approximately 35 mV peak to peak.

It needs to be paid attention to that a hysteresis self-oscillating modulated system as in this case suffers from a variable switching frequency. The switching frequency profile varies along a parabolic curve with regard to the change of duty cycle, where its maximum is at the duty cycle of 0.5 and it reduces quickly when the duty cycle is moving toward either zero or unity [43]–[46]. As (8) has shown, if the switching frequency becomes lower, the output ripple will become higher, which is not desirable. It is suggested that the switching frequency be kept constant regardless of the duty cycle so that a small ripple is always achieved. One of the approaches is to adjust the

| TABLE II |
| PARAMETERS OF THE TRACKING CONVERTER AND ITS CONTROL |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( L_1 )</td>
<td>3.3 ( \mu )H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_f )</td>
<td>1 nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_2 )</td>
<td>3.3 ( \mu )H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{d_1} )</td>
<td>20 kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{f_1} )</td>
<td>470 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{d_2} )</td>
<td>20 kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{DC}} )</td>
<td>60 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{f_2} )</td>
<td>470 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{f_1} )</td>
<td>6.2 kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{f_1} )</td>
<td>1 kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{f_2} )</td>
<td>51 kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{f_2} )</td>
<td>470 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{f} )</td>
<td>1 kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{CMP}} )</td>
<td>5 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10. Schematic of the proposed NSE.
hysteresis threshold dynamically in a closed loop, such as in [43] and [45]. In that approach, the pulse width modulation (PWM) signal frequency is converted to a voltage signal by means of a frequency to voltage converter. The feedback frequency is compared to the reference frequency and the error is processed by a compensator. The output of the compensator adjusts the hysteresis threshold so that the switching frequency converges to the reference frequency. Another approach is to take the output of that compensator and inject it as an absolute gain into the loop that contains the hysteresis modulator, such as in [46]. The practical issue of variable switching frequency inherent in a hysteresis self-oscillating modulated system, however, is not addressed in this paper, which focuses on fast dynamic responses under different load steps and step change of input source. In a similar manner, the design of a power supply that is highly immune to the high dv/dt at the output of the NSE can be found in [47]–[50]. Other references relevant to this paper can be found in [54]–[63].

B. Control System Modeling

The block diagram of the control system is shown in Fig. 11. The modeling of the proposed control system is as follows. $G_D(s)$ is the transfer function from the difference between the short-circuit current $I_{SC}$ and the output current $I_o$ to the reference voltage $V_{ref}$

$$G_D(s) = \frac{k_f Z_D R_p}{R_f (Z_D + R_f)}$$

where $Z_D$ is the impedance of the diode $D$ used. The transfer function of the proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller as shown is

$$G_{PID}(s) = (R_{pi} C_{pi} s + 1) (R_f C_{f} s + 1)$$

$K_{CMP}(s)$ is the transfer function from the output of the PID controller to the pulse power signal $V_{pwm}$. A detail treatment of $K_{CMP}(s)$ can be found in, for example, [51, page 2-4]. Works [45], [52] approximated $K_{CMP}(s)$ to be an infinite gain and achieved reasonable results. For simplicity, in this work, $K_{CMP}(s)$ is simplified to be a dc gain which is

$$K_{CMP}(s) = \frac{V_{pwm}(s)}{V_{carrier}(s)} \approx \frac{V_{DC}}{\varepsilon} = \frac{V_{DC} R_{h2}}{V_{CMP} R_{h1}}$$

where $\varepsilon = \frac{V_{CMP} R_{h1}}{R_{h2}}$ is the hysteresis threshold and $V_{CMP}$ is the output of the comparator COM1.

$G_{FLT1}(s)$ is the transfer function from $V_{pwm}$ to $V_{C1}$, which is (by [45])

$$G_{FLT1}(s) = \frac{V_{C1}(s)}{V_{pwm}(s)} = \frac{s^2 L_2 C_2 + s L_2 / R_L + 1}{den(s)}$$

where the denominator $den(s)$ is equal to

$$den(s) = s^4 L_1 L_2 C_2 s^2 + s^3 L_1 L_2 C_1 / R_L$$

$$+ s^2 (L_1 C_2 + L_1 C_1 + L_2 C_2) + s (L_1 + L_2) / R_L + 1.$$  

(13)

$G_{FLT2}(s)$ is the transfer function from $V_{C1}$ to $V_o$, which is

$$G_{FLT2}(s) = \frac{V_o(s)}{V_{C1}(s)} = \frac{1}{s^2 L_2 C_2 + s L_2 / R_L + 1.}$$

(14)

The most inner feedback transfer function is

$$H_1(s) = R_f (R_d L C_d s + 1) / R_d (R_f C_f s + 1).$$

(15)

Likewise, the output-voltage feedback transfer function is

$$H_2(s) = \frac{R_f (R_d C_d s + 1)}{R_d (R_f C_f s + 1)}.$$ 

(16)

The most inner loop closed-loop transfer function is

$$G_{IN-CL}(s) = \frac{V_{C1}(s)}{V_{ref}(s) - V_o(s) H_2(s)}$$

$$= \frac{G_{PID}(s) K_{CMP}(s) G_{FLT1}(s)}{1 + G_{PID}(s) K_{CMP}(s) G_{FLT1}(s) H_1(s)}.$$ 

(17)

Since $K_{CMP}(s)$ is a very high gain, $G_{IN-CL}(s)$ can be approximated to be

$$G_{IN-CL}(s) \approx \frac{1}{H_1(s)}.$$

(18)

The transfer function from the reference voltage to the output voltage is then

$$G_V(s) = \frac{V_o(s)}{V_{ref}(s)} = \frac{G_{IN-CL}(s) G_{FLT2}(s)}{1 + G_{IN-CL}(s) G_{FLT2}(s) H_2(s)}$$

$$\approx \frac{G_{FLT2}(s)}{H_1(s) + G_{FLT2}(s) H_2(s)}.$$ 

(19)

A Bode plot of $G_V(s)$ based on (19) and the parameters in Table II is shown in Fig. 12 for different loads. Fig. 13 shows the transfer function from $V_{ref}(s)$ to $I_o(s)$ at 47-$\Omega$ load measured with the Bode 100 Analyzer. This transfer function is equal to the closed-loop transfer function from
Fig. 12. Bode plot of the closed voltage loop $G_V(s)$ at different loads.
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Fig. 13. Measured Bode plot of the transfer function from $V_{ref}(s)$ to $I_o(s)$ at 47-$\Omega$ load.

![Measured Bode Plot](image)

$V_{ref}(s)$ to $V_o(s)$ divided by a load of 47 $\Omega$, or 33.4 dB$\Omega$. Therefore, the dc gain of the measurement (the blue curve) is 20 dB $\pm$ 33.4 dB $= -13.4$ dB. The phase shown in the red curve is measured in closed loop, so it is equal to the phase of the transfer function from $V_{ref}(s)$ to $V_o(s)$ plus 180°. It can be seen the shape and value of the gain and phase correspond well with those in the model of Fig. 12, except for the high-frequency region where sampling effects at the switching frequency and above occur.

With the circuit schematic shown in Fig. 10, the amplification factor $k_E$ of the closed-loop tracking converter shown in Fig. 9 will have the following formula:

$$k_E = G_V(0) = \frac{R_{d1} R_{d2}}{R_f (R_{d1} + R_{d2})}.$$  

(21)

From the resistance values in Table II, $k_E$ is equal to 10. Thus, 1 V of the reference voltage $V_{ref}$ will produce 10 V of the output voltage $V_o$.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The experimental studies have examined the proposed NSE under three typical operating conditions usually faced in nonterrestrial applications. They are the steady-state response along the static $I$–$V$ curve, the series switching regulator tests, and the step change of input source. In addition, the fourth test is also added, that is a fictitious step change of temperature. The fourth test is fictitious because a step change of temperature is physically unrealizable because of the thermal inertia of the PV material. After a sudden change of input source, a PV panel will usually take approximately 30 min to reach its steady-state temperature [53]. Although a step change of temperature is unlikely, the test will still be carried out here in this work in order to verify the dynamic capability of the proposed NSE.

The proposed circuit, unfortunately, suffers from instability at short-circuit current. According to [40], this is an intrinsic characteristic of the voltage-control approach. Also, according to [40], the current control approach does not have similar problem in the short-circuit region, but it suffers from poor controllability and stability near the open-circuit region.

The instability of the proposed NSE at operating points close to the short circuit can be explained as follows. Near the short-circuit region of the $I$–$V$ curve, the load impedance $R_L$ is small in Ohmic value, making the gain from $V_o$ to $I_o$ become large compared to that in other region. In addition, the output current is approaching $I_{SC}$, which will make $I_D$ approach zero. This small current makes the impedance of diode $D$ approach infinite according to the diode $I$–$V$ curve. This makes $G_D(s)$ becomes very large. The open-loop gain that consists of $G_D(s)$, $G_V(s)$, and $1/R_L$ becomes also very large. Its crossover frequency will move toward higher frequency, where the phase margin becomes negative (see Fig. 12), which in turn causes the system instability.

The load step between nominal and short-circuit problem of voltage-controlled NSE will not be addressed in this paper; it may be treated in a separate future work.

A photo of the prototype can be found in Fig. 14. The prototype has a dimension of 10 cm × 10 cm. The upper part is the power circuit, which is the synchronous buck converter with a two-stage $LC$ output filter plus a heat sink. The lower part contains all the feedback and control circuitry.

A. Steady-State Response

Figs. 15 and 16 show the steady-state current–voltage ($I$–$V$) and power–voltage ($P$–$V$) curves generated by the prototype with the set up in Table III. The short-circuit current is programmed to be 5 A by inputting a voltage $V_{Isc}$ of 5 V. When the load is open, there will be no output current and therefore, $V_{Isc}$ is equal to zero. According to (6), a forward current of 0.33 A will
Fig. 15. Static current–voltage (I–V) curve generated by the proposed NSE.

Fig. 16. Static power–voltage (P–V) curve generated by the proposed NSE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_{SC}$</td>
<td>5 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_F$</td>
<td>10 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_I$</td>
<td>15 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_V$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{OC}$</td>
<td>48 V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III: Parameters used to generate static I–V curve

As can be seen, the output voltage and current resemble the output of a PV panel. The MPP with this set up is 131 W at a load impedance of approximately 10 Ω.

B. Series Switching Regulation

Fig. 17 shows the transient responses of the proposed NSE under a series-type switching regulation. The load is switching between two values: open circuit (where the load is infinitive) and a fixed load. The switching frequency of the load is about 1 kHz. As can be seen, the output voltage and current finish each transient within 10 μs. It is interesting to observe the experimental I–V curve of this test recorded directly from the oscilloscope used in the tests, which can be referred to Fig. 18(a). Meanwhile, Fig. 18(b) explains the process of Fig. 18(a), which will be as follows. The NSE is switching between denoted operating points A and B of Fig. 18(b). Right after the fixed load is inserted to the output of NSE, the circuit immediately moves from operating point A to interim point A1. During this period, the voltage does not change yet due to its disturbance rejection capability, while the new current value will be the result of the voltage at operating point A divided by the fixed load. After that, the regulation of the NSE makes the circuit move from A1 to operating point B, and the whole process from A to B takes only 10 μs [see Fig. 17(c)]. From that moment, the circuit settles at B until the load experiences a new step change. Likewise, when the load is switched from the fixed load to open circuit, which enforces a transient from operating point B to A, the operating point will first and immediately move from B to interim point B1. Following that, it will move from B1 to A and settle at A.
The whole transition from B to A also takes only 10 $\mu$s, which can be verified from Fig. 17(b).

The two arrows in Fig. 18(a) are originated from two cursors of the oscilloscope. They show the location of the operating point (A and B) in Fig. 18, but they are not visible from Fig. 17.

C. Step Change of Input Source

The results of the step change of input source are shown in Figs. 19 and 20. In Fig. 19, channel 2 (red color) is the short-circuit current $I_{SC}$. The input source change causes a change of short-circuit current from 2 to 5 A and vice versa. From Fig. 19(b) and (c), the circuit only takes 10 $\mu$s to complete the transition. The experimental $I-V$ curve is shown in Fig. 20(a) and its behavior is explained in Fig. 20(b). A reduction of input source level from 5 to 2 A short-circuit current will make the NSE move from point A to B, and an increase of input source in the other direction will make the NSE move from point B to A. Each transition takes only 10 $\mu$s.

D. Dynamic Change of Temperature

As discussed in Section II-A, the open-circuit voltage is determined by $k_E$, $k_V$, and $R_I$. Furthermore, the open-circuit voltage is mainly determined by the temperature. Therefore, changing the open-circuit voltage by means of adjusting one of the three parameters $k_E$, $k_V$, and $R_I$ will give the same effect as changing temperature.

The fictitious step change of temperature can be simulated by performing a step change between different values of $R_I$. To demonstrate this, the value of $R_I$ is switched back and forward between 15 and 30 $\Omega$. The results are shown in Figs. 21 and 22. The short-circuit current is fixed at 5 A. When changing the temperature, the short-circuit current does not change, but the profile of $I-V$ curve changes as shown in Fig. 22(b). As can be seen from these results, under a step change of temperature, the proposed NSE only takes about 10 $\mu$s to complete a transition.
This paper has proposed and demonstrated an NSE system with high dynamic performance. The result that has been achieved is a 200-W NSE capable of simulating series-type switching tests and step change of input source tests with the fastest transient response ever reported, 10 $\mu$s. This work, therefore, has provided a state-of-the-art solution for simulating different nonlinear sources in nonterrestrial as well as terrestrial applications.

The presence of the intrinsic source capacitance in a real PV system determines its transient response under a load step. This quantity should be taken into account in the design of the PV emulator. In addition, the output of a nonlinear source usually does not contain ripple. Therefore, it is desired that the developed NSE’s output ripple to be as low as possible. This can be achieved by high order filtering of the NSE. The switching frequency drop that is inherent in a hysteresis self-oscillating controlled system needs to be paid attention because it increases the output voltage ripple.

It must be acknowledged, however, that the proposed method contains several limitations. The first issue is the stability when the NSE operates in the short-circuit region. One approach is to design a more robust control structure, which raises open issues for future study. Another approach is to use a dual-mode power circuit that consists of a separate voltage source and a separate current source power circuit. Deactivating the voltage source and activating the current source power circuit when the NSE operates in the short-circuit region may solve the problem. The second issue is to solve the load step between nominal and short circuit. Once the stability issue in the short-circuit region is solved, this issue may get easier to be tackled.

Finally, for future work, it might be beneficial to realize the nonlinear curve small-signal reference generator by means of an advanced digital control unit, such as high-speed FPGA, in order to take into account multiple parameter changes at the same time or to allow for more flexible setting of test conditions.
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