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Abstract
The corrosion behavior of various commercially available stainless steels and nickel-based alloys as possible construction materials for components which are in direct contact with one of five different ionic liquids was evaluated. The ionic liquids, namely: 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium triflate, 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide, trihexyltetradecylphosphonium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide, butyltrimethylammonium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide, methyltrioctylammonium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide have been identified, as performance fluids in an ionic liquid hydrogen compressor. An electrochemical cell was specially designed, and steady-state cyclic voltammetry was used to measure the corrosion resistance of the alloys in the ionic liquids at 23°C, under atmospheric pressure.

The results showed a very high corrosion resistance and high stability for all the alloys tested. The two stainless steels, AISI 316L and AISI 347 showed higher corrosion resistance compared to AISI 321 in all the ionic liquids tested. It was observed that small addition of molybdenum, tantalum, and niobium to the alloys increased the corrosion stability in the ionic liquids studied. Hastelloy® C-276 showed the poorest corrosion resistance in all the ionic liquids tested. AISI 316L with high corrosion resistance and the lowest cost is recommended as the most attractive construction material for all the components, in an ionic liquid hydrogen compressor, which are in direct contact with ionic liquids used in this study.
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1. Introduction

Ionic liquids are molten salts with melting points below 100°C. They are composed of organic cations with organic or inorganic anions. Ionic liquids are characterized by a unique set of properties incorporating wide electrochemical potential windows, good thermal stability, negligible vapor pressure which are not achievable with any other class of materials [1,2]. Such outstanding chemical and physical properties open doors for more new and innovative applications of ionic liquids in the future.

Ionic liquids have been widely applied as alternative solvents in chemical processes [3]. Due to the possibility of designing a suitable ionic liquid with the specific physicochemical properties by selecting appropriate anion and cation combinations [4], the ionic liquids have found a wide range of industrial applications over the past decades [3–9].

Recently, the unique combination of being liquid and showing negligible vapor pressure together with good lubrication properties, high temperature stability, low compressibility and low solubility of gasses has attracted the attention of many scientists and engineers for utilizing ionic liquids in pump and compressor applications [10].

Due to good lubrication capabilities, combined with chemical stability ionic liquids could be conveniently used as a promising alternative for lubrication oil in pumps and compressors. This option will likely reduce significantly mechanical losses and consequently improve efficiency in hydraulic and pneumatic applications [10]. Properties such as low vapor pressure combined with low gas solubility make ionic liquids a promising option for lubrication in liquid ring compressors [11] and vacuum pumps [12]. It has been reported that substituting water with an ionic liquid in a liquid ring vacuum pump decreased the vacuum pressure by seven times [12]. I.e. it improved the efficiency of the pump considerably. Furthermore, a suitable ionic liquid has also been used as lubrication oil in a screw oxygen compressor [13].

Moreover, the lower compressibility of ionic liquids as compared to the mineral oils and water makes them good candidates for replacement of hydraulic oil in high pressure generating pumps. A remarkable 10 to 30% improvement of the volumetric efficiency in a diaphragm pump was reported when hydraulic oil was substituted with an ionic liquid, for the first time [12].

Another possibility is to replace the solid piston in a conventional positive displacement compressor by an ionic liquid [12,14]. This type of compressor is sometimes used for compressing pure hydrogen at hydrogen stations [14]. Replacing the solid piston with an ionic liquid in a reciprocating compressor will solve many technical problems which most of the conventional compressors face at present, such as the need for numerous moving parts and complicated sealing systems. In addition, the geometry will be more flexible and the liquid piston can penetrate in non-cylindrical working chambers. Such advantages could lead to more efficient and cheaper compressors with longer life time [14].
Additionally, due to direct contact between the ionic liquid and the gas, an optimized geometry might make it possible to extract heat from the gas during the compression procedure [15].

In all the above cases corrosion is a very important factor in selecting an appropriate combination of ionic liquid and construction materials. Corrosion of components which are in contact with the ionic liquid is of course highly undesirable since it can cause serious problems such as reduced efficiency, reduced strength, contamination by the corrosion products, and costly maintenance including shut down of the entire production line. Therefore, it is essential to evaluate the corrosivity of the materials in contact with ionic liquids under relevant conditions.

The corrosion behavior of carbon steel, austenitic stainless steel, nickel-based alloy C22, copper, brass, and aluminum alloy (AlMg3) in seven different imidazolium- and ammonium-based ionic liquids by the rotating cage method was evaluated [16]. It was shown in the study that stainless steel type 304 had the highest resistance to erosion corrosion at ambient and higher temperatures, while copper and brass suffered from severe corrosion problems [16]. In another study, very low corrosion current densities of several metals and metal alloys (copper, nickel, AISI 1018 steel, brass, Inconel 600) in 1-butyl-3methyl-imidazolium bis (trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide were measured, at room temperature, based on electrochemical and gravimetric techniques, using potentiodynamic and Tafel curves [17]. Also, the corrosion behavior of carbon steel alloy (1018) in several imidazolium-based ionic liquids at 25 °C has been studied based on potentiodynamic polarization [18]. The results demonstrated a very low corrosion rate. The main objective of the two previous studies ([17] and [18]) was to evaluate the corrosion behavior of appropriate ionic liquids in contact with vessels and pipes applicable in solar power plants. Another study indicated that nickel had the highest corrosion resistance in several imidazolium-based ionic liquids with different anions, whereas copper, carbon steel and aluminum showed severe corrosion [19]. They also proved that the anions play a more important role in governing ionic liquid corrosivity towards materials than the cation.

The application of ionic liquid in the pneumatic and the hydraulic technologies is quite new, and to the authors’ knowledge, so far only one study has been conducted in this field. The corrosion effect of several ionic liquids as lubrication oil in an oxygen screw compressor with rotating cage was also investigated in [13]. However, no information on the liquids was given and it was only mentioned that stainless steel was very stable. The aim of this study is to investigate the corrosion behavior of different construction materials in five ionic liquids selected as operating fluids in an ionic liquid hydrogen compressor. The ionic liquids were selected based on certain criteria such as high thermal and chemical stability, low compressibility and hydrogen solubility, appropriate viscosity, and good lubricating behavior. The three selected ionic liquids with significantly lower viscosity than the other two (shown in Table 1) can be used as liquid piston instead of a solid piston of conventional reciprocating compressors. While the other two ionic liquids might be used in hydrogen compressors, pumps and similar applications where good lubricating properties are more important.
2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Five ionic liquids (provided by Iolitec [20]) were tested. These five ionic liquids were:

1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium triflate ([EMIM][CF$_3$SO$_3$])
1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis (trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide ([EMIM][Tf$_2$N])
trihexyltetradecylphosphonium bis (trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide ([P$_{66614}$][Tf$_2$N])
butyltrimethylammonium bis (trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide ([N$_{1114}$][Tf$_2$N])
methyltriocetylammonium bis (trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide ([N$_{1888}$][Tf$_2$N])

All liquids were of 98% purity according to the supplier. Figure 1 shows the chemical structure of the ionic liquids tested.

Figure 1- The chemical structure of the ionic liquids tested: (a) [EMIM][CF$_3$SO$_3$]; (b) [EMIM][Tf$_2$N];
(C) [P$_{66614}$][Tf$_2$N]; (d) [N$_{1114}$][Tf$_2$N]; (e) [N$_{1888}$][Tf$_2$N]
The density, dynamic and kinematic viscosity of the ionic liquids tested, provided by the manufacturer [20] at 25 °C and atmospheric pressure, are given in Table 1.

**Table 1 - The density, dynamic and kinematic viscosity of the tested ionic liquids at 25°C and atmospheric pressure (provided by the manufacturer [20])**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ionic liquids</th>
<th>Density (g/ml)</th>
<th>Dynamic viscosity (cp)</th>
<th>Kinematic viscosity (cSt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[EMIM][CF₃SO₃]</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[EMIM][Tf₂N]</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>21.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[P₆₆₆₄][Tf₂N]</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>286.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N₁₁₁₄][Tf₂N]</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>71.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N₁₈₈₈][Tf₂N]</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>460.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The austenitic stainless steels tested were AISI 316L, AISI 321, AISI 347 and nickel-based alloys Inconel® 625 and Hastelloy® C-276, all as wires provided by Sigma Aerospace Metals LLC [21]. Table 2 presents the typical chemical composition of stainless steels and nickel-based alloys investigated in this study.

**Table 2 - Chemical composition of the alloys**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy type</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Nb+Ta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AISI 316L</td>
<td>10-13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.5-18.5</td>
<td>2-2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N Less 0.11</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 321</td>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17-19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.4-0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 347</td>
<td>9-13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17-19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel® 625</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastelloy® C-276</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>V 0.35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the reference electrode compartment, Ag₂SO₄ with purity of 99.9% (provided by Heraeus [22]) was used.

**2.2. Electrode preparation**

The working electrodes were sealed to determine the surface area of the electrodes. Alumina tubes with inner and outer diameters of 2 and 4 mm respectively, were used for preparing working electrodes of the alloys. A coating paste, CC180W, provided by CeProTec [23], was used for sealing the electrodes inside the tubes, and fixing the area, exposed to the electrolyte. The diameter of the wires varied between 0.8 to 1 mm and the geometrical working electrode area of all the alloys tested, was in the
range of 8 to 20 mm² (see Figure A1 in the appendix which presents one of the working electrodes tested in this study).

A platinum wire of 0.4 mm in diameter provided by Dansk Aedelmetal A/S [24] served as a counter electrode. A silver wire of 0.5 mm in diameter, provided by Dansk Aedelmetal A/S [24], located in the reference compartment of the Pyrex cell served as a reference electrode. The ionic liquid [N1114][Tf2N] saturated with Ag₂SO₄ was used as electrolyte for the reference electrode compartment in all the experiments in this study. Reliability of this reference electrode has been previously reported elsewhere [25,26]. At room temperature, the potential of the Ag/Ag₂SO₄ electrode is about 0.7 V more positive than of the standard hydrogen electrode [27]. Both platinum and silver wires were shaped to a spiral form to ensure high surface area.

2.3. Electrochemical Characterization

The electrochemical cell shown in Figure 2 was specially designed for the corrosion studies at room temperature (23 °C). A porous frit (Position 7 in Figure 2) separated the working and the reference electrode compartments of the electrochemical cell. The counter electrode was placed in the same compartment where the working electrode was located. The temperature inside the cell was measured by a chromel-alumel PFA coated thermocouple, provided by Omega [28] and located in the same compartment as the working electrode.

Steady-state cyclic voltammetry was performed at 23°C under atmospheric pressure, using a potentiostat model VersaSTAT 3 with VersaStudio software by Princeton Applied Research [29]. After establishing the open-circuit potential, polarization with a scan rate of 5 mV/s was initiated to get a steady state response (a cyclic voltammetric curve for stainless steel alloy AISI 316L in [EMIM][Tf₂N] with scan rate of 5 mV/s were shown in Figure A2 in the appendix). The potential window was 2 V, starting at a potential of -1 V below the reference electrode potential and going up to 1 V above the reference electrode potential.
3. Results and discussion

Figures 3-7 present Tafel plots for stainless steels and nickel-based alloys in [EMIM][CF$_3$SO$_3$], [EMIM][Tf$_2$N], [P$_{66614}$][Tf$_2$N], [N$_{1114}$][Tf$_2$N], and [N$_{1888}$][Tf$_2$N], respectively. The cyclic Tafel voltammograms can provide valuable information regarding the possible corrosion mechanisms [30]. Figures 3-7 indicate that for all the alloys tested a passivation layer is formed quite easily. By changing the direction of the polarization from anodic to cathodic, the voltammetric curves, in high anodic region close to 1 V vs. the reference electrode, showed a decrease of the current value at the reversed scan. This fact can be explained by passivation of the electrode with the metal oxide layer [24].
Figure 3 - Tafel plot for the alloys tested in [EMIM][CF$_3$SO$_3$] at 23°C

Figure 4 - Tafel plot for the alloys tested in [EMIM][Tf$_2$N] at 23°C
Figure 5 - Tafel plot for the alloys tested in $[P_{66614}][Tf_2N]$ at 23°C

Figure 6 - Tafel plot for the alloys tested in $[N_{1114}][Tf_2N]$ at 23°C
Furthermore, valuable data such as corrosion current density ($i_{corr}$), corrosion potential of the electrode ($E_{corr}$), and electrochemical stability window (potential window), can be obtained from the Tafel plots. A schematic view of Tafel plot for stainless steel alloy AISI 316L in [EMIM][Tf$_2$N] were shown in the appendix, Figure A3). Table 3 shows the estimated corrosion current densities in the five mentioned electrolytes, obtained from Figures 3-7. The corrosion current density can be estimated from the intersection point of the vertical line through $E_{corr}$ and the extrapolated linear portions of the anodic and cathodic polarizations [30,31].

**Table 3 - Corrosion current densities for the stainless steels and nickel-based alloys in the ionic liquids tested**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>[EMIM][CF$_3$SO$_3$]</th>
<th>[EMIM][Tf$_2$N]</th>
<th>[P$_{66614}$][Tf$_2$N]</th>
<th>[N$_{1114}$][Tf$_2$N]</th>
<th>[N$_{1888}$][Tf$_2$N]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AISI 316L</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 321</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 347</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel® 625</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastelloy® C-276</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It can be seen from Table 3 that the corrosion rate of the materials studied here in the mentioned ionic liquids is very small even in the worst case ($16 \times 10^{-5}$ mA/cm$^2$). Therefore, all the alloys can safely be used for construction of the components in direct contact with the ionic liquids tested. Since the estimated corrosion current densities for all the alloys in each electrolyte are comparable, parameters such as corrosion potential and electrochemical stability window can be utilized for detailed comparison of the corrosion resistance.

Table 4 presents the corrosion potentials of the alloys tested, obtained from Figures 3-7. It can be assumed that the corrosion potentials (current drop at positive potentials) in the Tafel voltammograms, on Figures 3-7, correspond to the dissolution of the anodically polarized alloys. The cathodic processes (current drop at negative potentials) could be reduction of oxygen or electrolyte, however further study in chemistry and electrochemistry of the tested ionic liquids is required for detailed understanding of these processes. The lower corrosion rate is expected for the more positive values of the corrosion potentials, as has been observed in an earlier study [32].

**Table 4 - Corrosion potentials of the stainless steels and nickel-based alloys in the ionic liquids tested**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$E_{corr}$, mV (vs. Ag/Ag$_2$SO$_4$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[EMIM][CF$_3$SO$_3$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 316L</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 321</td>
<td>551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 347</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel® 625</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastelloy® C-276</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 presents the electrochemical stability windows (potential windows) obtained on the stainless steels and nickel-based electrodes in the tested ionic liquid electrolytes, derived from Figures 3-7. The electrochemical stability window is calculated as a difference between the potential of the cathodic and anodic limiting reactions.

**Table 5 – Electrochemical stability windows obtained on the stainless steels and nickel-based alloys in the ionic liquids tested**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potential window, mV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[EMIM][CF$_3$SO$_3$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 316L</td>
<td>1201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 321</td>
<td>1103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 347</td>
<td>1208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconel® 625</td>
<td>1101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As it can be seen from Tables 4 and 5, and corresponding Figures 3-7, between the three stainless steel alloys tested, AISI 316L and AISI 347, showed higher corrosion resistance than AISI 321 in all the ionic liquids tested.

The high corrosion resistance of AISI 316L can be explained by 2-2.5 wt. % of molybdenum in the structure of AISI 316L. However, the highest contents of molybdenum are only possible in high nickel alloys, adding a small amount of molybdenum, even one or two percent, to stainless steels can considerably increase the corrosion resistance of these alloys [33,34]. Molybdenum decreases the corrosion rate by stabilizing the previously formed passive films [35]. In addition, 0.8 wt. % of niobium and tantalum in the structure of AISI 347, and its role in the formation of oxide layer can explain the high corrosion resistance of AISI 347 [36]. The lowest corrosion resistance of AISI 321 indicated that molybdenum, tantalum, and niobium played more important roles in increasing the corrosion resistance of the alloys than titanium.

Comparing the corrosion resistance of the nickel-based alloys (nickel content above 55 wt. %) with stainless steel alloys (nickel content 9-13 wt. %) in all the ionic liquids tested (Figure 3-7, and Tables 4 and 5) showed that high nickel concentration did not have a considerable effect on corrosion rate.

Hastelloy® C-276 showed the poorest corrosion resistance in [EMIM][CF3SO3], [EMIM][Tf2N], [P66614][Tf2N], [N1114][Tf2N], and [N1888][Tf2N]. Thus Hastelloy® C-276 had the highest corrosion rate of all the alloys in all the electrolytes tested. This could be due to a lack of niobium and tantalum in the structure of Hastelloy® C-276 (comparison with Inconel® 625), since small amounts of niobium and tantalum could increase the stability of the passivation layer [36,37].

In general, as mentioned above, the corrosion current densities for all the tested alloys, in all the ionic liquids were quite small. Hence, all the alloys can safely be used for construction of the components in direct contact with the tested ionic liquids, in an ionic liquid hydrogen compressor. For most manufacturers, price is another important factor to consider during selection of the appropriate materials. Consequently, among all the alloys tested, AISI 316L is the cheapest with high corrosion resistance in all the ionic liquids studied in this work, and is recommended as one of the most promising candidates. It should also be considered that in ionic liquid hydrogen compressor, apart from corrosion rate and price, hydrogen embrittlement is another important factor to be taken into account; it has been reported that austenitic stainless steel type 316L has very high resistance to hydrogen embrittlement [38–40].

Figure 8 shows the estimated range of corrosion current densities for AISI 316L, in the five electrolytes tested. It can be seen from Figure 8 that the corrosion rate of AISI 316L, even in the worst case (for [N1114][Tf2N]), is very small and is comparable to the corrosion rate value for one of the most corrosion
stable metals in an aggressive solution of hot phosphoric acid, tantalum ($i_{corr} = 31.5 \times 10^{-5}\ mA/cm^2$, equals to corrosion rate $<0.001\ mm/year$), which have been earlier reported [32]. The two imidazolium-based ionic liquids, [EMIM][Tf$_2$N] and [EMIM][CF$_3$SO$_3$], with significantly lower viscosity (as seen in Table 1), showed lower corrosion current densities and can be recommended as the best candidates to be used instead of a solid piston of conventional reciprocating compressors in ionic liquid hydrogen compressors.

![Figure 8 - Measured corrosion current densities for AISI 316L in the ionic liquids tested](image)

### 4. Conclusions

The work was performed on the corrosion resistance study of commercially available stainless steels and nickel-based alloys in five different ionic liquids, which have been selected as possible performance fluids in an ionic liquid hydrogen compressor, at 23 °C, under atmospheric pressure. The results have shown very small corrosion rates of the tested materials in the selected ionic liquids. Consequently, all the alloys tested are safe to use as construction materials for the components which are in direct contact with the ionic liquids studied.

The reduction of current on the reverse voltammetric curves compared to the current of the forward ones, in high anodic region close to 1 V vs. the reference electrode showed the formation of a passivation layer on almost all the alloys. AISI 316L and AISI 347 showed higher corrosion resistance than AISI 321, in all the ionic liquids tested. Small addition of molybdenum, tantalum, and niobium in the structure of the alloy increased the corrosion stability of the alloy tested in the selected ionic
liquids. Nickel-based alloy, Hastelloy® C-276 showed the poorest resistance to corrosion in all the ionic liquids tested. Comparison of the corrosion resistance of the nickel-based alloys with stainless steel alloys in all the ionic liquids tested showed that high nickel concentration does not play a significant role on corrosion rate of the alloys tested in the selected ionic liquid electrolytes.

Ultimately, considering the observed corrosion rates, prices, and resistance to hydrogen embrittlement, for all the tested stainless steels and nickel-based alloys, stainless steel AISI 316L is recommended as construction material for all the components which are in direct contact with the studied ionic liquids in an ionic liquid hydrogen compressor. Furthermore, the two imidazolium-based ionic liquids, [EMIM][Tf2N] and [EMIM][CF3SO3], with significantly lower viscosity and corrosion current densities for AISI 316L can be recommended for use instead of a solid piston of conventional reciprocating compressors in an ionic liquid hydrogen compressors.
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Appendix A
Figure A1 – Picture of the working electrode (1) The fixed area of the electrode exposed to the electrolyte; (2) Coating paste (CC180W); (3) Alumina Tube

Figure A2 – Cyclic voltametric curve for stainless steel alloy AISI 316L in [EMIM][Tf2N] with scan rate of 5mV/s.

The general overview of the voltametric curve shows a steady state response, as no peaks were observed in the voltametric curve.
Figure A3 – A schematic view of Tafel plot for stainless steel alloy AISI 316L in [EMIM][Tf2N]