



The Importance of Surface IrO_x in Stabilizing RuO₂ for Oxygen Evolution

Escribano, Maria Escudero; Pedersen, Anders Filsøe; Paoli, Elisa Antares; Frydendal, Rasmus; Friebel, Daniel; Malacrida, Paolo; Rossmeisl, Jan; Stephens, Ifan E L; Chorkendorff, Ib

Published in:

Journal of Physical Chemistry Part B: Condensed Matter, Materials, Surfaces, Interfaces & Biophysical

Link to article, DOI:

[10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b07047](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b07047)

Publication date:

2018

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Escribano, M. E., Pedersen, A. F., Paoli, E. A., Frydendal, R., Friebel, D., Malacrida, P., ... Chorkendorff, I. (2018). The Importance of Surface IrO_x in Stabilizing RuO₂ for Oxygen Evolution. *Journal of Physical Chemistry Part B: Condensed Matter, Materials, Surfaces, Interfaces & Biophysical*, 122(2), 947–955. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b07047>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

The Importance of Surface IrO_x in Stabilizing RuO₂ for Oxygen Evolution

María Escudero-Escribano,^{*,1,2,3} Anders F. Pedersen,² Elisa A. Paoli,² Rasmus Frydendal,² Daniel Friebe,³ Paolo Malacrida,² Jan Rossmeisl,¹ Ifan E. L. Stephens,^{*,2,4} Ib Chorkendorff^{*,2}

¹Nano-Science Centre, Department of Chemistry, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

²Department of Physics, Fysikvej, Building 312, Technical University of Denmark (DTU), DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

³SUNCAT Center for Interface Science and Catalysis, Department of Chemical Engineering, Stanford University, 443 Via Ortega, Stanford, CA 94305, United States

⁴Department of Materials, Imperial College London, 2.03b, Royal School of Mines, London SW72AZ, England

ABSTRACT:

The high precious metal loading and high overpotential of the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) prevents the widespread utilization of polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) water electrolyzers. Herein we explore the OER activity and stability in acidic electrolyte of a combined IrO_x/RuO₂ system consisting of RuO₂ thin films with sub-monolayer (1, 2 and 4 Å) amounts of IrO_x deposited on top. Operando extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) on the Ir L-3 edge revealed a rutile type IrO₂ structure with some Ir sites occupied by Ru, IrO_x being at the surface of the RuO₂ thin film. We monitor corrosion on IrO_x/RuO₂ thin films by combining electrochemical quartz crystal microbalance (EQCM) with inductively coupled mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). We elucidate the importance of sub-monolayer surface IrO_x in minimizing Ru dissolution. Our work shows that we can tune the surface properties of active OER catalysts such as RuO₂, aiming to achieve higher electrocatalytic stability in PEM electrolyzers.

1. INTRODUCTION

There is an urgent need to develop a sustainable economy based on renewable energy.^{1,2} Electrocatalytic reactions taking place at energy conversion devices such as fuel cells and electrolyzers may play a key role in the transition towards a sustainable future.³ In particular, electrolysis of water allows the production of renewable hydrogen as an energy carrier.⁴⁻⁶ Polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) electrolyzers are highly attractive due to their high efficiency, as they can operate at much higher current densities (1-3 A cm⁻²) than traditional alkaline electrolyzers (around 0.2 A cm⁻²).⁷⁻⁹ Furthermore, they are more amenable towards the small scale storage intermittent electricity from renewable resources. However, due to the acidic conditions at which PEM electrolyzers operate, the electrode materials at both anode and cathode are based on precious metals like Pt and Ir.⁷

The slow kinetics of the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) at the electrolyzer anode causes significant potential losses (overpotential).^{10,11} To date, only oxides based on Ir and Ru present reasonable activity and stability for OER in acidic electrolyte.¹²⁻¹⁸ High loadings of precious metal electrocatalysts are needed to minimize the overpotential, preventing the widespread utilization of PEM electrolyzers. In contrast, the cathodic reaction, the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), has been widely investigated in the past decade. Negligible loadings of Pt can sustain enormous hydrogen evolution currents,¹⁹⁻²² and numerous electrode materials have been developed, including highly active non-precious metal catalysts based on sulfides and phosphides,^{23,24} although there are some stability issues here as well.²⁵

In order to minimize the overpotential in oxygen electrocatalysis and design more efficient materials, we need to understand the activity and stability descriptors.^{4,26-29} The activity and stability of RuO₂, the most active OER electrocatalyst, can vary dramatically as a function of the oxidation pre-treatment. Electrochemically (anodically) grown or plasma treated RuO₂ catalysts are typically highly active for OER; however, they are less stable than thermally grown oxides.³⁰⁻³² The stability of IrO_x depends strongly on the synthesis conditions as well.³³ Typically, IrO_x has a lower catalytic activity than RuO_x; even so, it is less prone to corrosion.³⁴⁻³⁸ This prevents the use of pure RuO_x at the PEM electrolyzer anode, IrO_x being the catalyst of choice for large scale applications.^{7,39} Enhancing the catalytic stability is crucial to maximize the durability of PEM electrolyzers.

In the 1950s, Beer discovered efficient and stable transition metal oxides, the so-called dimensionally stable anodes (DSA ®),⁴⁰ which consisted of thermally decomposed oxides mixed with an activated metal, mainly Ir and Ru, and deposited on an inert substrate, typically Ti. After the DSA ® success,⁴¹ researchers have tried different combinations of metal oxides in order to find the optimal electrocatalyst composition.⁵ In particular, both adding IrO_x to RuO_x and forming Ru-Ir bimetallic oxides can result in a stability increase over RuO_x.^{36,42-46} The activity and stability of Ru-Ir oxides are also very sensitive to the preparation method, since the surface enrichment of Ir and homogeneity of the phases varies depending on the preparation procedure.^{34,43-45}

Experimental studies on Ru-Ir mixed oxides show that Ru at the surface tends to dissolve, leaving an enriched Ir layer behind.^{36,47,48} However, the nature of the stabilizing effect is not fully understood yet.

1
2
3 Thermodynamic stabilization under OER conditions is challenging.⁴⁹ Consequently, Ir could provide
4 kinetic stability against further dissolution of RuO₂. The higher stability of IrO_x as compared to RuO_x
5 have led theorists to study the surface segregation and migration energies of Ru-Ir mixed oxides and
6 investigate alternative strategies to obtain highly active and stable OER catalysts.⁵⁰ DFT calculations
7 suggest that the active sites for OER on a rutile RuO₂(110) surface are terrace sites²⁶ and dissolution
8 takes place at under-coordinated sites. The calculated surface energies suggest that IrO_x tends to sit on
9 the surface under equilibrium conditions, since it has a lower surface energy. Moreover, Ir is most
10 stable at the step edge sites of a stepped RuO₂(110) surface. This configuration is more stable than pure
11 RuO₂ because of the higher dissolution potential of IrO₂.⁵¹ This is in agreement with the work by
12 Danilovic et al.: they vacuum annealed and thermally oxidized a bulk metallic Ir-Ru alloy; this
13 treatment resulted in an Ir-rich oxide surface which was stable under oxygen evolution conditions.³⁶ A
14 similar effect was recently observed on SrIrO₃,¹⁶ where an IrO_x overlayer was formed by leaching of
15 Sr. These results are consistent with Man's proposal⁵⁰ that surface IrO_x can stabilise a less stable oxide,
16 which resides in the bulk. Even so, preparing oxides that are mixed in the bulk does not allow the
17 precise control of the surface composition.
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Understanding degradation mechanisms and quantifying the stability improvement of Ru-Ir mixed
25 oxides is challenging. Thus far, the most common methods to study the stability have been short term
26 electrochemical measurements, such as chronopotentiometry (at a constant current density) or
27 chronoamperometry (at a constant potential) measurements. However, these tests cannot allow an
28 estimation of the long-term catalytic performance.^{52,53} In contrast, combining electrochemical methods
29 with *in situ* and *operando* studies can offer valuable information on the catalyst stability.^{31,47,53,54}
30 Electrochemical quartz crystal microbalance (EQCM) allows following mass changes at the
31 electrode.⁵³ In addition, on-line elemental analysis can be performed by combining inductive couple
32 plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) with a scanning flow cell.^{35,55}
33
34
35
36

37 *In situ* and *operando* spectroscopy is particularly suitable to study corrosion mechanisms and
38 monitoring the reaction products under dynamic conditions.^{54,56} Synchrotron based X-ray techniques
39 have become an essential tool to elucidate solid catalysts,⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰ Miquel Salmerón is a pioneer in this
40 area.^{54,61} In particular, (near) ambient pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (AP-XPS) and
41 *operando* X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) allow *operando* investigations of the chemical state
42 and structure of the electrode surface and the reaction intermediates in oxygen electrocatalysis.^{47,59,62,63}
43
44
45

46 This article combines EQCM and ICP-MS measurements to monitor mass losses and investigate the
47 corrosion rate of sputtered RuO₂ thin films decorated by sub-monolayer (1, 2 and 4 Å) amounts of IrO_x.
48 Recently, we used a very similar approach to mildly stabilize MnO_x films in acid under oxygen
49 evolution conditions, using surface TiO_x.⁶⁴ Herein, we have also used *operando* grazing incidence
50 extended X-ray absorption fine structure (GI-EXAFS) on the Ir L₃ edge to show that the IrO_x resides at
51 the surface of the RuO₂ thin film. The addition of sub-monolayer surface IrO_x improves the stability of
52 RuO₂ thin films.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Preparation of thin films

The hybrid IrO_x/RuO₂ thin films, as well as 40 nm thin films of pure RuO₂ and IrO₂ were fabricated by magnetron sputtering.⁵³ Sub-monolayer amounts of IrO_x were deposited on top of a 40 nm RuO_x thin film. Both films were deposited by sputtering of a Ru or Ir target at 30 W with the sample substrate being held at 300°C. The oxide was formed during sputtering by a reactive atmosphere at 3 mTorr and a flow of 10 sccm Ar and 4 sccm O₂. For IrO₂ thin films, a pressure of 5 mTorr, and a flow of 20 sccm, of Ar and 5 sccm of O₂ was used, in order to assure the formation of crystalline IrO₂. The sputter rate was monitored by a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM).

For the stability measurements, 40 nm RuO₂ thin films were deposited on an Au-coated electrochemical quartz crystal microbalance (EQCM), followed by the deposition of 1 Å, 2 Å, or 4 Å of IrO_x. After the deposition, the substrate was held at 300°C for 30 minutes for annealing. For X-ray diffraction (XRD) characterization, the RuO₂ and IrO₂ thin films were deposited on a glass substrate, while for X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements the thin films were deposited on polycrystalline Au disks.

2.2. Characterization methods

The 40 nm RuO₂ thin film was structurally characterized by glancing angle X-ray diffraction (GA-XRD) from a sample film on a glass substrate. A PAN Analytical X'Pert PRO Diffractometer was used, equipped with a Cu K α X-ray source with a wavelength of 1.54 Å and a monochromator on the detector. The incidence angle was set to 0.5°. The thin film morphology was characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) made with an acceleration voltage of 5.00 kV and secondary electron detection using a Helios EBS3 microscope. IrO_x/RuO_x thin films were examined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). A Theta Probe system by Thermo Fischer was utilized, with a monochromatized Al K α X-ray source. It is equipped with a hemispherical analyzer, which also allows angle-resolved XPS (AR-XPS) to be measured in the same system.²⁹

2.3. *In situ* X-ray absorption synchrotron measurements

Synchrotron measurements were carried out at Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL) at SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory in California, USA. Grazing incidence extended X-ray absorption fine structure (GI-EXAFS) measurements were carried out at beam line 11-2, which is a hard X-ray wiggler beam line equipped with a Si(220) monochromator in the $\phi=0^\circ$ setting. A 100 element Ge detector was used for fluorescence detection, while ion chambers were used for measuring initial and transmitted intensities. EXAFS was measured at the Ir L₃-edge (11215 eV) on 1 Å and 2 Å IrO_x/RuO₂/GC samples. The analysis was carried out using the SIXPack analysis software, and the fitting was done using scattering paths from FEFF6 calculations. A k²-weighted $\chi(k)$ function was used for fitting in the range of 3 – 9 Å⁻¹ using a Hanning filter. The fitting itself was done in R-space in the range 1.1 – 3.8 Å.

1
2
3 All experiments were done in an *in-situ* cell, based on a hanging meniscus setup developed by Daniel
4 Friebel and co-workers, which is described elsewhere.⁶⁵ The cell had a motorized syringe attached, so
5 that the size of the meniscus could be controlled accurately, forming a cylindrically shaped meniscus
6 5 mm in diameter. The electrolyte used was 0.05 M H₂SO₄ with a Pt wire counter electrode and a
7 Ag/AgCl reference electrode (Innovative Instruments, Inc. LF-1.6, 3.4M AgCl). To control the
8 potentials a BioLogic potentiostat (BioLogic Scientific Instruments) was used, which was controlled by
9 a separate PC.
10
11
12

13 14 15 16 **2.4. Electrochemical tests**

17
18 The electrochemical experiments were performed with a rotating ring disk electrode (RRDE) assembly
19 (Pine Instruments Corporation) in a standard three-electrode glass cell, equipped with a Luggin
20 capillary. All the electrochemical measurements were carried out in 0.05 M H₂SO₄ (Merck suprapur
21 96%, diluted with 18 MΩ Millipore water). The counter electrode was a carbon rod, and a Hg/HgSO₄
22 was used as a reference electrode. Activity measurements were done in N₂ or Ar saturated electrolyte.
23 The oxygen evolution activity was measured by quasi stationary cyclic voltammetry (CV) in N₂-
24 saturated 0.05 M H₂SO₄ in the EQCM set-up. For the stability measurements, the mass loss was
25 monitored by an EQCM balance, which changes its resonance frequency in response to change in the
26 electrode mass. The dissolution of electrode material was further examined by inductively coupled
27 plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS), in which samples of the electrolyte were analyzed at several
28 stages of the stress test. The experiments were all carried out using a BioLogic VMP2 potentiostat
29 controlled by a PC with EC-lab control software (BioLogic Science Instruments).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 38 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

39
40 The aim of this work is to improve the stability of RuO₂ by depositing sub-monolayer amounts of IrO_x
41 on top. Pure RuO₂ and IrO₂ thin films were prepared by reactive sputter deposition.^{53,64,66} Both thin
42 films were structurally characterized by GA-XRD and SEM (see Supplementary Information, S.I.,
43 Figures S1-S4). According to SEM images (Figures S3-S4), IrO₂ thin films present rougher surfaces
44 than RuO₂ thin films, exhibiting triangular-shape structures which protrude in the z direction. This
45 could be explained by differences in the film growth during the sputter deposition. Stoerzinger et al.
46 also observed differences in morphology on epitaxially grown RuO₂ and IrO₂ films;⁶⁷ IrO₂ (100) films
47 were almost 10 times rougher than RuO₂ (100) films, while IrO₂ (110) exhibited 4 times higher
48 roughness than RuO₂ (110) films. McCrory et al. observed much higher roughness for electrodeposited
49 IrO₂ as well, as compared to other non-noble metal oxides.¹³
50
51
52
53

54 Small amounts of IrO_x were sputtered onto the surface RuO₂ thin films to form the IrO_x/RuO₂ surfaces.
55 The nominal deposition thicknesses were 1, 2, 4 and 10 Å. This provides a well-defined and well-
56 characterized system onto which model studies can be carried out in order to understand the activity
57
58
59
60

and stability trends, as well as quantify the stability enhancement. A monolayer of iridium oxide corresponds to 3.2 Å.⁶⁸ Assuming a conservative roughness factor of 2 for RuO₂ thin films, it is unlikely that the surface is completely covered by IrO_x, even when 4 Å are deposited. Hence we consider 1, 2 and 4 Å thick films to correspond to sub-monolayer additions.

In order to investigate bond distances and coordination numbers of neighboring atoms of the surface, we carried out operando GI-EXAFS measurements on the Ir L₃-edge of IrO_x/RuO₂ thin films containing 1 and 2 Å of IrO_x. Both samples were measured as-prepared (“dry”), and the 2 Å IrO_x/RuO₂ sample was also measured *in-situ* with an applied potential of 1.0 V vs. RHE. Figure 1 shows the structure resulting from the fit to the EXAFS data, consisting of a rutile type IrO_x, with Ru occupying some of the Ir sites. Table 1 shows the fitted bond distances as well as the coordination numbers. Debye-Waller factors (σ^2), overall energy tuning (ΔE_0), amplitude reduction factor (S_0^2), and goodness of fit parameters are shown in Table S1 in the Supporting Information (S.I.). Figure S5 shows the k^2 -weighted $\chi(k)$ and $\chi(R)$ extracted from the measured EXAFS spectra, as well as the fitted function. The range of k -space used for the Fourier transform is indicated as well as the fitting range in real space. During the fitting process, we were unable to distinguish between Ir and Ru neighbors, and we could not separate bond distances that were very close to each other. To avoid degeneracy between the coordination numbers, amplitude reduction factor, and Debye-Waller factors, the latter two were fixed during the fitting procedure. The Debye-Waller factors were tuned by hand to optimize the fit while maintaining physically reasonable values. The fitting analysis shows that the first coordination shell of O was fully occupied in all cases. The further coordination shells with Ir/Ru and O show a smaller occupancy than bulk rutile IrO₂. These results are consistent with IrO_x being on the surface of the RuO₂ thin film.




Figure 1. Schematic view of the structure consistent with the fitting of the EXAFS data, a rutile type IrO₂ with some Ir sites occupied by Ru. All the panels (a-d) show the full structure transparently, and the following atoms are highlighted: (a) the central absorbing Ir (blue) and the 6 surrounding O (red) making up the first oxygen coordination shell; (b) the two nearest Ir/Ru sites; (c) the O atoms making up the second O coordination shell; and (d) the second nearest Ir/Ru sites, in this case also containing Ru (green).

Table 1. EXAFS fitting results from 1 Å and a 2 Å IrO_x on RuO₂ thin film. The bond distances (R) and coordination numbers (N) are shown for the two dry samples, as well as the *in situ* measurement on the 2 Å IrO_x/RuO₂ sample at 1.0 V vs. RHE. For comparison the bond distances and coordination numbers are shown for bulk rutile IrO₂. The first coordination shell of both O and Ir/Ru sites is fully occupied, whereas the second coordination shell has a lower occupancy than bulk IrO₂, consistent with IrO_x being at the surface.

Sample	First oxygen		First Ir/Ru		Second oxygen		Second Ir/Ru	
	R ₁ [Å]	N ₁	R ₂ [Å]	N ₂	R ₃ [Å]	N ₃	R ₄ [Å]	N ₄
1 Å IrO _x /RuO ₂ /GC (Dry)	1.98±0.02	5.5±0.5	3.09±0.09	2.1±2.3	3.55±0.08	5.2±2.6	3.57±0.05	3.8±2.2
2 Å IrO _x /RuO ₂ /GC (Dry)	1.98±0.01	5.6±0.3	3.11±0.05	3.1±1.8	3.54±0.06	4.3±1.9	3.56±0.03	4.2±1.6
2 Å IrO _x /RuO ₂ /GC (1V)	2.01±0.04	6.1±1.3	3.14±0.12	5.7±9.7	3.64±0.33	4.0±8.3	3.50±0.13	4.3±9.0
Bulk IrO ₂	1.96-2.00	6	3.16	2	3.41-3.72	8	3.56	8

The IrO_x/RuO₂ thin films with a nominal deposition of 10 Å were characterized by monochromatized XPS. Figure 2 shows the XPS spectra of Ru 3d (Figure 2a) and Ir 4f (Figure 2b) core level regions. The XPS spectra with variable nominal IrO_x deposition exhibit similar peak shapes. For both Ru 3d and Ir 4f, the peaks positions attest the presence of the valence state IV. The fitting reveals that the metallic component is zero, only the oxides of Ru and Ir being present at the surface. The XPS spectra exhibit the characteristic features for RuO₂ and IrO₂, with the pair of doublets for the main peak and the satellite.^{69,70} In all cases, the Ru 3d and Ir 4f peaks could be fitted with fixed positions and similar intensity ratios, suggesting that the chemical phase is not changing among samples with different nominal thicknesses of IrO_x. Moreover, the peaks do not change for XPS spectra taken at different emission angles, indicating a good homogeneity of the samples.

The depth profile from angle-resolved XPS with the atomic concentration as a function of the depth on the 10 Å IrO_x/RuO₂ thin films is illustrated in Figure 3. As can be observed, AR-XPS revealed that these surfaces do not present Ru on the topmost layers, confirming that the surface is covered with Ir as deposited. We note that the appearance of a C signal in Figure 3 is presumably due to adventitious carbon accumulated on the surface of the IrO_x/RuO₂ thin films after air exposure. This is in agreement with the C 1s peak present in Figure 2a. The intensity of the C 1s peak was determined by imposing the 3:2 intensity ratio of the Ru 3d doublets; the position of the C 1s peak resulting from the fit is also compatible with adventitious carbon and it was not found to vary significantly with different angles, indicating a good reliability of the fit.




Figure 2. XPS spectrum of a RuO₂ thin film modified with 10 Å IrO₂ taken at 21° emission angle: (a) XPS spectrum of Ru 3d core level region; (b) XPS spectrum of Ir 4f core level region.




Figure 3. Depth profile of the surface modified 10 Å IrO₂ on RuO₂ thin film evaluated using AR-XPS.

The OER activity was measured by quasi stationary cyclic voltammetry (CV) in N₂-saturated 0.05 M H₂SO₄ in the EQCM set-up. Figure 4 shows the CVs showing the OER activity as the geometric current density as a function of the iR-corrected potential versus the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) on a 40 nm RuO₂ thin film, as well as RuO₂ thin films with 1, 2, and 4 Å of IrO_x deposited on

the surface. The inset shows the overpotential required to reach a current density of 5 mA/cm^2 . The bare RuO_2 thin film is most active with an overpotential of 360 mV, and the more IrO_x on the surface the higher overpotential is measured up to 410 mV for 4 \AA $\text{IrO}_x/\text{RuO}_2$. This is in agreement with earlier works on mixtures of Ir and Ru oxides for OER showing a decrease in the activity when Ir is present.^{36,42-44} Notably, a significant drop in activity of 40 mV at 5 mA cm^{-2} occurs when adding 1 \AA of IrO_2 to the RuO_2 thin film. Although the overpotential increases with the amount of deposited IrO_x , the activity drop becomes less pronounced for 2 and 4 \AA of $\text{IrO}_2/\text{RuO}_2$. This behavior differs significantly from the linear relationship of the overpotential at 0.1 mA cm^{-2} observed by Kötzt et al.⁴²





Figure 4. Quasi stationary CVs in the EQCM set-up showing the geometric current density as a function of the potential for a 40 nm RuO_2 thin film as well as RuO_2 thin films with 1, 2 and 4 \AA of IrO_x on the surface. The inset shows the overpotential required to reach a current density of 5 mA cm^2 versus the IrO_2 nominal deposition (in \AA). The CVs were recorded in N_2 -saturated $0.05 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and at 5 mV s^{-1} .

In order to investigate the stability enhancement of the surface-modified RuO_2 thin films, the $\text{IrO}_x/\text{RuO}_x$ thin films were deposited on Au-covered EQCM substrates. We first studied the stability under potentiostatic conditions.⁵³ Figure S6 shows the current density and mass losses of RuO_2 and 2 \AA IrO_x onto RuO_2 thin films after a two-hour chronoamperometry test at 1.8 V vs. RHE . In this case, we could not observe any effect on the stability by adding surface IrO_x . After one hour, the $\text{IrO}_x/\text{RuO}_x$ thin films behave like pure RuO_2 thin films. We can speculate that the surface Ir atoms did not diffuse to the undercoordinated sites of the RuO_2 surface when the thin films were prepared. Another possible

1
2
3 explanation could be that the corrosion of RuO_2 is so fast at 1.8 V vs. RHE that we cannot see any
4 stability effect by addition of sub-monolayer amounts of surface IrO_x .
5
6

7 We carried out CVs before the chronoamperometry, to investigate whether this allowed diffusion
8 towards undercoordinated sites. Figure S7 shows little stabilizing effect after an hour test on the
9 chronoamperometric tests after cycling either. However, during the initial potentiodynamic test, we
10 could observe some improvements. This could indicate that potential cycling can help Ir to the
11 undercoordinated sites.
12
13

14 After performing the stability tests under potentiodynamic conditions (Figures S6 and S7), we carried
15 out stability tests under dynamic conditions. We measured the mass loss of $\text{IrO}_x/\text{RuO}_2$ thin films as
16 well as pure RuO_2 and IrO_2 thin films, for comparison, while the potential was continuously cycled
17 in an accelerated stress test. The potential was cycled from 1.23 to 1.80 V vs. RHE in N_2 -saturated
18 electrolyte. Figure 5a shows the geometric current density at 1.8 V versus time as a function of the time
19 during the nine-hour test under dynamic operations. In parallel, the EQCM mass losses were recorded
20 as a function of time during that test, as shown in Figure 5b. A positive effect due to the addition of
21 surface IrO_x to RuO_2 thin films can be clearly observed now. The total mass decreases continuously
22 for the bare RuO_2 thin film due to its poor stability. In contrast, all the measured $\text{IrO}_x/\text{RuO}_2$ films seem to
23 be rather stable. Notably, thin films covered with only 1 Å of IrO_x exhibit a drastic improvement in
24 stability as compared to RuO_2 . Further addition of IrO_x (2 Å) induce slight additional improvements.
25 The behaviour of 4 Å $\text{IrO}_x/\text{RuO}_2$ thin films over the 9 h stability test is different from the other surface-
26 modified thin films studied in this work, showing a mass gain over time. Pure IrO_2 exhibited a similar
27 (although less pronounced) behavior. This may be related to the ability of the EQCM to adapt its
28 properties while the potential is cycled.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35



55
56 **Figure 5.** EQCM measurements while the potential is cycled from 1.23 to 1.80 V vs. RHE at 20 mV s^{-1}
57 in N_2 -saturated 0.05 M H_2SO_4 at room temperature for pure RuO_2 and IrO_2 thin films as well as 1, 2
58
59
60

1
2
3 and 4 Å of IrO_x deposited on RuO₂ thin films during nine hour stability test: (a) Geometric current
4 density at 1.8 V (vs. RHE) as a function of time; (b) mass loss evaluated from the EQCM as a function
5 of time.
6
7
8
9

10 We can hypothesize that the RuO₂ does not only corrode at the undercoordinated sites. For instance, if
11 the undercoordinated sites were a factor 100 less stable than the terraces, a two-hour stability test at
12 1.8 V vs. RHE would also induce the corrosion at the terraces. In contrast, if we cycled between 1.23
13 and 1.8 V vs. RHE for 2 hours, we would only spend 10 min in the potential region above 1.75 V vs.
14 RHE, and 20 min above 1.70 V vs. RHE, which would be equivalent to only 8% and 16% of the total
15 time of the stability test, respectively.
16
17
18

19 We note that capacitance measurements^{13,71} revealed a much higher double layer capacitance for 40
20 nm IrO₂ thin films than 40 nm RuO₂ thin films as well as polycrystalline RuO₂ and IrO₂ electrodes,⁶⁶
21 for comparison (see Figure S8 in the S.I.). This suggests that IrO₂ thin films exhibits a much rougher
22 surface, in agreement with SEM images (Figure S4), and consistent with the literature.^{13,67} In contrast,
23 RuO₂ thin films have a very low roughness, close to polycrystalline RuO₂.⁶⁶ We hypothesize that sub-
24 monolayer amounts of IrO_x on RuO₂ thin films are smoother than a pure IrO₂ thin film, due to
25 favorable interactions between the film and the surface.
26
27
28

29 To study and quantify the corrosion rate for RuO₂ and IrO_x/RuO₂ thin films, we combined EQCM
30 measurements with electrolyte analysis by means of ICP-MS. During the stability tests described
31 above, aliquots from the electrolyte were extracted for ICP-MS analysis after 150 cycles. This allowed
32 a quantitative analysis of the amount of Ru and Ir dissolved in this time frame. Figure 6 shows the
33 overpotential at 5 mA cm⁻² (from Figure 4) together with the mass losses for RuO₂ thin films, as well as
34 that for 1 and 2 Å of IrO_x deposited on RuO₂ thin films (Figures 6a and b, respectively). As can be
35 observed in Figure 6b, Ru is responsible for the majority of the dissolved material for all samples. IrO_x
36 strongly reduces the amount of dissolved RuO₂, the addition of 2 Å IrO_x improves the stability of RuO₂
37 by ~72%. However, we could not observe any further significant change in the stability by addition of
38 4 Å IrO_x, both activity and stability values of these two samples are very similar. Remarkably, the Ir
39 dissolution is extremely low for the IrO_x/RuO₂ as compared to the Ru dissolution.
40
41
42
43
44

45 Earlier work by Cherevko et al. shows that IrO_x corrosion tends to be enhanced under potentiodynamic
46 conditions, when the electrode is cycled; conversely, the corrosion rate of RuO_x is purely a function of
47 the applied potential, and is insensitive to cycling.³⁵ We speculate that cycling the potential may
48 enhance the mobility of Ir; this could either occur via the formation of a solution phase species in a
49 high oxidation state and its subsequent disproportionation, or simply via the surface movement of Ir
50 atoms during oxidation and reduction cycles. The outcome would be that cycling enables the Ir atoms
51 to reside at the undercoordinated sites.
52
53
54

55 Our results show that stable IrO_x/RuO_x systems may be formed with IrO_x exclusively at the surface.
56 This is consistent with the notion that the stability of the oxide material is linked to the dissolution
57
58
59
60

potential of the surface atoms. At higher potentials, particularly at 1.8 V vs. RHE, the dissolution rate of Ir is significant.³³ Since only a small amount is present, it all dissolves with time. The reason why this is not observed in the potential cycling experiments could be that the fraction of the time spent at a high enough potential for the Ir to dissolve is very small. Consequently, in order for all the Ir to dissolve, the cycling has to be extended for longer periods of time.




Figure 6. Activity and stability of RuO₂ and IrO_x/RuO₂ thin films as a function of the nominal deposition of IrO_x: (a) Overpotential at 5 mA cm⁻², from the first quasi stationary CV between 1.23 and 1.8 V vs. RHE at 5 mV s⁻¹; (b) ICP-MS mass loss for RuO₂ (in grey) and IrO₂ (in blue) evaluated after 150 cycles between 1.23 and 1.80 V vs. RHE in N₂-saturated 0.05 M H₂SO₄. The error bars show the standard deviation evaluated from at least four independent measurements.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the strategy of stabilizing RuO₂ by sub-monolayer amounts of surface IrO_x. EXAFS measurements revealed the formation of a rutile type IrO₂ structure at the surface of the thin films. We have evaluated the stability of the thin films by combination of EQCM and ICP-MS. Sub-monolayers amounts of IrO_x at the surface of RuO₂ protect the Ru against dissolution through the higher dissolution potential of Ir. These results can explain earlier reports in the literature, which showed that bulk mixed oxides of Ir and Ru show enhanced stability relative to pure RuO_x.^{36,42–45,72} more specifically, we suggest that this stabilization is due to surface phenomena. The addition of IrO_x at the surface increases the overpotential for the OER reaction, but an optimum may be reached in terms of balancing stability and activity. Furthermore, we measured low rates of Ir dissolution at 1.8 V vs. RHE; the protection effect is limited if only a small amount of Ir is present at the surface. This approach is useful to tune stability of active OER catalysts for PEM electrolyzers.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

María Escudero-Escribano; e-mail: maria.escudero@chem.ku.dk

Ifan E.L. Stephens; e-mail: i.stephens@imperial.ac.uk

Ib Chorkendorff; e-mail: ibchork@fysik.dtu.dk

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information available: Additional experimental characterization: X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, grazing incidence extended X-ray absorption fine structure, electrochemical stability experiments and capacitance measurements.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

M.E.-E. acknowledges funding from the Danish Council for Independent Research under the Sapere Aude – Research Talent Program. The Danish Ministry of Higher Education and Science is acknowledged for an EliteForsk travel grant making an extended stay at SLAC possible. Use of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515. We gratefully acknowledge the Villum Foundation V-SUSTAIN grant 9455 to the Villum Center for the Science of Sustainable Fuels and Chemicals.

REFERENCES

- (1) Chu, S.; Cui, Y.; Liu, N. The Path towards Sustainable Energy. *Nat. Mater.* **2016**, *16* (1), 16–22.
- (2) Turner, J. A. Sustainable Hydrogen Production. *Science* **2004**, *305* (5686), 972–974.
- (3) Seh, Z. W.; Kibsgaard, J.; Dickens, C. F.; Chorkendorff, I.; Nørskov, J. K.; Jaramillo, T. F. Combining Theory and Experiment in Electrocatalysis: Insights into Materials Design. *Science* **2017**, *355* (6321), eaad4998.
- (4) Hong, W. T.; Risch, M.; Stoerzinger, K. A.; Grimaud, A.; Suntivich, J.; Shao-Horn, Y. Toward the Rational Design of Non-Precious Transition Metal Oxides for Oxygen Electrocatalysis. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *8*, 1404–1427.
- (5) Fabbri, E.; Haberer, A.; Walz, K.; Kötz, R.; Schmidt, T. J. Developments and Perspectives of Oxide-Based Catalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4* (11), 3800–3821.
- (6) Reier, T.; Nong, H. N.; Teschner, D.; Schlogl, R.; Strasser, P. Electrocatalytic Oxygen Evolution Reaction in Acidic Environments: Reaction Mechanisms and Catalysts. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2017**, *7* (1), 1601275.
- (7) Ayers, K. E.; Renner, J. N.; Danilovic, N.; Wang, J. X.; Zhang, Y.; Maric, R.; Yu, H. Pathways to Ultra-Low Platinum Group Metal Catalyst Loading in Proton Exchange Membrane Electrolyzers. *Catal. Today* **2016**, *262*, 121–132.
- (8) Ayers, K. E.; Anderson, E. B.; Capuano, C.; Carter, B.; Dalton, L.; Hanlon, G.; Manco, J.; Niedzwiecki, M. Research Advances towards Low Cost, High Efficiency PEM Electrolysis. **2010**, *33* (1), 3–15.
- (9) Kotrel, S.; Bräuninger, S. Industrial Electrocatalysis. In *Handbook of Heterogeneous Catalysis*; Ertl, G., Knoezinger, H., Schueth, F., Weitkamp, J., Eds.; Wiley-CPH: Chichester, 2008; pp 1936–1958.
- (10) Dau, H.; Limberg, C.; Reier, T.; Risch, M.; Roggan, S.; Strasser, P. The Mechanism of Water Oxidation: From Electrolysis via Homogeneous to Biological Catalysis. *ChemCatChem* **2010**, *2* (7), 724–761.
- (11) Katsounaros, I.; Cherevko, S.; Zeradjanin, A. R.; Mayrhofer, K. J. J. Oxygen Electrochemistry as a Cornerstone for Sustainable Energy Conversion. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53* (1), 102–121.
- (12) Lee, Y.; Suntivich, J.; May, K. J.; Perry, E. E.; Shao-Horn, Y. Synthesis and Activities of Rutile IrO₂ and RuO₂ Nanoparticles for Oxygen Evolution in Acid and Alkaline Solutions. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2012**, *3*, 399–404.
- (13) McCrory, C. C. L.; Jung, S. H.; Peters, J. C.; Jaramillo, T. F. Benchmarking Heterogeneous Electrocatalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135* (45), 16977–16987.

- 1
2
3 (14) McCrory, C. C. L.; Jung, S.; Ferrer, I. M.; Chatman, S. M.; Peters, J. C.; Jaramillo, T. F.
4 Benchmarking Hydrogen Evolving Reaction and Oxygen Evolving Reaction Electrocatalysts for
5 Solar Water Splitting Devices. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137* (13), 4347–4357.
6
7
8 (15) Diaz-Morales, O.; Raaijman, S.; Kortlever, R.; Kooyman, P. J.; Wezendonk, T.; Gascon, J.; Fu,
9 W. T.; Koper, M. T. M.; Koper, M. T. M.; Turner, J.; et al. Iridium-Based Double Perovskites
10 for Efficient Water Oxidation in Acid Media. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*.
11
12 (16) Seitz, L. C.; Dickens, C. F.; Nishio, K.; Hikita, Y.; Montoya, J.; Doyle, A.; Kirk, C.; Vojvodic,
13 A.; Hwang, H. Y.; Norskov, J. K.; et al. A Highly Active and Stable IrOx/SrIrO3 Catalyst for the
14 Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Science.* **2016**, *353* (6303), 1011–1014.
15
16 (17) Reier, T.; Pawolek, Z.; Cherevko, S.; Bruns, M.; Jones, T.; Teschner, D.; Selve, S.; Bergmann,
17 A.; Nong, H. N.; Schlögl, R.; et al. Molecular Insight in Structure and Activity of Highly
18 Efficient, Low-Ir Ir-Ni Oxide Catalysts for Electrochemical Water Splitting (OER). *J. Am.*
19 *Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137* (40), 13031–13040.
20
21
22 (18) Nong, H. N.; Oh, H. S.; Reier, T.; Willinger, E.; Willinger, M. G.; Petkov, V.; Teschner, D.;
23 Strasser, P. Oxide-Supported IrNiOx Core-Shell Particles as Efficient, Cost-Effective, and Stable
24 Catalysts for Electrochemical Water Splitting. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54* (10), 2975–2979.
25
26 (19) Neyerlin, K. C.; Gu, W. B.; Jorne, J.; Gasteiger, H. A. Study of the Exchange Current Density
27 for the Hydrogen Oxidation and Evolution Reactions. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2007**, *154* (7), B631–
28 B635.
29
30
31 (20) Durst, J.; Siebel, A.; Simon, C.; Hasché, F.; Herranz, J.; Gasteiger, H. A. New Insights into the
32 Electrochemical Hydrogen Oxidation and Evolution Reaction Mechanism. *Energy Environ. Sci.*
33 **2014**, *7* (2), 2255–2260.
34
35 (21) Kemppainen, E.; Bodin, A.; Sebok, B.; Pedersen, T.; Seger, B.; Mei, B.; Bae, D.; Vesborg, P. C.
36 K.; Halme, J.; Hansen, O.; et al. Environmental Science Scalability and Feasibility of
37 Photoelectrochemical H₂ Evolution: The Ultimate Limit of Pt Nanoparticle as an HER Catalyst.
38 *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *8*, 2991–2999.
39
40 (22) Kucernak, A. R.; Zalitis, C. General Models for the Electrochemical Hydrogen Oxidation and
41 Hydrogen Evolution Reactions: Theoretical Derivation and Experimental Results under Near
42 Mass-Transport Free Conditions. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 10721–10745.
43
44 (23) Benck, J. D.; Hellstern, T. R.; Kibsgaard, J.; Chakthranont, P.; Jaramillo, T. F. Catalyzing the
45 Hydrogen Evolution Reaction (HER) with Molybdenum Sulfide Nanomaterials. **2014**, *4* (11),
46 3957-3971.
47
48 (24) Vesborg, P. C. K.; Seger, B.; Chorkendorff, I. Recent Development in Hydrogen Evolution
49 Reaction Catalysts and Their Practical Implementation. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *6* (6), 951–
50 957.
51
52 (25) Ledendecker, M.; Mondschein, J. S.; Kasian, O.; Geiger, S.; Gçhl, D.; Schalenbach, M.;
53 Zeradjanin, A.; Cherevko, S.; Schaak, R. E.; Mayrhofer, K. Stability and Activity of Non-Noble-
54 Metal-Based Catalysts Toward the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**,
55 *56*, 9767–9771.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (26) Rossmeisl, J.; Qu, Z.-W.; Zhu, H.; Kroes, G.-J.; Nørskov, J. K. Electrolysis of Water on Oxide
4 Surfaces. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2007**, *607* (1–2), 83–89.
5
6 (27) Suntivich, J.; May, K. J.; Gasteiger, H. a; Goodenough, J. B.; Shao-Horn, Y. A Perovskite Oxide
7 Optimized for Oxygen Evolution Catalysis from Molecular Orbital Principles. *Science* **2011**, *334*
8 (6061), 1383–1385.
9
10 (28) Kuo, D.-Y.; Kawasaki, J. K.; Nelson, J. N.; Kloppenburg, J.; Hautier, G.; Shen, K. M.; Schlom,
11 D. G.; Suntivich, J. Influence of Surface Adsorption on the Oxygen Evolution Reaction on IrO₂
12 (110). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139* (9), 3473–3479.
13
14 (29) Escudero-Escribano, M.; Malacrida, P.; Hansen, M. H.; Vej-Hansen, U. G.; Velazquez-
15 Palenzuela, A.; Tripkovic, V.; Schiøtz, J.; Rossmeisl, J.; Stephens, I. E. L.; Chorkendorff, I.
16 Tuning the Activity of Pt Alloy Electrocatalysts by Means of the Lanthanide Contraction.
17 *Science*. **2016**, *352* (6281), 73–76.
18
19 (30) Paoli, E. A.; Masini, F.; Frydendal, R.; Deiana, D.; Schlaup, C.; Malizia, M.; Hansen, T. W.;
20 Horch, S.; Stephens, I. E. L.; Chorkendorff, I. Oxygen Evolution on Well-Characterized Mass-
21 Selected Ru and RuO₂ Nanoparticles. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6* (1), 190–196.
22
23 (31) Paoli, E. A.; Masini, F.; Frydendal, R.; Deiana, D.; Malacrida, P.; Hansen, T. W.; Chorkendorff,
24 I.; Stephens, I. E. L. Fine-Tuning the Activity of Oxygen Evolution Catalysts: The Effect of
25 Oxidation Pre-Treatment on Size-Selected Ru Nanoparticles. *Catal. Today* **2016**, *262*, 57–64.
26
27 (32) Kibsgaard, A. J.; Hellstern, T. R.; Choi, S.; Reinecke, B.; Jaramillo, T. Mesoporous Ruthenium /
28 Ruthenium Oxide Thin Films : Active Electrocatalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction.
29 *ChemElectroChem* **2017**, DOI: 10.1002/celec.201700334.
30
31 (33) Cherevko, S.; Reier, T.; Zeradjanin, A. R.; Pawolek, Z.; Strasser, P.; Mayrhofer, K. J. J. Stability
32 of Nanostructured Iridium Oxide Electrocatalysts during Oxygen Evolution Reaction in Acidic
33 Environment. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2014**, *48*, 81–85.
34
35 (34) Danilovic, N.; Subbaraman, R.; Chang, K.-C.; Chang, S. H.; Kang, Y. J.; Snyder, J.; Paulikas, A.
36 P.; Strmcnik, D.; Kim, Y.-T.; Myers, D.; et al. Activity–Stability Trends for the Oxygen
37 Evolution Reaction on Monometallic Oxides in Acidic Environments. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2014**,
38 *5* (14), 2474–2478.
39
40 (35) Cherevko, S.; Zeradjanin, A. R.; Topalov, A. A.; Kulyk, N.; Katsounaros, I.; Mayrhofer, K. J. J.
41 Dissolution of Noble Metals during Oxygen Evolution in Acidic Media. *ChemCatChem* **2014**, *6*
42 (211), 2219–2223.
43
44 (36) Danilovic, N.; Subbaraman, R.; Chang, K. C.; Chang, S. H.; Kang, Y.; Snyder, J.; Paulikas, A.
45 P.; Strmcnik, D.; Kim, Y. T.; Myers, D.; et al. Using Surface Segregation to Design Stable Ru-Ir
46 Oxides for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction in Acidic Environments. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*
47 **2014**, *53* (51), 14016–14021.
48
49 (37) Reier, T.; Oezaslan, M.; Strasser, P. Electrocatalytic Oxygen Evolution Reaction (OER) on Ru,
50 Ir, and Pt Catalysts: A Comparative Study of Nanoparticles and Bulk Materials. *ACS Catal.*
51 **2012**, *2* (8), 1765–1772.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (38) Cherevko, S.; Geiger, S.; Kasian, O.; Kulyk, N.; Grote, J.; Savan, A.; Ratna, B.; Merzlikin, S.;
4 Breitbach, B.; Ludwig, A.; et al. Oxygen and Hydrogen Evolution Reactions on Ru, RuO₂, Ir,
5 and IrO₂ Thin Film Electrodes in Acidic and Alkaline Electrolytes: A Comparative Study on
6 Activity and Stability. *Catal. Today* **2016**, *262*, 170–180.
7
8
9 (39) Ayers, K. E.; Dalton, L. T.; Anderson, E. B.; Transactions, E. C. S.; Society, T. E. (Invited)
10 Efficient Generation of High Energy Density Fuel from Water. *ECS Trans.* **2012**, *41* (33), 27–
11 38.
12
13 (40) Beer, H. B. The Invention and Industrial Development of Metal Anodes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.*
14 **1980**, *127*, C303–C307.
15
16 (41) Trasatti, S. Electrocatalysis: Understanding the Success of DSA (R). *Electrochim. Acta* **2000**, *45*
17 (15–16), 2377–2385.
18
19 (42) Kötz, R.; Stucki, S. Stabilization of RuO₂ by IrO₂ for Anodic Oxygen Evolution in Acid-Media.
20 *Electrochim. Acta* **1986**, *31* (10), 1311–1316.
21
22 (43) Angelinetta, C.; Trasatti, S.; Atanasoska, L. D.; Atanasoski, R. T. Surface-Properties of
23 RuO₂+IrO₂ Mixed-Oxide Electrodes. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **1986**, *214* (1–2), 535–546.
24
25 (44) Owe, L. E.; Tsyppkin, M.; Wallwork, K. S.; Haverkamp, R. G.; Sunde, S. Iridium-Ruthenium
26 Single Phase Mixed Oxides for Oxygen Evolution: Composition Dependence of Electrocatalytic
27 Activity. *Electrochim. Acta* **2012**, *70*, 158–164.
28
29 (45) Sardar, K.; Petrucco, E.; Hiley, C. I.; Sharman, J. D. B.; Wells, P. P.; Russell, A. E.; Kashtiban,
30 R. J.; Sloan, J.; Walton, R. I. Water-Splitting Electrocatalysis in Acid Conditions Using
31 Ruthenate-Iridate Pyrochlores. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53* (41), 10960–10964.
32
33 (46) Wang, L.; Saveleva, V. A.; Zafeiratos, S.; Savinova, E. R.; Lettenmeier, P.; Gazdzicki, P.; Gago,
34 A. S.; Friedrich, K. A. Highly Active Anode Electrocatalysts Derived from Electrochemical
35 Leaching of Ru from Metallic Ir_{0.7}Ru_{0.3} for Proton Exchange Membrane Electrolyzers. *Nano*
36 *Energy* **2017**, *34* (February), 385–391.
37
38 (47) Saveleva, V. A.; Wang, L.; Luo, W.; Zafeiratos, S.; Ulhaq-Bouillet, C.; Gago, A. S.; Friedrich,
39 K. A.; Savinova, E. R. Uncovering the Stabilization Mechanism in Bimetallic Ruthenium-
40 Iridium Anodes for Proton Exchange Membrane Electrolyzers. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7* (16),
41 3240–3245.
42
43 (48) Kasian, O.; Geiger, S.; Stock, P.; Polymeros, G.; Breitbach, B.; Savan, A.; Ludwig, A.;
44 Cherevko, S.; Mayrhofer, K. J. J. On the Origin of the Improved Ruthenium Stability in RuO₂ –
45 IrO₂ Mixed Oxides. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2016**, *163* (11), F3099–F3104.
46
47 (49) Binninger, T.; Mohamed, R.; Waltar, K.; Fabbri, E.; Levecque, P.; Kötz, R.; Schmidt, T. J.
48 Thermodynamic Explanation of the Universal Correlation between Oxygen Evolution Activity
49 and Corrosion of Oxide Catalysts. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5* (February), 12167.
50
51 (50) Man, I. C. *Theoretical Study of Electro-Catalysts for Oxygen Evolution*; Technical University of
52 Denmark (DTU), 2011.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (51) Pourbaix, M. *Atlas of Electrochemical Equilibria in Aqueous Solutions*, Second.; National
4 Association of Corrosion Engineers: Houston, Texas, 1974.
- 5
6 (52) Spoeri, C.; Kwan, J. T. H.; Bonakdarpour, A.; Wilkinson, D.; Strasser, P. The Stability
7 Challenges of Oxygen Evolving Electrocatalysts: Towards a Common Fundamental
8 Understanding and Mitigation of Catalyst Degradation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, 5994–6021.
- 9
10 (53) Frydendal, R.; Paoli, E. A.; Knudsen, B. P.; Wickman, B.; Malacrida, P.; Stephens, I. E. L.;
11 Chorkendorff, I. Benchmarking the Stability of Oxygen Evolution Reaction Catalysts: The
12 Importance of Monitoring Mass Losses. *ChemElectroChem* **2014**, 1 (12), 2075–2081.
- 13
14 (54) Wu, C. H.; Weatherup, R. S.; Salmeron, M. B. Probing Electrode/electrolyte Interfaces in Situ
15 by X-Ray Spectroscopies: Old Methods, New Tricks. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, 17 (45),
16 30229–30239.
- 17
18 (55) Topalov, A. A.; Katsounaros, I.; Auinger, M.; Cherevko, S.; Meier, J. C.; Klemm, S. O.;
19 Mayrhofer, K. J. J. Dissolution of Platinum: Limits for the Deployment of Electrochemical
20 Energy Conversion? *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, 51 (50), 12613–12615.
- 21
22 (56) Kuznetsova, E.; Cuesta, A.; Thomassen, M. S.; Sunde, S. Identification of the Byproducts of the
23 Oxygen Evolution Reaction on Rutile-Type Oxides under Dynamic Conditions. *J. Electroanal.*
24 *Chem.* **2014**, 728, 102–111.
- 25
26 (57) Tao, F.; Salmeron, M. In Situ Studies of Chemistry and Structure of Materials in Reactive
27 Environments. *Science.* **2011**, 331 (6014), 171–175.
- 28
29 (58) Subbaraman, R.; Tripkovic, D.; Chang, K.-C.; Strmcnik, D.; Paulikas, A. P.; Hirunsit, P.; Chan,
30 M.; Greeley, J.; Stamenkovic, V.; Markovic, N. M. Trends in Activity for the Water Electrolyser
31 Reactions on 3d M(Ni,Co,Fe,Mn) Hydr(oxy)oxide Catalysts. *Nat. Mater.* **2012**, 11 (6), 550–557.
- 32
33 (59) Sanchez Casalongue, H. G.; Ng, M. L.; Kaya, S.; Friebel, D.; Ogasawara, H.; Nilsson, A. In Situ
34 Observation of Surface Species on Iridium Oxide Nanoparticles during the Oxygen Evolution
35 Reaction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, 126 (28), 7297–7300.
- 36
37 (60) Thomas, J. M.; Hernandez-Garrido, J. C. Probing Solid Catalysts under Operating Conditions:
38 Electrons or X-Rays? *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 3904–3907.
- 39
40 (61) Salmeron, M.; Schlogl, R. Ambient Pressure Photoelectron Spectroscopy: A New Tool for
41 Surface Science and Nanotechnology. *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **2008**, 63 (4), 169–199.
- 42
43 (62) Russell, A. E.; Rose, A. X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy of Low Temperature Fuel Cell
44 Catalysts. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, 104 (10), 4613–4636.
- 45
46 (63) Pedersen, A. F.; Escudero-Escribano, M.; Sebok, B.; Bodin, A.; Paoli, E.; Frydendal, R.; Friebel,
47 D.; Stephens, I. E. L.; Rossmeisl, J.; Chorkendorff, I.; et al. Operando XAS Study of the Surface
48 Oxidation State on a Monolayer IrO_x on RuO_x and Ru Oxide Based Nanoparticles for Oxygen
49 Evolution in Acidic Media. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2017**, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b06982
- 50
51 (64) Frydendal, R.; Paoli, E. A.; Chorkendorff, I.; Rossmeisl, J.; Stephens, I. E. L. Toward an Active
52 and Stable Catalyst for Oxygen Evolution in Acidic Media: Ti-Stabilized MnO₂. *Adv. Energy*
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Mater.* **2015**, *5* (22).
- (65) Friebel, D.; Miller, D. J.; O'Grady, C. P.; Anniyev, T.; Bargar, J.; Bergmann, U.; Ogasawara, H.; Wikfeldt, K. T.; Pettersson, L. G. M.; Nilsson, A. In Situ X-Ray Probing Reveals Fingerprints of Surface Platinum Oxide. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13* (1), 262–266.
- (66) Paoli, E. A. Activity and Stability of RuOx Based Electrocatalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. Technical University of Denmark (DTU), 2014.
- (67) Iro, R. Orientation-Dependent Oxygen Evolution Activities of. **2013**, *135* (45), 142386.
- (68) Bolzan, A. A.; Fong, C.; Kennedy, B. J.; Howard, C. J. Structural Studies of Rutile-Type Metal Dioxides. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1997**, *B53*, 373–380.
- (69) Angelinetta, C.; Trasatti, S.; Atanasoska, L. D.; Minevski, Z. S.; Atanasoski, R. T. Effect of Preparation on the Surface and Electrocatalytic Properties of RuO₂ + IrO₂ Mixed-Oxide Electrodes. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *22* (1–2), 231–247.
- (70) Over, H.; Seitsonen, A. P.; Lundgren, E.; Smedh, M.; Andersen, J. N. On the Origin of the Ru-3d_{5/2} Satellite Feature from RuO₂(110). *Surf. Sci.* **2002**, *504*, L196–L200.
- (71) Trasatti, S.; Petrii, O. A. Real Surface-Area Measurements in Electrochemistry. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **1992**, *327* (1–2), 353–376.
- (72) Siracusano, S.; Van Dijk, N.; Payne-Johnson, E.; Baglio, V.; Aricò, A. S. Nanosized IrOx and IrRuOx Electrocatalysts for the O₂ Evolution Reaction in PEM Water Electrolysers. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2015**, *164*, 488–495.

TOC Graphic




Illustration of the oxygen evolution reaction and ruthenium dioxide corrosion on a RuO₂ (110) surface (left) and on the same surface with the under-coordinated sites decorated with IrO_x (right).