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Abstract

Pack cementation was used to produce Fe$_{1-x}$Al and Fe$_{2}$Al$_{5}$ diffusion coatings on ferritic-martensitic steel P91 and a Ni$_{2}$Al$_{3}$ diffusion coating on pure nickel. The performance of diffusion coatings against high temperature corrosion induced by potassium chloride (KCl) was evaluated by exposing the samples at 600°C for 168h in static lab air under KCl deposit. In addition, a salt-free experiment was performed for comparison. Microstructure, chemical and phase composition of the samples were analyzed with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDS) and X-Ray Diffractometry (XRD) before and after the exposures. It was found that all the diffusion coatings formed protective oxides under salt-free exposure in air. Under the salt deposit, Fe$_{1-x}$Al showed local failure while on large parts of the sample a protective layer had formed. Fe$_{2}$Al$_{5}$ was attacked over the entire surface and the dominant mode of attack was selective aluminum removal. Ni$_{2}$Al$_{3}$ showed excellent performance and no sign of attack was observed anywhere on the sample.
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1. Introduction

Currently there is a strong interest to substitute fossil fuels by potentially CO$_2$-neutral fuels. Biomass is an attractive option for electricity and heat generating power plants, especially in countries with large forest and agricultural resources. However, deposits formed during biomass combustion have shown to be so corrosive that the effective utilization of biomass has been hampered. During biomass firing, deposits rich in potassium chloride (KCl) develop on the heat exchangers and cause disastrous damage to the hot sections of the power plant, especially the superheater tubes. In order to keep the material
loss at an acceptable level under these corrosive conditions, the temperature of the outlet steam is
currently kept below 540°C [1], thereby achieving a lower efficiency than with fossil fuels in a power
plant of the same type. Accordingly, selection or development of materials with lower corrosion rates
would allow a higher fire-side temperature and thus a higher steam temperature, so that effective
utilization of biomass is realized in the future.

Unfortunately, field tests involving a wide range of commercial chromia-forming high temperature
alloys have not shown any promising results [2–6]. Therefore, the application of coatings relying on
alumina (and/or silica) for corrosion protection has attracted attention. Among the different possibilities
for alumina-forming materials, iron and nickel aluminides are interesting due to their high aluminum
content.

Literature reports both satisfactory and poor achievements for aluminides depending on the
combination of composition and exposure environment. Li et al. [7] evaluated the performance of bulk
Fe_{1-x}Al and NiAl in static lab air under KCl deposit at 650°C. They reported excellent performance for
NiAl while Fe_{1-x}Al suffered from local attack in the form of selective aluminum removal. A similar
trend was observed when the abovementioned alloys were tested at 670°C in static lab air under a
molten KCl- NaCl mixture [8]. Vokal et al. [9] aluminized several alloys and studied their performance
in static lab air under a KCl-50mol%K_{2}SO_{4} deposit at 650°C. The studied alloys were ferritic-
martensitic steel P91, austenitic stainless steels 17Cr-13Ni and Alloy 800 as well as nickel-base alloy
Inconel 617. It was reported that all of the coatings were attacked. However, the extent of corrosion on
Fe_{2}Al_{5} coating formed on P91 was less than the other coatings. Pan et al. [10] investigated the behavior
of Fe-21Ni-10Al and Fe-21.5Ni-10Al-12.5Cr (wt%) alloys against solid and vapor KCl at 650°C in
static lab air. They reported that none of these multiphase alloys, containing FeAl and NiAl phases,
showed passive behavior.

Due to the similarity between the corrosion induced by KCl and NaCl [11,12] it is also relevant to
mention a few literature reports addressing the performance of iron and nickel aluminides against
NaCl. McKee et al. [13] exposed NiAl at 750°C under a Na_{2}SO_{4}-10wt.%NaCl deposit with
N_{2}(g)+76%O_{2}(g)+0.1%SO_{2}(g) as the gas atmosphere. They observed catastrophic attack, which was
attributed to chlorine evolved due to the sulfation of NaCl. Smeggil et al. [14] exposed NiAl to air
contaminated with NaCl vapor at 900°C and observed isothermal spallation of the oxide layer as well
as growth of alumina whiskers. They attributed the whisker formation to a gas phase transport process
induced by the presence of NaCl(g). Magdziarz et al. [15] investigated the corrosion of Ni_{3}Al under air
and NaCl-Na_{2}SO_{4} mixtures with different chloride to sulphate ratios. They reported an excellent
performance for Ni_{3}Al up to 1000°C for pure NaCl salt. Apart from this, also the performance of nickel
and iron aluminides in oxygen and chlorine gas mixtures has been investigated in several studies [16–
24]. In general, it has been observed in these investigations that the performance of aluminide coatings
is superior to that of the corresponding chromia-forming substrate alloy.
The current investigation evaluates the performance of Fe_{1-x}Al, Fe_{2}Al_{5} and Ni_{2}Al_{3} diffusion coatings against KCl-induced high temperature corrosion. Pack cementation was used to produce the coatings. In the present process variant, the substrate alloy is embedded in a powder pack consisting of the aluminum metal source, alumina as an inactive filler material and aluminum chloride as an activator. Heating the mixture to a sufficiently high temperature in an inert atmosphere of argon, to prevent oxide formation, initiates the process by chloride-based surface activation and the formation of volatile aluminum chlorides. These chlorides decompose at the activated sample surface leaving behind an aluminum deposit. Reactive interdiffusion between the deposited aluminum and the components in the substrate leads to the formation of intermetallic aluminides [25,26].

2. Experimental Procedure

Pure nickel (99.99 wt%) and ferritic-martensitic steel P91 (Fe-9Cr-1Mo-0.5Si-0.2V wt%) were chosen as the substrate materials. Samples were coupons of ~ 20×8×0.3 mm cut with a precision cutter. Prior to pack aluminizing, the samples were ground with 500grit SiC paper and subsequently cleaned with ethanol. Pack cementation was done in a tube furnace in argon atmosphere with a flowrate of 100ml/min. The pack powder consisted of aluminum, anhydrous aluminum chloride as the activator and alumina powder as inert filler in the amounts shown in Table 1. For synthesis of a Fe_{1-x}Al diffusion coating, the aluminum activity in the pack was adjusted by adding iron powder to the pack mixture. Powders for the pack were weighed and mixed thoroughly. Powder and samples were then packed into alumina containers that were inserted into the tube furnace. The pack was heated to 650°C using a heating rate of approximately 10°C/min, held for the process time listed in table 1 and subsequently cooled to room temperature inside the furnace in the argon flow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase to be formed</th>
<th>Pack content (wt%)</th>
<th>Duration (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe_{1-x}Al</td>
<td>10 10 6 74</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe_{2}Al_{5}</td>
<td>10 - 6 84</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni_{2}Al_{3}</td>
<td>10 - 6 84</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After processing, the samples were separated from the pack and cleaned in an ultrasonic bath of ethanol. Subsequently one sample of each aluminide phase was covered for 2/3 of its length with a ~1mm thick layer of KCl powder (particle size 63-90µm) and was exposed for 168h at 600°C in static lab air. In addition, for all the diffusion coatings a salt-free exposure was performed for comparison. The as-coated and exposed samples were investigated with XRD to determine their phase composition. For this purpose a Bruker D8 Discover X-Ray diffractometer was used and the analysis for each sample was performed under both grazing-incidence and Bragg-Brentano configurations. CrKα (λ=2.2897Å) radiation was used as the incident beam. Thereafter cross sections of the samples were prepared by
embedding them in epoxy resin and grinding/polishing, using ethanol as lubricant. The microstructure of the samples was studied using an Inspect S SEM equipped with an EDS detector. Imaging was performed in Back-Scattered Electron (BSE) mode.

3. Results

3.1 Performance of Fe_{1-x}Al on P91

The microstructure of the aluminized surface is given in Figure 1a. The diffusion layer appeared uniform in thickness (~5-7µm) but at a few locations no layer had formed. No cracks were detected in the diffusion layer. In addition, voids were occasionally observed in the diffusion layer (marked with white arrows in Figure 1a). Formation of voids during pack cementation has previously been attributed to the Kirkendall effect [27–29]. Another microstructural feature is the presence of particles, appearing as needles, in the lower part of the layer (marked with black arrows in Figure 1a). Nitrogen was detected with EDS spot analysis on the needles. This has been attributed to the formation of aluminum nitride (AlN) by Metsäjoki et al.[29]. The microstructure after the salt-free exposure is given in Figure 1b. Clearly, exposure in static lab air without the salt did not lead to a significant surface degradation. Concentration profiles of aluminum and chromium, acquired with EDS line analysis before and after the oxidation, are given in Figure 1c and demonstrate that continued interdiffusion of components from the coating and from the substrate alloy has occurred. The effect of prolonged interdiffusion during oxidation is clearly observed for the aluminum concentration profile: the maximum concentration at the surface is reduced and the penetration into the substrate has increased.
Figure 1: Microstructure of the Fe$_{1-x}$Al coating on P91 (a) as-coated (b) air-oxidized for 168h at 600°C without KCl (c) concentration profiles of aluminum and chromium in the as-coated and air-oxidized (without salt) samples.

The sample subjected to oxidation under a KCl deposit was virtually unaffected on large areas of the surface (Figure 2a). However, on both the salt-free part of the sample (Figure 2b) and on the salt-covered part (Figure 2d) local attack could be found. At a few locations, both the coating and the underlying alloy were corroded (Figure 2d). Results of EDS spot analysis (Figure 2b) show that in a region where the local attack on the coating had taken place, a significant dilution in aluminum could be found while an aluminum-rich corrosion product has formed (Figure 2c).
Figure 2: Fe$_{1-x}$Al coating on P91 after corrosion of the partly KCl-covered sample exposed for 168 h in air: (a) a location without significant degradation (b) local attack on salt-free part of the sample (c) the same area as in b but with higher brightness and lower contrast to reveal the corrosion product (d) local attack on the KCl-covered part affecting both the coating and the alloy. Note that the chlorine in the EDS results can be partly from the epoxy used for embedding the sample (see Figure 3).

Figure 3 shows the distribution of the alloying elements in the corrosion product morphology at a location where no significant attack has taken place. A thin corrosion product is observed on the coating and potassium (without chlorine) appears enriched all over the surface, suggesting the development of a potassium containing compound.
Figure 3: Distribution of the elements throughout the corrosion product on Fe$_{1-x}$Al-coated P91 exposed under air+KCl(s) at 600°C for 168h. Note that the chlorine on the upper half of its map comes from the epoxy used for embedding the sample.

Figure 4 shows the distribution of the elements throughout the corrosion product at a location where the local attack has affected both the coating and the underlying alloy. The outer oxide is rich in iron and contains small amounts of aluminum. The inner oxide is rich in chromium and contains remnants of the aluminum close to the original coating’s surface. Nitrogen enrichment can be observed close to the region where local attack had occurred. EDS spot analysis also reveals the presence of small amounts of potassium (up to 4 at.%) and chlorine (up to 3 at.%) in the corrosion products that have developed in the alloy. As follows from the difference in intensity in the chlorine map, the outward growing corrosion product is free of chlorine, because the gray level in the surrounding epoxy is higher. Some potassium appears to be present in the outward growing corrosion product as well.

Figure 5 shows X-Ray diffractograms for the samples before and after the exposures. The diffractograms confirm that the as-coated layer consists of Fe$_{1-x}$Al in the bulk with a minor amount of (possibly) Fe$_2$Al$_5$ at the surface. The diffractogram for the sample subjected to the salt-free exposure does not show clear peaks of any oxide, but hints of the presence of Fe$_2$O$_3$ are found at 50 and 54°2θ. After exposure to KCl clearly two peaks around 50 and 54°2θ can be detected, which can be attributed to Fe$_2$O$_3$. In addition, the peak around 40°2θ as well as a faint background elevation around 82°2θ indicate the presence of Fe$_3$Al. No potassium containing compound could be identified. Compared to the as-coated microstructure, the peaks were slightly shifted to higher 2θ angles after both exposures.
3.2 Performance of Fe$_2$Al$_5$ on P91
The microstructure of the as-produced coating is given in Figure 6a. The deposited Fe$_2$Al$_5$ often showed cracks in the growth direction indicating the release of tensile stresses in the coating. The diffusion layer has a non-uniform thickness (~20-55µm) and contains several phases. In contrast to the observations for Fe$_{1-x}$Al neither voids nor needle-looking features, can be observed in the as-deposited layer. EDS mapping shows that a chromium-rich phase, appearing as stringers of particles, is present in the microstructure and in principle extends from the alloy-coating interface to the surface, albeit more concentrated close to the substrate. In addition, chromium and silicon-rich particles are present in the outer part of the coating (Figure 6b).

Salt-free exposure did not lead to significant surface degradation. Continued interdiffusion between Fe$_2$Al$_5$ and the substrate alloy occurred during the exposure (Figure 7a): Voids and nitrogen-containing needle-like features have developed (marked by solid and dashed black arrows, respectively in Figure 7a). Moreover, close to the surface aluminum-diluted regions appearing as “islands” were sparsely observed (marked by white arrows in Figure 7a). EDS spot analysis in such islands gave values between 54 to 59 at.% Al. An EDS line scan across the coating is given in Figure 7b: the aluminum profile (after the salt-free exposure) showed a small step at about 50 at.% which coincides with the position of the interface between Fe$_2$Al$_5$ and the interdiffusion zone. It should be noted that for this coating the shift of aluminum concentration profile to a shallower depth after the exposure (Figure 7b) is not necessarily a consequence of aluminum consumption by interdiffusion, but is, at least partly, caused by the non-uniform thickness of the as-grown layer.

Figure 6: (a) as-coated Fe$_2$Al$_5$ on P91. A crack-free location is shown in this micrograph (b) distribution of iron, aluminum, chromium and silicon throughout the coating (shown in a) suggesting the formation of a chromium-rich phase as well as a chromium-silicon-rich phase in the coating.
In contrast with the passive behavior observed for the salt-free exposure, the presence of KCl caused severe attack on Fe$_2$Al$_5$, even on the salt-free part. Generally selective removal of aluminum and formation of a voluminous and porous aluminum-rich corrosion product on top of the coating was observed (see Figure 8a). EDS spot analysis on the aluminum-diluted areas close to the surface gave an average value of 51at.% aluminum and 6at.% chromium. Dilution of aluminum in these areas was coupled to enrichment in iron and chromium. An example of this mode of attack is shown in Figure 8a with further details shown in Figure 8c. In a few cases Fe$_2$Al$_5$ was completely consumed and a thick double layer oxide, in addition to the outermost highly porous oxide, had formed (see Figure 8b). In both cases potassium was found throughout the corrosion product. EDS spot analysis on the porous aluminum-rich outer oxide gave potassium contents up to 10 at.%.

Distribution of the main metallic elements as well as potassium and oxygen over the above-mentioned corrosion product morphologies are given in Figures 9 and 10, respectively. As can be seen, in the first corrosion morphology only aluminum, among the major elements that constitute the coating, is associated with oxygen (Figure 9). This is while in the second corrosion morphology all the major coating elements are associated with oxygen i.e. corroded (Figure 10).
Figure 7: (a) Microstructure of the alloy and the coating after the salt-free exposure. (b) Aluminum and chromium concentration profiles before and after the salt-free exposure.
Figure 8: (a) and (b) Corrosion product morphologies observed for Fe$_2$Al$_5$ coating on P91 exposed under air+KCl(s) at 600°C for 168h. (c) Magnified area within the box in (a) showing the aluminum-depleted areas and unaffected coating.
Figure 9: Distribution of the elements throughout the corrosion product on Fe$_2$Al$_5$-coated P91 exposed under air+$\text{KCl(s)}$ at 600$^\circ$C for 168h. The location shown in this figure is where the coating is partially corroded.

Figure 10: Distribution of the elements throughout the corrosion product on Fe$_2$Al$_5$-coated P91 exposed under air+$\text{KCl(s)}$ at 600$^\circ$C for 168h. The location shown in this figure is where the coating is completely corroded.

Figure 11 shows the results of phase analysis with XRD. The as-coated layer consists of Fe$_2$Al$_5$ with a small amount of Cr$_3$Si consistent with the mapping in Figure 6b and aluminum concentration profile in Figure 7b. The salt-free exposure did not lead to a significant change in phase composition as compared to the as-deposited coating. Only a slight background elevation could be detected around 52$^\circ$20. The diffractogram after the exposure to KCl suggests the presence of $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$. However, no other oxide and/or potassium containing compound could be identified. In addition, increasing the incidence angle to 5$^\circ$ (not shown in Figure 11) revealed that a small amount of Fe$_{1-x}$Al had formed as well, which would be consistent with aluminum dilution due to the corrosion.
Figure 11: Phase composition of the Fe\(_2\)Al\(_5\)-coated P91 before and after the exposures. B denotes measurement in Bragg-Brentano configuration. The rest of the measurements were performed in grazing-incidence (GI) configuration at an angle of 2°.

3.3 Performance of Ni\(_2\)Al\(_3\) on Ni

Figures 12a and 12b show the microstructure of the diffusion coating on a Ni substrate before and after the salt-free exposure. The as-coated layer showed non-uniform thickness varying between 50 and 70µm. No deep penetrating vertical cracks, as observed for Fe\(_2\)Al\(_5\), were found. However, occasionally shallow-penetrating transgranular cracks could be observed close to the surface. The contrast differences in the BSE image (Figure 12a) shows the presence of a thin (<5µm) layer of intermediate phases at the coating/substrate interface. The amount of such intermediate phases constitutes only a very small fraction of the entire coating. No evidence for high temperature corrosion attack was found after the salt-free exposure. Continued interdiffusion between the diffusion coating and the nickel substrate led to the growth of intermediate phases (Figure 12b). EDS line scans over the thickness of the coating are given in Figure 12c. For the as-coated sample, the drop in aluminum content is abrupt while the aluminum concentration profile on the exposed sample is more diffuse. Again, similar to the case for Fe\(_2\)Al\(_5\), the shift of the transition from diffusion coating to the substrate (Figure 12c) is at least partly caused by the non-uniform thickness of the as-deposited coating and cannot be attributed entirely to interdiffusion.
The microstructure of the sample after exposure to KCl (Figure 13a) was similar to that without the salt deposit, indicating that the coating is not attacked by the salt. No evidence for local attack could be observed anywhere on the sample. Analogous to the salt-free exposure interdiffusion took place between the nickel substrate and the coating, leading to the formation of new intermediate phases. EDS spot analysis (Figure 13b) suggests the formation of Ni\textsubscript{1-x}Al, Ni\textsubscript{5}Al\textsubscript{3} and Ni\textsubscript{3}Al intermetallic phases similar to the salt-free exposure. Mapping of the cross section gave a faint indication of a thin aluminum enriched corrosion product formed on the surface (Figure 14).
Figure 13: Microstructure of the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coating on pure Ni exposed under air+KCl(s) at 600°C for 168h (a) entire coating and substrate (b) interface between the substrate and the coating showing the formation and/or growth of intermetallic phases due to continued interdiffusion.

Figure 14: Distribution of the elements throughout the corrosion product on Ni$_2$Al$_3$-coated pure nickel exposed under air+KCl(s) at 600°C for 168h.

Figure 15 shows the diffractograms corresponding to the samples before and after the exposures. After the salt-free exposure, in addition to the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ peaks, only minor peaks corresponding to Ni$_{1-x}$Al could be detected. However, repeating the XRD in Bragg-Brentano condition did not reveal any Ni$_{1-x}$Al peaks (not shown in Figure 15). The sample oxidized under KCl deposit did not show any peaks other than those of the as-coated sample.
Figure 15: Phase composition of the Ni$_2$Al$_3$-coated pure nickel before and after the exposures. B denotes measurement in Bragg-Brentano configuration. The rest of the measurements have been performed in grazing-incidence (GI) configuration at an angle of 2°.

4. Discussion

4.1 Fe$_{1-x}$Al on P91

The observation with XRD that all the peaks in the diffractogram after the salt-free exposure (Figure 5) match those for the diffractogram of the as-coated sample indicates that the oxide formed in the absence of KCl is too thin (or amorphous) to be detected with GI-XRD. In addition, there were no indications of the presence of a thick (i.e. fast-growing) oxide layer on the surface (Figure 1b). This may confirm the presence of a very thin protective oxide layer. The shift in the XRD peaks of Fe$_{1-x}$Al in Figure 5 is consistent with a reduction of the aluminum content due to continued interdiffusion (see Figure 1c) and/or oxidation. Nevertheless, Fe$_{1-x}$Al is still present after 168h of exposure. A relatively broad homogeneity range of the Fe$_{1-x}$Al phase suggests that diffusion of aluminum (and iron) in this phase is sufficiently fast to form and maintain a protective oxide layer in the salt-free exposure. Therefore when an aluminum potential gradient exists near the surface, due to the oxygen presence in the environment and higher affinity of aluminum to oxygen compared to that of iron, an aluminum-enriched oxide can be established rapidly and protect the alloy from further oxidation.

The local failure of the diffusion coating on P91 (Figure 2d), after exposure to the oxidizing environment under KCl deposit is similar to the behavior of Fe-45at.%Al model alloy reported by Li and Spiegel [7]. In the current study it was observed that such failure was associated with an enrichment of potassium (unaccompanied by chlorine) at the same locations as enrichments in aluminum and oxygen. This finding suggests that a reaction between the KCl and the aluminum in the
oxide has been possible, which paves the way for further corrosion. FactSage [30] calculations yield, among other possibilities, that KAl$_9$O$_{14}$ formation is the thermodynamically preferred result of a reaction between solid or gaseous KCl and Al$_2$O$_3$:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text{KCl(s)} + \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\text{O}_2(g) &= \text{KAl}_9\text{O}_{14}(s) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\text{Cl}_2(g) \quad \Delta G^\circ(600^\circ\text{C}) = +48.5 \text{ KJ} \\
\text{KCl(g)} + \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\text{O}_2(g) &= \text{KAl}_9\text{O}_{14}(s) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\text{Cl}_2(g) \quad \Delta G^\circ(600^\circ\text{C}) = -42.5 \text{ KJ}
\end{align*}
$$

Reaction (1) has a positive $\Delta G^\circ$. However, it will partially progress as there is no chlorine in the inlet gas and therefore $P_{\text{Cl}_2(g)}$ is below the equilibrium value for reaction (1). Reaction (2), considering gaseous KCl, is thermodynamically feasible and although the equilibrium vapor pressure of KCl(s) at 600$^\circ$C is only $4\times10^{-6}$ atm [31] it will always progress. Accordingly it is not far from expectation that the thin Al$_2$O$_3$ formed on the coating will be damaged due to the presence of KCl. A reaction product between KCl and Al$_2$O$_3$ was not observed in a previous study [32] where the reactivity of KCl with $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$ powder was investigated with XRD. This can be attributed to several reasons: a difference in the Al$_2$O$_3$ polymorphs in the two studies or the inability of XRD to characterize amorphous and minor contents (less than 3wt.%). Moreover, Folkesson et al.[33] propose an electrochemical mechanism for the KCl-induced high temperature corrosion which involves evolution of, among other species, potassium hydroxide (KOH). A reaction between KOH and Al$_2$O$_3$ is spontaneous and can account for the presence of K-Al-O compound(s).

Provided that the aluminum supply (concentration and diffusivity) after an initial attack is sufficient the alloy can re-passivate. This could apply for the case shown in Figure 2a where the aluminum content is about 32at.% and Fe$_{1-x}$Al is still stable. The presence of potassium without chlorine (mapping in Figure 3) has another implication as well. It indicates that chlorine was released due to an interaction between the alloy and KCl. In the literature, it is reported that chlorine can cause selective removal of the more reactive alloying elements, like aluminum, by volatilization i.e. the reverse of the deposition process [2,34–38]. Therefore it is not surprising to detect significantly lower aluminum contents in the coating as compared to the salt-free exposure. Such aluminum depletion has also been observed on Fe-45at.%Al model alloy by Li et al.[7] and has been attributed to the presence of chlorine. In the current study formation/deposition of a thick aluminum oxide on the surface of the alloy (as shown in Figure 2c) and the low content of residual aluminum (21 at.%) also suggests that chlorine is involved in de-aluminization of the coating. When the coating lacks sufficient aluminum to form a protective oxide a thick fast-growing oxide and internal nitrides (see Figure 4) will form. In summary, it appears that due to interdiffusion and the presence of KCl the aluminum content of the diffusion coating is reduced. It is anticipated that as long as the composition is within the stability range of Fe$_{1-x}$Al (i.e. the original Fe$_{1-x}$Al layer is sufficiently thick) the alloy can re-passivate and avoid significant material loss. Clearly, in the present experiment the Fe$_{1-x}$Al diffusion coating is not thick enough all over the surface. Therefore aluminum dilution of the diffusion coating will occur after a very short exposure time as compared to the expected lifetime of critical components in power plants.
4.2 \( \text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5 \) on \( \text{P91} \)

For \( \text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5 \) on \( \text{P91} \) the diffractogram obtained after the salt-free exposure (see Figure 11) shows no oxide peaks and no change as compared to the diffractogram of the sample before the exposure. This suggests that an aluminum-rich protective oxide has formed that is too thin (or amorphous) to be detected with GI-XRD\(^1\). The presence of a thin oxide layer would be consistent with the absence of an oxide layer in the SEM image (Figure 7a). Metsäjoki et al.[29] reported the formation of \( \gamma\)-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) on \( \text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5 \)-coated \( \text{P91} \) exposed at 650°C for 1000h in air.

If aluminum is removed to form aluminum oxide, less Al-rich intermetallic phases will form adjacent to the oxide. Since \( \text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5 \) has a limited homogeneity range (e.g. as compared to \( \text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Al} \)) the slightest aluminum removal leads to the formation of intermetallic phases with lower aluminum content. Results of EDS spot analysis on the islands close to the surface with an Al content 54-59at.% (indicated by white arrows in Figure 7a) would be reconcilable with \( \text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Al} \). The Al content higher than dissolvable in \( \text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Al} \) is explained from the large electron-sample interaction volume, which leads to an overestimation of the aluminum intensity from the surrounding \( \text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5 \). The salt-free exposure also leads to continued interdiffusion between coating and alloy substrate. The presence of a step in the concentration profile near 50at.% aluminum, shown in Figure 7b, is a consequence of the formation of \( \text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Al} \) underneath \( \text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5 \). However, due to the wide homogeneity range in \( \text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Al} \) the step on the concentration profile is not flat. Similar to the case for the \( \text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Al} \) coating on \( \text{P91} \), voids and needle-like features develop within the interdiffusion zone.

The presence of KCl during the exposure has a remarkable impact on \( \text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5 \). Unlike \( \text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Al} \) which only showed local failure, the surface of \( \text{Fe}_2\text{Al}_5 \) was severely attacked. Figure 8a and the mapping in Figure 9 show that, similar to the results by Vokal et al.[9], aluminum was selectively removed and iron/chromium were enriched. In addition, it turns out that the removed aluminum is present outside the original coating’s surface matching the maps of oxygen and potassium. Absence of AlN just underneath the corrosion product (within the Al-diluted region in the coating) shows that the corrosion product is outward-growing.

Conformity of the aluminum map in Figure 9 with that of oxygen is consistent with the \( \alpha\)-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) peaks found in the diffractogram shown in Figure 11. However, presence of a potassium-aluminum-oxygen compound could not be confirmed by XRD.\(^2\) A small spike near 71° on the diffractogram could be attributed to several potassium-aluminum-oxygen compounds (e.g. \( \text{K}_{1.6}\text{Al}_{11}\text{O}_{17} \), \( \text{KAl}_3\text{O}_8 \)). However, all these phases have other peaks that cannot be retrieved in the diffractogram. Other possibilities for the absence of the sought compound(s) can be that it is formed in small amounts, it has an amorphous structure or it is obscured due to peak overlaps.

\(^1\) Note that surface roughness can compromise quantitative analysis of X-ray diffractograms determined under grazing incidence conditions.

\(^2\) See previous footnote. Care must be taken interpreting grazing incidence diffractograms on rough surfaces.
The microstructure in Figure 8a shows that aluminum removal is not limited to the coating surface; aluminum-diluted areas are also found in the middle of the Fe$_2$Al$_5$ layer (in a two-dimensional image these regions appear as light-contrast “islands” in the middle of the coating in Figure 8c). The depth of aluminum removal as well as the morphology of the corrosion product strongly suggests that the mechanism of dilution is the formation of volatile aluminum chloride (similar to Figure 2c). It appears that if the aluminum-diluted area maintains a sufficient aluminum content (after an initial removal) it has the possibility to re-passivate. EDS spot analysis on several aluminum-diluted areas similar to Figure 8a gave values around 51 at.% for the residual aluminum content. This is consistent with the detection of Fe$_{1-x}$Al when the GI-XRD was performed at 5° and describes why the corrosion does not continue on some parts of the Fe$_2$Al$_5$. Description of the corrosion morphology shown in Figure 8b is not as straightforward as that in the Figure 8a. However, one can speculate a case where the de-aluminization of Fe$_2$Al$_5$ is locally so severe that the residual aluminum content is insufficient to support formation of a protective oxide at a later stage. In such a case the alloy cannot re-passivate after the initial stages of the attack (i.e. de-aluminization by volatilization) and eventually a double layer oxide rich in iron on top and aluminum at the bottom will form underneath the earlier corrosion products.

4.3 Ni$_2$Al$_3$ on Ni

The salt-free exposure of Ni$_2$Al$_3$ on Ni did not lead to a visible degradation of the surface. Again, lack of detection of oxide by GI-XRD indicates that it is very thin or amorphous. This is consistent with the micrograph shown in Figure 12b that demonstrates oxidation resistant behavior. The only visible effect with SEM was the continued interdiffusion of aluminum from the coating and nickel from the substrate. This was evidenced by the thickening of the layer consisting of intermediate phases. The observation that Ni$_{1-x}$Al had formed close to the surface of Ni$_2$Al$_3$ after the salt-free exposure requires further study as this was not observed for the KCl-affected sample.

In contrast with the observations for the Fe$_{1-x}$Al and Fe$_2$Al$_5$ coatings the presence of KCl did not lead to a significant effect on Ni$_2$Al$_3$. The diffractogram of the salt-affected sample perfectly matched that of the as-coated sample and no fast-growing oxide was observed on the sample when the cross section was studied with SEM. Considering the interaction between KCl and Fe$_{1-x}$Al or Fe$_2$Al$_5$ (see e.g. mapping in Figure 9) and the fact that the strongest oxide-forming element for all the coatings is aluminum, a similar interaction would be expected with Ni$_2$Al$_3$ and KCl. However, the mapping in Figure 14 does not show any potassium enrichment and therefore it is not clear whether an interaction has taken place or not. If an interaction has taken place, then it is on a scale that is not detectable with the applied SEM-EDS analysis. Even if an initial attack has happened on Ni$_2$Al$_3$ a re-passivation must have occurred and has protected the coating from a continued attack. This is in striking contrast to the case of Fe$_2$Al$_5$. Even though the Fe$_2$Al$_5$ has the highest aluminum content among the investigated coatings, it shows the poorest performance. One possibility for this can be the presence of chromium-rich phases within the Fe$_2$Al$_5$ coating (see Figures 6a-b). Vokal et al. [9] attribute the KCl-induced attack on aluminate phases to the presence of α-Cr and/or Cr$_{23}$C$_6$ at the grain boundaries of the
aluminide phase. In fact, in their study they report the worst corrosion for Ni$_2$Al$_3$ on a chromium-containing nickel-base alloy (Inconel 617). A detrimental effect from chromium-containing phases is consistent with the high affinity of chromium to react with alkali chlorides and form alkali chromates [39–45]. However, in the present study and under the present conditions no indications of chromium involvement were found. Rather, aluminum was often associated with potassium. Another possibility could be segregation of chromium into the grain boundaries of Fe$_2$Al$_5$ slowing down the outward diffusion of aluminum through the grain boundaries. Further investigation is necessary to assess the role of chromium-rich phases within the aluminide coatings.

5. Conclusions

Pack cementation aluminizing was employed to synthesize Fe$_{1-x}$Al and Fe$_2$Al$_5$ diffusion coatings on the ferritic-martensitic steel P91 and a Ni$_2$Al$_3$ diffusion coating on pure Ni. The following conclusions can be drawn from what has been performed in this study:

1- All coatings showed excellent performance against air-oxidation at 600°C.
2- Fe$_{1-x}$Al on P91 formed a protective oxide on large parts of the surface when exposed to KCl in air. Local failures were observed, which were always associated with dilution of aluminum.
3- Fe$_2$Al$_5$ on P91 was attacked across the entire surface when KCl was present. The attack was generally in the form of selective aluminum removal. On large parts of the surface the attack did not seem to have continued. In a few cases, the coating was completely consumed and voluminous corrosion products had formed.
4- Ni$_2$Al$_3$ coated on pure Ni was passive when exposed to KCl in air.
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