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Abstract

K2-138 is a moderately bright (V = 12.2, K = 10.3) main-sequence K star observed in Campaign 12 of the NASA K2 mission. It hosts five small (1.6−3.3 R\textsubscript{\textdegree}) transiting planets in a compact architecture. The periods of the five planets are 2.35, 3.56, 5.40, 8.26, and 12.76 days, forming an unbroken chain of near 3:2 resonances. Although we do not detect the predicted 2−5 minute transit timing variations (TTVs) with the K2 timing precision, they may be observable by higher-cadence observations with, for example, Spitzer or CHEOPS. The planets are amenable to mass measurement by precision radial velocity measurements, and therefore K2-138 could represent a new benchmark system for comparing radial velocity and TTV masses. K2-138 is the first exoplanet discovery by citizen scientists participating in the Exoplanet Explorers project on the Zooniverse platform.
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1. Introduction

The NASA K2 mission (Howell et al. 2014) is in its third year of surveying the ecliptic plane. The mission uses the repurposed Kepler Space Telescope to tile the ecliptic and consists of successive ∼80 day observations of 12 × 12 degree regions of sky known as campaigns. Each campaign yields high-precision, high-cadence calibrated pixel files and time-series photometry on anywhere from 13000 to 28000 targets, which are released to the public within three months of the end of the observing campaign. This deluge of data is immediately inspected by professional exoplanet hunters, producing rapid announcements of interesting new planetary systems, e.g., the recent examples of HD 106315 (Crossfield et al. 2017; Rodriguez et al. 2017) and HD 3167 (Vanderburg et al. 2016).

There are many features in time-series data that can be matched to potential transit signals by signal-processing algorithms. These features can be either astrophysical in origin (e.g., pulsating variable stars, eclipsing binaries, flaring stars, cosmic-ray pixel strikes), or instrumental (e.g., apparent variations in the brightness in a photometric aperture caused by spacecraft pointing drift, or by focus drifts in response to the changing thermal environment). While these artifacts can confuse automated procedures, the human brain is optimized for pattern matching and is remarkably good at discriminating these artifacts from a train of planet transits. This ability is exploited in information security technology for instance, with the CAPTCHA algorithm (von Ahn et al. 2003) being a well-known example. In light curve analysis, humans can readily recognize the differences in the underlying phenomena causing the putative signals and identify the transit signals.

This ability, along with the strong interest held by the public in being involved in the process of scientific discovery, has led...
to the ongoing success of the Planet Hunters\textsuperscript{23} project (e.g., Fischer et al. 2012). Hosted by the Zooniverse platform (Lintott et al. 2008), the project displays to users the publicly available \textit{Kepler} and \textit{K2} time-series photometry and asks them to identify transit-like dips. Inspired by their success, we started the Exoplanet Explorers\textsuperscript{24} project in 2017 April. In this project, we run a signal detection algorithm to identify potential transit signals in the \textit{K2} time-series photometry and ask the users to sift through the resulting candidates to identify those most closely resembling planetary transits. Here, we present K2-138, the first \textit{K2} planetary system discovered by the Exoplanet Explorers project. In Section 2, we describe the \textit{K2} data set. We describe the Exoplanet Explorers project and the identification of K2-138 in Section 3, and the derivation of the stellar parameters in Section 4. In Section 5, we describe the analysis of the planet parameters. Finally, in Section 6 we place the K2-138 system in context of other high-multiplicity systems and discuss prospects for future characterization.

2. Observations and Photometric Reduction

\textit{K2} data are downlinked from the spacecraft, processed into calibrated pixel files and photometric time series, and released to the public via the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST).\textsuperscript{25} Unlike the original \textit{Kepler} mission, the target list is entirely guest observer driven: all observed targets are proposed to the project by the community. Campaign 12 (C12), which was observed for 79 days from 2016 December 15 to 2017 March 4, contained the target star TRAPPIST-1 (Gillon et al. 2016, 2017; Luger et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2017). In order to facilitate rapid analysis of the \textit{K2} observations of TRAPPIST-1, the data were released to the public immediately after downlink from the spacecraft on 2017 March 9 as raw pixel files.

We downloaded the raw pixel files from MAST for the stellar targets that were proposed by \textit{K2}’s large exoplanet search programs and calibrated these data using the \textit{kadenza} software (Barentsen 2017), generating calibrated pixel files.

We then used the publicly available \textit{k2phot} photometry code,\textsuperscript{26} which generates aperture photometry and performs corrections for the spacecraft pointing jitter using Gaussian Processes (Rasmussen & Williams 2005), to generate light curves suitable for searching for periodic transit signals. Using the publicly available TERRA algorithm\textsuperscript{27} (Petigura et al. 2013a, 2013b), we generated both a list of potential transiting planet signals from the detrended light curves and a set of accompanying diagnostic plots. TERRA identified a total of 4900 candidate transit signals in the C12 stellar data.

3. Transit Identification

For each signal from C12 and the earlier campaigns already processed, we uploaded a subset of the standard TERRA diagnostic plots to the Exoplanet Explorers project. The plots included a phase-folded light curve and a stack of the individual transit events; users examined these plots and selected whether the putative signal looked like a true transiting planet candidate. Figure 1 shows an example set of diagnostic plots.

On 2017 April 4, the Exoplanet Explorers project was featured on the Stargazing Live ABC broadcast in Australia. Between 2017 April 4 01:00 UTC and 2017 April 6 19:48 UTC, the live project received 2,100,643 classifications from 7270 registered Zooniverse classifiers and 7677 not-logged-in IP addresses. Of these, 130,365 classifications from 4325

---

\textsuperscript{23} www.planethunters.org

\textsuperscript{24} www.exoplanetexplorers.org

\textsuperscript{25} https://archive.stsci.edu/kepler/

\textsuperscript{26} https://github.com/petigura/k2phot

\textsuperscript{27} https://github.com/petigura/terra
registered classifiers and 2012 not-logged-in IP addresses were for candidate signals in the C12 data. C12 candidates received a median of 26 classifications each; the C12 candidate with the lowest classification count received 14 classifications, and the most-classified C12 candidate received 43 classifications. The classifications were aggregated for each candidate to provide the fraction of classifiers who indicated they saw a transiting planet signal.

Of the 4900 potential transiting signals identified in the C12 data, 72 were voted by more than 60% of users as looking like transiting planet candidates. The dispositions of the full set of C12 signals are shown in Figure 2; the signals with the highest confidence are unsurprisingly at shorter periods (with a higher number of individual events contributing to the signal for the users to assess) and higher signal-to-noise values. The highly voted signals were inspected visually and K2-138 (EPIC 245950175) was rapidly identified as a promising multi-planet system. The initial automated search of the light curve produced four distinct transiting signatures, each with a high (>90%) fraction of votes from the participants; the four diagnostic plots that were voted on are shown in Figure 1. EPIC 245950175 was proposed for observations by four teams, in Guest Observing Programs 12049, 12071, 12083, and 12122 (PIs Quintana, Charbonneau, Jensen, and Howard). The full, unfolded light curve of K2-138 is shown in Figure 3. After the system was flagged by the citizen scientists, additional examination of the light curve revealed the signature of a fifth transiting signal, interior to the four signals identified by the TERRA algorithm and on the same 3:2 resonant chain. Characterization of the five detected planet signals is detailed in Section 5.

In addition, two individual transit events were identified using LcTools (Kipping et al. 2015), shown in Figure 4, separated by 41.97 days. The transits have consistent depths and durations, and if confirmed, would correspond to an additional \( \sim 2.8 \, R_e \) sub-Neptune planet in the K2-138 system, bringing the total to six planets. Additional observations are required to secure a third epoch and confirm that the two transits seen in the K2 data arise from a single planet and are not individual transits of two similarly sized, longer-period planets.

4. Stellar Characterization

On 2017 June 1, we obtained a spectrum of K2-138 using Keck/HIRES, without the iodine cell as is typical of the precision radial velocity observations. We derive stellar parameters using SpecMatch (Petigura et al. 2015), given in Table 1. Following the procedure in Crossfield et al. (2016), we estimate the stellar radius and mass using the publicly available isochrones Python package (Morton 2015) and the Dartmouth stellar evolution models (Dotter et al. 2008). The California Kepler Survey (CKS; Petigura et al. 2017) finds mass and radius uncertainty floors for similar spectral types of 6% and 9% respectively, motivated by comparisons between stellar radii derived using isochrones and spectroscopic parameters (as is done here for K2-138) and asteroseismic radii (Johnson et al. 2017). We therefore adopt these uncertainties on the mass and radius of K2-138 to incorporate the isochrone model uncertainties. Additional estimates of the parameters of K2-138 are available in the Ecliptic Plane Input Catalog (EPIC; Huber et al. 2016) on the MAST and are consistent with those from the RAVE spectrum and isochrones. The HIRES/isochrones parameters and the EPIC parameters are consistent with a solar-metallicity, main-sequence, early-K type star at a distance of \( \sim 180 \, \text{pc} \) when comparing to the color-temperature relations of Pecaut & Mamajk (2013). We adopt a spectral type of K1V \( \pm \, 1 \). We measure a log \( \text{R}^{'}/\text{H}_K \) value of \(-4.63\), indicating a modestly magnetically active star, which may present a challenge for precision radial velocity measurements of the system.

On 2017 May 31, we obtained a high-resolution image of K2-138 in K-band using the Altair AO system on the NIRI camera at Gemini Observatory (Hodapp et al. 2003) under program GN-2105B-LP-5 (PI Crossfield). We observed at five dither positions and used the dithered images to remove sky background and dark current; we then aligned, flat-fielded and stacked the individual images. The inset in Figure 5 shows the final stacked image, and the plot shows the detection limits of the final image. The limits were determined by injecting simulated sources into the final image, with separation from K2-138 determined by integer multiples of the FWHM, as in Furlan et al. (2017). We see no other source of contaminating flux in the AO image within 4\(^{\prime}\), the size of one K2 pixel. In addition to the AO data, we examine the HIRES spectrum for evidence of additional stellar lines, following the procedure of Crossfield et al. (2016). This method is sensitive to secondary stars that lie within 0\(^{\prime}\)4 of the primary star (one half of the slit width) and that are up to 5 mag fainter than the primary star in the V- and R-bands (Kolbl et al. 2015), complementing the sensitivity limits of the NIRI observations. We are able to rule out companions with \( T_{\text{eff}} = 3400-6100 \, \text{K} \) and \( \Delta (R_V) > 10 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1} \). We further discuss the possibility of the observed periodic signals originating from a faint star \( \sim 14^{m} \) away in Section 5.1, but for the following analysis, we assume the putative planet signals arise from K2-138.
5. Planet Parameters

We analyzed the five transit signals independently in the K2-138 light curve, using the same modeling, fitting, and MCMC procedures as described in Crossfield et al. (2016). In summary, we fit the following model parameters: mid-transit time ($T_0$); the candidates orbital period and inclination ($P$ and $i$); the scaled semimajor axis ($R_p/a$); the fractional candidate size ($R_*/a$); the orbital eccentricity and longitude of periastron ($e$ and $\omega$), the fractional level of dilution ($\delta$) from any other sources in the aperture; a single multiplicative offset for the absolute flux level; and quadratic limb-darkening coefficients ($u_1$ and $u_2$). We explore the posterior distribution using the emcee software (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). We find that the signals correspond to five sub-Neptune-sized planets ranging from 1.6 to 3.3 $R_\oplus$; the best-fitting transit models are shown in Figure 6. As a self-consistency check, we note that the stellar density values derived from the independent transit fits are consistent across all five planets and also consistent with the direct calculation from the stellar mass and radius.

All five planets have periods under 13 days, making K2-138 an example of a tightly packed system of small planets. One particularly interesting aspect to the K2-138 architecture, discussed further in Section 6, is that each successive pair of planets is just outside the first-order 3:2 resonance.

5.1. Validation

Lissauer et al. (2012) analyzed the distribution of Kepler planet candidates and showed that systems with multiple candidate signals were substantially more likely to be true planetary systems than false positives. This provides a “multiplicity boost” to the statistical validation of candidates in multi-planet systems. Here, we validated each candidate individually using the publicly available vespa code, which computes the

![Figure 3](image1.png)  
Figure 3. The time series of the K2 data, with the five-planet transit model shown in blue. The planets of the individual transits are marked with the appropriate letter; the times of the two transits of the putative planet candidate discussed in Section 3 are shown as “g.” The 5-day gap two-thirds of the way through the campaign was the result of a spacecraft safe-mode event.

![Figure 4](image2.png)  
Figure 4. The two transits of the putative 42-day period planet candidate that fall in the K2 C12 data. The time of the second transit has been offset by 41.97 days to demonstrate the consistency in depth and duration of the two events, which correspond to a $\sim$2.8 $R_\oplus$ planet.

![Figure 5](image3.png)  
Figure 5. Inset: the Gemini/NIRI AO image of K2-138. We detect no additional sources of flux. Plot: the 5σ contrast limits for additional companions, in Δmagnitude, are plotted against angular separation in arcseconds; the black points represent one step in the FWHM resolution of the images.

![Table 1](image4.png)  
Table 1  
K2-138 Stellar Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPIC ID</th>
<th>245950175</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2MASS ID</td>
<td>J23154776-1050590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.A. (J2000.0)</td>
<td>23:15:47.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decl. (J2000.0)</td>
<td>−10:50:58.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (mag)</td>
<td>12.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (mag)</td>
<td>10.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectral type</td>
<td>K1V ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{eff}}$ (K)</td>
<td>5378 ± 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log g (cgs)</td>
<td>4.59 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_*$ ($R_\odot$)</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_*$ ($M_\odot$)</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance (pc)</td>
<td>183 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v \sin i$ (km s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.  
* EPIC classification, see Huber et al. (2016) and https://github.com/danxhuber/galclassify.
likelihood of various astrophysical false-positive scenarios. We use as input a photometric exclusion radius of 13", the K-band and Kepler magnitudes, and the HIRES stellar parameters. The results are false-positive probabilities of 0.20%, 0.11%, 0.76%, 0.027%, and 0.24% for planets b, c, d, e, and f, respectively. As we have multiple candidates orbiting a single star, the “multiplicity boost” (and an additional “near-resonance boost”) further suppresses these FPPs (Lissauer et al. 2012; Sinukoff et al. 2016). Applying the K2 multiplicity boost derived by Sinukoff et al. (2016), we find final FPPs of $8.3 \times 10^{-5}$, $4.6 \times 10^{-5}$, $3.17 \times 10^{-4}$, $1.1 \times 10^{-5}$, and $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$.

Recently, Cabrera et al. (2017) showed that stars within the Kepler photometry aperture but outside the small area surveyed by high-resolution imaging were responsible for several falsely validated planets. Here, we examine the possibility that the five periodic signals do not arise from the brightest star in the K2 aperture. Given that the signals form an unbroken chain of near first-order resonances, we consider the possibility that some number of the signals arise on one star and the remainder on a star coincident with the line of sight to be unlikely, and consider the five signals as a related set. The brightest nearby star is 2MASS J23154868-1050583, which is $\sim$14" from EPIC 245950175, and 5.6 mag fainter in the R-band. This star is shown to the west of EPIC 245950175 in Figure 7. Following from Equation (5) of Ciardi et al. (2015), we find that the putative planets would be 13.2 times larger if they orbited the fainter target, increasing to $1.9-4.0 R_J$. These would be as large or larger than the largest planet known to date with a radius measured by the transit method, WASP-79b with a radius of $2.09 \pm 0.14 R_J$ (Smalley et al. 2012). Therefore, we conclude that the five putative planets are extremely unlikely to orbit 2MASS J23154868-1050583.

6. Discussion

One of the interesting discoveries from the NASA Kepler mission is the prevalence of compact, highly co-planar, and often dynamically packed systems of small ($<4 R_J$) planets (Latham et al. 2011; Lissauer et al. 2011; Howard et al. 2012; Fabrycky et al. 2014; Winn & Fabrycky 2015). This has continued in the K2 mission, including the discoveries of the K2-3 (Crossfield et al. 2015), K2-37 (Sinukoff et al. 2016), and K2-72 (Crossfield et al. 2016) systems. Multi-planet systems are crucial laboratories for testing planetary formation, migration, and evolution theories. A further interesting subset of these systems are those demonstrating resonances, or chains
of resonances. The five validated planets of K2-138 lie close to a first-order resonant chain. We find period ratios of 1.513, 1.518, 1.528, and 1.544 for the b–c, c–d, d–e, and e–f pairs, respectively, just outside the 3:2 resonance. Fabrycky et al. (2014), examining the large population of multi-transiting planet systems in the Kepler data, showed that pair-wise period ratios pile-up just outside of the first- and second-order resonances. They offer several possible explanations for this, including gravitational scattering slightly out of resonance by the additional bodies in the system, or tidal dissipation preferentially acting to drag the inner planets inward from the resonance. Lithwick & Wu (2012) and Batygin & Morbidelli (2013) investigate the suggestion of tidal dissipation as a mechanism for keeping individual pairs of planets just outward of the resonance; they note that in systems with more than two planets, where the planets can inhabit multiple resonances, the planets can remain close to resonance despite tidal dissipation. Recently, Ramos et al. (2017) analytically derived the expected offset from a first-order resonance for a pair of planets due to Type I migration. Their Figure 3 shows that for periods shorter than ∼10 days, the resonance period ratio is 1.505–1.525, depending on the mass of the inner planet and the mass ratio of the two planets, with higher period ratios expected as the mass ratio approaches unity. Therefore, it is possible that the K2-138 b–c and c–d pairs may be captured in the 3:2 resonance, but unlikely that the d–e or e–f pairs are in resonance.

It is illustrative to compare K2-138 to the other known systems with multiple planets, and to examine whether 3:2 period ratios are common. Figure 8 shows, for the confirmed multi-planet systems, the distance of each period ratio in the system from a 3:2 period ratio. K2-138 is the only system with an unbroken chain of four period ratios near 3:2. There are seven systems with planets in consecutive 3:2 pairs: Kepler-23 (Ford et al. 2012); Kepler-85 and Kepler-114 (Xie 2013; Rowe et al. 2014); Kepler-217 (Rowe et al. 2014; Morton et al. 2016); Kepler-339 and Kepler-402 (Rowe et al. 2014); and Kepler-350 (Rowe et al. 2014; Xie 2014). There are an additional nine systems with a “broken” chain of 3:2 pairs, i.e., a configuration like K2-138 but where one planet is missing, or perhaps undiscovered: GJ 3293 (Astudillo-Defru et al. 2015, 2017); K2-32 (Dai et al. 2016); Kepler-192 and Kepler-304 (Rowe et al. 2014; Morton et al. 2016); Kepler-215, Kepler-254, Kepler-275 and Kepler-363 (Rowe et al. 2014); and Kepler-276 (Rowe et al. 2014; Xie 2014). These systems are highlighted in red. A small number of systems contain four planets in different configurations of first-order resonances, also highlighted in Figure 8. Kepler-223 is comparable to K2-138: a compact system of four sub-Neptune-sized planets with periods shorter than 20 days, in a 3:4:6:8 resonant chain (Rowe et al. 2014; Mills et al. 2016). In the case of Kepler-223, the period ratios are much closer to resonance than for K2-138, with ratios of 1.3333, 1.5021, and 1.3338 for the b–c, c–d, and d–e pairs respectively. Kepler-223 demonstrates significant transit timing variations (TTVs), allowing for robust mass constraints to be placed. Kepler-79 (Jontof-Hutter et al. 2014) is a scaled-up version of K2-138 and Kepler-223, with four sub-Saturn-sized planets in a 1:2:4:6 resonant chain with periods from 13–81 days. Finally, the benchmark TRAPPIST-1 system hosts seven planets in a resonant chain, with successive period ratios of 8.5, 5.3, 3.2, 3.2, 4.3, and 3.2 (Gillon et al. 2017; Luger et al. 2017). Like TRAPPIST-1, K2-138 may have more than two periods that pile up just outside of the 3:2 resonance. The vertical lines indicate the positions of successive 3:2 period ratios.

Figure 8. The distribution of distances from 3:2 period ratios in confirmed multi-planet systems that have three or more planets in a compact geometry (defined as having three planets with Period/Shortest Period < 4). Planetary systems with multiple near-3:2 resonances are highlighted in red. K2-138 is the only system near an unbroken chain of four near-3:2 resonances. Kepler-79 and Kepler-223 (shown in blue) both have four planets in or near a chain of resonances.
represent a pristine chain of resonances indicative of slow, inward disk migration.

Another notable feature of the TRAPPIST-1 system is that the seven known planets form a complex chain of linked three-body Laplace resonances (Luger et al. 2017). Similarly, Kepler-80 (KOI-500) is a five-planet system where the four outer planets form a tightly linked pair of three-body resonances (Lissauer et al. 2011; MacDonald et al. 2016); Kepler-223, described above, also contains a pair of three-body resonances. One other system, Kepler-60, appears to be in either a true three-body Laplace resonance or a chain of two-planet mean motion resonances (Gozdziewski et al. 2016). A three-body resonance satisfies the condition that \( (\rho/p_p) - (\rho + q)/p_{p_2} + (q/p_2) \approx 0 \), where \( \rho \) and \( q \) are integers and \( p_i \) the period of the \( i \)th planet. For K2-138, we find that the three consecutive sets of three planets (bcd, cde, and def) all satisfy this condition with \( (p, q) = (2, 3) \), resulting in values of \( 4.2 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-4} \) days\(^{-1} \), \(-1.6 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{-4} \) days\(^{-1} \), and \(-2.4 \pm 4.9 \times 10^{-4} \) days\(^{-1} \) respectively, all close to zero. In simulating the Kepler-80 system, MacDonald et al. (2016) find that their migration simulations can naturally describe the final system architecture, with dissipative forces pushing the interlocked planets out of two-body resonances and into three-body resonances; the K2-138 system may have undergone something similar. K2-138 joins a relatively modest population of known systems with four or more planets in or close to a resonant chain, and a very small population of systems with interlocking chains of three-body resonances, making it an ideal target to study for TTVs.

We calculated the transit times of K2-138 c, d, e, and f shown in Figure 9. For each transit, we fix the model transit parameters to the best-fit values given in Table 2, and allow only the mid-transit time to vary. To calculate the uncertainties, we compute the residuals from the best-fit model and perform a bootstrap analysis using the closest 100 timestamps, re-fitting the mid-transit time at each timestamp permutation (Wall et al. 2003). Examining the resulting transit times, we do not find evidence of significant variations at the level of the average 8–10 minute timing precision from the K2 data. The individual transits of K2-138 b have insufficient signal-to-noise for robust transit time calculation.

In order to estimate the amplitude of potential TTVs, we use the mass–radius relation of Weiss & Marcy (2014) for planet radii in the range \( 1.5–4 \) \( R_\oplus \) \( (M_p = 2.69 \times R_p^{0.55}) \), predicting that the five planets have masses between 4 and 7 \( M_\oplus \). Near resonance, TTV amplitudes depend on planet masses, proximity to resonance, and orbital eccentricities. Using the TTVFaster code\(^{29}\) (Agol & Deck 2016), we estimate potential TTV amplitudes of 2.5, 5.1, 7.1, 6.9, and 4.8 minutes for planets b, c, d, e, and f, respectively, assuming circular orbits; for eccentric orbits, these amplitudes could be higher. We can also estimate the “super-period” of the planets: when two planets are close to resonance, their TTVs evolve on a larger timescale referred to as the super-period. Using Equation (5) from (Lithwick et al. 2012), we calculate super-periods of 139.4 day, 148.1 day, 144.7 day, and 144.2 day for the b–c, c–d, d–e, and e–f pairs, respectively. The K2 observations span slightly more than half of this amount of time, but as shown, the uncertainties on the measured transit times with the processed photometry are large enough to swamp the amplitude of the expected signal. However, with careful sampling over a longer observing baseline and higher precision photometry, the TTVs may be accessible. One possibility is the NASA Spitzer telescope. For K2-18b, Benneke et al. (2017) measure a transit timing precision of \( \sim 0.9 \) minutes with Spitzer. Using the error approximation of Carter et al. (2008) and scaling for the properties of the K2-138 planets, we estimate that Spitzer would achieve transit timing precision of \( \sim 2 \) minutes, which would be sufficient to measure the TTVs of the outer planets. Another possibility for measuring TTVs is the ESA CHEOPS mission (Broeg et al. 2013), although K2-138 \((V = 12.2, K = 10.3)\) is at the faint end of their target range.

The empirical relation of Weiss & Marcy (2014) disguises a large scatter in the measured masses for planets ranging from \( 2–3 \) \( R_\oplus \), spanning nearly an order of magnitude from roughly \( 2–20 \) \( M_\oplus \) (see Figure 11 of Christiansen et al. 2017). This diversity is due to a wide, degenerate mix of rock, volatile, and gas compositions that can comprise this size of planet. Although the K2-138 planets do not demonstrate significant TTVs in the K2 data, their masses may be accessible to radial velocity observations. By comparing to the ensemble of mass–radius measurements to date, we estimate a minimum mass of \( 4 \) \( M_\oplus \) for the four outer planets, and therefore RV semi-amplitudes of \( \gtrsim 2 \) m s\(^{-1} \). Achieving this precision on K2-138 may be a challenge, given the aforementioned stellar activity level. If any of the planets are measured to be lower density, they may be interesting yet challenging prospects for atmosphere characterization, given the moderate brightness of the host star.

7. Conclusions

We have presented K2-138, the first discovery from the citizen scientists participating in the Exoplanet Explorers project. K2-138 is a compact system of five sub-Neptune-sized planets orbiting an early-K star in a chain of successive near-first-order resonances; in addition, the planets are locked in a set of three-body Laplace resonances. The planets may be accessible to mass measurement via dedicated radial velocity monitoring, and possibly via TTVs with improved timing

---

\(^{29}\) https://github.com/ericagol/TTVFaster
Table 2
Final K2-138 System Planet Parameters from the Five-planet Transit Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period (day)</td>
<td>2.35322 ± 0.00036</td>
<td>3.5598 ± 0.00037</td>
<td>4.04784 ± 0.00044</td>
<td>8.26144 ± 0.00045</td>
<td>12.75759 ± 0.00092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0 (BJD)</td>
<td>2457773.3170± 0.0038</td>
<td>2457740.3223± 0.0027</td>
<td>2457744.1607± 0.0016</td>
<td>2457740.6451± 0.0021</td>
<td>2457738.7019± 0.0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T14 (hr)</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.23</td>
<td>2.329 ± 0.088</td>
<td>2.97 ± 0.11</td>
<td>3.063 ± 0.085</td>
<td>3.19 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rp/Rs</td>
<td>0.0168± 0.0029</td>
<td>0.0267± 0.0015</td>
<td>0.0283± 0.0004</td>
<td>0.0349± 0.0015</td>
<td>0.0299± 0.0018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1/R0</td>
<td>0.113± 0.005</td>
<td>0.0930± 0.0041</td>
<td>0.0784± 0.0045</td>
<td>0.0516± 0.0047</td>
<td>0.0556± 0.0040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (au)</td>
<td>0.0338± 0.00032</td>
<td>0.0445± 0.00032</td>
<td>0.05883± 0.00042</td>
<td>0.07807± 0.00056</td>
<td>0.10430± 0.00075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i (degree)</td>
<td>86.9± 4.6</td>
<td>87.5± 1.3</td>
<td>87.9± 2.8</td>
<td>88.7± 1.66</td>
<td>89.0± 2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0.50± 0.034</td>
<td>0.47± 0.32</td>
<td>0.47± 0.32</td>
<td>0.44± 0.30</td>
<td>0.48± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e (1-σ upper limit)</td>
<td>&lt;0.403</td>
<td>&lt;0.296</td>
<td>&lt;0.348</td>
<td>&lt;0.315</td>
<td>&lt;0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rp (R*)</td>
<td>1.57± 0.28</td>
<td>2.52± 0.16</td>
<td>2.66± 0.18</td>
<td>3.29± 0.18</td>
<td>2.81± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insolation (I0)</td>
<td>486± 35</td>
<td>279± 25</td>
<td>160± 17</td>
<td>91± 16</td>
<td>51± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User votes</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>12/12 (100%)</td>
<td>14/14 (100%)</td>
<td>15/15 (100%)</td>
<td>12/13 (93%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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