



Lipid Oxidation In Fish Feed

Ljubic, Anita; Sørensen, Ann-Dorit Moltke; Hjerimitslev, Niels; Jacobsen, Charlotte

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Ljubic, A. (Author), Sørensen, A-D. M. (Author), Hjerimitslev, N. (Author), & Jacobsen, C. (Author). (2018). Lipid Oxidation In Fish Feed. Sound/Visual production (digital), Technical University of Denmark.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Lipid oxidation in fish feed

Anita Ljubic¹, Ann-Dorit Moltke Sørensen¹, Niels Hjermitzlev²
and Charlotte Jacobsen¹

¹National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark


²Biomar AS, Denmark

Contact: chja@food.dtu.dk

Acknowledgments:

BioMar for supporting the research

Trang Thi Thu Vu for carrying out some of the analyses




Outline

- Introduction to fish feed
- Lipid oxidation and antioxidants in fish feed
- Aims of our work
- The role of iron
- Oxipress and storage experiments
 - Effect of blood meal
 - Effect of antioxidants
- Conclusions and perspectives

The aquaculture production is increasing

SHARE OF AQUACULTURE IN TOTAL PRODUCTION OF AQUATIC ANIMALS



■ Aquaculture — Aquaculture share (%)
■ Capture

Kilde: FAO, 2015


Rainbow trout fish feed – main components

Ingredient	Content
Fish meal	15-30%
Vegetable protein sources	15-50%
Bloodmeal (protein source)	0-10%
Fish oil	5-20%
Vegetable oil	0-25%
Binder (Starch – mainly wheat)	8-15%
Premix (vitamins, minerals, lecithin, amino acids)	< 1%
Antioxidant	> 150 ppm

Factors that can affect lipid oxidation in fish feed

- Fish oil/rapeseed oil content and quality
 - fish oil contains 20-25 % EPA and DHA
 - TOTOX (2 x PV + AV) usually <30, sometimes <40)
- Minerals – iron, copper, magnesium
- Protein source
 - Fish meal contains heme iron in low levels
- Addition of blood meal
- Antioxidant addition – ethoxyquin and BHT are extensively used

Ethoxyquin (EQ)



- In 1950ies EQ developed by Monsanto as a rubber stabilizer
- In 1965 EQ registered as a pesticide to prevent browning of the scald of pears and apples
- Later applications expanded to antioxidant

Ethoxyquin continued

- EQ prevents heat and explosive danger during transport of fish meal due to lipid oxidation
- EQ added to fish feed alone or in combination with other antioxidants to prevent lipid oxidation
- The most efficient and widely used antioxidant in fish feed
- Maximum limit in fish feed 150 mg/kg
- A free radical scavenger
- EQ and decomposition products of EQ have been found in salmon muscle
- May be prohibited in the EU in the near future

Aims of the study

- To determine content of heme iron in feed and blood meal
- To investigate the role of heme iron in lipid oxidation of fish feed by producing feed with or without bloodmeal
- To evaluate the effect of addition of different antioxidants to the meal and/or oil fractions in fish feed
 - Compared with EQ
 - Compared with control without antioxidants

In this presentation only data from selected samples are shown

Analysis of iron in ingredients and fish feed

Non-heme iron determination

- Spectrophotometric method for non-heme iron: Reduction of iron in the ferric state (Fe^{3+}) to iron in the ferrous state (Fe^{2+})
- Formation of a colored complex between the latter and bathophenanthroline
- Quantification was done by measuring absorbance at 540 nm

Analysis of iron in ingredients and fish feed

Heme iron determination

- Spectrophotometric method for heme iron: Measurements of hematin content
- Quantification was done by measuring absorbance at 640 nm where heme iron content was estimated using the calculation:

$$\text{Heme iron } (\mu\text{g/g}) = \text{Hematin content } (\mu\text{g/g}) \times \frac{\text{atomic weight of iron}}{\text{molecular weight of hematin}}$$

Results of non-heme and heme iron analysis

Sample	Heme iron (ug/g)		Non-heme iron (ug/g)		Total esstimated iron (ug/g)
	avg	stdev	avg	stdev	
bloodmeal	805,75	1,97	483,30	45,22	1289,05
fish feed + bloodmeal	27,57	24,04	43,55	9,37	71,12
fish feed	6,85	11,96	35,82	7,57	42,68

Experimental details

Design

- MAOno: Antioxidant A in meal, no antioxidant in oil
- MnoOno: No antioxidant in meal and oil
- MBOno: EQ in meal, no antioxidant in oil
- MAOC: Antioxidant A in meal, antioxidant C in oil

With or without blood meal





Storage experiment

- Fish feed samples (≈ 500 g per sample) were stored at $+35$ °C in darkness
- Three sampling points: week 0, 9 and 21
- At each sampling point ≈ 30 g of each sample was taken out and stored at -40 °C prior to analysis

Oxipress: accelerated study at 90 °C

Principal components analysis




TBARS, PV (0 & 9)

Toco, Oxipress, PV21

BM = blood meal, M= antioxidant in meal
 O= antioxidant in oil, B= EQ
 A, C = different AOs, No= no antioxidant

- Samples with bloodmeal more oxidized
- Samples with MAOC seemed to oxidize less


Comparison of PV in samples with and without bloodmeal



M= antioxidant in meal
 O= antioxidant in oil
 B= EQ
 A, C = different AOs
 No= no antioxidant
 Blood = blood meal

- PV in samples without blood meal increased during storage
- PV in samples with blood meal in general decreased during storage
- Combination MAOC the most efficient (better than ethoxyquin)



Comparison of TBARS in samples with and without bloodmeal



- M= antioxidant in meal
- O= antioxidant in oil
- B= EQ
- A, C = different AOs
- No= no antioxidant
- Blood = blood meal

- TBARS increased faster in samples with bloodmeal
- For samples w.o. bloodmeal EQ was the most efficient AO
- For samples w. bloodmeal MAOC was as good as EQ

Comparison of tocopherol in samples with and without bloodmeal




- Bloodmeal resulted in disappearance of toco
- MAOC resulted in slightly better protection of tocopherols in feeds w.o. bloodmeal and in markedly better production in feeds w. bloodmeal

M= antioxidant in meal
 O= antioxidant in oil
 B= EQ
 A, C = different AOs
 No= no antioxidant
 Blood = blood meal

Comparison of Oxypress data in samples with and without bloodmeal

Oxypress induction time (hr)



M= antioxidant in meal
 O= antioxidant in oil
 B= EQ
 A, C = different AOs
 No= no antioxidant
 Blood = blood meal

- Samples with bloodmeal oxidized faster
- MAOC was the most efficient antioxidant in both feed types (better than EQ (MBOno))

Conclusions and perspectives

- Oxipress data correlated well with TBARS and tocopherol, but not with PV
- Feeds with bloodmeal oxidized faster – due to high levels of iron
- Feed with antioxidants in both meal and oil (MAOC) oxidized less than when only EQ was added to the oil phase
- Feeds with bloodmeal may need both a metal chelator and radical scavenger to efficiently prevent lipid oxidation
- Further studies will involve a range of different antioxidants and a new set-up where effect of individual ingredients are studied