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ABSTRACT: Lignosulfonate was subjected to a reductive catalytic degradation in ethanol medium at 310 °C in the presence of alumina supported NiMo catalysts and H2. The liquid and solid products were analyzed with size exclusion chromatography (SEC), gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC–MS), two-dimensional gas chromatography (GC × GC), heteronuclear single quantum coherence nuclear magnetic resonance (HSQC NMR) and elemental analysis. The highest oil yield and the lowest char yield obtained was 88 and 15 wt %, respectively. The liquefied species were mainly dimers and oligomers with minor yields of monomers. The catalyst was important for stabilization of reactive intermediates either by hydrogenation or coupling with ethanol. Simultaneous deoxygenation and desulfurization reactions took place in the presence of the catalyst; the oxygen and sulfur content in the oil fraction obtained after 4 h reaction time were 11.2 and 0.1 wt %, indicating considerable deoxygenation and desulfurization compared to the lignosulfonate feedstock (O, 30.8 wt %; S, 3.1 wt %). The effect of the reaction parameters such as temperature, reaction time and catalyst mass was studied. It was observed that by increasing the temperature from 260 to 310 °C the degradation increased, however, the SEC analysis showed that the degradation progressed only to a certain size range dimers to oligomers in the reaction temperatures studied. Investigating the effect of reaction time of 1, 2, 3, and 4 h indicated that degradation, deoxygenation, desulfurization and alkylation reactions progressed over time. The reusability of the catalyst without any pretreatment was confirmed by an almost constant oil yield in three repeated experiments with the same catalyst batch. The results show that alumina supported NiMo catalysts are very promising catalysts for conversion of lignosulfonate to liquid products.

1. INTRODUCTION

The depletion of fossil fuels, along with the increase of the global population and therefore the increase of the energy demand, has stimulated the interest in development of non-fossil-based and renewable sources of energy and chemicals. Moreover, increasing awareness of CO2 emission and associated environmental issues, such as global warming, encourages the utilization of carbon neutral and sustainable energy resources.1 Among different renewable energies, biomass is the only source of organic carbon that can be employed for production of chemicals.2 Lignocellulosic biomass is comprised of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. The cellulose and hemicellulose are mainly used in the pulp and paper industry, where lignin is left as byproduct, and is mainly combusted to supply the energy for the process. The recent development of second-generation bioethanol plants also produces considerable amounts of lignin as byproduct. Lignin is mainly composed of three building blocks; coumaryl alcohol, coniferyl alcohol, and sinapyl alcohol connected via C–C and C–O bonds3 and could be a source of aromatic chemicals, if the interbuilding block bonds are selectively cleaved. The most available types of technical lignins are kraft lignin, sulfite lignin (lignosulfonate), soda lignin (alkali lignin), enzymatically extracted lignin, and organosolv lignin. Despite the high potential of lignin as a source of chemicals, only 1–2% is commercially used, which are mostly related to the applications of lignosulfonate.4 Vanillin5 and additives for batteries are some of the high value products produced from lignosulfonate. However, the development of liquid fuels and perhaps even other high-value chemicals from this feedstock is of interest. Reductive solvolysis of lignin is a promising step in the production of low oxygen content fuel and chemicals via hydrogenolysis and hydrodeoxygenation (HDO). A solvent can solubilize lignin, contribute to the cleavage of the C–O bonds and stabilize the reactive intermediates.6–11 The reductive conversion may be accompanied by presence of a heterogeneous catalyst. Transition metals and hydrotreating catalysts are among those investigated.8–11

One of the advantages of biomass as an energy source is its low sulfur content.12 However, sulfur can be introduced into...
the structure of lignin in conventional pulping processes: kraft lignin and sulfite lignin, as the major types of technical lignin, contain thiol and sulfonate groups, respectively (see Figure 1). The poisoning effects of sulfur on noble and non-noble transition metal catalysts are known.\textsuperscript{13,14} Therefore, employing a sulfur resistant catalyst for catalytic degradation of kraft lignin and lignosulfonate is essential.

The sulfur resistant nickel- (Ni) or cobalt- (Co) promoted molybdenum- (Mo) and tungsten- (W) based catalysts are industrially used for hydrotreating purposes including HDO, hydrodesulfurization (HDS) and hydrodenitrogenation (HDN).\textsuperscript{17} The promotion with Ni or Co increases the activity of the edge sites in MoS\textsubscript{2} slabs.\textsuperscript{16,19} Nickel-promoted Mo (NiMo) and cobalt-promoted Mo (CoMo) catalysts are initially in oxide form and therefore should be activated prior to use by sulfidation and formation of the active NiMoS and CoMoS phases. Such bimetallic catalysts have gained interest in solvothermal conversion of biomass and lignin. A recent patent reports\textsuperscript{20} reductive conversion of biomass in solvents such as ethanol and ethylene glycol over supported catalysts comprised of S, Mo/W, and Co, Ni or a mixture of them at 180−300 °C. Narani and co-workers\textsuperscript{21} studied conversion of kraft lignin in the presence of NiW/AC, NiMo/AC, and CoMo/AC catalysts as methylol in solvent at 320 °C. They observed 28 wt % monomer yields over the sulfided NiW/AC catalyst, with alkyl phenols and guaiacols being the main products.\textsuperscript{21} Cattelan et al.\textsuperscript{22} observed higher yields of aromatics from conversion of kraft lignin in the presence of an MoS\textsubscript{2}-based catalyst compared to noncatalytic conversion in supercritical ethanol and nitrogen atmosphere at 280 °C: While almost similar conversions were obtained in the absence and presence of catalyst (85−86 wt %), the yield of aromatic compounds increased from 10.2 wt % to 17.8 wt % in catalytic conditions.\textsuperscript{22} Joffres et al.\textsuperscript{23,24} observed cleavage of β-O-4 and α-O-4 bonds in reductive degradation of wheat straw soda lignin over NiMoS/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} at 350 °C in tetralin solvent. According to them\textsuperscript{25} demethoxylation reactions via cleavage of aryl alkyl ether bonds, hydrogenation of aliphatic double bonds and dehydroxylation of aliphatic OH groups took place during transformation of the lignin.

Despite the fact that lignosulfonate is a major commercial type of technical lignin, only a few studies have targeted conversion of lignosulfonate.\textsuperscript{25,26} Horacek et al.\textsuperscript{27} investigated conversion of sodium lignosulfonate (Na-LS) over alumina supported reduced Ni and NiMo catalyst in 5 wt % aqueous solution at 320 °C in a tubular reactor with hydrogen flow of 5 N mL/min. They observed formation of alkyl substituted guaiacols and phenols in the products, with a guaiacol yield of 1.8 and 1.5 wt % over Ni/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and NiMo/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, respectively.\textsuperscript{27} A drawback of using water as reaction medium is its poor performance in inhibiting condensation reactions between radical fragments.\textsuperscript{28} It was previously shown by Huang et al.\textsuperscript{7} that ethanol can inhibit repolymerization reactions by O- and C- alkylation reactions.\textsuperscript{7}

In this work, we investigated the conversion of lignosulfonate in ethanol medium in the presence of a NiMo catalyst supported on Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} in the presence of 26 bar H\textsubscript{2}. The composition and properties of the liquid, solid and gaseous compounds was comprehensively evaluated and the role of solvent was elaborated on. Analytical techniques such as GC−MS, GC × GC, GC equipped with thermal conductivity detector (GC-TCD), SEC, HSQC NMR, elemental analysis and ion-coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) were used for analysis of the products. The presence of the catalyst increased the yield of liquefied fraction and promoted ethanol end-capping reactions. Moreover, the necessity of presulfidation of the NiMo catalyst for conversion of the lignosulfonate, which contains 3.1 wt % sulfur, was investigated. Additionally, we studied the effect of reaction parameters such as reaction temperature, reaction time and catalyst loading to maximize the yield of the liquefied fraction.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials.

Spruce based Na-LS was provided by Borregaard A/S. According to Mortensen et al.,\textsuperscript{29} potassium is detrimental to the HDO activity of supported NiMoS, and sodium is expected to have a similar effect. The original Na-LS contained 7.3 wt % Na and 0.01 wt % K, and therefore, the Na\textsuperscript{+} and K\textsuperscript{+} were exchanged with H\textsuperscript{+} ions, by ion-exchange using Amberlite 120 H resin as described elsewhere,\textsuperscript{30} in order to avoid potential interactions with the catalyst. The sulfonic acid form of lignosulfonate is denoted H-LS. After the ion-exchange, the amount of Na\textsuperscript{+} and K\textsuperscript{+} decreased to 0.1 and 0.001 wt % on a dry matter basis, respectively. The solvent used in the conversion of lignin was ethanol (VWR, 99.9%). Other chemicals including the gases for GC analysis were of analytical grade and used without any pretreatment.
2.2. Catalyst. Two catalyst samples were used in the experiments. The catalyst used in most of the tests was a commercial NiMo/Al2O3 provided by Haldor Topsøe A/S (named NiMo-I). For studies on the sulfidation state of the catalyst by transmission electron microscopy energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (TEM-EDX) analysis, a batch of 10 g alumina supported NiMo sample was prepared in-house (named NiMo-II). The support of this catalyst was γ-Al2O3 from Saint-Gobain with a surface area of 254.7 m²/g and pore volume of 1.11 mL/g. The support material was crushed to 150–300 μm. The catalyst was prepared by successive incipient wetness impregnation stages: First the support was impregnated with 15 wt % nominal concentration of Mo using an aqueous solution of ammonium heptamolybdate tetrahydrate (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O (Sigma-Aldrich, purity ≥99%) as precursor. The precursor solution was subsequently added dropwise to the support. The water was later evaporated in an oven at 50 °C, overnight. A 3 wt % nominal concentration of Ni was then added in a second impregnation step, using an aqueous solution of nickel nitrate hexahydrate Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (Sigma-Aldrich purity ≥99.999%), followed by evaporation of water. The in-house synthesized catalyst was calcined by heating to 500 °C at a rate of 5 °C/min in 2.5 L/min flow of 20 vol % O₂ and 80 vol % N₂ and holding at this temperature for 3 h. The Mo and Ni content of the calcined catalyst was measured by ICP analysis being 12.8 and 2.3 wt %, respectively, which corresponds to a Ni/Mo molar ratio of 0.3. This molar ratio is recognized as the optimal value for HDS activity. The catalyst was used in oxide form in most of the experiments, however, in a few experiments the catalyst was presulfided. Presulfidation of NiMo catalyst was achieved using 1–5 mL dimethyldisulfide (DMDS) in reductive atmosphere (30 bar H₂, loaded at RT) at 400 °C, overnight. The sulfur content of the presulfided home-synthesized NiMo-II catalyst was 10.0 wt % as determined by ICP analysis, which corresponds to the formation of MoS₂, NiS, and Ni₂S₃.

2.3. Lignosulfonate Depolymerization Reactions. The experiments were conducted in a 4566 series Parr reactor (volume of 0.3 L) with the loadings in most of the experiments being 1 g of catalyst, 10 g of H-LS, and 100 mL of ethanol as solvent. In a few experiments, 0.5 g of catalyst, 5 g of H-LS, and 50 mL of solvent were loaded. The reactor was flushed with N₂ for three times and subsequently charged with 26 bar H₂ at room temperature (RT). Then the reactor was heated to the desired temperature (typically 310 °C) while stirring with an approximate heating rate of 8.5 °C/min. Stirring was important to avoid that lignin deposited at the reactor bottom and was exposed to high temperature causing severe charring. The initiation of reaction time was considered from the moment that the temperature inside the reactor reached the set point. At the end of the reaction time (typically 3 h), the reactor was quickly cooled by quenching the reactor vessel in an ice bath. Once the temperature inside the reactor reached ambient condition, gas samples were collected using Tedlar gas bags. Before opening the reactor, the gas phase was discharged to the vent and the reactor was flushed with N₂ gas. The effect of adding a sulfur source was studied in an experiment by adding 1 mL of DMDS to the reaction medium before reaction. A few experiments were also conducted in the absence of catalyst for comparison.

At the end of each experiment, the solid and the liquid products of the reactions were separated by vacuum filtration over a preweighed filter paper. The filter cake was washed with 100 mL ethanol to ensure removal of the light products. The filtrate and ethanol used for rinsing the cake were collected and the solid phase was dried overnight at 60 °C. The solid phase was comprised of spent catalyst, ash, char and possibly unreacted/repolymerized lignin. The heavy and light fractions in the liquid phase were separated using a rotary evaporator at 35 °C, 5 mbar vacuum and a rotation speed of 130 rpm. Ethanol and the light products were evaporated (light phase), and a thick liquid phase remained unevaporated, regarded as “oil” (heavy liquid phase). During the workup procedure, the masses of the different fractions were carefully measured. A mass loss of 6–15 wt % was observed during the workup procedure, mainly in the filtration step and was likely mainly due to loss of solvent.

The oil and solid fractions were calculated based on the dry and ash free (DAF) H-LS (eq 1 and 2, respectively). The mass of the solid organics (originating from lignin) was calculated by subtracting the mass of ash and loaded catalyst from the total solid mass obtained from filtration.

\[
\text{oil yield} = \frac{m_{\text{oil}}}{m_{\text{DAF-H-LS}}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{solid yield} = \frac{m_{\text{solid residue}} - m_{\text{ash}} - m_{\text{catalyst}}}{m_{\text{DAF-H-LS}}} \times 100
\]

2.4. Characterizations and Analytical Techniques. The molecular weight distribution of lignin, solid and oil fractions was evaluated by SEC using an Agilent 1100 series HPLC equipped with a UV–vis detector. The precolumn (50 × 8 mm, 5 μm) and column (300 × 8 mm, 5 μm) were purchased from polymer Standard Service Company Polsarl. A 90/10 wt % dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)/water solution containing 0.85 M LiBr was used as solvent. The samples were dissolved in the solvent with a concentration of approximately 2 mg/mL and 10 μL of sample was injected for each analysis. The column oven temperature was set to 80 °C to facilitate the elution of solvent and the elution flow rate was set to 1 mL/min. Phenol (Mw: 94 g/mol), guaiacylglycerol-β-guaiacyl ether (GGGE) (Mw: 320 g/mol), and tannic acid (Mw: 1701 g/mol) were used as representative standards for lignin monomers, dimers and polymers. The wavelength detected by the UV–vis detector was 280 nm, which is the wavelength suitable for detection of aromatic species. The compounds with higher molecular weight are expected to be detected at lower retention times compared to the compounds with lower molecular weight.

The oil and light fractions were analyzed using a Shimadzu QP 2010 Ultra GC–MS–FID apparatus equipped with a Supelco Equity 5 column. Identification and quantification of the samples were performed by the MS and flame ionization detector (FID), respectively. For each analysis, a weighed amount of oil sample was diluted in 10 mL ethanol. The initial temperature for the GC column was set to 40 °C and the column was heated to 250 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min and kept at this temperature for 5 min. A split ratio of 90 was used in the injection section. The MS was intentionally turned off between 2.4 to 4.0 min in order to avoid saturation by the high concentration of ethanol. Product identification was performed using the NIST 8 library. The selectivity of the compounds that were sufficiently volatile to pass through the GC column was calculated by the ratio of the FID-area of each compound relative to the total FID-area.

HSQC NMR experiments were performed on a 400 MHz Bruker Ascend magnet with an Avance II console and equipped with a Prodigy cryoprobe, at 400.13 MHz for 1H and 100.61 for 13C using the standard Bruker pulse sequence. The samples were prepared by dissolving them in DMSO-d₆. The experiments were recorded with a sweep width of 12 ppm in 1H and 2048 points and 256 increments in the indirect dimension (13C) covering 165 ppm. The delay for magnetization transfer delay was calculated to be optimal for a one-bond coupling for 145 Hz. Data were processed and plotted using Mnova software.

An Agilent 7890A series GC-TCD detector was used for identification and quantification of gaseous products. The gas injection into the GC was achieved using a fixed pressure pump. H₂ was analyzed with an arrangement of a 6 ft Haysep Q and 5 Å molecular sieve columns, where Ar was the carrier gas. The gases N₂, O₂, CO, CO₂, CH₄, C₂H₆, and C₂H₄ were detected in a line where He was the carrier gas with a column arrangement of 3 ft Haysep Q, HP-Plot and 5 Å. The calibration curves were created using certified gas mixtures from AGA. The moles of each gas were calculated by the gas phase analysis from the GC and the cold pressure of the evacuator at the end of each experiment assuming ideal gas behavior.

The oil phases from both noncatalytic and catalytic reactions were analyzed with GC × GC analysis on a LECO Pegasus GC × GC-TOFMS equipped with two columns: The first column was a 25 m × 0.25 mm i.d. and 0.25 μm film of ZB-1701 connected to a second column.
column which was a 1.5 m x 0.18 mm i.d. and 0.18 μm film of Rtx-S. The oven temperature of the first column was set to 40 °C for 2 min, then heated to 300 °C with a heating rate of 5 °C/min and was kept at 300 °C for 5 min. The second oven had an offset of 5 °C from first column. Pulsed split with split ratio of 25 and pressure of 20 psi for 2 min was applied. The carrier gas was He with constant flow of 1.5 mL/min. The modulation time was 8 s throughout the run. The MS column. Pulsed split with split ratio of 25 and pressure of 20 psi for 2 mg encapsulated sample at 980 °C CHNS analyzer. The measurement was done by combustion of a 1° evolved CO2, H2O, N2 and SO2 by GC-TCD. The oxygen percentage at 300 °C of kraft lignin in methanol at 320 °C methanol soluble oil was reported from reductive conversion of NiMo-II catalyst samples. The samples were melted together with sulfur from conversion of H-LS over the NiMo-I catalyst at 310 °C. Noticeably, the sum of the solid and remained forms were 79 and 81 wt %, respectively, and were comparable with those obtained using non-presulfided and presulfided NiMo-I with similar catalyst, lignin and solvent loading (Table 1, entries 7 and 8).

The MoS2 slabs were clearly visible in the TEM images of the fresh presulfided NiMo-II (Figure 2a) and the spent presulfided NiMo-II (Figure 2b). Regarding the spent non-presulfided NiMo-II (Figure 2, c), linear features were detected which were consistent with MoS2 slabs, however, in a less developed state compared to the presulfided NiMo-II catalyst samples. The EDX analysis of the spent non-presulfided NiMo-II showed a homogeneous distribution of Ni, Mo and S (shown in Figure 3). 10.0 wt % sulfur was determined by ICP-OES on Ni, Mo and S content in the oil fractions was measured by ICP-OES. The samples were prepared for dilution of a weighed amount of oil samples in ethanol.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Depolymerization of Lignosulfonate. The results from conversion of H-LS are summarized in Table 1. The reproducibility was confirmed by repeating selected experiments. A high yield of 67 ± 2 wt % oil phase was obtained from conversion of H-LS over the NiMo-I catalyst at 310 °C (Table 1, entry 1) and the yield of solid fraction was 38 ± 2 wt %. The catalyst was used in its oxide form, and it was assumed that it may become sulfided in situ by reaction with sulfur from lignosulfonate. This will be discussed later based on the results from the NiMo-II catalyst. Noticeably, the sum of the solid and oil yields exceeded 100%, most likely due to the solvent incorporation. This has been observed before by Ma et al. where 164 wt % oil yield was reported from ethanolysis of kraft lignin over α-MoO3/AC at 280 °C, indicating considerable ethanol conversion and incorporation to the liquid products. The observed oil yield in this work at 310 °C and 3 h reaction time is among the highest yields reported from one-pot mild solvothermal conversion of lignin [21,27,34]; 57 wt % methanol soluble oil was reported from reductive conversion of kraft lignin in methanol at 320 °C and 8 h reaction time. Oregui-Bengochea reported maximum oil yield of 65 wt % from conversion of rice-straw lignin in ethanol/formic acid solution over sulfated alumina-supported NiMo catalyst at higher temperature and reaction time of 340 °C and 6 h. To assess the sulfidation state of the non-presulfided catalyst after reaction, an experiment was made at 310 °C using the

**Table 1. Results from Conversion of H-LS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>catalyst: presulfided/oxide</th>
<th>catalyst mass [g]</th>
<th>reaction time [h]</th>
<th>T [°C]</th>
<th>oil yield (wt %)</th>
<th>solid yield (wt %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>oxide</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>noncatalytic</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>oxide</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>noncatalytic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>oxide</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>presulfided</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>presulfided</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>presulfided</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>presulfided</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>presulfided</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>presulfided</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>oxide</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>oxide</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>oxide</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>oxide</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>oxide</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>oxide</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>spent catalyst</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>spent catalyst</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reaction condition: NiMo-I catalyst, 10 g H-LS, 100 mL ethanol, 26 bar H2 (loaded at RT). Experiment in N2 atmosphere (8 bar N2, loaded at RT), 0.5 g catalyst, 5 g lignin, 50 mL solvent. With addition of 1 mL DMDS, Reusing catalyst from entry 1, Reusing catalyst from entry 18.

homemade non-presulfided NiMo-II catalyst (0.5 g of catalyst, 5 g of H-LS, 50 mL of ethanol, 3 h, no DMDS addition) and subsequently the TEM-EDX analysis of the spent NiMo-II catalyst was obtained. Moreover, the TEM-EDX analysis of fresh presulfided NiMo-II and spent presulfided NiMo-II after reaction (0.5 g of catalyst, 5 g of H-LS, 50 mL of ethanol, 310 °C, 3 h, no DMDS addition) were obtained as reference. The oil yields observed using NiMo-II catalyst in the non-presulfided and presulfided forms were 79 and 81 wt %, respectively, and were comparable with those obtained using non-presulfided and presulfided NiMo-I with similar catalyst, lignin and solvent loading (Table 1, entries 7 and 8).

The role of the catalyst was studied by conversion of H-LS in the absence of catalyst (Table 1, entry 2). The presence of the catalyst clearly promoted formation of the liquefied fragments as only 26 wt % oil was obtained in noncatalytic condition compared to 67 wt % with the catalyst. Conversely,
the solid fraction was 77 wt % in the absence of the catalyst versus 38 wt % in the catalytic condition. The oil and solid fractions from noncatalytic and catalytic tests were comprehensively evaluated in order to determine the role of catalyst and differences in product distribution.

3.1.1. Evaluation of the Oil Fractions. The oil fractions were analyzed with GC−MS. The chromatograms and structures of the main compounds identified with the mass spectrometer are shown in Figure 4. Here it should be considered that only the compounds that were sufficiently volatile to pass through the column at the GC conditions were identified with the MS, and the GC analysis therefore only covers the lowest size range of the products. Guaiacol, alkylated guaiacols, and ethyl vanillate comprised the main identified compounds in the oil from the noncatalytic test (Table 1, entry 2, see Figure 4a). Guaiacol and alkyl substituted guaiacols are expected compounds from degradation of spruce based lignin as this lignin is mainly composed of coniferyl alcohol units (G-Lignin). Formation of guaiacol and its variations can be attributed to the cleavage of β-O-4 bonds. Formation of ethyl vanillate may take place by formation of vanillic acid from cleavage of ether bonds and esterification with ethanol. Noticeably, the identified compounds in the oil from catalytic conversion were different from the compounds in the absence of catalyst (Table 1, entry 1, see Figure 4b). Three main groups of compounds were identified in the oil fraction obtained from the catalytic test using NiMo-I (Table 1, entry 1): alkyl phenols, alkoxy alkyl phenols and alkoxy alkyl benzenes. The selectivity to monooxygen-containing compounds in the catalytic oil was more than 50% (area basis), whereas all the compounds in the oil fraction from noncatalytic test contained at least two oxygen atoms. This implies a deoxygenation activity of the catalyst on the dioxygenated species. The presence of alkyl and alkyl ether bonds was pronounced in the monomers of the catalytic oil, most probably due to C- and O-alkylation with ethanol catalyzed over the NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst. Horacek and co-workers observed guaiacol and guaiacol derivatives from conversion of 5 wt % aqueous solution of lignosulfonate over an alumina supported NiMo catalyst at 320 °C, partially similar...
to the compounds observed in the noncatalytic oil in this work. The difference between the products observed in our catalytic oil and the catalytic oil in the work from Horacek et al. is ascribed to the role of ethanol compared to water in alkylation of reactive intermediate. It was assumed that saturation of aromatics did not take place since aliphatic cyclic compounds were not detected by GC analysis in the oil samples.

Moreover, the oil fractions from noncatalytic and catalytic conversion of H-LS at 310 °C were qualitatively analyzed with GC × GC analysis for identification of the main compound groups in the oil fractions (Figure 5). Guaiacol and alkyl guaiacols (methyl-, ethyl-, and propyl-substituted guaiacol) and catechol and alkyl catechols (methyl- and ethyl-substituted catechols) were the major identified compounds in the oil fraction obtained in the noncatalytic condition, whereas alkyl phenols, alkyl benzenes, and alkyl catechols were identified compound groups in the catalytic oil. Ethanol incorporation in form of alkylation was clearly observed over the catalyst, where bis and tert alkyl compounds were detected. Oxygen-free compounds such as alkyl benzene were only detected in the oil from catalytic conversion of H-LS. Deoxygenation and alkylation reactions in the presence of the catalyst were therefore confirmed by GC × GC, which is consistent with the GC–MS results. Vanillic acid derivatives were the other identified group in noncatalytic condition, while the intensities corresponding to this group considerably decreased in the catalytic oil, presumably by the deoxygenation activity of the catalyst in removing the carboxyl groups. Moreover, vanillin derivatives with a low intensity were detected in the oil from noncatalytic condition only.

The SEC analysis of the noncatalytic and catalytic oils are shown in Figure 6 and the SEC of H-LS is shown for comparison. The retention times of phenol, GGGE and tannic acid are further shown as standards. According to the SEC results, H-LS is highly degraded to liquefied compounds, however, the conversion of H-LS over the NiMo catalyst did not result in formation of compounds with a higher degree of depolymerization as both oil fractions had the same retention time range. The oil from noncatalytic test showed a bimodal elution, while the oil from the catalytic condition had a more

Figure 4. GC–MS analysis of the oil fractions from conversion of H-LS at 310 °C: (a) noncatalytic; (b) catalytic. Reaction conditions: 0/1 g of NiMo-I catalyst, 10 g of H-LS, 100 mL of ethanol, 26 bar of H2 (loaded at RT), 3 h.
uniform molecular weight distribution. The main peaks in both oil samples had elution times within the range of dimers to oligomers, however, the catalytically produced oil had a greater fraction of larger compounds. The formation of a higher fraction of monomers perhaps requires higher reaction temperature to break the highly stable C–C bonds and may then lead to too light products. The potential reasons for the similar sizes of the oil fractions are elaborated later with the mechanism of the lignin degradation.

In order to get a better insight into the functional groups in the oil fractions HSQC NMR was utilized. The NMR analysis of the oil fractions from noncatalytic and catalytic reactions over NiMo-I at 310 °C are shown in Figure 7. The signals corresponding to the aliphatic and aromatic regions and the side chains are specified according to the literature.38,39 The prominent interconnecting units in the structure of lignin such as β-O-4 and α-O-4 were not detected, indicating that the degradation in the absence and presence of catalyst perhaps resulted in the cleavage of these bonds. Compared to the noncatalytic oil, a pronounced decrease in methoxy protons (δC/δH 56.2/3.75) relative to the aromatic protons was observed in the catalytic oil. In agreement with the GC−MS results, this indicates the catalytic hydrogenolysis and deoxygenation of methoxy groups. Additionally, 9 times more CH₄ was evolved in the gas phase from the catalytic test which can partially be from hydrogenolysis of -OCH₃ to CH₄, along with solvent decomposition. A decrease in alcohol neighboring -γ-protons (δC/δH 62.9/3.76, 61.3/4.27), was observed in catalytically produced oil. This indicated the removal of the hydroxyl group on the aliphatic carbon in the presence of the catalyst. Higher intensities in the aliphatic region were detected in the oil from catalytic conversion which corresponds to CH₃/CH in α position connected to an aromatic ring and is consistent with the observed ethanol alkylation reactions catalyzed over the NiMo catalyst.
The elemental analysis of the H-LS and the oil samples is shown in Table 2. The higher heating values (HHVs) were calculated using the Dulong formula. The oxygen content of the noncatalytic oil fraction (Table 2, entry 2) was 23.2 wt %, which compared to 30.8 wt % in H-LS indicated that the deoxygenation took place even in the absence of catalyst. This is in agreement with the observations of Nielsen et al., where deoxygenation was reported in noncatalytic conversion of lignin obtained from enzymatically hydrolyzed straw, in ethanol at 250–450 °C. A higher degree of deoxygenation was observed over NiMo-I catalyst (Table 2, entry 3), where the oxygen content decreased to 11.4 wt %. The HHV of the oil obtained over NiMo-I catalyst was calculated to be 36.5 MJ/kg, which is similar to that of butanol (HHV of 36.6 MJ/kg). Surprisingly, the sulfur content of the oil from the noncatalytic test was only 0.3 wt %, measured by ICP analysis, which was lower than in the oil from catalytic test, 0.4 wt %. However, the sulfur content of the catalytic oil can be reduced to 0.1 wt % by prolonging the reaction time to 4 h, which is elaborated later.

The role of hydrogen on degradation of lignin was studied by catalytic conversion of H-LS in N₂ atmosphere (8 bar at RT). Here, oil and solid yields of 45 and 64 wt % were detected, respectively (Table 1, entry 3). The oil yield in N₂ atmosphere was lower than the oil yield in H₂ atmosphere (45 vs 67 wt %). Similarly, Narani et al. observed 22 wt % methanol soluble oil from conversion of kraft lignin over NiMoS/AC in the absence of H₂, while the oil yield increased to 53 wt % in the presence of 35 bar H₂ (loaded at RT). The elemental composition of the oil in N₂ atmosphere however showed similar features to the oil from H₂ (Table 2, entry 4). It is speculated that in situ ethanol-derived hydrogen can be involved in hydroxylation and reductive deoxygenation reactions. The formation of hydrogen from ethanol in nitrogen atmosphere was confirmed by GC analysis, with the hydrogen partial pressure of 4.9 bar (the cold pressure of the autoclave was 21 bar). The NMR analysis (shown in the Supporting Information, Figure S2) indicated that the catalyst was capable of demethoxylation under nitrogen pressure, to the same extent as under hydrogen pressure. However, the decrease of γ-protons did not progress to the same extent in nitrogen atmosphere, perhaps due to the lower partial pressure of hydrogen. Therefore, it is concluded that higher partial pressure of hydrogen affects the liquefaction reactions positively likely by deoxygenation and stabilization of reactive radicals.

On the basis of the results from characterization of the oil fractions using different analytical techniques, the following mechanism is suggested: The similar molecular weight distribution ranges observed by SEC analysis of the oil fractions from noncatalytic and catalytic conditions indicates that the depolymerization reactions are possibly not affected by the catalyst. Moreover, the HSQC NMR analysis of the oils confirmed absence of prominent interconnecting units in the structure of lignin such as β-O-4 in noncatalytic and catalytic conditions, and aligned with SEC results indicates that degradation reactions of H-LS possibly occur via thermal cracking and ethanolysis of the more susceptible bonds. Highly reactive intermediates produced from depolymerization undergo fast condensation reactions, unless the reactivity is suppressed by end-capping reactions. In the presence of the catalyst, stabilization of radical fragments takes place via ethanol alkylation reactions, resulting in stabilization of radicals and therefore higher liquefaction yields. The limited formation of monomeric species suggests that the dimers and oligomers formed in the degradation have interconnecting bonds that cannot be broken at the applied reaction conditions, even in the presence of a catalyst. An additional role of the catalyst is deoxygenation reactions via reductive removal of hydroxyl and methoxy groups, which is favorable for production of fuels.

3.1.2. Evaluation of the Solid Fractions. The solid residue in the absence of catalyst consisted of large agglomerated lumps, whereas the solid residue from the catalytic reaction had much smaller particles of more uniform size, presumably due to grinding action of the catalyst particles on the char under the stirring and also lower degree of condensation. The physical appearance of the solid fractions from noncatalytic and catalytic conditions (Table 1, entries 1 and 2) is shown in the Supporting Information, Figure S3. The elemental composition of H-LS and the solid residues from noncatalytic and catalytic conversion of H-LS is shown in Table 3. The solid from noncatalytic test had a higher carbon content (76.4 wt %) than the solid from catalytic conversion (65.3 wt %)
whereas the chemical composition of the solid from catalytic condition possessed very similar composition to H-LS, except for the sulfur content (Table 3, entry 1). Getting insight into the molecular weight distribution of the solid phases was not achievable due to their insoluble character in 90/10 wt % DMSO/water containing 0.05 M LiBr (solvent used for SEC analysis, in which H-LS is completely soluble). Therefore, it was concluded that both solid fractions were produced from noncatalytic oil.

![Figure 7. HSQC NMR of the oil fractions from (a) noncatalytic oil and (b) catalytic condition at 310 °C. Reaction conditions: 0/1 g of NiMo-I catalyst, 10 g of H-LS, 100 mL of ethanol, 26 bar of H2 (loaded at RT), 3 h.](image)

**Table 2. Elemental Analysis and the HHVs of H-LS and the Oil Fractions from Non-Catalytic and Catalytic Conversion of H-LS at 310 °C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>H-LS/oil</th>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>C (wt %)</th>
<th>O (wt %)</th>
<th>H (wt %)</th>
<th>S (wt %)</th>
<th>atomic O/C</th>
<th>atomic H/C</th>
<th>HHV (MJ/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H-LS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>oil</td>
<td>noncatalytic</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>oil</td>
<td>NiMo-I</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>oil</td>
<td>NiMo-I</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reaction conditions: 0/1 g of NiMo-I catalyst, 10 g of H-LS, 100 mL of ethanol, 26 bar of H2 (loaded at RT) except for the test in N2 atmosphere, 3 h. bOil produced in N2 atmosphere (8 bar N2 loaded at RT).*
condensation reactions. The higher oxygen content in the solid from catalytic condition compared to the noncatalytic solid suggests that it probably experienced a lower degree of condensation.

### 3.2. Effect of Catalyst Presulfidation on Products

As the TEM-EDX analysis suggest an in situ sulfidation, it is relevant to evaluate the impact of presulfidation the catalyst on the products. To this end, a number of tests were conducted with or without presulfidation of NiMo-I at 260 and 310 °C (results are shown in Figure 8). H-LS was tested in a noncatalytic reaction at 260 °C as a benchmark to compare noncatalytic and catalytic results at this temperature. Without catalyst, oil and solid yields of 17 and 81 wt % were obtained at 260 °C (Table 1, entry 4). By addition of catalyst at 260 °C in the oxide form (Table 1, entry 5), the oil yield increased to 29 wt %, while the solid yield decreased to 72 wt %. Moreover, by reaction of H-LS over presulfided NiMo at 260 °C (Table 1, entry 6) the oil yield increased to 46 wt %, and the solid yield decreased to 56 wt %, which indicated that presulfidation of NiMo at 260 °C was advantageous to acquire higher catalytic activity.

At 310 °C, the oil yield was 76 wt % over both the oxide and sulfide catalyst (Table 1, Entry 7 and 8, respectively) and the solid yields were also similar of above 25 wt % (Figure 8). It was therefore concluded that opposite to the tests at 260 °C, there was no effect in terms of the oil and solid yields at 310 °C over non-presulfided and presulfidated catalyst. In the experiments at 310 °C, the loading of catalyst, lignin, and solvent were halved in order to avoid overpressure when using the presulfided NiMo (0.5 g of catalyst, 5 g of H-LS, 50 mL of ethanol). It is interesting to observe that the oil yields increased under these conditions (compared to 67 wt % in standard reaction condition), which probably was due to higher partial pressure of hydrogen and also the variations in the density of supercritical ethanol and solubility of lignin. The oil fractions from non-presulfided and presulfided NiMo tests at 310 °C were analyzed with GC−MS analysis. The identified monomers and the selectivity were very similar (shown in Supporting Information, Table S1). However, the gas phase analysis indicated a major difference, where larger amount of gaseous products were formed for the presulfided catalyst (shown in Figure 9). The concentration of ethane in the gas phase from the test using the presulfided NiMo was more than twice of that in the gas phase from reaction over the non-presulfided catalyst. A similar trend was observed for all gases

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8.** Oil and solid yields from degradation of H-LS with non-presulfided and presulfided NiMo-I at 260 and 310 °C. Loading at 260 °C: 1 g of catalyst, 10 g of H-LS, and 100 mL of ethanol. Loading at 310 °C: 0.5 g of catalyst, 5 g of H-LS, and 50 mL of ethanol. For both: 26 bar of H₂ (loaded at RT), 3 h.

![Figure 9](image)

**Figure 9.** Gas phase analysis from conversion of H-LS over non-presulfided and presulfided catalyst at 310 °C. Reaction conditions: 0.5 g of NiMo-I catalyst, 5 g of H-LS, 50 mL of ethanol, 26 bar of H₂ (loaded at RT), 3 h.

---

**Table 3. Elemental Analysis and the HHVs of H-LS and the Solid Fractions from Non-Catalytic and Catalytic Conversion of H-LS at 310 °C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>H-LS/solid</th>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>C (wt %)</th>
<th>O (wt %)</th>
<th>H (wt %)</th>
<th>S (wt %)</th>
<th>atomic O/C</th>
<th>atomic H/C</th>
<th>HHV (MJ/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H-LS</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>solid</td>
<td>noncatalytic</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>solid</td>
<td>NiMo-I</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>N.A</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reaction condition: 0/1 g of NiMo-I catalyst, 10 g of H-LS, 100 mL of ethanol, 26 bar of H₂ (loaded at RT), 3 h.*
except for C2H4, which was converted over the presulfided catalyst to the alkane form (C2H6). This was consistent with the rapid initial pressure increase over the presulfided catalyst. This initial gas formation most likely occurred from the solvent and stopped when the catalyst surface was partially covered with the lignin decomposition products. For the non-presulfided catalyst, however, the sulfidation and initial lignin breakdown occurred simultaneously and therefore much less active free sites were available for solvent consumption in the early stages of the experiment.

Since in situ sulfidation of the oxide precursor does occur, the effect of presulfidation could suggest that the sulfide phase is the active form of the catalyst. At 260 °C, the in situ sulfidation generating the active phase may occur with a significant delay in the 3 h reaction time, and therefore presulfidation is beneficial, but at 310 °C in situ sulfidation is kinetically fast enough that presulfidation is without substantial effect. Hereafter, the catalytic experiments at 310 °C were conducted using NiMo-I catalyst without presulfidation, unless specified.

The presence of a sulfur source in catalytic conversions using NiMo and CoMo catalysts is required in order to maintain catalyst activity. According to Mortensen et al.29 when hydrodeoxygenation of phenol takes place over NiMoS catalyst, both H2S and H2O compete for the catalyst active sites29 and in the absence of sulfur, the edge sulfur atoms can be replaced by oxygen. In a test, 1 mL of DMDS was added to the reactor for conversion of H-LS over presulfided NiMo-I at 260 °C (Table 1, entry 9) to ensure sufficient partial pressure of H2S inside the reactor. Almost similar results to the test in the absence of DMDS were observed, which indicated that the sulfidation state of catalyst can be maintained by organic sulfur from lignosulfonate or that the operating time was too short to lose significant amounts of sulfur from the catalyst.

3.3. Parameter Study. A parameter study on the reaction temperature, reaction time and catalyst loading was conducted in order to evaluate the role of each on the degradation of H-LS.

3.3.1. Effect of the Reaction Temperature. The effect of reaction temperature on the degradation of H-LS was elaborated by including the reaction temperatures 290 (Table 1, entry 10) and 300 °C (Table 1, entry 11). The catalysts for the tests at 290 and 300 °C were presulfided to ensure catalytic activity. The oil and solid yields from conversion of H-LS and the atomic H/C and O/C ratios are shown in Figure 10. The rise in the oil yield, increase in H/C ratio and decrease in O/C ratio shows the increase in lignin degradation and HDO reactions at higher temperature. The partial pressures of CO and CO2 in the gas phase from catalytic reaction at 260 °C were 1.08 and 1.7 bar, while at 310

![Figure 10. Oil and solid yields and atomic H/C and O/C ratios as a function of temperature. Reaction conditions: 1 g of NiMo-I catalyst, 10 g of H-LS, 100 mL of ethanol, 26 bar of H2 (loaded at RT), 3 h.](image)

![Figure 11. Oil and solid yields as a function of reaction time. Reaction conditions: 1 g of NiMo-I catalyst, 10 g of H-LS, 100 mL of ethanol, 26 bar of H2 (loaded at RT).](image)
and much better solubility in ethanol at lower temperatures. However, they did not observe the same trend for organosolv depolymerization over 5% Ru/C in acetone for kraft lignin expected since the NiMoS is an e-sulfur is removed from the liquid product over time as sulfur removal in the oil fraction after 4 h. This shows that lignosulfonate with 3.1 wt % sulfur content, indicates 97% decrease of the methoxy groups leveled off after 3 h. The gas analysis showed almost similar elution pattern, except for the remaining solid was a likely a highly cross-linked char from e.g. repolymerization reactions and therefore the oil yield did not change further as this solid could not be converted. The SEC analysis showed almost similar elution pattern, except for the oil from 0.5 g catalyst test. This oil was composed of smaller molecular weight fractions (shown in the Supporting Information, Figure S9). Similar to what was observed by increasing the reaction temperature and reaction time, further degradation of dimers and oligomers to monomers did not occur even with the highest catalyst loading. This supports the proposed mechanism for lignin degradation.

### 3.3.3. Effect of the Catalyst Loading

The effect of catalyst loading was investigated by varying the loading of catalyst with a fixed amount of H-LS (10 g). The solid and oil yields are shown in Table 1 (entries 15–17). The oil yield increased from 57 to 88 wt % by increasing the catalyst mass from 0.5 to 2 g and the solid yield decreased from 47 wt % to 15 wt %. However, by increasing the catalyst mass from 2 to 3 g no further increase of the oil yield was observed. At this stage, the conversion of the original lignin seems to have stopped and the remaining solid was likely a highly cross-linked char from e.g. repolymerization reactions and therefore the oil yield did not change further as this solid could not be converted. The SEC analysis showed almost similar elution pattern, except for the oil from 0.5 g catalyst test. This oil was composed of smaller molecular weight fractions (shown in the Supporting Information, Figure S9). Similar to what was observed by increasing the reaction temperature and reaction time, further degradation of dimers and oligomers to monomers did not occur even with the highest catalyst loading. This supports the proposed mechanism for lignin degradation.

### 3.4. Catalyst Reusability

Catalyst reusability is a critical factor for catalytic processes. The spent catalyst from catalytic conversion of H-LS was mixed with solid char residue. Separation of the catalyst from the char was not possible; therefore, the entire solid residue fraction from a standard experiment (Table 1, entry 1) was used as a catalyst for a subsequent experiment, without any pretreatment. The oil yields decreased slightly from 67 wt % to 65 and 61 wt %, by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>reaction time [h]</th>
<th>C (wt %)</th>
<th>O (wt %)</th>
<th>H (wt %)</th>
<th>S (wt %)</th>
<th>atomic O/C</th>
<th>atomic H/C</th>
<th>HHV (MJ/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reaction conditions: 1 g of NiMo-I catalyst, 10 g of H-LS, 100 mL of ethanol, 26 bar H2 (loaded at RT)
reusing catalyst for the first and second time (Table 1, entries 18 and 19) which may be due to the loss of catalyst in workup procedure. Moreover, coke may have formed on the catalyst. Considering the reasonably high oil yields, it was concluded that the catalyst is reusable for at least two times without any pretreatment.

4. CONCLUSION

Lignosulfonate in the acid form was successfully degraded in the presence of alumina supported NiMo catalysts at temperature ranges of 260–310 °C in ethanol. The presence of the catalyst resulted in a considerable increase of the liquefied fractions, which was attributed to stabilization of reactive compounds with reductive ethanol incorporation over catalytic sites. 67 wt% oil yield was obtained from conversion of H-LS at standard reaction condition at 310 °C (1 g of catalyst: 10 g of H-LS: 100 mL of solvent, Initial H2 loading of 26 bar, 3 h). It was observed that at 310 °C, in situ activation of the catalyst to NiMoS was achievable by reaction with sulfur from the lignosulfonate. However, presulfidation of the catalyst was required at lower temperatures e.g. 260 °C. The yield of liquefied fraction increased to 88 wt% by doubling the catalyst loading at 310 °C. The reusability of the catalyst without any pretreatment was confirmed for at least two times with only a minor loss in the oil yield.
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