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Quantification of a bacterial secondary metabolite by SERS combined with SLM extraction for bioprocesi monitoring

Lidia Morelli,a,* Sune Zoëga Andreasen,a Christian Bille Jendresen,b Alex Toftgaard Nielsen,b Jenny Emmnéus,a Kinga Zór,a and Anja Boisena

During the last decades, great advances were reached in high-throughput design and building of genetically engineered microbial strains, leading to a need for fast and reliable screening methods. We developed and optimized a microfluidic supported liquid membrane (SLM) extraction device and combined it with surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) sensing for the screening of a biological process, namely for the quantification of a bacterial secondary metabolite, p-coumaric acid (pHCA), produced by Escherichia coli. The microfluidic device proved to be robust and reusable, enabling efficient removal of interfering compounds from the real samples, reaching more than 13-fold up-concentration of the donor at 10 μL/min flow rate. With this method we quantified pHCA directly from bacterial supernatant, distinguishing between various culture conditions based on pHCA production yield. The obtained data showed good correlation with HPLC analysis.

Introduction

Research in the field of metabolic engineering has been significantly growing over the last decades. In fact, in many cases microbial factories provide a more sustainable production of economically valuable compounds, compared to chemical synthesis or extraction from natural sources. Modern genetic engineering approaches rely on a design, building and testing cycle. While there have been great advances in the design and building steps, testing typically remains a bottleneck. Therefore, there is an increasing need for fast and reliable screening methods. Current standard techniques, such as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy are robust, accurate and reliable, but they have several drawbacks, as they need expensive and bulky instrumentation and skilled personnel to optimize the detection protocols. Furthermore, the detection process is time consuming and requires large amounts of solvents. Hence, fast, cost-effective and high-throughput detection would represent a significant improvement and an important innovation in the field.

Escherichia coli (E. coli) strains expressing tyrosine ammonia lyase (TAL) are established biological systems for the production of p-coumaric acid (pHCA). The TAL enzymatic reaction is a well-known process in the natural phenols biosynthesis pathway, which transforms tyrosine (Tyr) into pHCA. The resulting phenolic compound has antioxidant and antimicrobial activity and has several commercial applications, for instance in cosmetic and food industry. Metabolic engineering of E. coli for optimized production of pHCA has many challenges. Besides differences between bacterial strains, production may also vary according to culture conditions, such as medium composition and presence or absence of nutrients. Therefore, a time efficient method with potential for high-throughput screening would be extremely beneficial for optimizing metabolic engineering steps.

In recent years, surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) has proven to be a time-effective and versatile technique, and a useful analytical tool for quantitative sensing in biological applications. In SERS-based detection, nanostructured metallic surfaces enhance the Raman signal, enabling detection of analytes at low concentrations with a specific molecular fingerprint. In order to maximize the enhancement, a molecule should be located within a few nanometers or adsorbed to the active surface, avoiding excess of salts and interfering compounds, which could dramatically decrease sensitivity.

Bacterial supernatant is a complex solution, containing salts, proteins and metabolites other than the compound of interest. Furthermore, a certain amount of the substance needed for production of secondary metabolites can be found at different time points in the supernatant, leading to overlapping spectral features. Therefore, sample pretreatment and cleanup is an important step to reduce the amount of interfering compounds and therefore increase the sensitivity for the compound of interest.

In general, many commonly used separation techniques (e.g. chromatography) are coupled to various detection methods (e.g. spectroscopy) in order to increase sensing accuracy and sensitivity. In spite of their high selectivity, these separation techniques often require large volumes of eluents and complex instrumentation. Other techniques, such as liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) and solid phase extraction, are also widely used for extraction of organic analytes from aqueous solutions.

Among membrane-based extraction techniques, supported liquid membrane (SLM) extraction is a powerful and versatile technique, and able to reach high selectivity and enrichment of compounds of interest. It is based on a small amount of organic solvent immobilized through capillary force in the pores of a porous membrane, placed in between a donor and an acceptor phase. A suitable compound must be able to exist in a nonionic form in the donor phase and in ionic form in the acceptor phase. Due to the difference in concentration and pH
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between the phases, the compound of interest is irreversibly driven through the oil phase by diffusion and is enriched in the acceptor phase, reaching much higher concentrations than in the donor. High selectivity and enrichment factors can be achieved through accurate choice of the membrane and oil phase, and by tuning the pH and flow rates of the donor phase.

There are numerous studies focused on the development of sample preparation and extraction techniques in combination with SERS detection, especially when dealing with complex sample matrices. In our previous work, we demonstrated the advantages of combining traditional LLE with SERS detection and successfully screened different E. coli strains based on the produced pHCA quantity. However, LLE proved to have some disadvantages, since it required several manual sample handling steps and the usage of toxic solvents. SLM extraction represents an attractive tool for sample pretreatment. It is environmentally friendly, as low volumes of organic solvents are used, able to reach high enrichment factors, tunable for specific applications, easy to implement and shows high potential for automation. Although there are many examples in literature on integration of SLM extraction modules with conventional detection techniques, such as liquid or gas chromatography and capillary electrophoresis, to the best of our knowledge it was not used in combination with SERS-based detection. Given the challenges in SERS-based sensing, especially when dealing with water-based complex matrices, the selectivity of extraction and enrichment enabled by SLM extraction are of particular interest.

In this work we coupled SLM extraction to SERS-based detection and demonstrated the effectiveness of the method through a rapid screening of E. coli strains cultivated in different medium compositions. The metabolite of interest (pHCA) produced by each strain was extracted using a microfluidic SLM device and quantified with SERS. The method was validated using HPLC.

**Experimental**

**Chemicals**

100 mM pHCA and 5 mM Tyr stock solutions were freshly prepared in ethanol (EtOH) 99% and in 100 mM NaOH respectively. Dihexyl ether (DE) 97% was used for wetting the membranes in the SLM extraction devices. Donor samples were acidified at pH 1 with H2SO4 0.5 M (10% v/v), whereas 10 mM phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.4, was used as acceptor buffer for SLM extraction. For pHCA production assays the E. coli cells were grown in minimal M9 medium, containing 10 g/L glucose, 2 mM Tyr, 1 mM IPTG and Wolfe’s Vitamin solution purchased from ATCC® (LGC Standards, UK) as extensively described by Morelli et al. Aqueous solutions were made with ultrapure water obtained from a Milli-Q purification system (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, U.S.). All the chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, unless otherwise stated.

**E. coli culture**

E. coli control and pHCA producing strains were constructed as described by Jendresen et al., from the expression strain BL21(DE3)pLysS (Invitrogen/Life Technologies), carrying the extrachromosomal cloning vector pCDFDuet-1 or a derived plasmid encoding the tyrosine ammonia-lyase FjTAL. The pHCA producing strain was grown with 2 mM Tyr in different medium compositions (complete M9 medium, absence of IPTG or absence of vitamins). The control strain, characterized by the absence of FjTAL, was grown without Tyr and IPTG in M9 medium. Samples were taken at 24 h, and supernatant was obtained by double centrifugation (10 min at 10000 g, 4 °C).

**Microfluidic SLM device and experimental setup**

---

**Fig. 1:** (a) Exploded view of the different layers of the SLM extraction device. (b) Fluidic unit after thermal bonding, before inserting the silicone connectors. (c) Two extractions running in parallel, using a dual syringe pump and a common waste.
The microfluidic SLM extraction units were designed using CAD software (AutoCAD, Autodesk Inc., San Rafael, CA, US). As presented in Fig. 1(a), the fluidic system was made of layers of polymethyl methacrylate ((PMMA, Goodfellow Cambridge Ltd, Huntingdon, England)) with different thickness (from 0.25 to 3 mm) using laser ablation (Epilog Mini 18, 30W from Epilog Laser, Golden, CO, US). For assembly the PMMA layers were rinsed with isopropanol followed by 2 minutes of UV exposure (Dymax 5000 EC, bulb 36970 (Dymax Corp., CT, U.S.)), aligned and bonded together via thermal bonding (85°C, 25 bar for 40 minutes) in a bonding press (PW 10 H, P/O/Weber, Germany) (Fig. 1(b)). The nanoporous polypropylene (PP) membrane (Celgard 2500 Membrane, 55% porosity, 64 nm average diameter pore size and 25 µm thick, Celgard, Charlotte, NC, U.S.) was cut into 12 x 24 mm pieces and integrated in the system during the thermal bonding procedure (Fig. 1(a)). The fluidic system was designed with two extraction chambers (1 x 0.25 x 35 mm) connected in series by a U-shaped channel (Fig. 2), for a total volume of 15 µL for each phase with inlet and outlet placed on the same side of the microfluidic chip for both donor and acceptor. Small pieces of silicone tubes (3 mm outer diameter, 1 mm inner diameter, 3 mm length) were used as leak proof connectors at the inlets and outlets of the chip. The connectors were changed after each sample in order to avoid a possible cross-contamination between different samples.

The microfluidic device was prepared for extraction, by filling up the acceptor channel with PB in order to minimize oil contamination, and DE was introduced in the donor channel, followed by 15 min waiting time to enable membrane impregnation. After saturating the membrane with DE, the excess was removed and the donor channel was flushed with deionized water at 100 µL/min for 5 minutes. Before extraction, the acceptor channel was filled with 15 µL PB and sealed with Parafilm M® (Bemis Company, Inc., Neenah, WI, U.S.) and the device was connected to a syringe pump (Standard Infuse/Withdraw PHD 2000 Syringe Pump, Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, U.S.) and to the waste as presented in Fig. 1(c). The samples were acidified (pH 1) in order to bring the analyte (pHCA) in its neutral form and enable its diffusion through the SLM into the acceptor phase. A pH of 7.4 in the acceptor phase protonates pHCA, which cannot diffuse back through the SLM to the donor phase (Fig. 2 (inset)).

After the extraction, the donor channel was filled with 50 mM H₂SO₄ and left to equilibrate for 10 min. The acceptor was removed and diluted with EtOH for SERS detection as schematically presented on Fig. 2. Finally the acceptor channel was rinsed with PB at 100 µL/min for 5 min.

![Fig. 2: Experimental setup for SLM extraction and SERS analysis. From left to right, a syringe pump is connected to the donor compartment of the SLM chip, and the acceptor compartment is filled with PB. After extraction the acceptor is taken and diluted 10 times with EtOH 99%. 2 µL droplet of the diluted extract is poured and dried on a 4x4 mm² SERS chip, followed by Raman acquisition. (inset) SLM working principle.](image-url)
SERS chip fabrication and data acquisition

The gold-capped nanopillars substrates were fabricated with the methods described by Wu et al.,28 with 4 min etching time, followed by 1 min O₂ plasma cleaning, deposition of 220 nm Au at a rate of 10 Å/s and dicing of 4x4 mm² substrates. The acceptor samples were taken after SLM extraction and diluted 10 times with EtOH 99%, in order to increase the spreading of the droplet on the SERS substrates. Each substrate was wetted with a 2 µL droplet, and dried completely before acquisition. SERS measurements were performed with a DXRxi Raman Imaging Microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, U.S.). The optical microscope is coupled to a spectrometer 5 cm⁻¹ FWHM and ±2 cm⁻¹ wavenumber accuracy. SERS spectra were collected at 780 nm with a laser power of 1 mW, with a 10x objective lens, 50 µm slit and an estimated laser spot of 3.6 µm diameter. All the spectra were collected 3 times for 0.05 s. 3 maps of 48 spectra each were collected on the surface of each chip, with a 100 µm collection step. The peak height at 1169 cm⁻¹ was used for quantification, according to the methods previously described by Morelli et al.23 The average Raman intensity and the corresponding standard deviation for each sample were calculated over the average values of the maps collected on the same chip.

HPLC acquisition

Supernatant and acceptor samples were diluted 10 times with ultrapure water. Triplicate injections (5 µL) were analyzed at 30 °C in an HPLC system (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, US) with a Discovery HS F5 column (3 µm particle size, 15 cm x 4.6 mm). Elution was performed using a gradient with two solvents: 10 mM ammonium formate adjusted to pH 3.0 with formic acid (A) and acetonitrile (B) running at 0.7 mL/min, starting at 5% B. The fraction of B increased linearly from 5% to 60% from 1.5 min to 7 min after injection. Then the fraction of B decreased back to 5% between 9.5 and 9.6 min, and remained there until 12 min. pHCA was detected by absorbance at 333 nm.

Results and discussion

SERS-based detection and quantification of pHCA

Measuring analytes in aqueous samples is not ideal in SERS-based sensing due to low surface wettability. In addition, based on our previous finding we observed that salts from growth medium decrease the signal intensity,18 therefore, we constructed pHCA calibration curves using different ratios of PB and EtOH. When using only 10% EtOH we were not able to collect any SERS signal, due to insufficient surface wettability, which let the droplet stay round on the active surface, and to subsequent salt accumulation. Instead, when using 50 or 90% EtOH, we successfully measured pHCA (Fig. S1). Due to faster evaporation, 90% EtOH dilution was chosen for all the experiments. The typical spectrum of pHCA is shown in Fig. 3(a), with peak assignment described previously,23 and the calibration based on Raman intensity at 1169 cm⁻¹ is shown in Fig. 3(b). SERS substrates showed a linear response up to 250 µM, which was used for quantification of unknown samples (Fig. 3(b), inset). Limit of detection and limit of quantification
were found to be 5 and 15 µM respectively, whereas the average coefficient of variation, calculated in a concentration range between 50 and 250 µM, was found to be 22%. Fig. 3(c) shows a comparison between SERS spectra of M9 medium spiked with 250 µM pHCA and 750 µM Tyr before and after SLM extraction, diluted 10-fold with EtOH, in order to remove the effect of salts. It can be seen that SLM extraction significantly enhances pHCA signal, while excluding Tyr overlapping spectral features23 (Fig. S2), hence proving effective for removal of interfering compounds.

**Reusability of the SLM microfluidic chip**

In order to study the reusability and the possibility of cleaning the SLM microfluidic unit, supernatant samples containing 450 µM pHCA were extracted at 100 µL/min using the SLM device. Several cycles of extraction and rinsing were performed on the same microfluidic unit in order to evaluate and determine the efficiency of the cleaning as well as the reproducibility of the SLM extraction. After each extraction and rinsing step the acceptor was recovered and measured with SERS following the protocol depicted in Fig. 2. The normalized values are presented in Fig. 4, where 100% represents pHCA concentration obtained in the first SLM extraction. The extraction proved to be reproducible even when performing several cycles of extraction and rinsing. The memory effect was further investigated using different rinsing methods under flow condition (dynamic rinsing), as described in the experimental section, and static condition (static rinsing), by filling the acceptor channel with PB and letting sit for 10 min. The signal decreased from 4% to 2% when performing static rinsing three times, whereas it reached less than 3% already after a single dynamic rinsing, showing that the SLM device could be efficiently cleaned under flow condition (Fig. S3). Based on these observations, a single dynamic rinsing was always performed when reusing chips for experiments.

**Effect of the donor flow rate on analyte enrichment**

As defined by Jönsson et al.,26 the extraction efficiency (E) can be described as:

\[
E = \frac{n_A}{n_D}
\]

where \(n_A\) and \(n_D\) are the number of moles collected in the acceptor and given as input to the system through the donor respectively. The enrichment factor \(E_e\) can then be defined as:

\[
E_e = E \cdot \frac{V_D}{V_A} = \frac{E \cdot F_D \cdot t}{V_A}
\]

where \(V_D\) is the donor volume, \(V_A\) is the acceptor volume, \(F_D\) is the volumetric flow rate of the donor phase, and \(t\) is the total time that donor is in contact with the membrane.

To be able to define the optimum extraction condition,
25, 50, 100 and 200 µL/min respectively. pHCA concentration in the recovered acceptor extracts was analyzed with SERS and HPLC.

As expected from theory, we found that E increases with decreasing flow rate (Fig. 5(a)), from 0.02 ± 0.001 at 200 µL/min to 0.20 ± 0.02 at 10 µL/min. Considering the pHCA content in the donor phase, we found that its concentration in the acceptor phase increased, reaching an up-concentration of 13.6-fold when decreasing the flow rate to 10 µL/min. In order to evaluate the effect of flow rates at a fixed sample volume, we normalized Ee by extraction time. As it can be seen in Fig. 5(b), the normalized Ee increases with increasing flow rate until 100 µL/min.

Considering the linear part of the SERS calibration curve (Fig. 3(b), inset) and the time required by the SLM extraction using 1 mL of sample, 100 µL/min flow rate (10 min of extraction) was chosen for the experiments.

Quantification of pHCA in bacterial supernatant

In order to assess the applicability of the method for screening different culture conditions, SLM extraction was performed on bacterial supernatant samples. As described in the Materials and Methods section, an E. coli strain expressing a tyrosine ammonia-lyase (FjTAL) was grown in different medium compositions (complete M9, absence of IPTG and absence of vitamins). A control strain, characterized by the absence of FjTAL, was also tested. pHCA concentrations in the extracts were measured with SERS and compared to the concentrations measured in the supernatant with HPLC, considering an Ee of 0.23 at 100 µL/min. pHCA quantification in Fig. 6 highlights a leaky expression of FjTAL in absence of IPTG, and a reduced pHCA production in absence of vitamins, compared to complete M9 medium. In all cases, a close correlation between SERS and HPLC data could be observed, showing that quantitative differentiation between bacteria grown in different conditions can be successfully performed through a combination of SLM extraction and SERS. Additionally, pHCA quantification was not affected by the presence of residual Tyr in supernatant, which was found to be 420 µM in absence of IPTG, 235 µM in absence of vitamins and 82 µM in complete M9.

Conclusions

In this work we proved the usability and the applicability of SLM extraction for sample pretreatment prior to SERS sensing. We demonstrated that the combination of SLM extraction and SERS can be effectively used as an alternative to standard analytical methods for screening of E. coli strains based on their pHCA synthesis yield. The SLM microfluidic device has significant advantages compared to our previously developed manual LLE assay, being easy to handle and using only a few microliters of organic solvent for membrane impregnation. The device proved solid and effective for extraction and up-concentration of pHCA from real samples and its reusability significantly increased the throughput of the assay. The data obtained from bacterial supernatant with SLM extraction coupled with SERS detection showed a good correlation with HPLC analysis, proving the value of the method for quantitative screening of genetically modified organisms. The great potential for automation and miniaturization of the presented method widens its applicability in a number of different fields. For instance, a miniaturized SLM/SERS device could be easily adapted for automated and/or real time monitoring, making it attractive for various applications such as detection of other industrially relevant bacterial metabolites, toxins and pharmaceutical compounds.
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