



## Diets supplemented with *Saccharina latissima* influence the expression of genes related to lipid metabolism and oxidative stress modulating rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fillet composition

Ferreira, Mariana; Larsen, Bodil Katrine; Granby, Kit; Cunha, Sara C.; Monteiro, Carolina; Fernandes, José O.; Nunes, Maria L.; Marques, António; Dias, Jorge; Cunha, Isabel

Total number of authors:  
12

Published in:  
Food and Chemical Toxicology

Link to article, DOI:  
[10.1016/j.fct.2020.111332](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2020.111332)

Publication date:  
2020

Document Version  
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

### Citation (APA):

Ferreira, M., Larsen, B. K., Granby, K., Cunha, S. C., Monteiro, C., Fernandes, J. O., Nunes, M. L., Marques, A., Dias, J., Cunha, I., Castro, L. F. C., & Valente, L. M. P. (2020). Diets supplemented with *Saccharina latissima* influence the expression of genes related to lipid metabolism and oxidative stress modulating rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fillet composition. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 140, [111332].  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2020.111332>

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Journal Pre-proof

Diets supplemented with *Saccharina latissima* influence the expression of genes related to lipid metabolism and oxidative stress modulating rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fillet composition

Mariana Ferreira, Bodil Katrine Larsen, Kit Granby, Sara C. Cunha, Carolina Monteiro, José O. Fernandes, Maria L. Nunes, António Marques, Jorge Dias, Isabel Cunha, L. Filipe C. Castro, Luísa M.P. Valente

PII: S0278-6915(20)30220-9

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2020.111332>

Reference: FCT 111332

To appear in: *Food and Chemical Toxicology*

Received Date: 21 January 2020


Revised Date: 4 April 2020

Accepted Date: 7 April 2020

Please cite this article as: Ferreira, M., Larsen, B.K., Granby, K., Cunha, S.C., Monteiro, C., Fernandes, José.O., Nunes, M.L., Marques, Antó., Dias, J., Cunha, I., Castro, L.F.C., Valente, Luí.M.P., Diets supplemented with *Saccharina latissima* influence the expression of genes related to lipid metabolism and oxidative stress modulating rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fillet composition, *Food and Chemical Toxicology* (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2020.111332>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



**Diets supplemented with *Saccharina latissima* influence the expression of genes related to lipid metabolism and oxidative stress modulating rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fillet composition**

Mariana Ferreira <sup>a, b</sup>, Bodil Katrine Larsen <sup>c</sup>, Kit Granby <sup>d</sup>, Sara C. Cunha <sup>e</sup>, Carolina Monteiro <sup>e</sup>, José O. Fernandes <sup>e</sup>, Maria L. Nunes <sup>a</sup>, António Marques <sup>a, f</sup>, Jorge Dias <sup>g</sup>, Isabel Cunha <sup>a</sup>, L. Filipe C. Castro <sup>a, h</sup>, Luísa M.P. Valente <sup>a, b, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CIIMAR, Centro Interdisciplinar de Investigação Marinha e Ambiental, Universidade do Porto, Terminal de Cruzeiros do Porto de Leixões, Av. General Norton de Matos, S/N, 4450-208, Matosinhos, Portugal

<sup>b</sup> ICBAS, Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas de Abel Salazar, Universidade do Porto, Rua de Jorge Viterbo Ferreira, 228, 4050-313 Porto, Portugal

<sup>c</sup> DTU, Technical University of Denmark, National Institute of Aquatic Resources, Section for Aquaculture, Niels Juelsvej 30, 9850 Hirtshals, Denmark

<sup>d</sup> DTU, Technical University of Denmark, National Food Institute, Kemitorvet, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark

<sup>e</sup> LAQV-REQUIMTE, Faculdade de Farmácia da Universidade do Porto, Department of Bromatology, Rua de Jorge Viterbo Ferreira, 228, 4050-313, Porto, Portugal

<sup>f</sup> IPMA, Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera, Division of Aquaculture and Seafood Upgrading, Avenida Doutor Alfredo Magalhães Ramalho 6, 1495-165 Algés, Portugal

<sup>g</sup> Sparos Lda., Área Empresarial de Marim, Lote C, 8700-221 Olhão, Portugal

<sup>h</sup> FCUP, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto, Biology Department, Rua do Campo Alegre S/N, 4169-007, Porto, Portugal

---

\* Corresponding author: Luísa Valente

CIIMAR - Centro Interdisciplinar de Investigação Marinha e Ambiental, Terminal de Cruzeiros do Porto de Leixões, Av. General Norton de Matos, S/N, 4450-208 Matosinhos, Portugal

Phone: (+351) 223 401 825

E-mail: [lvalente@icbas.up.pt](mailto:lvalente@icbas.up.pt)

## Abstract

This study aimed to evaluate the impact of diets including increasing amounts (1, 2 and 4%) of an iodine-rich macroalgae, *Saccharina latissima*, on gene expression and fillet composition of commercial-sized rainbow trout. Liver and muscle expression of genes related to growth, iodine, oxidative stress, and lipid metabolism, and the fillet content of fatty acids, cholesterol, and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> were assessed. The highest kelp inclusion led to lower final body weight and HSI, without significant differences in mRNA transcription of genes involved in growth (*ghr1*, *ghr2* and *igf1*) or iodine metabolism (*dio1*, *thra*, and *thrb*). A significant downregulation of an oxidative stress marker, *gpx1b2*, was observed in fish fed 2% *S. latissima*, which might suggest the need for less endogenous antioxidants. Dietary inclusion of kelp impacted lipid metabolism, with a downregulation of fatty acid synthase, accompanied by a general decrease of fatty acids in fillet. The present study demonstrated that supplementation of diets with 1 or 2% *S. latissima* can be achieved without detrimental effects on rainbow trout final weight. Evidence suggest a lipid-lowering effect of diets that did not compromise fillet EPA and DHA concentrations, being 3.7 times above the recommended levels for human consumption.

**Keywords:** sugar kelp; functional diets; iodine metabolism; fillet composition; lipid metabolism; oxidative stress

## 1. Introduction

Continued growth of the world population, coupled with trends moving towards healthy and/or natural eating habits, has put pressure on the aquaculture industry to develop alternatives to the limited fisheries-based food products (FAO, 2018). Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) is one of the most important species produced by aquaculture in Europe, with production exceeding 351 million tonnes in 2016 (FEAP, 2017). Diets for this species already include high levels of sustainable and eco-friendly plant protein sources to replace fish meal, but such diets can compromise fish growth and flesh quality (De Francesco et al., 2004; Lazzarotto et al., 2018).

Seafood consumption is associated with healthy dietary behaviours and fish are the most important natural sources of omega-3 fatty acids, minerals and vitamins, particularly iodine and vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, which are essential for human health (Lund, 2013). With increased seafood consumption, and the large amounts of vegetable-based ingredients used in aquafeeds, it has become even more pertinent that functional fish diets are able to provide products with health-promoting nutrients. In this context, macroalgae have raised the interest of fish farmers due to their richness in bioactive compounds like carotenoids, vitamins and minerals (Wan et al., 2019) that could simultaneously enhance the nutritional value of end-products for human consumption and contribute to fish welfare. Some macroalgae, such as sugar kelp, *Saccharina latissima*, are commonly produced at an industrial scale for different purposes, including biofuels and feed supplements (Stévant et al., 2017). *S. latissima* is a large brown macroalgae known for its high concentrations in important minerals, particularly iodine, sugars (e.g. glucose and mannitol) and carotenoids such as fucoxanthin (Nielsen et al., 2016; Roleda et al., 2018), being therefore a promising algae to fortify aquafeeds. However, this macroalgae has variable and generally low protein (1–21% of DM) and lipid (0.5–3.4% DM) contents, that largely depend on harvesting season and geographic area (Holdt and Kraan, 2011; Marinho et al., 2015a; 2015b; Nielsen et al., 2016). In some countries, *S. latissima* is produced as part of an integrated multitrophic aquaculture (IMTA), taking up nutrients from open-cage salmon farming (Fossberg et al., 2018) and has great potential for a sustainable production with high biomass yield (Handå et al., 2013).

In fish, the beneficial effects of supplementing diets with different seaweeds have been demonstrated in a number of species (Ergün et al., 2008; Peixoto et al., 2016; Ribeiro et al., 2017a; Valente et al., 2015; Wassef et al., 2013). But the use of brown seaweeds, in particular *S. latissima*, has been little explored in animals' feeds (Øverland et al., 2019), and no information is available concerning its effects on fish metabolism, welfare and fillet composition. In rainbow trout fed diets supplemented with 0.4% of an iodine-rich brown algae *Laminaria digitata* improved growth was observed (Ribeiro et al., 2017a), whilst in gilthead seabream, *Sparus aurata*, higher supplementation (10%) did not affect growth performance (Ribeiro et al., 2015). Araújo et al. (2016) showed that the

inclusion of *Gracilaria* sp. meal in diets for rainbow trout was possible up to 5 %, but a higher inclusion level impaired growth. These suggest that growth responses among fish species depend not only on the algae species but also on its inclusion level.

The impact of dietary macroalgae supplementation on lipid metabolism is a poorly explored topic, although evidence suggest that algae may mediate both lipid accumulation and mobilization (Nakagawa, 1997). Seaweeds were shown to modulate muscle lipid profile (Wan et al., 2019), with increased muscle polyunsaturated fatty acids content being reported in rainbow trout fed brown algae *Macrocystis pyrifera* (Dantagnan et al., 2009). Xuan et al. (2013) observed a reduction in whole-body and liver lipid contents and hepatosomatic index in black seabream, *Acanthopagrus schlegelii*, fed diets with 20% *Gracilaria lemaneiformis* inclusion. In *Lates calcarifer*, dietary supplementation with increasing levels of *Gracilaria pulvinata* (3-9%) led to a decrease of serum triglycerides and cholesterol when compared with the control diet that contained a soybean meal and fishmeal blend (Morshedi et al., 2018).

As natural sources of compounds with free radical scavenger effects (carotenoids, certain polysaccharides and polyphenols), the potential of seaweeds as natural feed ingredients capable of improving the antioxidant status of fish has also been proposed (Gomez-Zavaglia et al., 2019). Increased activity of some antioxidant-related enzymes, such as catalase and superoxide dismutase (Sod), was observed in liver mitochondria of Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*, fed diets containing a brown seaweed meal, *Laminaria* sp. (Sotoudeh and Mardani, 2018). In contrast, the supplementation of diets for rainbow trout with a red seaweed, *G. pygmaea*, resulted in a reduction in the activity of glutathione peroxidase and Sod (Sotoudeh and Mardani, 2018), showcasing the potential of macroalgae to modulate antioxidant defense systems.

Iodine deficiency in humans is a global problem, which is driving the aquaculture sector to develop fortification strategies. Fish dietary supplementation with macroalgae *L. digitata*, *G. vermiculophylla* and *Undaria pinnatifida* has been successfully used as a strategy to increase iodine content in rainbow trout (Ribeiro et al., 2017a; Valente et al., 2015), Senegalese sole, *Solea senegalensis*, (Moutinho et al., 2018) and gilthead seabream (Ribeiro et al., 2015). The high amounts of iodine found in brown seaweeds (up to 10,000 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) might pose a barrier to its use

by the food and feed industries (Holdt and Kraan, 2011; Lüning and Mortensen, 2015), even at low dietary levels. According to the European Commission Recommendation 2015/861, the current authorized maximum content of total iodine in feeds for fish in the European market is 20 mg iodine.kg<sup>-1</sup>. The presence of high levels of toxic metals in brown macroalgae may also limit their use as feed ingredients (Biancarosa et al., 2018). Iodine is essential in the synthesis of thyroid hormones (Ths) that directly or indirectly regulate key metabolic processes related to growth, energy and lipid metabolism in both humans and animals (Lazarus, 2015; Mullur et al., 2014). Dietary iodine is absorbed via the small intestine and, in the thyroid, incorporated into thyroglobulin, ultimately forming the pro-hormones, thyroxine (T<sub>4</sub>) and triiodothyronine (T<sub>3</sub>). T<sub>4</sub> is converted into the active form T<sub>3</sub> by deiodinase enzymes and the action of Ths exerted through nuclear thyroid hormone receptors (Milanesi and Brent, 2017). The growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor I (Gh/Igf1) system has been described as a useful tool to evaluate the impact of nutritional factors on fish growth (Pérez-Sánchez et al., 2018) and Ths act at both Gh and Igf1 levels (Rodríguez-Arno et al., 1993). The impact of diets with high iodine content on fish metabolism has been poorly explored, and most studies focus in larvae. Atlantic cod larvae fed rotifers with high iodine concentrations (129 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) showed signs of iodine-induced toxicity in thyroid follicles, without differences on thyroid hormone concentrations (Penglase et al., 2013). On the other hand, dietary inclusion of iodine-enriched rotifers and *Artemia* increased outer-ring deiodinase activity and prevented goiter in *S. senegalensis* larvae (Ribeiro et al., 2011). In mice, excess iodine intake in the form of potassium iodate has been associated with hepatic accumulation of triglycerides, accompanied by an upregulation of fatty acid synthase gene (Xia et al., 2013) and decreased expression of low-density lipoprotein receptor in liver (Zhao et al., 2010).

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the impact of diets including increasing amounts (1, 2 and 4%) of an iodine-rich macroalgae, *S. latissima*, on gene expression and fillet composition in commercial-sized rainbow trout. Expression of genes involved in growth, iodine metabolism, oxidative stress and lipid metabolic pathways were assessed in both liver and muscle. The fillet content of fatty acids, cholesterol and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> were also evaluated. The results facilitate a better

understanding of the consequences of rainbow trout dietary supplementation with *S. latissima* on fish metabolism, as well as the impact of such diets on the fillet nutritional value for human consumption.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Animal husbandry

Female rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) were transported from a commercial fish farm (Lundby fisk, Dybvadgårdsvej, Nibe, Denmark) to the DTU-Aqua facilities at the North Sea Science Centre (Niels Juelsvej, Hirtshals, Denmark). The fish trial was performed by experienced scientist/staff according to the recommendations of the Danish National Committee for the Protection of Animals used for scientific purposes, and in compliance with the guidelines of the European Union (directive 2010/63/EU). Fish were held in quarantine for 3 weeks in large (3000 L) circular outdoor tanks (15-20ppt saltwater) and fed commercial diets (BioMar AS).

### 2.2. Experimental diets

Four iso-nitrogenous and iso-caloric diets were formulated containing increasing amounts of *S. latissima* (0%, 1%, 2% and 4%) and extruded by Sparos Lda, Olhão, Portugal. The experimental diets included dried *S. latissima* kelp, produced in an integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA), at the expense of wheat meal. The iodine concentration in the macroalgae was  $5,415 \pm 184 \text{ mg.kg}^{-1}$  dry weight, resulting in diets with iodine levels of 67, 124 and 255 mg iodine.kg<sup>-1</sup> dry feed weight for 1%, 2% and 4% diet, respectively. All diets were additionally enriched with selenium by adding selenised yeast. Feed ingredients and diet composition are summarised in Table 1.



**Table 1**

Ingredients and proximate composition of the control and the three experimental diets.

Ingredients, %	Dietary Treatments			
	Control	1% <i>S. latissima</i>	2% <i>S. latissima</i>	4% <i>S. latissima</i>
Fishmeal LT70 <sup>1</sup>	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00
Krill meal <sup>2</sup>	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Soy protein concentrate <sup>3</sup>	21.00	21.00	21.00	21.00
Wheat gluten <sup>4</sup>	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
Wheat meal <sup>5</sup>	6.34	5.34	4.34	2.34
Faba beans (low tannins) <sup>6</sup>	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
Fish oil <sup>7</sup>	8.96	8.96	8.96	8.96
Rapeseed oil <sup>8</sup>	24.24	24.24	24.24	24.24
Vitamin and Mineral Premix <sup>9</sup>	1.29	1.29	1.29	1.29
MAP (Monoammonium phosphate) <sup>10</sup>	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20
Se yeast <sup>11</sup>	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Astaxanthin <sup>12</sup>	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
L-Lysine <sup>13</sup>	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
L-Threonine <sup>14</sup>	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
DL-Methionine <sup>15</sup>	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
Yttrium oxide <sup>16</sup>	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
<i>Saccharina latissima</i> <sup>17</sup>		1.00	2.00	4.00
<b>Proximate composition</b>				
Dry matter, DM, %	94.80	94.20	94.20	93.80
Crude protein, % DM	41.67	40.98	40.45	39.98
Crude fat, % DM	36.29	37.47	37.47	37.63
Ash, % DM	3.48	3.57	3.78	4.48
Phosphorus, % DM	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.71
NFE, % DM	18.46	18.05	18.37	17.91
Energy, kJ.g <sup>-1</sup> , % DM	26.12	26.35	26.30	26.18
Iodine, mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> , % DM	3.85	66.72	123.67	255.33
Vitamin D <sub>3</sub> , µg.100 g <sup>-1</sup> , % DM	7.07	6.90	7.22	6.82

<sup>1</sup> NORVIK LT: 70.6% crude protein (CP), 5.8% crude fat (CF), Sopropêche, France<sup>2</sup> Krill meal: 61.1% CP, 17.4% CF, Aker Biomarine, Norway<sup>3</sup> Soycomil P: 63% CP, 0.8% CF, ADM, The Netherlands<sup>4</sup> VITEN: 82% CP, 2.1% CF, Roquette, France<sup>5</sup> Wheat meal: 10.2% CP, 1.2% CF, Casa Lanchinha, Portugal<sup>6</sup> Faba beans low tannins: 28% CP, 1.2% CF, Casa Lanchinha, Portugal<sup>7</sup> Sopropêche, France<sup>8</sup> Henry Lamotte Oils GmbH, Germany

<sup>9</sup> INVIVONSA Portugal SA, Portugal: Vitamins (IU or mg/kg diet): DL-alpha tocopherol acetate, 100 mg; sodium menadione bisulphate, 25 mg; retinyl acetate, 20000 IU; DL-cholecalciferol, 2000 IU; thiamin, 30 mg; riboflavin, 30 mg; pyridoxine, 20 mg; cyanocobalamin, 0.1 mg; nicotinic acid, 200 mg; folic acid, 15 mg; ascorbic acid, 500 mg; inositol, 500 mg; biotin, 3 mg; calcium panthotenate, 100 mg; choline chloride, 1000 mg, betaine, 500 mg. Minerals (g or mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> diet): copper sulphate, 9 mg; ferric sulphate, 6 mg; potassium iodide, 0.5 mg; manganese oxide, 9.6 mg; sodium selenite, 0.01 mg; zinc sulphate, 7.5 mg; sodium chloride, 400 mg; excipient wheat middling's

<sup>10</sup> Windmill AQUAPHOS, 26% P, ALIPHOS, Belgium

<sup>11</sup> ALKOSEL R397: 2200 mg selenium/kg, Lallemand, France

<sup>12</sup> Carophyll Pink 10% CWS, DSM Nutritional Products, Switzerland

<sup>13</sup> Biolys: 54.6% Lysine, Evonik Nutrition & Care GmbH, Germany

<sup>14</sup> L-Threonine 98.5%, Ajinomoto EUROLYSINE S.A.S, France

<sup>15</sup> DL-METHIONINE FOR AQUACULTURE 99%, EVONIK Nutrition & Care GmbH, Germany

<sup>16</sup> Sigma-Aldrich, USA

<sup>17</sup> Dry *Saccharina latissima*: 5.9% CP; 0.5% CF; 5,415 ± 184 mg iodine.kg<sup>-1</sup>

### 2.3. Growth trial and sampling

After the quarantine period, fish were transferred to a facility with 12 circular polyethylene rearing tanks (diameter 1m, ~600 L), supplied with aerated flow-through fresh seawater (7-11°C). A stable oxygen saturation was maintained during feeding hours and light conditions were 14.5L: 9.5D cycles (light on 07:30-22:00). At the beginning of the trial, 561 fish anaesthetized with benzocaine (200 mg/L) were weighed individually ( $196.1 \pm 25.6$  g) and distributed among the 12 tanks. Fish were fed approximately 0.9% of the biomass, with belt feeders, for 12 weeks, in triplicate tanks. Before sampling, fish were sacrificed by anaesthetic overdose. At the end of the 12-week period, all fish were weighed and measured individually. Liver weights were recorded for hepatosomatic determination (HSI) calculated as  $HSI = 100 \times (\text{liver weight}/\text{body weight})$ . Muscle samples from 12 fish per tank were then allocated to one of three pools (n=3 pools of 4 fish each) for determination of flesh composition. For gene expression analysis, muscle and liver tissues (0.1 g) were collected from 18 fish per tank, chopped into smaller pieces, and immediately preserved in RNAlater™ stabilization solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) before being stored at -80 °C until RNA extraction.

#### 2.4. RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from 15 mg of tissue (n = 9) using NZYol reagent (Nyztech, Lisbon, Portugal) according to the manufacturer's recommendations with some modifications. These modifications included disruption of samples with NZYol and glass beads using a Precellys® 24 homogenizer (Bertin Technologies, France), followed by centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 10 min at 5 °C. The resulting supernatant was used for the phase separation step. After removal of the aqueous phase with RNA, a similar volume of ethanol 70% was added and samples mixed immediately. Subsequently, RNA was purified using NZY Total RNA Isolation kit (Nyztech, Lisbon, Portugal) following the manufacturer's guidelines. RNA quantity was determined by spectrophotometry, and its quality was assessed based on the absorbance ratio 260:280 nm, using a Take 3 Micro-Volume plate on a Synergy™ HT Multi-Detection Reader and the Gen5™ software (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA). RNA integrity was evaluated based on gel electrophoresis in a 1% (w/v) agarose TAE gel stained with GelRed™ nucleic acid stain (Biotium, Hayward, CA, USA). All samples with  $A_{260}/A_{280}$  ratios of 1.80-2.10 and clear banding pattern of 28S:18S ribosomal RNA were used for further analysis. Subsequently, 1 µg of total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using NZY First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Nyztech, Lisbon, Portugal) following the standard protocol.

#### 2.5. Gene expression analysis

Expression of genes related to a) growth: growth hormone receptor 1 and 2 (*ghr1* and *ghr2*), insulin-like growth factor I (*igf1*); b) iodine metabolism: iodothyronine deiodinase 1 (*dio1*), thyroid hormone receptor alpha (*thra*), thyroid hormone receptor beta (*thrb*); c) oxidative stress: glutathione peroxidase 1 isoform b2 (*gpx1b2*), glutathione peroxidase 4 isoform a1 (*gpx4a1*), superoxide dismutase 1 (*sod1*); and d) lipid metabolism: acetyl-CoA carboxylase (*acc*), carnitine palmitoyl transferase 1 isoform beta 1 (*cpt1b1*), elongation of very long chain fatty acids protein 2 (*elovl2*), fatty acid synthase (*fas*), low density lipoprotein receptor (*ldlr*) was assessed by reverse transcription q-PCR (Table 2). PCR was performed on an Eppendorf Mastercycler ep Gradient S (Eppendorf, Germany) with NZYSpeedy qPCR Green Master Mix (2x) (Nyztech, Lisbon, Portugal). Reactions were carried out with 400 nM of each primer (forward and reverse), 5 µL of Green Master mix and 2

$\mu\text{L}$  of cDNA, in a total reaction volume of 10  $\mu\text{L}$ . Thermal cycling conditions were 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles at 95 °C for 5 s and 58-62 °C for 28 s (annealing temperatures are presented in Table 2). At the end of each run, a post-amplification dissociation curve (60 to 95, 0.5 °C in each cycle) was obtained to ensure reaction specificity. PCR efficiency for the target genes was obtained based on the slope of a standard curve, using a 5-fold serial dilution of cDNA from a sample pool of all experiments. Efficiency ranged between 90 and 102% (Table 2), and each unknown sample and standard curves were run in duplicate, as well as a negative control without cDNA.

The stability of four reference genes ( *$\beta$ -actin*, *ef1a*, *gapdh* and *hprt1*) was determined using geNorm algorithm (Vandesompele et al., 2002) implemented in qbase+ software, version 3.2 (Biogazelle, Zwijnaarde, Belgium - [www.qbaseplus.com](http://www.qbaseplus.com)). For liver samples, *hprt1* was the most stable followed by  *$\beta$ -actin*, *ef1a* and *gapdh*. For muscle samples, the stability was as follows:  *$\beta$ -actin* > *hprt1* > *gapdh* > *ef1a*. For each tissue, the geometric mean of the three most stable reference genes was used to calculate a gene expression normalization factor for each sample. Data were analysed based on a relative method, where the relative abundance of target genes was calculated using the comparative critical threshold ( $\Delta\Delta C_T$ ) method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The average  $C_T$  across all samples for a given gene was used to transform the average  $C_T$  of each sample to relative quantities normalized using the factor calculated for each sample. The relative quantities were standardised against the control diet.

**Table 2**

Oligonucleotide primers used in the reverse transcription-qPCR analysis.

Gene		Primer Sequence 5'-3'	Annealing T (°C)	PCR Efficiency (%)	Accession Number	Reference																																																																																																																																																																																								
<i>β-actin</i>	F	TCCTTCCTCGGTATGGAGTCT	62	Liver	NM_001124235	Dong et al. (2017)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	TTACGGATGTCCACGTACAC		Muscle			92	<i>ef1a</i>	F	TCCTCTGGTTCGTTTCGCTG	62	Liver	NM_001124339	Wang et al. (2018)	R	ACCCGAGGGACATCCTGTG	Muscle	93	<i>gapdh</i>	F	TTCACCACTACAACCCAATCAAC	60	Liver	NM_001124246	Wang et al. (2018)	R	CACAATCAGCTTCCCGTCC	Muscle	98	<i>hprt1</i>	F	GCCTCAAGAGCTACTGCAATG	60	Liver	XM_020502063	Pacitti et al. (2016)	R	GTCTGGAACCTCAAATCCTATG	Muscle	92	<i>ghr1</i>	F	TGGACACCCAGTGCTTGATG	58	Liver	AF403539	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	TCCCTGAAGCCAATGGTGAT	Muscle	96	<i>ghr2</i>	F	TCGGAACATTCCAGAACCTC	58	Liver	NM_001123594	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	GGTCATCCAGACCTTCGTGT	Muscle	102	<i>igf1</i>	F	TGACTTCGGCGGCAACA	62	Liver	M81904	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	GCCATAGCCCGTTGGTTTACT	Muscle	91	<i>diol</i>	F	GGTGGTCTACATTGCCGAGG	62	Liver	XM_021603351	Wang et al. (2018)	R	CATCCACTACGATGGGCAC	Muscle	96	<i>thra</i>	F	GCACAACATTCCCCACTTCT	60	Liver	AF146775	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	AGTTCGTTGGGACACTCCAC	Muscle	90	<i>thrb</i>	F	TCACCTGTGAAGGATGCAAG	62	Liver	AF146777	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	GACAGCGATGCACTTCTTGA	Muscle	94	<i>gpx1b2</i>	F	GCGGGGAGTGACATTTACCA	62	Liver	HE687023	Wang et al. (2018)	R	GTAATCCCTGGTGGTCGTGC	Muscle	90	<i>gpx4a1</i>	F	AAGCTGTGGACTCGTTCCTGT	62	Liver	HE687024	Wang et al. (2018)	R	AGTTTACCGGGGTTTTCCCTC	Muscle	94	<i>sod1</i>	F	TGGTCCTGTGAAGCTGATTG	62	Liver	AF469663	Teimouri et al. (2019)	R	TTGTCAGCTCCTGCAGTCAC	Muscle	96	<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT	Muscle	90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)
<i>ef1a</i>	F	TCCTCTGGTTCGTTTCGCTG	62	Liver	NM_001124339	Wang et al. (2018)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	ACCCGAGGGACATCCTGTG		Muscle			93	<i>gapdh</i>	F	TTCACCACTACAACCCAATCAAC	60	Liver	NM_001124246	Wang et al. (2018)	R	CACAATCAGCTTCCCGTCC	Muscle	98	<i>hprt1</i>	F	GCCTCAAGAGCTACTGCAATG	60	Liver	XM_020502063	Pacitti et al. (2016)	R	GTCTGGAACCTCAAATCCTATG	Muscle	92	<i>ghr1</i>	F	TGGACACCCAGTGCTTGATG	58	Liver	AF403539	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	TCCCTGAAGCCAATGGTGAT	Muscle	96	<i>ghr2</i>	F	TCGGAACATTCCAGAACCTC	58	Liver	NM_001123594	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	GGTCATCCAGACCTTCGTGT	Muscle	102	<i>igf1</i>	F	TGACTTCGGCGGCAACA	62	Liver	M81904	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	GCCATAGCCCGTTGGTTTACT	Muscle	91	<i>diol</i>	F	GGTGGTCTACATTGCCGAGG	62	Liver	XM_021603351	Wang et al. (2018)	R	CATCCACTACGATGGGCAC	Muscle	96	<i>thra</i>	F	GCACAACATTCCCCACTTCT	60	Liver	AF146775	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	AGTTCGTTGGGACACTCCAC	Muscle	90	<i>thrb</i>	F	TCACCTGTGAAGGATGCAAG	62	Liver	AF146777	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	GACAGCGATGCACTTCTTGA	Muscle	94	<i>gpx1b2</i>	F	GCGGGGAGTGACATTTACCA	62	Liver	HE687023	Wang et al. (2018)	R	GTAATCCCTGGTGGTCGTGC	Muscle	90	<i>gpx4a1</i>	F	AAGCTGTGGACTCGTTCCTGT	62	Liver	HE687024	Wang et al. (2018)	R	AGTTTACCGGGGTTTTCCCTC	Muscle	94	<i>sod1</i>	F	TGGTCCTGTGAAGCTGATTG	62	Liver	AF469663	Teimouri et al. (2019)	R	TTGTCAGCTCCTGCAGTCAC	Muscle	96	<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT	Muscle	90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96							
<i>gapdh</i>	F	TTCACCACTACAACCCAATCAAC	60	Liver	NM_001124246	Wang et al. (2018)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	CACAATCAGCTTCCCGTCC		Muscle			98	<i>hprt1</i>	F	GCCTCAAGAGCTACTGCAATG	60	Liver	XM_020502063	Pacitti et al. (2016)	R	GTCTGGAACCTCAAATCCTATG	Muscle	92	<i>ghr1</i>	F	TGGACACCCAGTGCTTGATG	58	Liver	AF403539	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	TCCCTGAAGCCAATGGTGAT	Muscle	96	<i>ghr2</i>	F	TCGGAACATTCCAGAACCTC	58	Liver	NM_001123594	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	GGTCATCCAGACCTTCGTGT	Muscle	102	<i>igf1</i>	F	TGACTTCGGCGGCAACA	62	Liver	M81904	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	GCCATAGCCCGTTGGTTTACT	Muscle	91	<i>diol</i>	F	GGTGGTCTACATTGCCGAGG	62	Liver	XM_021603351	Wang et al. (2018)	R	CATCCACTACGATGGGCAC	Muscle	96	<i>thra</i>	F	GCACAACATTCCCCACTTCT	60	Liver	AF146775	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	AGTTCGTTGGGACACTCCAC	Muscle	90	<i>thrb</i>	F	TCACCTGTGAAGGATGCAAG	62	Liver	AF146777	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	GACAGCGATGCACTTCTTGA	Muscle	94	<i>gpx1b2</i>	F	GCGGGGAGTGACATTTACCA	62	Liver	HE687023	Wang et al. (2018)	R	GTAATCCCTGGTGGTCGTGC	Muscle	90	<i>gpx4a1</i>	F	AAGCTGTGGACTCGTTCCTGT	62	Liver	HE687024	Wang et al. (2018)	R	AGTTTACCGGGGTTTTCCCTC	Muscle	94	<i>sod1</i>	F	TGGTCCTGTGAAGCTGATTG	62	Liver	AF469663	Teimouri et al. (2019)	R	TTGTCAGCTCCTGCAGTCAC	Muscle	96	<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT	Muscle	90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																		
<i>hprt1</i>	F	GCCTCAAGAGCTACTGCAATG	60	Liver	XM_020502063	Pacitti et al. (2016)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	GTCTGGAACCTCAAATCCTATG		Muscle			92	<i>ghr1</i>	F	TGGACACCCAGTGCTTGATG	58	Liver	AF403539	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	TCCCTGAAGCCAATGGTGAT	Muscle	96	<i>ghr2</i>	F	TCGGAACATTCCAGAACCTC	58	Liver	NM_001123594	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	GGTCATCCAGACCTTCGTGT	Muscle	102	<i>igf1</i>	F	TGACTTCGGCGGCAACA	62	Liver	M81904	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	GCCATAGCCCGTTGGTTTACT	Muscle	91	<i>diol</i>	F	GGTGGTCTACATTGCCGAGG	62	Liver	XM_021603351	Wang et al. (2018)	R	CATCCACTACGATGGGCAC	Muscle	96	<i>thra</i>	F	GCACAACATTCCCCACTTCT	60	Liver	AF146775	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	AGTTCGTTGGGACACTCCAC	Muscle	90	<i>thrb</i>	F	TCACCTGTGAAGGATGCAAG	62	Liver	AF146777	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	GACAGCGATGCACTTCTTGA	Muscle	94	<i>gpx1b2</i>	F	GCGGGGAGTGACATTTACCA	62	Liver	HE687023	Wang et al. (2018)	R	GTAATCCCTGGTGGTCGTGC	Muscle	90	<i>gpx4a1</i>	F	AAGCTGTGGACTCGTTCCTGT	62	Liver	HE687024	Wang et al. (2018)	R	AGTTTACCGGGGTTTTCCCTC	Muscle	94	<i>sod1</i>	F	TGGTCCTGTGAAGCTGATTG	62	Liver	AF469663	Teimouri et al. (2019)	R	TTGTCAGCTCCTGCAGTCAC	Muscle	96	<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT	Muscle	90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																													
<i>ghr1</i>	F	TGGACACCCAGTGCTTGATG	58	Liver	AF403539	Hevrøy et al. (2015)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	TCCCTGAAGCCAATGGTGAT		Muscle			96	<i>ghr2</i>	F	TCGGAACATTCCAGAACCTC	58	Liver	NM_001123594	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	GGTCATCCAGACCTTCGTGT	Muscle	102	<i>igf1</i>	F	TGACTTCGGCGGCAACA	62	Liver	M81904	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	GCCATAGCCCGTTGGTTTACT	Muscle	91	<i>diol</i>	F	GGTGGTCTACATTGCCGAGG	62	Liver	XM_021603351	Wang et al. (2018)	R	CATCCACTACGATGGGCAC	Muscle	96	<i>thra</i>	F	GCACAACATTCCCCACTTCT	60	Liver	AF146775	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	AGTTCGTTGGGACACTCCAC	Muscle	90	<i>thrb</i>	F	TCACCTGTGAAGGATGCAAG	62	Liver	AF146777	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	GACAGCGATGCACTTCTTGA	Muscle	94	<i>gpx1b2</i>	F	GCGGGGAGTGACATTTACCA	62	Liver	HE687023	Wang et al. (2018)	R	GTAATCCCTGGTGGTCGTGC	Muscle	90	<i>gpx4a1</i>	F	AAGCTGTGGACTCGTTCCTGT	62	Liver	HE687024	Wang et al. (2018)	R	AGTTTACCGGGGTTTTCCCTC	Muscle	94	<i>sod1</i>	F	TGGTCCTGTGAAGCTGATTG	62	Liver	AF469663	Teimouri et al. (2019)	R	TTGTCAGCTCCTGCAGTCAC	Muscle	96	<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT	Muscle	90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																																								
<i>ghr2</i>	F	TCGGAACATTCCAGAACCTC	58	Liver	NM_001123594	Hevrøy et al. (2015)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	GGTCATCCAGACCTTCGTGT		Muscle			102	<i>igf1</i>	F	TGACTTCGGCGGCAACA	62	Liver	M81904	Hevrøy et al. (2015)	R	GCCATAGCCCGTTGGTTTACT	Muscle	91	<i>diol</i>	F	GGTGGTCTACATTGCCGAGG	62	Liver	XM_021603351	Wang et al. (2018)	R	CATCCACTACGATGGGCAC	Muscle	96	<i>thra</i>	F	GCACAACATTCCCCACTTCT	60	Liver	AF146775	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	AGTTCGTTGGGACACTCCAC	Muscle	90	<i>thrb</i>	F	TCACCTGTGAAGGATGCAAG	62	Liver	AF146777	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	GACAGCGATGCACTTCTTGA	Muscle	94	<i>gpx1b2</i>	F	GCGGGGAGTGACATTTACCA	62	Liver	HE687023	Wang et al. (2018)	R	GTAATCCCTGGTGGTCGTGC	Muscle	90	<i>gpx4a1</i>	F	AAGCTGTGGACTCGTTCCTGT	62	Liver	HE687024	Wang et al. (2018)	R	AGTTTACCGGGGTTTTCCCTC	Muscle	94	<i>sod1</i>	F	TGGTCCTGTGAAGCTGATTG	62	Liver	AF469663	Teimouri et al. (2019)	R	TTGTCAGCTCCTGCAGTCAC	Muscle	96	<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT	Muscle	90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																																																			
<i>igf1</i>	F	TGACTTCGGCGGCAACA	62	Liver	M81904	Hevrøy et al. (2015)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	GCCATAGCCCGTTGGTTTACT		Muscle			91	<i>diol</i>	F	GGTGGTCTACATTGCCGAGG	62	Liver	XM_021603351	Wang et al. (2018)	R	CATCCACTACGATGGGCAC	Muscle	96	<i>thra</i>	F	GCACAACATTCCCCACTTCT	60	Liver	AF146775	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	AGTTCGTTGGGACACTCCAC	Muscle	90	<i>thrb</i>	F	TCACCTGTGAAGGATGCAAG	62	Liver	AF146777	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	GACAGCGATGCACTTCTTGA	Muscle	94	<i>gpx1b2</i>	F	GCGGGGAGTGACATTTACCA	62	Liver	HE687023	Wang et al. (2018)	R	GTAATCCCTGGTGGTCGTGC	Muscle	90	<i>gpx4a1</i>	F	AAGCTGTGGACTCGTTCCTGT	62	Liver	HE687024	Wang et al. (2018)	R	AGTTTACCGGGGTTTTCCCTC	Muscle	94	<i>sod1</i>	F	TGGTCCTGTGAAGCTGATTG	62	Liver	AF469663	Teimouri et al. (2019)	R	TTGTCAGCTCCTGCAGTCAC	Muscle	96	<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT	Muscle	90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																																																														
<i>diol</i>	F	GGTGGTCTACATTGCCGAGG	62	Liver	XM_021603351	Wang et al. (2018)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	CATCCACTACGATGGGCAC		Muscle			96	<i>thra</i>	F	GCACAACATTCCCCACTTCT	60	Liver	AF146775	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	AGTTCGTTGGGACACTCCAC	Muscle	90	<i>thrb</i>	F	TCACCTGTGAAGGATGCAAG	62	Liver	AF146777	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	GACAGCGATGCACTTCTTGA	Muscle	94	<i>gpx1b2</i>	F	GCGGGGAGTGACATTTACCA	62	Liver	HE687023	Wang et al. (2018)	R	GTAATCCCTGGTGGTCGTGC	Muscle	90	<i>gpx4a1</i>	F	AAGCTGTGGACTCGTTCCTGT	62	Liver	HE687024	Wang et al. (2018)	R	AGTTTACCGGGGTTTTCCCTC	Muscle	94	<i>sod1</i>	F	TGGTCCTGTGAAGCTGATTG	62	Liver	AF469663	Teimouri et al. (2019)	R	TTGTCAGCTCCTGCAGTCAC	Muscle	96	<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT	Muscle	90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																																																																									
<i>thra</i>	F	GCACAACATTCCCCACTTCT	60	Liver	AF146775	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	AGTTCGTTGGGACACTCCAC		Muscle			90	<i>thrb</i>	F	TCACCTGTGAAGGATGCAAG	62	Liver	AF146777	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)	R	GACAGCGATGCACTTCTTGA	Muscle	94	<i>gpx1b2</i>	F	GCGGGGAGTGACATTTACCA	62	Liver	HE687023	Wang et al. (2018)	R	GTAATCCCTGGTGGTCGTGC	Muscle	90	<i>gpx4a1</i>	F	AAGCTGTGGACTCGTTCCTGT	62	Liver	HE687024	Wang et al. (2018)	R	AGTTTACCGGGGTTTTCCCTC	Muscle	94	<i>sod1</i>	F	TGGTCCTGTGAAGCTGATTG	62	Liver	AF469663	Teimouri et al. (2019)	R	TTGTCAGCTCCTGCAGTCAC	Muscle	96	<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT	Muscle	90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																																																																																				
<i>thrb</i>	F	TCACCTGTGAAGGATGCAAG	62	Liver	AF146777	Raine and Hawryshyn (2009)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	GACAGCGATGCACTTCTTGA		Muscle			94	<i>gpx1b2</i>	F	GCGGGGAGTGACATTTACCA	62	Liver	HE687023	Wang et al. (2018)	R	GTAATCCCTGGTGGTCGTGC	Muscle	90	<i>gpx4a1</i>	F	AAGCTGTGGACTCGTTCCTGT	62	Liver	HE687024	Wang et al. (2018)	R	AGTTTACCGGGGTTTTCCCTC	Muscle	94	<i>sod1</i>	F	TGGTCCTGTGAAGCTGATTG	62	Liver	AF469663	Teimouri et al. (2019)	R	TTGTCAGCTCCTGCAGTCAC	Muscle	96	<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT	Muscle	90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																																																																																															
<i>gpx1b2</i>	F	GCGGGGAGTGACATTTACCA	62	Liver	HE687023	Wang et al. (2018)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	GTAATCCCTGGTGGTCGTGC		Muscle			90	<i>gpx4a1</i>	F	AAGCTGTGGACTCGTTCCTGT	62	Liver	HE687024	Wang et al. (2018)	R	AGTTTACCGGGGTTTTCCCTC	Muscle	94	<i>sod1</i>	F	TGGTCCTGTGAAGCTGATTG	62	Liver	AF469663	Teimouri et al. (2019)	R	TTGTCAGCTCCTGCAGTCAC	Muscle	96	<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT	Muscle	90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																																																																																																										
<i>gpx4a1</i>	F	AAGCTGTGGACTCGTTCCTGT	62	Liver	HE687024	Wang et al. (2018)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	AGTTTACCGGGGTTTTCCCTC		Muscle			94	<i>sod1</i>	F	TGGTCCTGTGAAGCTGATTG	62	Liver	AF469663	Teimouri et al. (2019)	R	TTGTCAGCTCCTGCAGTCAC	Muscle	96	<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT	Muscle	90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																																																																																																																					
<i>sod1</i>	F	TGGTCCTGTGAAGCTGATTG	62	Liver	AF469663	Teimouri et al. (2019)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	TTGTCAGCTCCTGCAGTCAC		Muscle			96	<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT	Muscle	90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																																																																																																																																
<i>acc</i>	F	TGAGGGCGTTTTCACTATCC	60	Liver	tcbk0010c.b.21_5.1.om.4	Librán-Pérez et al. (2015)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	CTCGATCTCCCTCTCCACT		Muscle			90	<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC	Muscle	90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																																																																																																																																											
<i>cpt1b1</i>	F	GATGTTCCGTGAGGGTAGGA	62	Liver	AJ606076	Morash et al. (2010)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	TTGTCTTGCATGGCTCTGAC		Muscle			90	<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG	Muscle	98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																																																																																																																																																						
<i>elovl2</i>	F	GATGCTGCTCTTCCAGTTC	58	Liver	KM244737	Gregory et al. (2016)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	CATTGGTGGAGACAGTGTGG		Muscle			98	<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT	Muscle	-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																																																																																																																																																																	
<i>fas</i>	F	TGATCTGAAGCCCGTGTCA	62	Liver	tcab0001c.e.06_5.1.s.om.8	Kamalam et al. (2013)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	GGGTGACGTTGCCGTGGTAT		Muscle			-	<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT	Muscle	96																																																																																																																																																																												
<i>ldlr</i>	F	CAGCGAAGGACTGGAGAAAC	58	Liver	AF542091	Al-Habsi et al. (2018)																																																																																																																																																																																								
	R	TTCAGCCCACTCTTCTCGAT		Muscle			96																																																																																																																																																																																							

Reference genes: *β-actin*, actin beta; *ef1a*, elongation factor 1 alpha; *gapdh*, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; *hprt1*, hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1. Target genes involved in growth: *ghr1*, growth hormone receptor 1; *ghr2*, growth hormone receptor 2; *igf1*, insulin-like growth factor I; iodine metabolism: *diol*, iodothyronine deiodinase 1; *thra*, thyroid hormone rector alpha; *thrb*, thyroid hormone receptor beta; oxidative stress: *gpx1b2*, glutathione peroxidase 1 isoform b2; *gpx4a1*, glutathione peroxidase 4 isoform a1; *sod1*, superoxide dismutase 1; and lipid metabolism: *acc*, acetyl-CoA carboxylase; *cpt1b1*, carnitine palmitoyl transferase 1 isoform beta 1; *elovl2*, elongation of very long chain fatty acids protein 2; *fas*, fatty acid synthase; *ldlr*, low density lipoprotein receptor.

## **2.6. Chemical analysis of the fillet**

### **2.6.1. Fatty acids profile**

Total lipids were extracted from muscle according to Cruz et al. (2013). Briefly, 300 mg samples were extracted with a ternary mixture of propan-2-ol, cyclohexane, and 0.9% (m/v) KCl, with triundecanoate as internal standard (Sigma, St Louis, USA), and antioxidants (ascorbic acid and butylated hydroxytoluene; Sigma, St Louis, USA). The extracts were saponified using KOH (0.5 mol.L<sup>-1</sup> in methanol; Panreac, Castellar del Vallès, Spain) at 100 °C (10 min) and further methylated with boron trifluoride (14% in methanol; Sigma, St Louis, USA) at 100 °C (30 min).

Fatty acids were analysed using analytical conditions and equipment as reported by Cruz et al. (2013). Briefly, a Chrompack CP 9001 (Chrompack, Middelburg, Netherlands) chromatograph equipped with a split-splitless injector, a FID, a Chrompack CP 9050 auto-sampler and a 50 m × 0.25 mm i.d. CP-Sil 88 column (0.19 µm film; Chrompack-Varian) column. The program column temperature was 140 to 220 °C, and the injector and detector temperatures were 250 and 270 °C, respectively. Total fatty acids content was estimated on the basis of total fatty acid methyl ester area counts in comparison with the undecanoic methyl ester, by converting fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) to their respective fatty acid equivalents.

### **2.6.2. Total cholesterol content**

Muscle cholesterol was determined with the gas-chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) method reported previously (Cunha et al., 2006). Briefly, an accurate sample portion (1 g) was extracted with 4 ml of n-hexane/ethyl acetate (90:10, v/v). After evaporation of the solvent, 1 ml of sodium methoxide solution, and 50 µl of betulin as internal standard, were added. The mixture was left at room temperature for 20 min before adding 1 ml of water and 2 ml of n-heptane. The aqueous phase was withdrawn and replaced by 1 ml of 1% citric acid solution. Finally, the organic fraction was dried under a nitrogen stream and derivatized at 70°C for 20 min with 125 µl of N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (with trimethylchlorosilane 1%; Sigma, St Louis, USA) and 125 µl of pyridine (Fluka<sup>TM</sup>, Seelze, Germany). One µl of extract was analysed using an Agilent gas chromatograph 6890 (Little Falls, DE, USA) equipped with an electronically controlled split/splitless

injection port and interfaced to a MSD-5973N mass selective detector and a DB-5MS fused silica capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm I.D., 0.25 µm film thickness; J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA) coupled directly to the mass detector. The injection was performed at 270 °C in the split mode (ratio 15:1). The column temperature was 270 °C during the entire run, and the transfer line temperature was 280 °C. Quantification was carried out in selective ion monitoring (SIM) mode using 329 as the quantification ion and 129, 73, 368 and 458 as qualifier ions for cholesterol, while, for botulin, the 189 ion was used as quantifier, and 496 and 493 as qualifier ions. The calibration curve, LOD and LOQ were calculated as 2 µg.mg<sup>-1</sup> and 6 µg.mg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

### 2.6.3. *Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> content*

Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> was analysed according to Byrdwell et al. (2013). Briefly, 0.5 g samples, with 50 mg ascorbic acid, 50 µl butylated hydroxytoluene (10mg/mL) and 25 µl tocol (100 µg/l; internal standard), were saponified with KOH 60% and extracted with hexane (2 ml x 3 times). After evaporation of the solvent, 500 µl of phase mobile B was added. Separation and quantification of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> was performed using a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (Waters 2695, Waters, Milford, MA, USA) coupled to a Micromass Quattro micro API™ triple quadrupole detector (Waters, Manchester, UK), equipped with MassLynx 4.1 software for data processing. HPLC conditions were set according to Byrdwell et al. (2013). Chromatographic separation was achieved using a Kinetex® Phenomenex® C18 column (2.6 µm, 150 mm x 4.60 mm (i.d.)) with a pre-column from Phenomenex (Torrance, CA, USA). The column was kept at 40 °C, but the auto sampler qA maintained at ambient temperature (± 25 °C). The injection volume was 10 µL. Mobile phase A (water with 0.1% formic acid) and mobile phase B (methanol with 0.1% formic acid) flow rates were 0.300 mL.min<sup>-1</sup>. The solvent gradient program was as follows: (1) 0-5 min, 25% A; (2) 5.0-11.0 min, 21% A; (3) 5-11min. 10 % A; (4) 11-13 min 25% A. MS/MS acquisition was operated in positive-ion mode with multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). The optimized MS parameters were as follows: capillary voltage, 3.00 kV; source temperature, 150 °C; desolvation temperature, 350 °C; and desolvation gas and cone gas flow, 350 and 50 L.h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The optimized MS/MS parameters for vitamin D<sub>3</sub> were 385>259 quantifier transition and 385>367 qualifier transition, and for tocol,

388>123 as quantifier transition and 388>163 as qualifier transition. The calibration curve, LOD and LOQ were calculated as  $10 \mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$  and  $31 \mu\text{g.kg}^{-1}$ , respectively.


## 2.7. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 25.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All data were tested for normal distribution and homogeneity of variances with Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Levene's tests, respectively. One-way ANOVA was performed followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test, where individual means were compared. When normality and/or homogeneity of variances assumptions were not met, data were log-transformed. A non-parametric test (Kruskal-Wallis H-test) was applied when these assumptions were not achieved after transformation. Significant differences were considered for a  $P$ -value  $< 0.05$ . A Spearman's rank correlation coefficient ( $r_s$ ) test was applied to all variables. Significant correlations were considered at the bilateral levels of 0.05 (\*) or 0.01 (\*\*).

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Growth performance

After 12 weeks feeding, fish fed diets with 4% *S. latissima* had significantly lower final body weights ( $458 \pm 72$  g) compared to remaining treatments ( $484 \pm 81$  g). Likewise, hepatosomatic indices (HSI) were negatively correlated with increased sugar kelp, resulting in significantly lower values in fish fed 4% *S. latissima* (Fig. 1). Zootechnical data regarding this growth trial is further detailed in Granby et al. (2020, Submitted).





**Fig. 1. Final body weight (g) and hepatosomatic index (HSI) of fish fed the control diet and experimental diets (1, 2 or 4% inclusion of *S. latissima*) over 12 weeks.** Values presented as mean  $\pm$  SD and different superscript letters denote significant differences among treatments ( $P < 0.05$ ). Adapted from Granby et al. (2020, Submitted).

### ***3.2. Expression of genes related to growth, iodine metabolism, oxidative stress and lipid metabolism in liver and muscle***

Expressions of a panel of 14 genes related to growth, iodine and lipid metabolism, and oxidative stress were analysed in the liver and muscle of rainbow trout. For the expressions of genes related to growth, namely *igf1* and growth hormone receptors (*ghr1* and *ghr2*) no significant differences were registered among dietary treatments (Figs. 2 and 3). However, in muscle *ghr* was upregulated with the inclusion of sugar kelp (though not significantly) and expression of both *ghr1* and *ghr2* were significantly negatively correlated with fish final body weight (Table 3).

In both liver and muscle, expression of genes involved in iodine metabolism (*dio1*, *thra* and *thrb*) was not significantly affected by dietary inclusion of *S. latissima*. In the liver, however, *dio1* was downregulated (though not significantly) by inclusion of sugar kelp in diets (Figs. 2 and 3).


Dietary inclusion of *S. latissima* resulted in a significant downregulation of *gpx1b2* in the liver of fish fed 2% of sugar kelp in comparison to fish fed the control diet. Expression of *gpx4a1* and *sod1* remained unaffected by dietary treatments in both liver or muscle (Figs. 2 and 3). Both *gpx1b2* and *gpx4a1* transcription levels were positively correlated with final body weight and HSI (Table 3).

Regarding lipid metabolism, expression of *acc* was not significantly affected by the dietary treatments, either in liver or muscle (data not shown). Although non-significant in the liver, *cpt1b1* showed a tendency for an upregulation with increasing amounts of *S. latissima*, whilst an opposing trend was observed in *elovl2* expression in both liver and muscle (Figs. 2 and 3). Expression of *cpt1b1* was significantly negatively correlated with HSI and final body weight (Table 3). In liver, a non-significant decrease in *ldlr* expression with increased incorporation of macroalgae was observed but, in muscle, a significant downregulation of *ldlr* was observed in fish fed diets with 1% sugar kelp compared to those consuming the control diet (Figs. 2 and 3). Moreover, this gene was significantly positively correlated with HSI in the liver and with HSI and final body weight in the muscle (Table 3).


The *fas* expression in liver decreased with the inclusion of seaweed and was significantly reduced in fish consuming 4% kelp compared to fish fed with control diet (Fig. 2).

Journal Pre-proof


A




B



C




D



**Fig. 2. Gene expression in the liver of rainbow trout fed the control diet and the experimental diets (1, 2 or 4% inclusion of *S. latissima*) over 12 weeks.** A - Genes related to growth; B - Genes involved in iodine metabolism; C - Genes associated with oxidative stress; and D - Genes involved in lipid and cholesterol metabolism. Relative abundance in liver is presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM (n=9 fish per treatment). Different letters denote significant differences among treatments (P = 0.004 for *gpx1b2* and P = 0.04 for *fas*).

Journal Pre-proof



**Fig. 3. Gene expression in the muscle of rainbow trout fed the control diet and the experimental diets (1, 2 or 4% inclusion of *S. latissima*) over 12 weeks.** A - Genes related to growth; B - Genes involved in iodine metabolism; C - Genes associated with oxidative stress; and D - Genes involved in lipid and cholesterol metabolism. Relative abundance in muscle is presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM (n=9 fish per treatment). Different letters denote significant differences among treatments (P = 0.02).

**Table 3.** Correlations with statistical significance between expression of selected genes (liver and muscle) and growth performance or fillet composition in rainbow trout fed the experimental diets.

	Final body weight	HSI	$\Sigma$ SFA	$\Sigma$ MUFA	$\Sigma$ PUFA	Cholesterol	Vitamin D <sub>3</sub>
<b>Liver</b>							
<i>dio1</i>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.63*	NS
<i>thra</i>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>gpx1b2</i>	0.60*	0.73*	0.69*	0.64*	0.61*	NS	0.71**
<i>gpx4a1</i>	0.66*	0.66*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>sod1</i>	NS	NS	0.59*	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>cpt1b1</i>	-0.89**	-0.92**	-0.65*	NS	NS	NS	-0.71**
<i>fas</i>	NS	NS	0.84**	0.76**	0.64*	NS	0.81**
<i>ldlr</i>	NS	0.58*	0.63*	NS	0.59*	NS	0.74**
<b>Muscle</b>							
<i>thra</i>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>thrb</i>	-0.64*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>ghr1</i>	-0.66*	-0.75*	NS	NS	NS	NS	-0.83**
<i>ghr2</i>	-0.59*	-0.69*	NS	NS	NS	NS	-0.68**
<i>cpt1b1</i>	-0.63*	-0.74**	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>elovl2</i>	NS	0.64*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>ldlr</i>	0.78**	0.76**	0.78**	0.75**	0.69*	NS	NS
<b>Vitamin D<sub>3</sub></b>	NS	0.68*	0.77**	0.64*	0.59*	NS	-

NS: not significant. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was performed. Correlation was considered significant at the bilateral levels of 0.05 (\*) or 0.01 (\*\*).

### 3.3. Fatty acids profile and content in cholesterol and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> of the fillet

Monosaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) were the primary fatty acids class observed in rainbow trout fillets, followed by polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) and, in a lesser amount, saturated fatty acids (SFAs). SFA content decreased significantly with the inclusion of *S. latissima*, being lower in fillets of fish fed 2 and 4 % *S. latissima* when compared to control. Both MUFAs and PUFAs decreased with the inclusion of sugar kelp and fish fed 2% *S. latissima* had significantly lower concentrations compared with controls, which was mainly due to reduced concentrations of oleic (18:1 n-9) and linoleic (C18:2 n-6) acids. No significant differences were observed with respect to eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) contents (Table 4). Fillet SFA, MUFA, and PUFA contents were positively correlated with genes related to oxidative stress, *fas* and *ldlr* expression in

liver, and with *ldlr* expression in muscle. SFA were also negatively correlated with the liver expression of *cpt1b1* and positively correlated with *sod1* (Table 3).

**Table 4.** Fillet total lipid content (g.100 g<sup>-1</sup> wet weight) and fatty acid composition (g.100 g<sup>-1</sup> wet weight).

	Control	1% <i>S. latissima</i>	2% <i>S. latissima</i>	4% <i>S. latissima</i>
<b>Total Lipids</b>	7.43 ± 0.4	7.12 ± 0.1	6.81 ± 0.4	6.92 ± 0.6
<b>Fatty acids</b>				
<b>SFA</b>				
C14:0	0.14 ± 0.003 <sup>a</sup>	0.13 ± 0.0003 <sup>ab</sup>	0.12 ± 0.006 <sup>b</sup>	0.13 ± 0.006 <sup>b</sup>
C16:0	0.74 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.70 ± 0.004 <sup>ab</sup>	0.65 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.67 ± 0.02 <sup>b</sup>
C18:0	0.21 ± 0.007 <sup>a</sup>	0.19 ± 0.003 <sup>ab</sup>	0.18 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.18 ± 0.005 <sup>b</sup>
<sup>1</sup> ∑ SFA	1.18 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	1.11 ± 0.008 <sup>ab</sup>	1.05 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	1.07 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>
<b>MUFA</b>				
C16:1 n-7	0.22 ± 0.007 <sup>a</sup>	0.20 ± 0.003 <sup>ab</sup>	0.19 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.20 ± 0.008 <sup>ab</sup>
C18:1 n-9	2.86 ± 0.08 <sup>a</sup>	2.68 ± 0.04 <sup>ab</sup>	2.55 ± 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	2.66 ± 0.09 <sup>ab</sup>
C20:1 n-9	0.14 ± 0.003 <sup>a</sup>	0.13 ± 0.003 <sup>ab</sup>	0.13 ± 0.009 <sup>b</sup>	0.13 ± 0.006 <sup>ab</sup>
<sup>2</sup> ∑ MUFA	3.33 ± 0.1 <sup>a</sup>	3.13 ± 0.04 <sup>ab</sup>	2.97 ± 0.2 <sup>b</sup>	3.10 ± 0.1 <sup>ab</sup>
<b>PUFA</b>				
C18:2 n-6	1.32 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	1.24 ± 0.02 <sup>ab</sup>	1.18 ± 0.07 <sup>b</sup>	1.23 ± 0.04 <sup>ab</sup>
C18:3 n-3	0.32 ± 0.004 <sup>a</sup>	0.30 ± 0.004 <sup>ab</sup>	0.29 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.30 ± 0.01 <sup>ab</sup>
C20:5 n-3 (EPA)	0.26 ± 0.01	0.26 ± 0.002	0.24 ± 0.01	0.25 ± 0.005
C22:6 n-3 (DHA)	0.73 ± 0.03	0.70 ± 0.02	0.70 ± 0.02	0.72 ± 0.02
<sup>a</sup> EPA+DHA	0.99 ± 0.04	0.96 ± 0.02	0.94 ± 0.03	0.96 ± 0.01
<sup>3</sup> ∑ PUFA	3.07 ± 0.1 <sup>a</sup>	2.93 ± 0.05 <sup>ab</sup>	2.82 ± 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	2.91 ± 0.05 <sup>ab</sup>

Values presented as mean ± SD (n=3) and different superscript letters denote significant differences among treatments (P < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup> ∑ SFA is the sum of saturated fatty acids and also includes also C12:0, C15:0, C17:0, C20:0, C:21, C22:0 and C24:0.


<sup>2</sup> ∑ MUFA is the sum of mono-unsaturated fatty acids and includes also C14:1, C17:1, C22:1 n-9 and C24:1 n-9.

<sup>3</sup> ∑ PUFA is the sum of polyunsaturated fatty acids and includes also C18:2 n-6t, C18:3 n-6, C18:4 n3, C20:2 n-6, C20:3 n-6, C20:4 n-6, C20:3 n-3, C20:4 n-3, C22:2 n-6, C22:3 n-3, C22:4 n-6, C22:5 n-6 and C22:5 n-3.

<sup>a</sup> EPA + DHA = eicosapentaenoic acid + docosahexaenoic acid.

*S. latissima* diets had no effects on total cholesterol levels, but vitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentrations significantly decreased in a dose-response manner in fish fillets fed kelp-rich diets. Fillets of fish fed 1% *S. latissima* had significantly lower concentrations of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> than those fed the control, whilst fish fed diets with 2 or 4% kelp showed a significant decrease in comparison with fish fed both control and 1% diets (Fig. 4). Fillet content of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> was positively correlated with HSI and expression of *fas*, *ldlr* and *gpx1b2*, but negatively correlated with *cpt1b1*, *ghr1* and *ghr2* expression. A significant positive correlation was observed between vitamin D<sub>3</sub> content and SFA, MUFA and

PUFA concentrations (Table 3), as well as whole-body fat ( $r = 0.61$ ;  $P = 0.03$ ). In contrast, fillet cholesterol content only showed a positive correlation with *dio1* expression in liver (Table 3).



**Fig. 4. Fillet composition in total cholesterol and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> of rainbow trout fed the control diet and the experimental diets (1, 2 or 4% inclusion of *S. latissima*) over 12 weeks.** Values presented as mean  $\pm$  SD ( $n=3$ ) and different superscript letters denote significant differences among treatments ( $P < 0.001$ ).

#### 4. Discussion

The use of novel feeds with natural functional ingredients, like macroalgae *S. latissima*, has attracted much attention lately, due to a public health drive to improve diets by increasing essential nutrients in healthy products. In most EU Member States, dietary intakes of iodine are a major concern, with most food items not showing sufficient levels to enable consumers to reach the daily recommended intakes (Biban and Lichiardopol, 2017). Fish can be good vehicle of iodine and other nutrients for human consumption, mainly long-chain fatty acids and vitamins. Our results clearly show that the dietary inclusion of *S. latissima* at either 1 or 2% is feasible, but a 4% inclusion significantly reduced rainbow trout final body weight. As far we are aware of, this is the first study evaluating the inclusion of *S. latissima* in rainbow trout diets. Growth impairment at higher levels is probably associated with a limited capacity to digest the kelp. In a complementary study, Granby et al. (2020, Submitted) reported a significant decrease in protein digestibility in rainbow trout fed sugar kelp-rich diets, although lipid and energy digestibility did not vary among treatments. Likewise, Pereira et al. (2012) observed that *Sargassum* sp. was not well digested by rainbow trout, compared with other macroalgae (e.g. *Gracilaria* sp.), limiting its dietary inclusion in aquafeeds. The present



study showed that it is possible to include *S. latissima* in diets for rainbow trout, but the inclusion level should be below 4%.

Body growth is highly dependent on protein deposition in muscle that, in turn, is regulated by endocrine factors (Mommsen, 2001). Igf1 is a key elicitor of growth and its synthesis is stimulated by Gh via Ghrs (Reindl and Sheridan, 2012). In this study, no significant differences in expression of *ghrs* or *igf1* were observed, but there was a trend for upregulation in muscle of both *ghr1* and *ghr2* with dietary kelp. Expression of *ghrs* in muscle was also significantly and negatively correlated with fish final body weight, and fish fed diets with the highest seaweed level (4%) had significantly lower final body weight than fish fed the other experimental diets. Together, these data suggest that 4% sugar kelp can lead to subtle changes in the Gh/Igf-I axis at the molecular level, which lead to significant detrimental effects on rainbow trout growth.

Sugar kelp-rich diets, with high concentrations of iodine, had limited effects on genes involved in rainbow trout iodine metabolism. Iodine is a key component for Thy synthesis, but the supplementation of feeds with excess iodine did not lead to significant differences in the expression of *dio1* or *thrs*. Studies evaluating dietary iodine intake on fish metabolism are extremely scarce, but in mice, exposure to excess inorganic iodine led to a significant decrease in D<sub>1</sub> activity and serum T<sub>3</sub> (Xia et al., 2013). Excess iodine intake has also been associated with the inhibition of thyroid hormone receptor protein in liver without significant changes at the gene expression level (Zhao et al., 2010). Likewise, our results suggested a slight downregulation of *dio1* expression in liver with dietary kelp (although not significant), without any clear trend in *thra* transcription. Determination of circulating thyroid hormones concentrations might provide further insights into the physiological impact of high dietary organic iodine.

Dietary supplementation with seaweeds is associated with health benefits that include protection against oxidative stress, both in fish and humans (Wan et al., 2019). Present data demonstrated a significant reduction in *gpx1b2* mRNA levels in trout fed with 2% *S. latissima* diet. The *gpx1b2* encodes for glutathione peroxidase, which is a key enzyme in the regulation of the oxidative status and protection of cells against lipid peroxidation. Reduced *gpx1b2* expression in rainbow trout liver might indicate that *S. latissima* provides readily available antioxidants, leading to decreased demand

for production of endogenous antioxidant enzymes. This concept is, in general, in accordance with previous results where rainbow trout fed increasing amounts of red seaweed, *G. pygmaea*, were reported to have reduced antioxidant Gpx and Sod activities (Sotoudeh and Mardani, 2018). Magnoni et al. (2017) highlighted the antioxidant properties of seaweeds during hypoxia trials with gilthead seabream, which suggested a protective role against oxidative stress, reducing the requirement for endogenous antioxidant enzymes.

In the present study, inclusion of *S. latissima* significantly affected lipid metabolism. Liver *fas* expression decreased with the inclusion of seaweed, being significantly reduced in fish fed 4% kelp compared with controls. The *fas* is involved in *de novo* fatty acid biosynthesis pathways. Previous studies in rat models reported inhibition of the lipogenic pathway, including downregulation of *Fas*, after ingestion of low levels of the brown seaweed *Undaria pinnatifida* (Yoshinaga et al., 2018). In contrast, Xia et al. (2013) reported an upregulation of hepatic *Fas* mRNA in mice challenged with high doses of iodine via water, ultimately resulting in hepatic steatosis. Different sources of iodine, and their related bioavailabilities, could in part explain these variations since, in the latter study, potassium iodate (KIO<sub>3</sub>) was used as vehicle for iodine rather than a brown macroalgae. Brown seaweeds contain several bioactive compounds, for instance fucoxanthin, that can affect lipid metabolism or protect from other harmful impacts (Afonso et al., 2019). Ribeiro et al. (2017b) observed a decrease of whole-body fat and lipid retention in gilthead seabream fed diets supplemented with fucoxanthin-rich microalgae *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. The downregulation presently observed in *fas* suggests decreased lipogenesis that, together with the (non-significant) trend for increased *cpt1b1* expression, could account for the significant lower HSI observed with 4% *S. latissima* feed. In fact, fish HSI was negatively correlated with *cpt1b1* expression. Cpt1 is the main regulatory enzyme in fatty acid  $\beta$ -oxidation, the catabolic process in fatty acid metabolism. A previous study evaluating the effect of dietary *Spirulina* sp. supplementation in red seabream (*Pagrus major*) highlighted an elevated activity of hepatic Cpt accompanied by a decrease in total lipids both in serum and liver (Nakagawa et al., 2000). Granby et al. (2020, Submitted) detected a significant reduction in lipid retention and protein digestibility in fish fed diets containing kelp, resulting in significantly lower final body weights. These data suggest that fish metabolized lipids to produce

energy and to compensate for reduced protein bioavailability, although this compensatory mechanism was not sufficient to meet energy requirements with 4% sugar kelp.

In fish, lipid storage occurs mainly in liver, visceral fat, and muscle, with only the last being of interest for human consumption. Decreased deposition of SFAs, MUFAs and PUFAs was observed in fillet from rainbow trout fed sugar kelp and was positively correlated with *fas* expression. This suggests that the inclusion of this macroalgae affects lipid metabolism, resulting in lower fatty acids content in the fillet. Previous studies in trout showed an increase in PUFAs, namely EPA and DHA (% total fatty acids), in fish fed diets with 3 and 6% inclusion of the brown algae *Macrocystis pyrifera* (Dantagnan et al., 2009). In general, this is in accordance with our data, which demonstrated that, despite a decrease in total PUFAs content with algae inclusion, EPA and DHA deposition remained unaffected by dietary treatments. Taken together, these results suggest a selective retention of EPA and DHA in fillets, as reported previously (Dantagnan et al., 2009; Sissener, 2018). Fish are the most important natural sources of omega-3 fatty acids, which are essential for human health. The consumption of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids from seafood has been linked with lower incidence of cardiovascular diseases (Raatz et al., 2013). The EPA and DHA muscle content of all fish in this study (0.94 to 0.99 g.100 g<sup>-1</sup>) were well above (3.7 times more) the minimum recommended values per 100 g portion of 0.25-0.50 g (EFSA, 2010). Therefore, the dietary inclusion of sugar kelp reduced fillet deposition of some fatty acids (e.g. oleic and linoleic acids) but did not compromise the contents of essential omega-3 fatty acids.

The liver plays a pivotal role in cholesterol homeostasis, as it is involved in the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway, uptake from plasma via *ldlr*, and degradation through conversion into bile acids (Go and Mani, 2012). In our study, there was a trend for diminished hepatic *ldlr* expression with increased seaweed supplementation, though no significant differences were registered between dietary treatments. This suggests that circulating Ldl-cholesterol might not be negatively impacted by the experimental diets. A previous study with juvenile turbot fed diets supplemented with increasing amounts of *Sargassum horneri* reported a significant increase in serum Ldl cholesterol at the highest levels (7.5 and 10%) without any differences in the Ldl-C/Hdl-C ratio, suggesting that algae meal had no detrimental effects on fish cardiovascular system (Wang et al., 2019). However, in mice exposed to

high iodine concentrations via water ( $\text{KIO}_3$ ), Zhao et al. (2010) reported an attenuation of *Ldlr* mRNA expression via TR $\beta$ 1, accompanied by hypercholesterolemic effects. In this study, a significant downregulation of *ldlr* in muscle was detected in fish fed diets with 1% sugar kelp in comparison to controls. In terms of fillet composition, the effects detected at the molecular level did not result in significant differences in cholesterol content. Other natural active substances in *S. latissima* might have helped to balance the negative effects exerted by this seaweed on *ldlr* transcript levels. Thus, dietary inclusion of iodine-rich *S. latissima* might impact *ldlr* expression in different tissues, although liver was not significantly affected. For example, prolonged exposure or higher levels of this macroalgae could lead to detrimental effects in cholesterol metabolism of rainbow trout, deserving further consideration.

Fish fed kelp-rich diets exhibited markedly decreased fillet cholecalciferol (vitamin D<sub>3</sub>) content. Vitamin D deficiency is a global health threat and common to all human populations (Naeem, 2010). Fish are the preferred source of cholecalciferol to achieve an adequate vitamin D status (Tripkovic et al., 2012) and, therefore, a decrease in vitamin D<sub>3</sub> fillet content is bad for human nutrition. For adults, the vitamin D dietary reference value is 15  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$  (EFSA, 2016) and the reduction in vitamin D<sub>3</sub> observed in this study (from 6.0  $\mu\text{g}\cdot 100\text{ g}^{-1}$  in control to 3.5-4.7  $\mu\text{g}\cdot 100\text{ g}^{-1}$  in diets with *S. latissima*) would significantly impact fillet quality. Nonetheless, fillet of fish fed the experimental diets still provided 23-40% of the recommended levels of vitamin D<sub>3</sub>. Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> is a lipid soluble component and, in humans, its absorption has been shown to be affected by dietary fat (Dawson-Hughes et al., 2015). In our study, fillet vitamin D<sub>3</sub> deposition was significantly positively correlated with HSI, whole-body fat, and muscle SFA, MUFA and PUFA contents. Furthermore, a positive correlation between genes involved in lipid metabolism and vitamin D<sub>3</sub> content was observed. These results suggest that the combined downregulation of *fas* and upregulation of *cpt1b1* might contribute to a lipid-lowering effect of diets with sugar kelp, which reduced vitamin D<sub>3</sub> content in the fillet. The potential benefits of *S. latissima* as a functional ingredient in human diets to reduce obesity should be further explored. Further studies are also required to understand the precise molecular mechanisms through which diets supplemented with *S. latissima* modulate vitamin D<sub>3</sub> deposition in rainbow trout.

Such knowledge could lead to the development of strategies to fortify trout fillet with such an important nutrient for human health.

## 5. Conclusion

Our results demonstrate that the dietary inclusion of sugar kelp *S. latissima* below 4% is feasible, without detrimental effects on rainbow trout final body weight. Reduced *gpx1b2* expression in fish fed 2% sugar kelp diet might suggest the need for less endogenous antioxidants, evidencing the potential of *S. latissima* as a protective agent against oxidative stress. The deposition of certain fatty acids (e.g. oleic and linoleic acids) in the fillet was reduced with kelp supplementation. However, EPA and DHA concentrations were not compromised and the fillet provided 3.7 times the recommended levels for human consumption. Muscle *ldlr* expression was reduced with macroalgae inclusion, but fillet total cholesterol was not affected by dietary treatments. Diets with sugar kelp also had a lipid-lowering effect, with a significant downregulation of *fas* and tendency for upregulation of *cpt1b1*, which might have contributed to reduced vitamin D<sub>3</sub> content in the fillet. New approaches for improving vitamin D<sub>3</sub> content in trout fillets should be developed to provide products with health-promoting nutrients or human consumption.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgement

This work has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement no. 773400 (SEAFOODTOMORROW). Sara C. Cunha acknowledges FCT for the IF/01616/2015 contract and the supported funding contract UID/QUI/50006/2019 from FCT/MCTES. Luisa Valente acknowledges funds provided by FCT to CIIMAR (UIDB/04423/2020,UIDP/04423/2020) and António Marques for the IF/00157/2014

contract. This article reflects the views only of the author(s), and the European Union cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

### Authors contributions

K.G., B.K.L., L.M.P.V., J.D., M.L.N. and A.M. were responsible for the experimental design. B.K.L. and K.G. conducted the growth trial. M.F., K.G., B.K.L., S.C.C., C.M., J.O.F, I.C., L.F.C.C. were involved in chemical analysis and gene expression data. M.F. and L.M.P.V analysed data, performed the statistical analysis and wrote the manuscript draft. All authors provided comments to the manuscript redaction.

### References

- Afonso, N.C., Catarino, M.D., Silva, A.M.S., Cardoso, S.M., 2019. Brown macroalgae as valuable food ingredients. *Antioxidants* 8, 365.
- Al-Habsi, A.A., Massarsky, A., Moon, T.W., 2018. Atorvastatin alters gene expression and cholesterol synthesis in primary rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) hepatocytes. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B* 224, 262-269.
- Biancarosa, I., Belghit, I., Bruckner, C.G., Liland, N.S., Waagbø, R., Amlund, H., Heesch, S., Lock, E.-J., 2018. Chemical characterization of 21 species of marine macroalgae common in Norwegian waters: benefits of and limitations to their potential use in food and feed. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 98, 2035-2042.
- Biban, B.G., Lichiardopol, C., 2017. Iodine deficiency, still a global problem? *Curr. Health Sci. J.* 43, 103-111.
- Byrdwell, W.C., Horst, R.L., Phillips, K.M., Holden, J.M., Patterson, K.Y., Harnly, J.M., Exler, J., 2013. Vitamin D levels in fish and shellfish determined by liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detection and mass spectrometry. *J. Food Compos. Anal* 30, 109-119.
- Cruz, R., Casal, S., Mendes, E., Costa, A., Santos, C., Morais, S., 2013. Validation of a single-extraction procedure for sequential analysis of vitamin E, cholesterol, fatty acids, and total fat in seafood. *Food Anal. Methods* 6, 1196-1204.

Cunha, S.S., Fernandes, J.O., Oliveira, M.B.P.P., 2006. Quantification of free and esterified sterols in Portuguese olive oils by solid-phase extraction and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1128, 220-227.

Dantagnan, P., Hernández, A., Borquez, A., Mansilla, A., 2009. Inclusion of macroalgae meal (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) as feed ingredient for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): effect on flesh fatty acid composition. *Aquac. Res.* 41, 87-94.

Dawson-Hughes, B., Harris, S.S., Lichtenstein, A.H., Dolnikowski, G., Palermo, N.J., Rasmussen, H., 2015. Dietary fat increases vitamin D-3 absorption. *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet* 115, 225-230.

De Francesco, M., Parisi, G., Médale, F., Lupi, P., Kaushik, S.J., Poli, B.M., 2004. Effect of long-term feeding with a plant protein mixture based diet on growth and body/fillet quality traits of large rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture* 236, 413-429.

Dong, X., Tan, P., Cai, Z., Xu, H., Li, J., Ren, W., Xu, H., Zuo, R., Zhou, J., Mai, K., Ai, Q., 2017. Regulation of FADS2 transcription by SREBP-1 and PPAR- $\alpha$  influences LC-PUFA biosynthesis in fish. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 40024.

EFSA, 2010. Scientific Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for fats, including saturated fatty acids, polyunsaturated fatty acids, monounsaturated fatty acids, trans fatty acids, and cholesterol. *EFSA Journal* 8, 1461.

EFSA, N.P., 2016. Dietary reference values for vitamin D. *EFSA Journal* 14, e04547.

Ergün, S., Soyutürk, M., Güroy, B., Güroy, D., Merrifield, D., 2008. Influence of *Ulva* meal on growth, feed utilization, and body composition of juvenile Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) at two levels of dietary lipid. *Aquacult. Int.* 17, 355.

FAO, 2018. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018.

FEAP, 2017. European Aquaculture Production Report 2008-2016.

Fossberg, J., Forbord, S., Broch, O.J., Malzahn, A.M., Jansen, H., Handå, A., Førde, H., Bergvik, M., Fleddum, A.L., Skjermo, J., Olsen, Y., 2018. The potential for upscaling kelp (*Saccharina latissima*) cultivation in salmon-driven integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA). *Front. Mar. Sci* 5.

Go, G.-W., Mani, A., 2012. Low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) family orchestrates cholesterol homeostasis. *Yale J. Biol. Med.* 85, 19-28.

Gomez-Zavaglia, A., Prieto Lage, M.A., Jimenez-Lopez, C., Mejuto, J.C., Simal-Gandara, J., 2019. The potential of seaweeds as a source of functional ingredients of prebiotic and antioxidant value. *Antioxidants* 8, 406.

Granby, K., Amlund, H., M.P. Valente, L., Dias, J., Adoff, G., Sousa, V., Marques, A., Jørgen Sloth, J., Katrine Larsen, K., 2020, Submitted. Growth performance, digestibility and biofortification of nutrients and metals of rainbow trout (*Onchorynchus mykiss*) fed blends with 1-4% iodine rich sugar kelp (*Saccharina latissima*) *Food Chem. Toxicol.* Submitted.

Gregory, M.K., Collins, R.O., Tocher, D.R., James, M.J., Turchini, G.M., 2016. Nutritional regulation of long-chain PUFA biosynthetic genes in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Br. J. Nutr.* 115, 1721-1729.

Handå, A., Forbord, S., Wang, X., Broch, O.J., Dahle, S.W., Størseth, T.R., Reitan, K.I., Olsen, Y., Skjermo, J., 2013. Seasonal- and depth-dependent growth of cultivated kelp (*Saccharina latissima*) in close proximity to salmon (*Salmo salar*) aquaculture in Norway. *Aquaculture* 414-415, 191-201.

Hevrøy, E.M., Tipsmark, C.K., Remø, S.C., Hansen, T., Fukuda, M., Torgersen, T., Vikeså, V., Olsvik, P.A., Waagbø, R., Shimizu, M., 2015. Role of the GH-IGF-1 system in Atlantic salmon and rainbow trout postsmolts at elevated water temperature. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 188, 127-138.

Holdt, S.L., Kraan, S., 2011. Bioactive compounds in seaweed: functional food applications and legislation. *J. Appl. Psychol.* 23, 543-597.

Kamalam, B.S., Médale, F., Larroquet, L., Corraze, G., Panserat, S., 2013. Metabolism and fatty acid profile in fat and lean rainbow trout lines fed with vegetable oil: effect of carbohydrates. *PLOS ONE* 8, e76570-e76570.

Lazarus, J.H., 2015. The importance of iodine in public health. *Environ. Geochem. Health* 37, 605-618.



Lazzarotto, V., Médale, F., Larroquet, L., Corraze, G., 2018. Long-term dietary replacement of fishmeal and fish oil in diets for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): Effects on growth, whole body fatty acids and intestinal and hepatic gene expression. PLOS ONE 13, e0190730.

Librán-Pérez, M., Geurden, I., Dias, K., Corraze, G., Panserat, S., Soengas, J.L., 2015. Feeding rainbow trout with a lipid-enriched diet: effects on fatty acid sensing, regulation of food intake and cellular signaling pathways. J. Exp. Biol. 218, 2610-2619.

Livak, K.J., Schmittgen, T.D., 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method. Methods 25, 402-408.

Lund, E.K., 2013. Health benefits of seafood; Is it just the fatty acids? Food Chem. 140, 413-420.

Lüning, K., Mortensen, L., 2015. European aquaculture of sugar kelp (*Saccharina latissima*) for food industries: iodine content and epiphytic animals as major problems, Botanica Marina, p. 449.

Magnoni, L.J., Martos-Sitcha, J.A., Queiroz, A., Caldach-Giner, J.A., Gonçalves, J.F.M., Rocha, C.M.R., Abreu, H.T., Schrama, J.W., Ozorio, R.O.A., Pérez-Sánchez, J., 2017. Dietary supplementation of heat-treated *Gracilaria* and *Ulva* seaweeds enhanced acute hypoxia tolerance in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). Biol. Open 6, 897-908.

Marinho, G.S., Holdt, S.L., Angelidaki, I., 2015a. Seasonal variations in the amino acid profile and protein nutritional value of *Saccharina latissima* cultivated in a commercial IMTA system. J. Appl. Phycol. 27, 1991-2000.

Marinho, G.S., Holdt, S.L., Jacobsen, C., Angelidaki, I., 2015b. Lipids and composition of fatty acids of *Saccharina latissima* cultivated year-round in integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. Mar. Drugs 13, 4357-4374.

Milanesi, A., Brent, G.A., 2017. Chapter 12 - Iodine and thyroid hormone synthesis, metabolism, and action, in: J.F. Collins (Ed.), Molecular, Genetic, and Nutritional Aspects of Major and Trace Minerals. Academic Press, Boston, pp. 143-150.

Mommsen, T.P., 2001. Paradigms of growth in fish. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B 129, 207-219.

Morash, A.J., Moine, C.M.R.L., McClelland, G.B., 2010. Genome duplication events have led to a diversification in the *CPT I* gene family in fish. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 299, R579-R589.

Morshedi, V., Nafisi Bahabadi, M., Sotoudeh, E., Azodi, M., Hafezieh, M., 2018. Nutritional evaluation of *Gracilaria pulvinata* as partial substitute with fish meal in practical diets of barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*). *J. Appl. Phycol.* 30, 619-628.

Moutinho, S., Linares, F., Rodríguez, J.L., Sousa, V., Valente, L.M.P., 2018. Inclusion of 10% seaweed meal in diets for juvenile and on-growing life stages of Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*). *J. Appl. Phycol.* 30, 3589-3601.

Mullur, R., Liu, Y.-Y., Brent, G.A., 2014. Thyroid hormone regulation of metabolism. *Physiol. Rev.* 94, 355-382.

Naeem, Z., 2010. Vitamin D deficiency - an ignored epidemic. *Int. J. Health Sci.* 4, V-VI.

Nakagawa, H., 1997. Effect of dietary algae on improvement of lipid metabolism in fish. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 51, 345-348.

Nakagawa, H., Mustafa, M.G., Takii, K., Umino, T., Kumai, H., 2000. Effect of dietary catechin and *Spirulina* on vitamin C metabolism in red sea bream. *Fish. Sci.* 66, 321-326.

Nielsen, M.M., Manns, D., D'Este, M., Krause-Jensen, D., Rasmussen, M.B., Larsen, M.M., Alvarado-Morales, M., Angelidaki, I., Bruhn, A., 2016. Variation in biochemical composition of *Saccharina latissima* and *Laminaria digitata* along an estuarine salinity gradient in inner Danish waters. *Algal Res.* 13, 235-245.

Øverland, M., Mydland, L.T., Skrede, A., 2019. Marine macroalgae as sources of protein and bioactive compounds in feed for monogastric animals. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 99, 13-24.

Pacitti, D., Lawan, M.M., Feldmann, J., Sweetman, J., Wang, T., Martin, S.A.M., Secombes, C.J., 2016. Impact of selenium supplementation on fish antiviral responses: a whole transcriptomic analysis in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed supranutritional levels of Sel-Plex®. *BMC Genom.* 17, 116.

Peixoto, M.J., Salas-Leitón, E., Pereira, L.F., Queiroz, A., Magalhães, F., Pereira, R., Abreu, H., Reis, P.A., Gonçalves, J.F.M., Ozório, R.O.d.A., 2016. Role of dietary seaweed supplementation on growth performance, digestive capacity and immune and stress responsiveness in European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *Aquac. Rep.* 3, 189-197.

Penglase, S., Harboe, T., Sæle, O., Helland, S., Nordgreen, A., Hamre, K., 2013. Iodine nutrition and toxicity in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) larvae. PeerJ 1, e20-e20.

Pereira, R., Valente, L.M.P., Sousa-Pinto, I., Rema, P., 2012. Apparent nutrient digestibility of seaweeds by rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Algal Res. 1, 77-82.

Pérez-Sánchez, J., Simó-Mirabet, P., Naya-Català, F., Martos-Sitcha, J.A., Perera, E., Bermejo-Nogales, A., Benedito-Palos, L., Calduch-Giner, J.A., 2018. Somatotropic axis regulation unravels the differential effects of nutritional and environmental factors in growth performance of marine farmed fishes. Front. Endocrinol 9.

Raatz, S.K., Silverstein, J.T., Jahns, L., Picklo, M.J., 2013. Issues of fish consumption for cardiovascular disease risk reduction. Nutrients 5, 1081-1097.

Raine, J.C., Hawryshyn, C.W., 2009. Changes in thyroid hormone reception precede SWS1 opsin downregulation in trout retina. J. Exp. Biol 212, 2781-2788.

Reindl, K.M., Sheridan, M.A., 2012. Peripheral regulation of the growth hormone-insulin-like growth factor system in fish and other vertebrates. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 163, 231-245.

Ribeiro, A.R., Gonçalves, A., Bandarra, N., Nunes, M.L., Dinis, M.T., Dias, J., Rema, P., 2017a. Natural fortification of trout with dietary macroalgae and selenised-yeast increases the nutritional contribution in iodine and selenium. Food Res. Int. 99, 1103-1109.

Ribeiro, A.R., Gonçalves, A., Barbeiro, M., Bandarra, N., Nunes, M.L., Carvalho, M.L., Silva, J., Navalho, J., Dinis, M.T., Silva, T., Dias, J., 2017b. *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* in finishing diets for gilthead seabream: effects on skin pigmentation, sensory properties and nutritional value. J. Appl. Phycol. 29, 1945-1956.

Ribeiro, A.R., Gonçalves, A., Colen, R., Nunes, M.L., Dinis, M.T., Dias, J., 2015. Dietary macroalgae is a natural and effective tool to fortify gilthead seabream fillets with iodine: Effects on growth, sensory quality and nutritional value. Aquaculture 437, 51-59.

Ribeiro, A.R., Ribeiro, L., Sæle, Ø., Hamre, K., Dinis, M.T., Moren, M., 2011. Iodine-enriched rotifers and *Artemia* prevent goitre in Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*) larvae reared in a recirculation system. *Aquac. Nutr.* 17, 248-257.

Rodriguez-Arno, J., Miell, J.P., Ross, R.J., 1993. Influence of thyroid hormones on the GH-IGF-I axis. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* 4, 169-173.

Roleda, M.Y., Skjermo, J., Marfaing, H., Jónsdóttir, R., Rebours, C., Gietl, A., Stengel, D.B., Nitschke, U., 2018. Iodine content in bulk biomass of wild-harvested and cultivated edible seaweeds: Inherent variations determine species-specific daily allowable consumption. *Food Chem.* 254, 333-339.

Sissener, N.H., 2018. Are we what we eat? Changes to the feed fatty acid composition of farmed salmon and its effects through the food chain. *J. Exp. Biol.* 221, jeb161521.

Sotoudeh, E., Mardani, F., 2018. Antioxidant-related parameters, digestive enzyme activity and intestinal morphology in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fry fed graded levels of red seaweed, *Gracilaria pygmaea*. *Aquac. Nutr.* 24, 777-785.

Stévant, P., Rebours, C., Chapman, A., 2017. Seaweed aquaculture in Norway: recent industrial developments and future perspectives. *Aquac. Int.* 25, 1373-1390.

Teimouri, M., Yeganeh, S., Mianji, G.R., Najafi, M., Mahjoub, S., 2019. The effect of *Spirulina platensis* meal on antioxidant gene expression, total antioxidant capacity, and lipid peroxidation of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Fish Physiol. Biochem.* 45, 977-986.

Tripkovic, L., Lambert, H., Hart, K., Smith, C.P., Bucca, G., Penson, S., Chope, G., Hyppönen, E., Berry, J., Vieth, R., Lanham-New, S., 2012. Comparison of vitamin D2 and vitamin D3 supplementation in raising serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D status: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 95, 1357-1364.

Valente, L.M.P., Rema, P., Ferraro, V., Pintado, M., Sousa-Pinto, I., Cunha, L.M., Oliveira, M.B., Araújo, M., 2015. Iodine enrichment of rainbow trout flesh by dietary supplementation with the red seaweed *Gracilaria vermiculophylla*. *Aquaculture* 446, 132-139.

Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., Speleman, F., 2002. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.* 3, research0034.0031.

Wan, A.H.L., Davies, S.J., Soler-Vila, A., Fitzgerald, R., Johnson, M.P., 2019. Macroalgae as a sustainable aquafeed ingredient. *Rev. Aquac.* 11, 458-492.

Wang, C., Hu, W., Wang, L., Qiao, H., Wu, H., Xu, Z., 2019. Effects of dietary supplementation with *Sargassum horneri* meal on growth performance, body composition, and immune response of juvenile turbot. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 31, 771-778.

Wang, L., Zhang, X., Wu, L., Liu, Q., Zhang, D., Yin, J., 2018. Expression of selenoprotein genes in muscle is crucial for the growth of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed diets supplemented with selenium yeast. *Aquaculture* 492, 82-90.

Wassef, E.A., El-Sayed, A.-F.M., Sakr, E.M., 2013. *Pterocladia* (Rhodophyta) and *Ulva* (Chlorophyta) as feed supplements for European seabass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* L., fry. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 25, 1369-1376.

Xia, Y., Qu, W., Zhao, L.-N., Han, H., Yang, X.-F., Sun, X.-F., Hao, L.-P., Xu, J., 2013. Iodine excess induces hepatic steatosis through disturbance of thyroid hormone metabolism involving oxidative stress in BaLB/c mice. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res* 154, 103-110.

Xuan, X., Wen, X., Li, S., Zhu, D., Li, Y., 2013. Potential use of macro-algae *Gracilaria lemaneiformis* in diets for the black sea bream, *Acanthopagrus schlegelii*, juvenile. *Aquaculture* 412-413, 167-172.

Yoshinaga, K., Nakai, Y., Izumi, H., Nagaosa, K., Ishijima, T., Nakano, T., Abe, K., 2018. Oral administration of edible seaweed *Undaria pinnatifida* (wakame) modifies glucose and lipid metabolism in rats: a DNA microarray analysis. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res* 62, 1700828.

Zhao, L.N., Xu, J., Peng, X.L., Tian, L.Y., Hao, L.P., Yang, X.F., Ying, C.J., Sun, X.F., 2010. Dose and time-dependent hypercholesterolemic effects of iodine excess via TRbeta1-mediated down regulation of hepatic LDLr gene expression. *Eur. J. Nutr.* 49, 257-265.

**Declaration of interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

On the behalf of all authors, and as corresponding author, I declare this work had no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper,

Luisa Valente