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Additive manufacturing of polymeric scaffolds for biomimetic cell membrane engineering
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HIGHLIGHTS
• Biomimetic cell scaffolds were fabricated by additive manufacturing using direct laser writing two-photon polymerization
• Different design parameters were considered and tested to produce cell-like polyacrylate scaffolds with the wanted properties
• The polyacrylate scaffolds were modified with a lipid bilayer supported on a cationic polymer to mimic human cell membranes
• This interdisciplinary approach highlights the value of additive manufacturing for biomedical research
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ABSTRACT
Additive manufacturing based on direct laser writing two-photon polymerization facilitates the fabrication of microstructures with full 3D design freedom. Here, this fabrication technique is exploited for engineering scaffolds accurately mimicking the shape and size of three types of human cells. The human cell models employed in the study were chosen to include a range of dimensions and different identifiable features to highlight the versatility of this fabrication approach, yet other cell shapes can easily be fabricated in similar manner. The design and fabrication parameters for the additive manufacturing process were optimized to obtain polymeric scaffolds with biomimetic shapes. After fabrication, the cell scaffolds were converted to polymer-cushioned model cell membranes through layer-by-layer functionalization with a cationic polymer and a lipid bilayer. Scaffold functionalization was verified using confocal laser scanning microscopy. Polymer-cushioned model cell membranes supported on 3D scaffolds mimicking the shape of human cells are particularly suitable for membrane interaction studies where membrane curvature plays an important role. The aim of this study is to demonstrate the engineering of biomimetic cell membranes by high-resolution additive manufacturing combined with surface functionalization. The interdisciplinary approach highlights the value of additive manufacturing as technological solution for challenges encountered in biomedical studies.
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1. Introduction

Originally introduced in the late 1980’s as rapid prototyping, additive manufacturing (AM) [11] has become dramatically more popular and versatile in the past two decades. Whereas in its early years AM was useful mostly for making prototypes which facilitated parameter optimization, nowadays it is widely employed for manufacturing various components and final parts in a number of industry branches, including e.g. the biomedical, car manufacturing, aerospace engineering, or construction industries. Overall, different flavours of AM, such as fused filament fabrication, stereolithography, ink-jetting, selective laser sintering, or two-photon polymerization, enable the fabrication of objects with sizes spanning several orders of magnitude in materials ranging from soft polymers to ceramics and metals.

AM is increasingly used for fabricating scaffolds with applications in cell culture and tissue engineering [2–7] as part of a recently emerging field that is tentatively called printing biology [8]. A number of biocompatible materials [9,10] and biomaterials [11,12] suitable for AM processing are already available, while others are currently being developed by researchers around the world. Surface functionalization can also improve the suitability of structures fabricated by AM for various biomedical applications [13–16]. In addition to the use of the more widespread AM techniques that generate macroscopic structures, such as stereolithography [17] or fused filament fabrication [18], higher resolution AM techniques such as projection microstereolithography [19] or two-photon polymerization (2PP) direct laser writing (DLW) [20] are gaining momentum for the fabrication of microscale devices and scaffolds for biomedical applications [21–27]. Although it is more limited in terms of scale, 2PP features significantly higher resolution than all other AM techniques, enabling the DLW of objects with critical dimensions as small as 100–200 nm. Whereas early 2PP AM relied on relatively simple resins that could undergo two-photon absorption, there is a growing interest in the use of smart, stimuli-responsive materials [28–31], and in the DLW of multi-material structures [32].

Given the selection of available AM technologies and the huge range of materials that can thus be processed, there is a tremendous freedom of design in terms of object shape, size, chemical and mechanical properties [33]. Taking inspiration from nature can sometimes provide the quickest path to overcoming certain challenges, which is why one rather recent and important approach in AM is biomimicry. Biomimetic elements can come into play when it comes solely to the material design [34], or can apply to different properties of the object, including the object shape and topology [35–37].

When it comes to biomedical applications, the biocompatibility of structures fabricated by AM is often a critical element. Recent review papers discuss various material functions encountered in 2PP, including biocompatibility [20,38]. Typically, the toxicity of a resin comes from the photoinitiator component. Consequently, biocompatibility can sometimes be achieved simply by increasing the degree of conversion, which can be done by tailoring the fabrication process or by employing post-processing steps [20]. Furthermore, a number of biocompatible 2PP photoinitiators and resins have already been reported [20], and several biocompatible resin formulations for 2PP, such as IP-ViSio or Immorsil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main photoresist employed for 2PP, such as IP-Visio or Ormosil, are commercially available. The main phot
ethanol (99.9%), and chloroform (99.9%) were purchased from VWR (Radnor, PA, USA). 1,2-Dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) 18:1 solution in chloroform, propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate (PGMEA), 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES, 1 M, 99.5%), poly-l-lysine (PLL, 0.1% w/v in H2O) and 1,1'-diocadetyl-3,3',3'-tetramethyldiocarbocyanine perchlorate (DiI, 98%) were purchased from Merck, Darmstadt, Germany.

2.2. Design and fabrication of cell scaffolds

The 3D design of cell scaffolds was done in SolidWorks 2019 (Dassault Systèmes, Vélizy-Villacoublay, France) and the technical drawings are shown in Section S1 of the Supporting information (SI). The output .STL file was imported into the DeScribe software, version 2.5.5 (Nanoscribe, Karlsruhe, Germany), where the print parameters were set as described in Section S2 of the SI, and the .GWL job file for AM was generated. Arrays of the model cell structures were generated in DeScribe. Fabrication was done by direct laser writing (DLW) using two-photon polymerization (2PP) on a Nanoscribe GT+ system (Nanoscribe, Karlsruhe, Germany), which uses 150 fs pulses emitted at 100 MHz by a 780 nm Ti-Sapphire laser and focused into the sample (Nanoscribe, Karlsruhe, Germany), which uses 150 fs pulses emitted at 100 MHz by a 780 nm Ti-Sapphire laser and focused into the sample. The output .STL file for individual cell scaffolds was determined by drawing a straight line in ImageJ, followed by overnight solvent evaporation after the last wash. The lipid film was calculated by averaging individual measurements after subtracting the corresponding background mean gray value. All other acquisition parameters (pinhole size, laser power, gains) were initially optimized on a target sample, and the same settings were subsequently used for imaging all samples and corresponding references.

Data analysis of images acquired using CLSM was done in the ImageJ software [56] version 1.51j8 (public domain, developed at the National Institutes of Health, Madison, WI, USA). The fluorescence intensity profile was determined by drawing a straight line in ImageJ, followed by using the Plot Profile analysis function. The average fluorescence intensity for individual cell scaffolds was determined by first selecting the target scaffold unit using the Oval (RBC), Rectangular (CEC) or Free hand (SMC) Selection tools, followed by computing the mean gray value from the ImageJ Analyze tab. For every image, the mean gray value for 3 different cells was computed, together with the mean gray value of the background, and 3 separate images were used for each measurement. The average mean gray value for each type of sample was calculated by averaging individual measurements after subtracting the corresponding background mean gray value. All calculations and corresponding graphs were done in Origin 2018 version 9SE (Origin Lab, Northampton, MA, USA). For the fluorescence images shown in Fig. 4, the background fluorescence was removed by using the Subtract Background function in ImageJ, a rolling ball radius of 100 pixels, and no smoothing.

2.5. Scaffold characterization using profilometry

A 3D optical profiler confocal interference microscope (Sensofar Tech, Terrassa, Spain) equipped with a 50×/0.80 objective was used to characterize the height profile of the 3D printed samples. Using the 50×/0.80 objective, the field of view is 254.6 µm × 190.9 µm, and the pixel resolution limit is 1.51 µm [54].

2.6. Surface modification of the polymeric cell scaffolds

Surface modification was performed using a two-step protocol adapted from Wan et al. [55] In the first step, the 3D printed structures were incubated with a 1 mg·mL⁻¹ PLL solution for 20 min at room temperature. Unbound PLL was removed by washing twice with deionized water. In the second step, a 1 mg·mL⁻¹ DOPC SUV suspension was added to the PLL-modified polymeric structures and incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Excess SUV were removed by washing twice with deionized water, after which the sample was kept in HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4) overnight at 4 °C before subsequent characterization using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). Reference samples for CLSM constituted samples where the PLL incubation step was replaced by incubation with HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4), and otherwise prepared as described above.

2.7. Scaffold characterization using confocal laser scanning microscopy

CLSM was performed using a Zeiss Axio LSM 700 upright microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with an EC Plan-Neofluar 20×/0.50 HD M27 objective (Carl Zeiss) and an AxioCam MRC camera (Carl Zeiss). All samples consisted of polymeric scaffolds supported on borosilicate glass coverslips and covered by a thin layer of HEPES buffer. In accordance with the producer specifications for the fluorescent dye Dil, the excitation wavelength was set to 549 nm and the emission wavelength to 565 nm using the electronic filters configured in the ZEN Black software (Carl Zeiss). CLSM images set to have 16 bit depth and a frame size of 1024 × 1024 pixels were acquired using a pixel dwell time of 3.15 µs and 4 frame averaging. All other acquisition parameters (pinhole size, laser power, gains) were initially optimized on a target sample, and the same settings were subsequently used for imaging all samples and corresponding references.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Design and fabrication of biomimetic cell scaffolds

The profiles of three types of human cells with different dimensions and features were chosen to demonstrate the versatility of the approach

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed using a Zeiss Supra 40 VP (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) scanning electron microscope on fabricated samples coated with a thin gold layer (15–30 nm) using a sputter coater (Cressington Scientific Instruments, Watford, UK). All images were acquired using the secondary electron detector, a low acceleration voltage (1.0–1.5 kV) and high vacuum mode.
presented herein for fabricating model cell membranes with realistic shapes and dimensions. The first type represents red blood cells (RBC), also known as erythrocytes. RBC are shaped as biconcave disks, i.e. disks with a thicker contour and a depression in the center. The average diameter of human RBC is 7.2 μm, with individual RBC typically ranging from 6.8 to 7.5 μm [57], a size which places them among the smallest human cells. The second type of cells chosen is smooth muscle cells (SMC), also known as fibers. SMC are spindle-shaped, i.e. they are wider in the middle and tapered at both ends. In the relaxed state, they typically have a width of several micrometers and a length in the range of tens to hundreds of micrometers [58], which means they are rather large compared to most other human cells. Both RBC and SMC have relatively smooth surfaces, easily recognizable shapes and very different sizes, but no characteristic small topological features. The third type of cells chosen is columnar epithelial cells (CEC), also known as absorptive enterocytes, found in the GI tract in the small intestine. CEC consist of a rather large columnar body with a height in the order of tens to hundreds of micrometers [57], which means they are rather large compared to most other human cells. Both RBC and SMC have relatively smooth surfaces, easily recognizable shapes and very different sizes, but no characteristic small topological features. The third type of cells chosen is columnar epithelial cells (CEC), also known as absorptive enterocytes, found in the GI tract in the small intestine. CEC consist of a rather large columnar body with a height in the order of tens to hundreds of micrometers [57], which means they are rather large compared to most other human cells. Both RBC and SMC have relatively smooth surfaces, easily recognizable shapes and very different sizes, but no characteristic small topological features.

Fig. 1a, e, and i show rendered images of the designed RBC, SMC and CEC scaffold units, respectively. The design parameters were based on the average shape and size of the corresponding type of human cells and are shown in Section S1 of the SI. However, deviations from human cell parameters were made in order to improve the fabrication time and the adhesion to the substrate for the cell scaffolds designs. More specifically, in the case of RBC, the bottom side of the cell is designed to be flat instead of concave. For the SMC, the spindle-shaped structure is sliced equatorially, so that only half a cell with a flat base is printed. In both cases, the flat base ensures strong adhesion to the substrate, which is a requirement for subsequent experiments, particularly for the surface modification steps. In the case of CEC, only the apical side of the cell, containing the microvilli, is printed, while the large columnar body is neglected. This reduces the print time tremendously, yet still enables access to the interesting topological features of these cells, i.e. the microvilli. Furthermore, the microvilli are designed to be larger and packed less densely than the ones found in nature. This is because the natural microvilli dimensions are beyond the resolution limit of AM. According to the producer’s specifications, the highest resolution mode on the NanoScribe enables DLW of features of ~200 nm and requires a spacing of >500 nm to ensure feature separation. Nevertheless, all cell scaffold models can be considered to approximate reasonably the corresponding human cells.

Converting the 3D designs to fabrication files requires slicing and hatching of the structures in the DeScribe software. Previews of the printable 3D structures obtained in this manner are shown in Fig. 1b, f and j. Despite the high resolution of 2PP, the apparent smoothness of the designed structures is somewhat reduced when converting the .STL file to the printable .GWL file. To minimize this, contour lines were also included during the DeScribe file conversion (additional details in Section S2 of the SI).

When using the 63×/1.40 objective, the largest surface area that can be printed without displacing the stage and instead making use of the galvo mirrors is specified by the producer to be a circle with a diameter of 200 μm, which can inscribe a square of 140 × 140 μm². To avoid potential distortions near the edges, all chosen designs are printed in arrays of 120 × 120 μm², which fit well within the galvo range of the NanoScribe. Since RBC in the human body are found as free-floating cells in the blood, the RBC arrays are printed with relatively large spacing between individual cells. On the other hand, SMC and CEC are...
of the roles of the polymer cushion in the model cell membrane employed herein is to reduce the influence of the stiff substrate on the lipid bilayer membrane. Nevertheless, microstructured substrates of reduced stiffness should be explored in the future, as 2PP DLW of various soft materials and hydrogels has already been demonstrated [67–69]. Thus, we envision that 2PP AM could be employed to fabricate scaffolds that mimic not only the shape of human cells, but also their stiffness.

On the other hand, when rapid fabrication of large structures or arrays is needed, the print parameters, i.e., slicing and hatching distances, can be adjusted in order to increase the print speed. This leads to an increase in surface roughness, which can be compensated for by post-processing using thermal reflow [70]. Finally, for high-resolution structures, an option for decreasing the minimal feature size below 100 nm is post-processing using isotropic plasma etching and/or pyrolysis [71]. Overall, this shows that 2PP AM is flexible not only in terms of structure design, but also in terms of material properties, which can be tailored before the AM process through the choice of photoresist, during the AM process by altering the print parameters, and after the AM process through post-processing methods. This flexibility should ultimately enable the fabrication of truly biomimetic cell scaffolds that accurately reproduce not only the shape, but also the mechanical properties of their biological counterparts.

3.2. Optimization of the design and fabrication parameters

Before the biomimetic scaffolds shown in Fig. 1 could be manufactured with the desired quality, it was necessary to optimize a number of design and fabrication parameters. First, a parameter sweep was performed on the NanoScribe to identify the laser power and scan speed providing the best trade-off between resolution and fabrication speed. This was based on a standard test file provided by NanoScribe and is a typical step for all NanoScribe 2PP equipment, so it will not be further detailed herein. The voxel aspect ratio was set in the NanoScribe system according to the desired structure shape. In principle, the voxel dimensions and elongation could also be further optimized [72]. During the AM process of the 3D cell scaffolds, a number of challenges were identified. A selection of images from the optimization process of the design parameters for the different 3D scaffolds is shown in Fig. 2, and the different challenges and optimization steps undertaken are described below.

For RBC scaffolds, a discrepancy between the height of the designed and manufactured scaffolds was identified for the first design (Fig. 2a–c). Whereas the 3D design dictated a structure height of 2.5 μm, optical profilometry showed the average height of the fabricated structures to be 5.7 ± 0.4 μm (average ± SD from 8 measurements). This could be attributed to the combination of setting a target voxel aspect ratio of 1.5 in the DeScribe software and using a base count number of 6 in the AM process. Thus, in order to manufacture RBC scaffolds with the biomimetic dimensions and reasonable smoothness, the base count was reduced to 0 and the voxel aspect ratio was kept at 1.5. In this manner, the RBC scaffolds with a height of 3.2 ± 0.2 shown in Fig. 1d were produced. Although the target voxel aspect ratio was set in the system to 1.5, in practice this value is slightly higher, which in turn leads to a slightly higher structure height compared to the design. Using the predefined voxel aspect ratio of 3.5, would likely lead to structures with a height matching the design perfectly, but is expected to reduce the quality of the fine features, which is why it was not attempted.

In the case of SMC scaffolds, no unexpected challenges were identified. Nevertheless, the number of contour lines was optimized in order to obtain the desired structure smoothness (Fig. 2d–f). For SMC units with no contour lines, the hatching lines are quite prominent (Fig. 2d). Including one contour line helped overcome this, but it emphasized the layer slicing (Fig. 2e). Increasing the contour line count to five generated the smoothest SMC units (Fig. 2f), whereas further increasing the number of contour lines had no noticeable effect.
As expected, AM of the CEC scaffolds was more challenging. An issue encountered with the first design was a folding of the 120 × 120 μm² CEC array (Fig. 2g). This was likely caused by stress in the thin polymer layer attached to the substrate. To overcome this challenge, increasing the thickness of the polymer base from 1 μm to 1.5 μm was sufficient.

On the other hand, a more difficult task was to obtain well-defined microvilli. Pillar-like structures are notoriously difficult to fabricate and typically require careful optimization [73]. Early CEC designs included microvilli with a diameter of 0.2 μm and no contour lines. However, AM of such designs resulted in collapsed microvilli with a height significantly below the desired 1 μm (Fig. 2h, i). Including one contour line in the CEC design led to the fabrication of microvilli with the desired height. However, it also increased the microvilli diameter from 0.2 μm to 0.55 ± 0.06 μm. Due to the dense packing of the microvilli, this led to yet another challenge, aggregation (Fig. 2j). Ultimately, in order to fabricate well-defined microvilli, the contour count was kept to one line, and the spacing between the microvilli was increased, leading to the AM of the CEC scaffolds shown in the last panel of Fig. 1.

As expected, AM of the CEC scaffolds was more challenging. An issue encountered with the first design was a folding of the 120 × 120 μm² CEC array (Fig. 2g). This was likely caused by stress in the thin polymer layer attached to the substrate. To overcome this challenge, increasing the thickness of the polymer base from 1 μm to 1.5 μm was sufficient.

On the other hand, a more difficult task was to obtain well-defined microvilli. Pillar-like structures are notoriously difficult to fabricate and typically require careful optimization [73]. Early CEC designs included microvilli with a diameter of 0.2 μm and no contour lines. However, AM of such designs resulted in collapsed microvilli with a height significantly below the desired 1 μm (Fig. 2h, i). Including one contour line in the CEC design led to the fabrication of microvilli with the desired height. However, it also increased the microvilli diameter from 0.2 μm to 0.55 ± 0.06 μm. Due to the dense packing of the microvilli, this led to yet another challenge, aggregation (Fig. 2j). Ultimately, in order to fabricate well-defined microvilli, the contour count was kept to one line, and the spacing between the microvilli was increased, leading to the AM of the CEC scaffolds shown in the last panel of Fig. 1.

3.3. Preparation and characterization of polymer-cushioned model cell membranes supported on the manufactured cell scaffolds

The biomimetic polymer-cushioned model cell membrane was prepared using a layer-by-layer protocol based on electrostatic interactions recently developed by Wan et al. [55] A schematic representation of the surface modification steps on a SMC scaffold is shown in Fig. 3. The cell scaffolds were fabricated by 2PP AM using the acrylic-based IP-L 780 photoresist and therefore have a slightly negative surface charge. According to the producer’s specifications, the polymerization of the acrylic monomers in the IP-L 780 photoresist proceeds through esterification of the carboxylic acid groups, and only few residual carboxylic acid groups are expected to remain on the surface. On the other hand, PLL is a positively charged polymer widely employed for surface coating and layer-by-layer modification due to its tendency to adsorb onto polar and hydrophilic substrates [74]. In the first surface modification step, PLL is added to structures prepared by 2PP AM of the acrylic-based IP-L 780 photoresist and forms a surface coating layer. In the second surface modification step, dye-loaded DOPC SUV are added to the PLL-coated structures. The SUV spontaneously fuse on the positive surface to form a supported lipid bilayer cushioned by the PLL.

The PLL layer plays a dual role in the biomimetic cell membrane. Firstly, it enables facile surface functionalization based on electrostatic interactions. Secondly, owing to its role as polymer cushion in the system, PLL creates a physical separation between the surface and the lipid bilayer. This should reduce the influence of potential surface defects on the lipid bilayer, and allow the incorporation of transmembrane proteins. The present work did not aim to explore protein incorporation into the polymer-cushioned lipid bilayer, but this type of model cell membrane is known to be particularly suitable for such studies [42].

The CLSM technique was employed to verify the functionalization of the fabricated polymeric cell scaffolds with the fluorescent SUV. The fluorescence of three types of samples was characterized: i) a fully modified sample, where first PLL was added, followed by SUV addition, ii) a sample where SUV were added directly on the fabricated surface,
The CLSM images demonstrate successful functionalization with lipids. It can be noted here that the thickness of a DOPC lipid bilayer is of only ~4 nm [76], whereas a PLL monolayer coating has a thickness <1 nm [77]. Given the fact that the fluorescence signal follows the scaffold shape rather well, it can be asserted that the surface functionalization process results in a rather uniform coating with lipids. However, the CLSM images cannot clarify if this is due to the formation of a lipid bilayer or of a multilayer. This aspect was previously elucidated by Wan et al. [55], who concluded that following this surface modification protocol leads to a surface that is covered by a single and continuous lipid bilayer, rather than a multilayer. Importantly, as it can be seen in Fig. 4d, h and l, the reference samples where the PLL coating step was omitted and the SUV were added directly to the polycarbonate surface show significantly less fluorescence. In these reference samples, the weak fluorescence signal can be attributed to the autofluorescence of IP-L 780 rather than the Dil dye. Polymerized IP-L 780 is known to display autofluorescence in the wavelength range 450–550 nm [75]. The Dil dye was selected for this study because it has a fluorescence emission maximum at 565 nm, outside the IP-L 780 autofluorescence wavelength range. A weak autofluorescence signal was nevertheless recorded from the IP-L 780 structures even at 565 nm, and there is no significant difference between this signal and that of the reference samples shown in Fig. 4d, h and l (additional details in Section S5 of the SI). Overall, this confirms the role of PLL in the surface modification process, and that the model cell membrane obtained is a polymer-cushioned lipid bilayer. The mean gray values for the functionalized scaffolds and both corresponding references are shown in Fig. S8 of the SI.

The fluorescence intensity profile follows the shape of the scaffolds (shown in Fig. 1). For the RBC model, the highest fluorescence intensity is measured at the edges of the structures, while the center appears dimmer. For the SMC model, the fluorescence intensity profile shows lower values at the edges of the structures and higher values in the center. In the case of the CEC model, the intensity profile shows a number of noise-like peaks over the cell body attributed to the presence of the microvilli-like structures. However, the resolution of the 20×/0.50 objective is not sufficient to resolve individual microvilli, which lead to the rather rough appearance of the fluorescence intensity profile. Although theoretically a resolution of ~200 nm can be achieved using a 100×/1.40 oil immersion objective, focusing issues were encountered in practice when using an objective with a magnification higher than 20×, which is why the 20×/0.50 objective was used instead.

3.4. Flexibility of the fabrication technique

Given the DLW nature of 2PP AM as a fabrication technique, the scaffold parameters can easily be adjusted based on each user’s requirements, as long as the technical characteristics of the fabrication equipment are taken into account. For example, Fig. 5 shows scaffolds fabricated using different design parameters than the structures shown in Fig. 1. In Fig. 5a, the SMC units are packed more tightly, ensuring higher surface coverage. While the SMC packing shown in Fig. 1h was preferred for both CLSM and optical profilometry measurements, complete surface coverage might be interesting for some applications. Fig. 5b shows a larger SMC array (1 × 1 mm²). Large scaffolds, up to several millimeters in lateral size, can be fabricated by stitching smaller print areas, as described in Section S6 of the SI. However, this naturally implies a considerable increase in the AM time, which is ~2–4 h for the 1 × 1 mm² array, compared to <2 min required for printing the 120 × 120 µm² array. Finally, Fig. 5c shows a CEC scaffold where the 20 µm columnar cell body is included in the printing, in addition to the apical side containing the microvilli. Again, this leads to an increase in the printing time, from the ~8 s needed to print the apical side of a single model cell, to ~136 s needed to print a single CEC including the 20 µm tall columnar cell body.

The structure shown in Fig. 5c was fabricated using a slightly different DLW process compared to all other structures described in this paper. To produce this structure based on the 3D design shown in Fig. 6a, a change in DLW configuration from oil immersion to DIll was required to get a good quality print. Printing in oil immersion configuration on the Nanoscribe is schematically shown in Fig. 6b. In this case, the laser is focused into the IP-L 780 photoresist placed on the top of a borosilicate glass coverslip through the glass substrate and a layer of Immersol 518F matching the refractive index of the glass. Printing starts on the top surface of the glass coverslip and proceeds upwards. The height of the print is limited to <190 µm by the focal length of the objective. Furthermore, aberrations increase with height, particularly when the laser is focused through a thick layer of crosslinked photoresist. This can be clearly seen for the 20 µm columnar cell body shown in Fig. 6c, which is significantly deformed, particularly in the top half. An alternative approach, printing in DIll configuration, is schematically shown in Fig. 6d. In contrast with the oil immersion process, the photoresist IP-Dip is instead placed on the bottom of a 0.70 mm thick fused silica substrate, and is used as both immersion medium and polymer precursor. Printing starts on the bottom surface of the fused silica substrate and proceeds downwards. In DIll configuration, structures with a height > 300 µm can be fabricated. As the focused laser beam exiting the objective only travels through uncrosslinked resist in this case, aberrations are not influenced by structure height, and the 20 µm tall CEC scaffold shown in Fig. 6e matches the shape of the 3D design very well. Therefore, the DIll configuration and the IP-Dip resist were preferred for fabricating the 20 µm tall CEC structures.

The IP-Dip photoresist is more viscous than IP-L 780 and proper development of the small features is more challenging. Whereas for IP-L 780 development of the uncrosslinked photoresist was done by 20 min incubation in isopropanol, development of the IP-Dip structures was a two-step process comprising 20 min incubation in PGMEA followed by 5 min in isopropanol. Nevertheless, as it can be seen in Fig. 6e, residual polymer fibers can still be noticed for the IP-Dip microvilli structures. Further optimization of the development process for the...
IP-Dip microstructures, by e.g. mixing during the incubation or adding an additional incubation step in PGMEA, should help overcome this.

4. Conclusions

This paper demonstrates the feasibility of fabricating model cell membranes that mimic the natural curvature and dimensions of human cells. Three types of human cells having different shapes, sizes, and distinctive features were chosen, i.e. red blood cells, smooth muscle cells, and columnar epithelial cells with microvilli. The polymeric cell scaffolds were designed and fabricated using two-photon polymerization additive manufacturing. Careful optimization of the design and fabrication steps allowed achieving biomimetic shapes and dimensions. A layer-by-layer surface modification protocol was employed to...
functionalize the fabricated cell scaffolds and convert them into polymer-cushioned lipid bilayer model cell membranes. For this purpose, the polymeric cell scaffolds were first coated with the cationic polymer PLL and subsequently with an amphiphilic lipid DOPC bilayer doped with the hydrophobic fluorescent dye DiI. The formation of the polymer-cushioned lipid bilayer model cell membrane was confirmed by analyzing fluorescence data acquired using confocal laser scanning microscopy.

While this work is a proof-of-concept demonstration for the fabrication of polymer-cushioned model cell membranes on scaffolds mimicking human cell shapes prepared by AM, the ingredients for developing truly biomimetic model cell membranes based on this approach are also briefly discussed in this paper. The fabrication technique employed, additive manufacturing based on direct laser writing two-photon polymerization, allows for full 3D design freedom featuring critical dimensions down to ~200 nm. Together with the choice of photoresists, printing parameters and post-processing methods available, the 3D design freedom with high resolution is expected to enable the fabrication of biomimetic cell membranes mimicking both the shape and mechanical properties of any types of human cells. Such scaffolds that reproduce human cell shapes with high fidelity are expected to be particularly beneficial for drug delivery studies where membrane curvature is an important factor. Furthermore, polymer-cushioned lipid bilayer model cell membranes, such as those presented in this work, are known to be particularly suitable for incorporating transmembrane proteins, which are often of interest in studies where membrane curvature plays an important role. The model cell membrane complexity may be increased by e.g. incorporating proteins or tailoring the bilayer composition. Thus, by tailoring both the fabrication and surface functionalization processes, truly biomimetic cell membranes can be developed based on the approach pioneered herein.

The sub-micrometer resolution enabled by direct laser writing two-photon polymerization complements well the more established macroscale additive manufacturing techniques for biomedical applications, such as fused filament fabrication or stereolithography. Altogether, additive manufacturing techniques have the power to revolutionize biomedical research. Interdisciplinary studies involving experts in e.g. engineering, chemistry, materials science, and biology are more likely to provide additive manufacturing solutions to real biological challenges. The polymer-cushioned model cell membranes supported on 3D cell scaffolds presented herein represent a valuable example demonstrating the ever-growing usefulness of additive manufacturing for biomedical research at all size scales.
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