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Digestive Tract and the Muscular Pharynx/Esophagus in Wild Leptocephalus Larvae of European Eel (Anguilla anguilla)

Helene Ronquist Knutsen1†, Sune Riis Sørensen2, Peter Munk2, Tora Bardal1 and Elin Kjørsvik1∗

1Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway, 2National Institute of Aquatic Resources, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark

Several aspects of the biology of European eel (Anguilla anguilla) larvae are still unknown; particularly, information about their functional development and feeding is sparse. In the present study, we histologically characterize the digestive system of wild caught specimens of European eel leptocephalus larvae. The aim was to provide more understanding about how food may be ingested and mechanically processed in the leptocephalus larvae, and to discuss this in the context of its hypothesized feeding strategy. Larvae were caught in the Sargasso Sea during the “Danish Eel Expedition 2014” with the Danish research vessel Dana. The larval sizes ranged from 7.0 to 23.3 mm standard length (SL) at catch. We found that the mouth/pharynx, especially the anterior esophagus, was surrounded by a multi-layered striated muscle tissue and that the epithelium in the mouth/pharynx had a rough filamentous surface, followed by epithelial columnar cells with multiple cilia in the anterior esophagus. This suggests an expandable pharynx/esophagus, well-suited for the transportation of ingested food and likely with a food-crushing or grinding function. The digestive tract of the larvae consisted of a straight esophagus and intestine ventrally aligned within the larval body, and its length was linearly correlated to the larval length (SL). The length of the intestinal part constituted up to 63% of the total length of the digestive tract. The intestinal epithelium had a typical absorptive epithelium structure, with a brush border and a well-developed villi structure. Some cilia were observed in the intestine, but any surrounding muscularis was not observed. The liver was observed along the posterior part of the esophagus, and pancreatic tissue was located anterior to the intestine. Our findings support the hypothesis that the eel leptocephalus may ingest easily digestible gelatinous plankton and/or marine snow aggregates. The muscular esophagus and the ciliated epithelium appear sufficient to ensure nutrient transport and absorption of the ingested food through the digestive tract.
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INTRODUCTION

The European eel (Anguilla anguilla) is a catadromous fish whose lifecycle has fascinated scientists since Aristotle (384–322 BC; Cresswell, 1883). After an extensive migration across the Atlantic Ocean, the European eel spawns in the Sargasso Sea (Schmidt, 1923; Munk et al., 2010; Righton et al., 2016; Miller et al., 2019). From here, their leptocephalus larvae drift back to the coasts of Europe and North Africa, where they metamorphose into juvenile glass eels and enter coastal or freshwater habitats. The larval stage is assumed to last for about 1–2 years (van Ginneken and Maes, 2005; Bonhommeauz et al., 2009; Munk et al., 2010). However, many aspects of their life cycle and the biology of the early life stages are still scarcely described from nature (Righton et al., 2016; Miller et al., 2020).

The evolutionary adaption of a leptocephalus larval stage is unique to the fishes of the superorder Elopomorpha, which consists of 25 families of fish and more than 1000 species found all over the world (McCleave and Miller, 1994; Greenwood et al., 1996; Chen et al., 2014). Despite their widespread distribution and occasionally large abundances, little is known about the characteristics and adaptations of the leptocephalus larvae to especially their foraging habits (Miller, 2009; Miller et al., 2018). Leptocephalus larvae differ from other pelagic marine fish larvae in several aspects. They are generally of quite large size with a relatively small and thin head (lepto = thin, cephalus = head), and their bodies are transparent and laterally compressed into their characteristic leaf-like appearance (Miller, 2009). Their bodies encompass a gelatinous matrix, mainly consisting of glycosaminoglycans (GAG), commonly referred to as the GAG-layer (Pfeiler, 1991). The outer body possesses numerous chloride cells, which accommodate osmoregulation within the GAG tissue (Lee et al., 2013) and the GAG layer further provides buoyancy and structural support for the leptocephalus larvae (Smith, 2005; Tsukamoto et al., 2009). The GAG-layer makes up most of the larval body, and the external layer of the larvae is only a few cell layers thick (Miller, 2009). The GAG not only provides structural support, but it also functions as an energy storage, which is used during the transformation into the juvenile glass eel (Kawakami et al., 2009; Tsukamoto et al., 2009). The GAG matrix gives the larva a unique ability to achieve a larger body size without the energetic expense of increased number of cells and organ tissues (Bishop and Torres, 1999). The metabolism of leptocephalus larvae has indeed been found to be relatively low (Pfeiler and Govoni, 1993; Bishop and Torres, 1999).

The natural diet and feeding habits of leptocephalus larvae are frequently addressed, and two hypotheses concerning the primary food source are currently put forward. One hypothesis suggests gelatinous plankton as an important part of the diet. This is based on recent studies using rRNA sequencing and DNA-sequence analyses of gut content of European eel larvae which showed a dominance of Hydrozoa taxa (phylum Cnidaria), suggesting that gelatinous plankton is an important part of the diet for these leptocephalus larvae (Riemann et al., 2010; Ayala et al., 2018; Miller et al., 2020). Further, studies on vertical distribution in the area of European eel spawning (Castonguay and McCleave, 1986) showed correspondence between vertical patterns in distribution of the leptocephalus larvae and of small hydrozoans, supporting this proposed predator-prey linkage (Munk et al., 2018). Another hypothesis proposes that marine snow particles, i.e., flocculated dead organic matter could be major components of the leptocephalus diet, (Miller et al., 2011, 2018, 2020). This is supported by isotope studies indicating low trophic position of gut contents (Miller et al., 2012) and by visual observations of the contents showing discarded larvacean houses, as well as plankton fecal pellets which could be part of the marine snow (Mochioka and Iwamizu, 1996; Riemann et al., 2010). No live specimens of eel larvae have been observed or uncovered from the Sargasso Sea, but from laboratory culture experiments it has been shown how young eel larvae utilize a feeding posture into a tense sigmoid body curve from where it can launch toward food particles (Butts et al., 2016). European eel larvae initiating exogenous feeding in culture have been described (Sørensen et al., 2016), but only one successful study of larval early feed uptake is known (Butts et al., 2016). The Japanese eel can, however, be reared on diets based on shark egg-yolk and krill hydrolysates to adult sizes (Tanaka et al., 2003; Okamura et al., 2013).

Most marine pelagic fish larvae have a simple intestinal digestive system at the start of exogenous feeding, with a short esophagus and gut (Kjørsvik et al., 2004; Zambonino Infante et al., 2008). During larval growth and development, the gut becomes further elongated and strengthened, the surface absorption increases, and uptake of nutrients becomes more efficient. The liver, gallbladder, and pancreas are functional when the larvae begin exogenous feeding (Hoehne-Reitan and Kjørsvik, 2004). The stomach is usually not fully functional before the juvenile stage (Lazo et al., 2011).

The structure of the digestive tract has been described for the leptocephalus (Otake, 1996) and the pre-leptocephalus stages of A. japonica (Ozaki et al., 2006), as well as for yolk-sac larvae of the European eel (Mazurais et al., 2013). Three-dimensional reconstruction of European eel larvae based on sectioned first feeding larvae furthermore demonstrated that biting force and particle clearing matched only soft smaller food items (Bouilliart et al., 2015). With such specific exceptions however, European eel larvae seems to develop in line with the general ontogeny of marine fish. In the present study, we outline the basic morphological characteristics of the digestive system of European eel leptocephalus larvae based on histological examination of wild caught specimens from the Sargasso Sea. Our specific aims were to establish the background for evaluating how food may be ingested and mechanically processed in eel leptocephalus larvae, and to avail insight into possible feeding patterns and the functional characteristics of their digestive system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection and Preparation of Eel Larvae

European eel larvae (N = 21 larvae) were collected at four different locations (Figure 1) in the Sargasso Sea in March-April 2014 during the research cruise Danish Eel Expedition 2014: Sargasso Eel (see Ayala and Munk, 2018). All sampled anguillid leptocephalus larvae were photographed on a stage
micrometer glass slide (Nikon Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) using a
DSLR camera (Nikon D70 Nikon D70; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan)
attached via C-mount to stereo dissection microscope (Olympus
SZ40 Olympus Optical, Tokyo, Japan). The leptocephali were
identified to species Anguilla anguilla or A. rostrata by counting
the myomeres following the guidelines of Smith (1979). An
overlap in number of myomeres, i.e., ~111 occurs between the
two species, which is why only larvae exceeding this quantity of
myomeres where used in this study. Images of each larva were
used to measure standard length (SL), calibrating and measuring
individual images using Fiji software package. Standard length
(SL) was measured from the upper jaw (excluding protruding
teeth) following the notochord to its posterior tip (Sørensen
et al., 2016). Sampled larvae were euthanized in an overdose
of tricaine methanesulfonate (MS222, Finquel, Argent Chemical
Laboratories Inc., Redmond, United States), fixed in 2.5%
formaldehyde and 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.11 M hepes buffer
(0.5% sucrose, pH 7.4), and stored cold (4°C) until further
processing immediately after shipment to the Norwegian
University of Science and Technology (NTNU) in Trondheim.
Selected A. anguilla larvae were processed and analyzed at the
histological laboratory at the Centre of Fisheries and Aquaculture
(NTNU Sealab). The fixative was chosen as it preserves tissue
suitable for electron microscopy studies.

### External Measurements and Morphology
Fixed larvae were transferred to buffer before being digitally
photographed using a stereo microscope (Leica MZ75, Leica
Microsystems, Germany) and camera (Carl Zeiss Microscopy
Axiocam ERC5s. Zeiss Inc., Germany). Measurements were
performed on imaged larvae using the software ImageJ (NIH,
United States). Standard length (SL) was measured as described
above; the esophagus length (EL) was measured from the
beginning of the esophagus (approximately behind the eye)
until the transition zone (see Figure 2). Intestinal length (IL)
was measured from the transition zone to the anus. Total
digestive tract length was measured from the beginning of
the esophagus to the anus. Measured areas were defined after
investigating the morphological structure of the digestive tract
from histological sections. The external morphology of the larvae
was also described, focusing on the appearance of the digestive
system and potential presence of food particles in the digestive
tract. The individual larval SL from the live and fixed larvae is
listed in Table 1. The average larval shrinkage for all fixed larvae
was 6.6%, with a mean shrinkage of 2.4% for the larvae processed
further for histology (Table 1). All descriptions of SL throughout
this study are from fixed larvae unless otherwise stated.

### Morphology and Histology of the Digestive System
Of the 21 larval specimens selected, the 10 best-preserved larvae
were used for histological analysis, selecting a broad range of sizes
(8.6–23.7 mm SL fresh caught). The largest (and best preserved)
larva was also used for transmission electron microscopy.

### Histology
Before embedding in epoxy resin (EPON, Sigma-Aldrich,
United States), the leptocephalus larvae were cut into three evenly
sized parts, which were post-fixed in a mixture consisting of
3% potassium ferrocyanide (KFC, Sigma-Aldrich, United States)
and 4% osmium (Carl Roth, Germany) for 1 h, and then bulk-
stained for 1.5 h with 1.5% uranyl acetate (VWR Chemicals,
United States) in distilled water. The larvae were dehydrated in
ethanol and propylene oxide (Acros Organics, Belgium), before
embedding in EPON.
Transverse sections (1 μm) were produced on a Leica Reichert Ultracut microtome (Leica Microsystems, Germany). Sections were produced of the mouth/pharynx and of the esophagus, in the transition area between esophagus and intestine, in the intestine and at the anus (see Figure 2). One larva was also sectioned longitudinally. The sections were stained in Toluidine blue (Sigma-Aldrich, United States), mounted on glass slides with Neomount (VWR Chemicals, United States) and a coverslip, and photographed using a Zeiss Axioskop 2 plus microscope (Zeiss Inc., Germany), equipped with a Nikon DS-5 M camera (Nikon Corp., Japan). Photographs of the sections were processed with the open software ImageJ (Wayne Rasband, NIH, Bethesda, MD, United States), using a Wacom Cintiq 24HD drawing board (Wacom Technology Corporation, United States) and ImageJ (NIH, United States). For higher quality images, sections were scanned with a NanoZoomer (Model SQ C13140-21, Hamamatsu Photonics, Japan).

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)
Sections for TEM were contrasted with 2% lead citrate and observed in a JEOL 1200EX electron microscope.

RESULTS

External Measurements and Morphology
The larval standard length (SL) ranged from 6.97 to 23.33 mm (Table 1). The digestive tract appeared as a long, straight tube with no folding, with two morphologically distinct areas (Figure 2). Anteriorly, the digestive tract was thin and with an even width. After a transition zone (Figure 2), there was a sharp increase in the width of the digestive tract, and here the gall bladder was visible in some larvae. Based on the histological sections, the anterior area to this zone was identified as the esophagus and the posterior area as the intestine. The length of the digestive tract was on average 69 ± 0.9% (mean ± SE) of the SL of the leptocephalus larva, and of this 37 ± 1.6% (mean ± SE)
FIGURE 3 | Length of the total intestine and esophagus of leptocephalus larvae of the European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) plotted versus larval standard length.

was the esophagus with the remaining 63% being intestine. The total length of the digestive tract and the total length of the esophagus were positively linearly correlated to the larval standard length (Figure 3). The digestive tract of most larvae was opaque. A few individuals (L1 SL 6.97 mm, L10 SL 11.17 mm, and L9 SL 10.95 mm) had transparent digestive tracts, with well-defined particles visible in the intestinal lumen (Figure 4).

Histology of the Digestive Tract
The internal morphology of the digestive tract of the leptocephalus larvae is described below in the anatomical order: mouth, esophagus, transition zone, intestine, and anus. Morphological/histological descriptions are mainly based on sections observed from the largest larva (L21, SL = 23.33), as this larva was especially well preserved.

Mouth and Pharynx
The “mouth/pharynx” epithelium was visible from just behind the eyes (Figure 5A, longitudinal section) and backwards (Figure 5C, transverse section). The stratified, squamous epithelium had small filaments projecting from the surface, and it was surrounded by a one-cell layer of striated skeletal muscle (Figures 5B,D). This squamous epithelium was found for all size groups, and in all areas of the mouth/pharynx, and it was most prominent in the area above the heart and close to the opercular cavity.

Esophagus
In all larvae, a thick muscularis surrounding the epithelium of the anterior esophagus was observed. A thin mucosa and the same rough-textured epithelium as observed in the mouth could be seen. The stratified, squamous epithelium in the anterior esophagus of the largest larva (L21) initially appeared as a folded, expandable tissue (Figure 6A), and it was surrounded by a lamina propria, multiple layers of striated muscle, and an outer serosa (Figure 6A). The stratified squamous epithelium that was observed in the mouth was still present, and the head kidney with glomerulus, Bowman’s space and nephric tubules were observed (Figure 6B). For the smallest larvae it was difficult to determine the presence of serosa in the anterior esophagus, but it was observed in the two largest larvae. Compared to the smaller larvae, the two largest larvae had a more organized and diverse morphology of the tissue in the anterior esophagus, the epithelium appeared more organized, and mucus cells were observed in the epithelium.

A section 600 µm further into the esophagus from that illustrated in Figures 6A,B, demonstrated that the stratified, squamous epithelium was replaced by a pseudostratified, ciliated columnar epithelium, the folding of the esophageal epithelium increased, the lamina propria was more developed, and the surrounding multilayered striated muscle was more “multidirectional” (Figures 6C,D). The mucosa had similar thickness on the ventral and dorsal side. Nephric (kidney) ducts with a distinct ciliated lumen could be seen dorsally to the esophagus (Figure 6C). About 200 µm further into the digestive tract, the esophagus lumen opened to a wider and more triangular lumen with a ciliated epithelium (Figure 6E), the lamina propria was thinner, and the striated muscle layer was now a single non-continuous band of muscle bundles, compared to the more anterior esophagus. In this area, no folding of the epithelium was observed. Goblet cells containing mucus with a granular appearance were present, especially on the ventral side of the esophagus. The epithelium was heavily ciliated (Figure 6E) in all larvae investigated. Renal arteries were observed, stretching from the nephric ducts and up to the blood vessels at the notochord.
the anterior esophagus. This morphology was observed for the thinner lamina propria and striated muscle-layer compared to epithelial cells that were seemingly ciliated, and with much (approximately from the middle of the esophagus length). Mid-esophagus was oval in shape, with taller

FIGURE 7A

FIGURE 5 | The mouth/pharynx of the European eel (Anguilla anguilla) leptocephalus larvae. (A) Longitudinal section of the larval head, illustrating the mouth/pharynx and esophagus (Eso) where the stratified squamous epithelium (E) covered a layer of striated muscle (Mu), visible from behind the eyes. The cartilage structure of the larval jaw bones (Mc, q, r, t) and the anterior part of the glycosaminoglycan (GAG) layer is also visible. (B) Higher magnification of same larval section as in (A), with more details of the epithelium structure. The stratified squamous epithelium (E) covered a layer of striated muscle (Mu), visible from behind the eyes. The cartilage structure of the larval jaw bones (Mc, q, r, t) and the anterior part of the glycosaminoglycan (GAG) layer is also visible. (C) Overview of sectional area of pharynx. Cross section of eel larval head, through the heart (behind the eyes). The squared dotted lines illustrate the esophagus shown in (D). (D) Close up of esophagus/pharynx, showing the rough, squamous epithelium (E) and the striated muscle (Mu). Abbreviations: E, epithelium; Eso, esophagus; GAG, glycosaminoglycan; H, heart; h, hyomandibulare; Lu, lumen; Mc, Meckel’s cartilage; Mu, striated muscle; q, quadratum; r, rostrum; t, trabeculum cranii.

In all larvae, the liver appeared ventrally to the esophagus, approximately from the middle of the esophagus length (Figure 7A). Mid-esophagus was oval in shape, with taller epithelial cells that were seemingly ciliated, and with much thinner lamina propria and striated muscle-layer compared to the anterior esophagus. This morphology was observed for the remaining part of the esophagus and into the transition area. A clearly distinct submucosa was not observed in any parts of the esophagus, and the serosa was thin across the whole esophageal area.

Transition Between Esophagus and Intestine

The transition area between the esophagus and intestine could be identified both by the characteristic external morphology (Figure 2), and by the appearance of the liver and gall bladder in the histological sections (Figures 7A,B). The esophageal morphology was the same as the morphology more anteriorly: tall epithelial cells with some mucus cells containing granular mucus, a thin lamina propria, a thin, more continuous muscle layer, and a thin serosa.

The liver was located on the ventral side of the digestive tract. The structure of the liver consisted of basic lobules of hepatocytes, with some extra-cellular vacuoles or deposits in between the lobules (Figure 7B). Transmission electron microscopy showed that the hepatocytes were characterized by a nucleus, dense structures of smooth and rough endoplasmic reticulum, the so-called space of Disse (perisinusoidal space between the hepatocyte and a sinusoid), and some smaller vacuoles were observed in the hepatic cells (Figure 8). A few structures resembling granules of glycogen could also be seen, but clear glycogen deposits were not observed in the hepatocytes. The gall bladder was located dorsally to the liver (Figure 7B). Three basic histological layers of the gall bladder were observed: an innermost epithelium, a layer of smooth muscle and a thin serous membrane. The gall bladder had a thin wall and large lumen. The nephric (kidney) ducts and a blood vessel, probably the hepatic vein, were observed dorsally to the gall bladder (Figure 7B). Pancreatic tissue was observed laterally to the liver, identified by the location of nuclei, the rosette-like organization that is characteristic for pancreas, and with zymogen granulae. The pancreatic tissue appeared very small compared to the liver size and was easiest observed in longitudinal sections (not shown in the figures).

Intestine

The characteristics of the intestine are mainly based on sections from the largest larva (L21), due to poorly preserved tissue morphology in the smaller larvae. The intestine had a uniform morphology throughout its whole length, apart from at the hindgut/anus area. The nephric ducts were located dorsally to the intestine; from the mid-intestine and backwards only one duct was visible (Figure 9A, the urinary tract), leading to the urinary bladder located dorsally from the hindgut part (Figure 9B). The intestine had numerous villi (Figure 9A), and consisted of tall, absorptive, columnar epithelial cells, a non-conspicuous lamina propria, and an outer serosa. The columnar enterocytes in the epithelium had large nuclei with regular alignment in the basal part of the cells, and microvilli covered the luminal side of the epithelial cells (Figures 9A, 10A). The enterocytes were characterized by oblong mitochondria and much endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and some absorptive vacuoles were observed in the
Figure 6 | Cross sections of the anterior esophagus (Eso) of the largest sampled leptocephalus larvae of the European eel (Anguilla anguilla) (L21, SL = 23.33), demonstrating the rapid changes in morphology that occurs along the anterior esophagus tissue. (A) Close up of the beginning of pharynx/esophagus, with the circular and longitudinal striated skeletal muscle layers (Mu) surrounding the rough, squamous surface epithelium (E), mucus cells (MC), and the beginning of a lamina propria (LP). (B) Section through the “head kidney” with glomerulus, Bowman’s space and nephric tubules (K), 200 µm further behind (A), with the multilayered striated muscle (Mu) and a rough surface epithelium. (C) Overview 600 µm behind (A). (D) Close up of the larva in (C), where the surface epithelium is now ciliated (*), the fibroelastic lamina propria (LP) is well developed, and the multilayered striated muscle (Mu) is organized into circular and longitudinal muscle bundles. (E) Overview 800 µm behind (A), with a larger lumen (Lu), ciliated surface epithelium (arrow), the lamina propria appeared thinner (vertical double line bar), and the striated muscle bundles are thinner and more fragmented. Abbreviations: *, ciliated surface epithelium; E, Epithelium; Eso, esophagus; GAG, glycosaminoglycan; K, head kidney (6B) or kidney/nephric ducts (6E); LP, lamina propria; Lu, lumen; Mu, striated muscle.

cytoplasm (Figures 9A, 10A). The dorsal part of the epithelium was less folded, and some cells were ciliated in this area (Figures 9A, 10B). Nutrient-like transport could be seen in between the intestinal epithelial cells (Figure 10A). Some lighter cells in the epithelium resembled goblet cells (not shown). No distinct muscularis externa was observed in any part of the intestine.

Hindgut/Anus
The most distinct morphological features of the hindgut/anus were the narrowing of the lumen, a more even thickness of the mucosa and an appearance of goblet cells. Cilia were observed in the dorsal part of the epithelium, and the urinary bladder was visible dorsally to the intestine (Figure 9B). The tissue surrounding the anus was heavily vascularized and
several blood vessels were observed. No distinct pinocytotic activity was observed, but the hindgut tissue was poorly preserved in all larvae.

**DISCUSSION**

Our new findings about the functional muscular morphology of the pharynx/esophagus in early leptocephalus larvae seem important for understanding how these larvae ingest their feed. The filamentous squamous epithelium combined with the strong multiple layers of striated muscle that we observed in the anterior esophagus of European eel larvae, has not previously been described in Japanese eel or in other fish larvae. However, we have also recently observed a similar muscular part of the esophagus in samples from young *Anguilla japonica* leptocephalus (Kjørsvik and Bardal, unpublished). In larger *A. japonica* leptocephalus (44.0 mm TL), a circular smooth muscle layer under the epithelium in the foregut epithelial cells was observed by Otake (1996), and this was not found in either the mid or hindgut of these larvae. The muscular pharynx/esophagus should make these small larvae able to ingest...
The digestive tract of the European eel leptocephalus larva is a long straight tube, with no coiling, which concur with observations from other species of leptocephalus larvae (Miller, 2009). The leptocephalus larvae used in the present study were in relatively early stages, but also for larger/older leptocephalus larvae the gut is described as relatively straight (for Arisoma balearum, Hulet, 1978). When Anguilla anguilla larvae are metamorphosing into glass-eel, the digestive tract becomes more complex, but is basically still a straight tube (Ciccotti et al., 1993), and not until later stages, a distinct stomach and more curved intestine is seen (Kužir et al., 2012). The division into esophagus, intestine and anus described here, corresponds to findings for the Japanese eel larvae (A. japonica); but for this species it was referred to as foregut, midgut and hindgut, respectively (Otake, 1996). As we observed for the European eel larvae, goblet cells were similarly found in highest numbers in the esophagus for Japanese eel; lower numbers were found in the intestine (Otake, 1996). Further, the absence of an intestinal muscularis mucosa and muscularis externa in the European eel larvae is consistent with observations of Japanese eel larvae (Otake, 1996).

The well-developed muscularis externa and lamina propria tissues observed in the anterior esophagus in the European eel larvae suggests that larvae have a very flexible and expandable esophagus, and they should be able to perform powerful muscle contractions. The ciliated epithelium would furthermore aid the transport of material along the digestive tract, lubricated by the mucus cells. The esophagus was found to be remarkably long, covering about 35% of the length of the digestive tract. In non-leptocephalus pelagic marine fish larvae that are preying upon zooplankton, e.g., cod larvae, the esophagus is very short compared to the main intestinal part of the digestive tract (e.g., Kjørsvik et al., 1991). The growth of the intestine and the epithelium surface is also very fast, as described in cod (Wold et al., 2008).

The function of the characteristic esophagus epithelium could be to aid crushing or grinding of ingested food particles. The teeth of the European eel larvae are very long but fragile and protrude from the mouth, and they seem to only have a grasping function, as biting and chewing force is limited based on 3D reconstruction of jaw bone, ligament and muscle structure (Appelbaum and Riehl, 1993; Bouilliart et al., 2013, 2015). In the latter studies the larvae were found to possess a very low theoretical bite force of 65µN and limited ability to move the jaws, which has led to the assumption that the larvae feed on small and soft food particles (Bouilliart et al., 2013, 2015). Such weak biting force together with our findings, suggests a clear grinding or crushing function in the anterior esophagus, and may explain how ingested food is prepared for assimilation, and why gut content in wild caught leptocephalus larvae is quite homogeneous with only very few recognizable...
Transverse sections of the middle intestine (A) and the hindgut/anus (B) of a European eel larva (*Anguilla anguilla*) (L21, SL = 23.33). (A) The lumen (Lu) is wide, and the mucosa is folded in several villi, with an absorptive epithelium of oblong columnar cells with a microvilli brush border (BB). The dorsal part had a thinner intestinal wall, where some scattered cilia were observed. Some absorptive vacuoles were observed especially in the apical part of the enterocytes (Va). The kidney/nephric tubules were united to form a urinary tract (UT). (B) The thick intestinal wall of the hindgut/anus, consisting of columnar epithelium and the microvilli brush border. There was a very distinct area of ciliated (Ci) cells in the dorsal part of the gut epithelium. The urine bladder (UB) is visible dorsally to the intestine. The “submucosal” part of the hindgut was very vascular, and several blood vessels (BV) were visible. Abbreviations: BB, microvilli brush border; BV, blood vessels; Ci, ciliated cells; Lu, lumen; Nu, nucleus; UB, urine bladder; UT, urinary tract; Va, vacuoles.
particles (Miller et al., 2019). Such mass of unidentifiable gut contents was also observed in the larvae from the present study. In fish larvae, ingested food particles are transported into the intestine (stomach is not yet developed) and exposed to digestive pancreatic enzymes in the intestinal lumen and at the intestinal brush border, resulting in a further degraded mixture and absorption by the intestinal epithelial cells (Tanaka, 1969; Lazo et al., 2011). Proteins are incompletely digested in the lumen, are absorbed by the enterocytes in the hindgut by pinocytosis and further digested by the intracellular lysosomal enzymes (Govoni et al., 1986). Recent studies on laboratory hatched European eel larvae defined the developmental time to the first feeding stage to around 10-12 days post hatch, depending on the temperature (Politis et al., 2017). The activation of genes for the mechanisms regulating feeding, such as appetite stimulators and inhibitors (orexigenic and anorexigenic factors), was then upregulated and revealed the transition to exogenous feeding (Politis et al., 2018). The development of the digestive function in the eel larvae was demonstrated through expression of genes encoding the main digestive enzymes, where transcript
levels of protein digestive enzymes were higher than those of carbohydrate and lipid digesting enzymes, indicating a nutritional predisposition for proteins during this life stage (Politis et al., 2018). Here, carbohydrate hydrolysis within the first-feeding window might reflect a primary mode of digestion, while the importance of lipid hydrolysis is reflected by the high capacity of eel larvae to assimilate and metabolize dietary phospholipids (Lund et al., 2021). In our study, we observed nutrient absorptive processes in the middle intestine, although the hindgut was too poorly preserved to observe any possible pinocytotic activity.

We only observed zymogen granules in a relatively small pancreatic tissue, thus there was no clear evidence for high synthesis of pancreatic digestive enzymes as basis for digestion of prey items. This indirectly supports the proposals that a large part of the larval diet is covered by easily digested food items such as gelatinous plankton (Ayala et al., 2018) and/or marine snow characterized by partly hydrolyzed or microbial degraded organic matter (Miller et al., 2012, 2018). In a recent review on leptocephali feeding based on field and laboratory studies, Tsukamoto and Miller (2021) suggested that leptocephali have a feeding strategy based on consuming particles that can be categorized as marine snow, and that small leptocephali are also capable of consuming soft-bodied organisms if they have the opportunity. Such feeding preferences may also explain why contents in the gut of leptocephalus larvae are generally found as an unidentifiable mass, often flowing out of the gut, where only few particles dispersed are occasionally observed (Mochioka and Iwamizu, 1996; Miller, 2009; Miller et al., 2018).

The intestinal epithelium had a typical structure of absorptive digestive cells. As for Japanese eel (Otake, 1996), we did not observe submucosa and muscularis externa in the intestine and anus, only in the esophagus. However, fish larvae usually have a well-developed muscularis externa in the intestine and anus, in order to churn and mix the food particles and to aid absorption, thus pushing the food through the tube (Lazo et al., 2011; Govoni et al., 1986). Muscularis externa is also present in the adult eel intestine (Clarke and Witcomb, 1980). The late development of a muscularis externa in the European eel larvae might be due to lower enzymatic digestive processes in the intestine than in other pelagic fish larvae, and thus a churning of prey would be less important in the intestinal part of the digestive tract. We also found ciliated cells throughout the length of the digestive tract, which also are observed in other marine pelagic yolk-sac larvae such as cod (Morrison, 1993) and halibut (Kjørsvik and Reiersen, 1992). Thus, the muscular esophagus, the ciliated epithelium, and the absorptive enterocytes, could ensure the necessary nutrient transport and absorption of the ingested food through the digestive tract.

**CONCLUSION**

The digestive system of the European eel leptocephalus larva appears to be similar to that of the Japanese eel, and both species apparently diverge significantly in their general development of the digestive tract, compared to non-leptocephalus pelagic marine fish larvae. An important characteristic of the European eel larvae was the muscular pharynx/esophagus, possessing an initial rough stratified squamous surface epithelium suggested here to exert a food-grinding or crushing function. This unique frontal part is followed by a heavily ciliated epithelial surface in most of the esophagus. This potential crushing function of the esophagus should be seen in the context of the long and protruding teeth of the leptocephalus larvae, which likely are used for grasping food items, rather than biting or chewing. Thus, it might be necessary for other parts of the digestive tract to contribute to mechanical degradation and digestion of ingested particles. The intestinal epithelium had a typical absorptive structure, with some ciliated cells present throughout the length of the intestine. No muscularis externa was observed in the intestine. We also found some evidence for a rather low digestive enzyme synthesis in the exocrine pancreas. Hence our findings support the hypothesis that the eel leptocephalus ingests easily digestible items such as gelatinous plankton (Ayala et al., 2018) or marine snow partly degraded by microbial processes (Miller et al., 2012). The muscular esophagus and the ciliated epithelium appear sufficient to ensure nutrient transport and absorption of the ingested food through the digestive tract.
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