



Comparison of wake flow, power and load measurements from three mid-fidelity wake models based on the DWM approach

Hanssen-Bauer, Øyvind Waage ; de Vaal, Jacobus Bernardus; Tutkun, Murat; Stenbro, Roy; Doubrawa, Paula; Jonkman, Jason; Aagaard Madsen , Helge; Larsen, Gunner Chr.; Asmuth, Henrik; Ivanell, Stefan

Publication date:
2021

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Hanssen-Bauer, Ø. W., de Vaal, J. B., Tutkun, M., Stenbro, R., Doubrawa, P., Jonkman, J., Aagaard Madsen , H., Larsen, G. C., Asmuth, H., & Ivanell, S. (2021). *Comparison of wake flow, power and load measurements from three mid-fidelity wake models based on the DWM approach*. Abstract from Wind Energy Science Conference 2021, Hannover, Lower Saxony, Germany.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Abstract No.

Comparison of wake flow, power and load measurements from three mid-fidelity wake models based on the DWM approach

Øyvind Waage Hanssen-Bauer^{1,}, Jacobus Bernardus De Vaal^{2,1}, Murat Tutkun¹, Roy Stenbro¹, Paula Doubrawa³, Jason Jonkman³, Helge Aagaard Madsen⁴, Gunner Chr. Larsen⁴, Henrik Asmuth⁵, and Stefan Ivanel⁵*

¹Institute for Energy Technology

²Norwegian University of Science and Technology

³National Renewable Energy Laboratory

⁴Technical University of Denmark

⁵Uppsala University

*Presenting author

In this study we compare the performance of three mid-fidelity wake models based on the Dynamic Wake Meandering (DWM) model. The original DWM model (Larsen et al., 2008) developed at DTU has shown to be a promising approach for estimating both power and, when coupled to an aeroelastic solver, loads in a wind farm. It is now a well-established model in the wind energy community and has recently been included in the new edition of the IEC code as a recommended practice. The DWM model is based on the assumption that the quasi-steady wake deficit, obtained from a thin shear-layer approximation of the Navier-Stokes equations, meanders as a passive tracer by the large-scale structures in the incoming wind. Another core element of the DWM model is the wake added turbulence; an increase of the turbulence level in the turbine wake relative to the ambient, caused by the breakdown of tip vortices and from the shear of the velocity deficit.

Since the introduction of the DWM model, several improvements have been suggested and other wake models based on the main DWM ideas have been developed. FAST.Farm (Jonkman et al., 2017) developed at NREL, and WIFET Farm Simulator developed at IFE (Hanssen-Bauer et al., 2020) are two such wake models, and are compared against the original DWM model in this study. The comparison is carried out by testing the three models on different farm configurations. Figure 1 and 2 shows preliminary results of the flow field through two such farm configurations. In figure 1, four NREL 5MW reference turbines are aligned with 7.5D spacing resulting in fully waked conditions. In figure 2, the inflow angle has changed and the turbines experience partially waked conditions. A third example of a farm setup, two turbines side-by-side, is investigated to compare how the DWM models handle the merging of these turbine wakes. To focus on the wake modelling, the difference in inflow models is eliminated by feeding all the DWM models with the same Large Eddy Simulation (LES) precursor-generated inflow for the comparison. As a reference to the three DWM models, LES with turbines modeled as actuator lines were performed for some of the inflow and farm configurations simulated by the DWM models.

Despite the similarities between the wake models, this comparison shows differences in simulated wake flow, and turbine power and loads. Variations in model implementation when it comes to treating e.g. wake added turbulence, wake summation and merging, eddy viscosity closure and wake transport velocity are addressed, and their impact on the results are discussed. The results of this comparison will help quantify simulation uncertainty as it pertains to model implementation. In addition, we identify strengths and weaknesses of current mid-fidelity wake modeling strategies. From these results, we suggest priorities for future model developments so that robust and low-uncertainty model implementations can be used in wind farm design.



Supported by SciSerTec

Web: <http://www.scisertec.de>

Keywords: "DWM" "turbine wakes" "wind farm" "wake merging" "turbine loads"

Images:

Link: <https://s3-eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/static.vcongress.de/cms/forwind/paper/59ab4063-a2ab-4230-a9cd-df5be1236f42.png>

Description: Figure 2: Preliminary results of the flow field through a farm with turbines in partially waked conditions

Link: <https://s3-eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/static.vcongress.de/cms/forwind/paper/f669be49-06d4-4639-9836-7780ba4bc152.png>

Description: Figure 1: Preliminary results of the flow field through a farm with turbines in fully waked conditions

References:

Larsen, G. C., Madsen, H. Aa., Thomsen, K., and Larsen, T. J. (2008). *Wake meandering: A pragmatic approach*. *Wind Energy: An International Journal for Progress and Applications in Wind Power Conversion Technology*, 11(4):377–395.

Jonkman, J. M., Annoni, J., Hayman, G., Jonkman, B., and Purkayastha, A. (2017). *Development of FAST. Farm: A new multi-physics engineering tool for wind-farm design and analysis*. In *35th Wind Energy Symposium*, page 0454.

Hanssen-Bauer, Ø. W., De Vaal, J. B., Tutkun, M., Asmuth, H., Ivanell, S., and Stenbro, R. (2020). *Dependence of wind turbine loads on inlet flow field*. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, volume 1618, page 062065. IOP Publishing.