



## **Electronic Citizen Science Platforms as Methods to Collect Data from Recreational Fisheries; Strengths and Limitations**

**Jørgensen, Casper Gundelund**

*Publication date:*  
2021

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*  
Jørgensen, C. G. (2021). *Electronic Citizen Science Platforms as Methods to Collect Data from Recreational Fisheries; Strengths and Limitations*. DTU Aqua.

---

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## Correction sheet

Page	Thesis text	Correction
Page 11. English summary	"The specific angler segments do not engage on electronic citizen science platforms"	Should read: "The specific angler segments that do not engage on electronic citizen science platforms"
Page 13. Dansk resumé	"Deltager karakteristika (dvs. demografi og rekreativ specialisering), og fangstrater (dvs. fisk per tur), af deltagere og ikke-deltagere blev efterfølgende undersøgt"	Should read: " Deltager karakteristika (dvs. demografi og rekreativ specialisering), og fangstrater (dvs. fisk per time), af deltagere og ikke-deltagere blev efterfølgende undersøgt"
Page 15. Dansk resumé	"Dette var dog i direkte modsætning til resultaterne fra det danske kystfiskeri efter havørred og kyst- og havfiskeri efter torsk, som adskilte sig fra de andre to fiskerier ved at de blev sammenlignet via ti halvårsperioder og otte ICES-områder"	Should read: "Dette var dog i direkte modsætning til resultaterne fra det danske kystfiskeri efter havørred og kyst- og havfiskeri efter torsk, som adskilte sig fra de andre to fiskerier ved at de blev sammenlignet via ti halvårsperioder og otte ICES-områder"
Page 30. Introduction – electronic citizen science platforms	"Additionally, the engagement of stakeholder was identified as a major barrier for successful implementation. Further, it is also relevant to explore participant characteristics and participant retention patterns in citizen science programs as well as if and how electronic citizen science platforms can give new knowledge about various aspects of recreational fisheries, e.g., human dimension"	Should read: "Additionally, the engagement of stakeholders was identified as a major barrier for successful implementation. Further, it is also relevant to explore participant characteristics and participant retention patterns in citizen science programs as well as if and how electronic citizen science platforms can give new knowledge about various aspects of recreational fisheries, e.g., human dimensions."
Page 41. Biological information from citizen scientists	"These measurements are have been described as biased in angler diaries"	Should read: "These measurements have been described as biased in angler diaries"
Page 47. Novel research opportunities; summary	"For example, related to air temperature, recall period (i.e., days between conducting and logging a fishing trip), and an interaction between motional reasons for fishing as drivers of fishing trip satisfaction."	Should read: "For example, related to air temperature, recall period (i.e., days between conducting and logging a fishing trip), and an interaction between motivational reasons for fishing as drivers of fishing trip satisfaction."
Page 62. Figure in Appendix B.	Figure 2	Should read: Figure 1B

Page 126. Line 43 – 45	“Fishing as a leisure activity yields economic and social benefits (Parkkila et al., 2010; Tufts et al., 2015; Hyder et al., 2018) but also leads to population- and ecosystem-level impacts. (Post et al., 2002; Lewin et al., 2006, 2019).”	Should read. “Fishing as a leisure activity yields economic and social benefits (Parkkila et al., 2010; Tufts et al., 2015; Hyder et al., 2018) but also leads to population- and ecosystem-level impacts (Post et al., 2002; Lewin et al., 2006, 2019).”
Page 141. Line 252 – 254	“This consistent overestimation could relate to anglers being asked to recall six months of angling effort in the recall survey (e.g., Tarrant et al., 1993) overrepresentation of avid anglers in the recall survey (Fisher, 1996) or underreporting of fishing trips among the citizen scientist.”	“This consistent overestimation could relate to anglers being asked to recall six months of angling effort in the recall survey (e.g., Tarrant et al., 1993), an overrepresentation of avid anglers in the recall survey (Fisher, 1996) or underreporting of fishing trips among the citizen scientists.”
Page 173. Line 244-246	“This was after the removal of fishing trips shorter than 0.5 hours, longer than 23 hours, registered more than 365 days after completion, or with missing values in either of the co-variables (see supplementary B for an overview of sample sizes for the co-variables).”	Should read: “This was after the removal of fishing trips shorter than 0.5 hours, longer than 20 hours, registered more than 365 days after completion, or with missing values in either of the co-variables (see supplementary B for an overview of sample sizes for the co-variables).”
Page 180. Line 377 – 379	“Our work shows that the specific key psychological outcomes that angler expects from the experience affects how they evaluate the outcomes of catch for satisfaction.”	Should read: “Our work shows that the specific key psychological outcomes that anglers expect from the experience affects how they evaluate the outcomes of catch for satisfaction.”
Page 185. Line 527- 529	“One should also assess if the “pick on” motive format applied here would generate the same results relative to a rating format that is more typically used in angler motivation research (Fedler & Ditton 1994).”	Should read: “One should also assess if the “pick one” motive format applied here would generate the same results relative to a rating format that is more typically used in angler motivation research (Fedler & Ditton 1994).”